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## 1. Abstract

The spatial organization of chromosomes is vital to life at the cellular level. DNA loops formed by condensin are the crucial structure of the meiotic and mitotic genomes which organize chromatin in interphase and metaphase. The structural maintenance of chromosomes (SMCs) protein family, which is now thought to serve as a DNA motor, is central to this organization. Paramecium tetraurelia is a ciliate that performs RNA-mediated widely genome rearrangement, mainly Internal Elimination Sequence (IES) excision, during sexual reproduction. The scanning model, which includes two sets of small RNAs (scanRNA and iesRNA), is widely used to explain this process. While the exact mechanism by which interphase and metaphase genome structure interact with IES excision is unknown to date. Given the importance of SMCs to chromosome structure and the poorly reported condensin in Paramecium tetraurelia, we explored the function of condensin SMCs in IES elimination and investigated whether their cooperation displayed different effects on this progress. One of the SMC4s in Paramecium tetraurelia, SMC4-2, is a novel player of IES elimination. Knockdown of SMC4-2 is lethal and causes the retention of almost all IESs, while the knockdown of SMC2s and SMC4-1 exhibit lethality to progeny without any sign of IES retention. Most interestingly, combining SMC4-2 RNAi with any of the other SMCs mentioned above abolished the retention in SMC4-2 single silencing. The SMC4-1 and SMC4-2 Co-immunoprecipitation and mass spectrometry analysis indicates a possibility that the interactions between SMC4-1 and SMC4-2 are decided by their concentration ratio of them. Additionally, we developed and optimized a purification method for IES-specific in vivo DNA binding complexes. The exact players of IES excision, especial the complexes that perform recognizing, binding and cleaving, are still unclear. This method allows us to purify specific-IES binding excision machinery from the new developing MAC where the old MAC contamination can be excluded. After that, we also tried to analyse the PiggyMac (PGM) interacting proteins by using Flag-HA tagged PGM 3A mutant. We were unable to enrich the PGM 3A-Flag-HA on anti-HA beads. However, we still provide some hints which could be helpful to further study.

## 2. Introduction

### 2.1. The Paramecium tetraurelia

Paramecium tetraurelia ( $P$. tetraurelia) is an abundant, free-living, unicellular organism that is simple to cultivate in a lab. Generally, $P$. tetraurelia lives in various freshwater environments where it feeds on algae, yeast or bacteria ${ }^{1}$. As a very popular studied ciliated which provides a fascinating viewpoint on the fundamental molecular and cellular processes of eukaryotic life ${ }^{2}$ due to its location within Alveolate (Figure 1), which is distinct from the models that are most frequently employed ${ }^{3}$. There are several organelles in this foot-shaped cell containing in other eukaryotes, such as ribosomes, mitochondria, endoplasmic reticulum, a Golgi apparatus, a phagosome-lysosome system, an endocytic system of shuttle vesicles, and enveloped nucleus ${ }^{4}$. In the meantime, its huge body (approximately $120 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ broad) and nuclear size ( $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) make $P$. tetraurelia an ideal subject of cellular and molecular biology research. The occurrence of two different nuclei inside the same cell is a defining feature of ciliate genetics: the micronucleus (MIC) is diploid and the macronucleus (MAC) is highly polyploid, so-named due to their relative sizes to one other. Two different types of nuclei existing in the cytoplasm are advised as "nuclear dimorphism", and it is a trait shared by most of the ciliates. Another property shared by most ciliates is the extensive genome rearrangements during their sexual development. Both the number of nuclei and how genomic rearrangements are controlled vary greatly amongst ciliate species. In my research material $P$. tetraurelia has one MAC and two MICs in each cell ${ }^{5}$.


Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree of Eukaryotes. Adopted from ${ }^{6}$. The tree, which contains 64 species, is built using 143 concatenated nucleus-encoded proteins ( 31,604 amino acid positions). The numbers represent RaxML analysis support values ( 100 repetitions) with the $\mathrm{WAG}+\mathrm{F}+\Gamma$ model. For all branches, the posterior probability derived using Bayesian inference with MrBayes is 1.0 . The number of amino acid substitutions per site is indicated by the scale bar. A gene fusion was used to root the tree. Ciliates are single-celled organisms that belong to the Alveolata superphylum. The tree includes the most widely researched families, Paramecium, Oxytricha (Sterkiella), and Tetrahymena. The Alveolata also includes parasitic protists such as Plasmodium. The ciliate families are further classified as Oligohymenophora (Paramecium) and Stichotrichia.

### 2.2. Life cycle and nuclear development in Paramecium tetraurelia

For the study of nuclear function and differentiation ${ }^{7}$, the process of meiosis, mitosis, autogamy, and conjugation in $P$. tetraurelia is quite useful. Also in studies of heredity, the ability of Paramecium achieving homozygosity of all loci at once with autogamy is particularly useful. The same is true for the production of heterokaryons by nuclear regeneration. Additionally, the investigator may readily regulate each of these steps. Two diploid MICs fulfil germline functions in one cell body, which is about $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, has around 50 chromosomes and a genome size of $\sim 100$ megabases $^{8}$. The MIC is transcriptionally inactive during vegetative growth but can be a source of MAC generation and maturation during development. In addition to this, MIC can also maintain the genome information throughout sexual reproduction by meiosis and mitosis. Without the MAC, $P$. tetraurelia can only go through one or two cycles of fission, but without the MIC, the cells can endure more than one hundred fissions and can even mate ${ }^{9}$. The MAC genome looks like a simplified form of the MIC genome that primarily contains essential sequences for protein synthesis. The maternal MAC disappears during the sexual development of $P$. tetraurelia, and a new one from MIC takes its place ${ }^{10}$. The size of MAC is $35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $12 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in width with highly polyploid up to 800 n copies ${ }^{11}$. Due to the elimination of DNA occurring in sexual development, chromosomes in MAC are shredded into approximately 200 linear molecules, 50 kb to 1 Mb in size ${ }^{12}$. The MAC is responsible for gene expression and considered a somatic nucleus. The existence of many nucleoli, which are absent from the MICs, and the fact that amacronucleate cells die without division, provide additional evidence for the gene expression activity in the MAC.

Like other ciliates, $P$. tetraurelia has the capacity for both vegetative growth and sexual development as shown in Figure 2. However, cells in immaturity cannot undergo sexual development like conjugation or autogamy. Additionally, cells can conjugate but cannot go through autogamy during a certain vegetative development phase following autogamy. This period is described as autogamous immaturity. Under normal conditions, like food-rich, less stressful, non-toxic etc., $P$. tetraurelia divides in a vegetative manner every $5-6$ hours at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or 12 hours at $18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Vegetative proliferation only occurs by binary fission, in which the MICs reproduce via mitosis. While the process of MAC division is called amitosis due to the absence of centromeres ${ }^{13}$, by which the MAC elongates and splits into two halves. The amitotic divisions does not ensure that two halves contain the same number of chromosomes.

After the vegetative division, each daughter cell will regulate its copy number to make it the same as their parental cells, although the mechanism behind is still an open question.

Besides the vegetative growth, $P$. tetraurelia cells must renew their somatic genome by sexual development since they can't divide vegetatively infinitely ${ }^{14}$. However, the presence of immortal ciliates that are incapable of sexual reproduction would imply that cells are essentially eternal and have evolved a mechanism to limit the ability for division when they develop the sexual process. The loss of such a mechanism can be shown by the emergence of strains in fatal species, such as Tetrahymena pyriformis, that can divide indefinitely ${ }^{15}$. Conjugation and autogamy are two types of sexual reproduction of $P$. tetraurelia that are usually triggered by moderate starvation (the most employed strategy in the laboratory), environmental disturbance and ageing, which share very similar cytological steps ${ }^{16}$. The main distinction of the two processes is that during conjugation, the genetic material of two compatible mating type cells is exchanged, while autogamy, also referred to as selffertilization, takes place within a single $P$. tetraurelia cell and forms homozygous cells. While autogamy happens in older cells, conjugation occurs in young cells. Generally, the sexual cycle begins with two meiotic divisions of both MICs in the cell, forming eight haploid nuclei, of which seven degrade quickly ${ }^{17,18}$. The surviving one undergoes mitotic division, leading to two identical haploid nuclei. These two nuclei will be fused in autogamy or exchanged during one type of sexual development, conjugation, between $P$. tetraurelia have suitable mating types that form a zygotic nucleus. In the meantime, the parental MAC becomes fragmented but keeps the ability to transcribe as well as remain in the cells throughout the whole sexual development. The diploid zygote undergoes two further mitotic divisions very shortly after karyogamy. The sister nuclei are pushed to the opposite poles of the cell by the long spindles of the second postzygotic mitosis, with two in the front and two in the posterior area. Two of those nuclei will stay unchanged and generate new MICs in daughter cells. The other two nuclei (anlagen), on the other hand, will create new MACs. This polar localization is required for new MAC development, including enormous DNA synthesis, transcription, and chromosomal rearrangements. This is followed by a specific cell division known as karyonidal division, in which MICs are mitosis-separated into the progeny, whereas the two MACs do not divide and are merely segregated into two daughter cells. The old MAC gradually disappeared throughout the cycle. The fragments, on the other side, stay transcriptionally active for some reason and are processed by subsequent vegetative divisions ${ }^{18}$.


Figure 2. Vegetative (Left) and sexual (Right) phases of the Paramecium life cycle. Adapted from Beisson $\mathrm{J}^{4}$. The vegetative cell cycle (left part) starts from the MIC mitosis and MAC elongation under favourable conditions. The MAC divides amitotically into two daughter cells and the two daughter cells are separated by cytokinesis. The daughter MAC genomes will grow up to the same size as parental MAC in a poorly understood mechanism. Autogamy shown on the right side is one of the sexual cycles in $P$. tetraurelia. This event includes meiosis of each MIC, two parental diploid MICs become eight haploid MICs. In the meantime, old MAC started to fragment and seven out of eight monoploid MICs are degraded, the single surviving one goes through additional mitosis (two haploid MICs) and karyogamy resulting in a new diploid MIC. With two consecutive mitosis, one diploid MIC becomes four diploid MICs in the same cytoplasm. Two of them are chosen to develop into new developing MACs with progressively genome rearrangement, the other two MICs stay as MICs before another round of mitosis. The mechanism of this selection is still unclear. After that, the cell will be divided into two daughter cells with equal distribution of genetic material.

### 2.2.1. Progressive genome rearrangement

Even though the MAC is produced from the MIC, their genomic content and organisation differ significantly. The reason for the differences is that the MAC undergoes dramatic
genome rearrangement (Figure 3). The genome rearrangements include DNA amplification leading to high ploidy of MAC (up to 800 n ) and the sequences eliminated often involve transposable elements and repeated sequences, like minisatellites. This causes chromosomal fragmentation via internal deletions or de novo telomere addition when left regions are reconnected and ligated ${ }^{12}$. Internal eliminated sequences, or IESs, have to be removed precisely from the immature MAC since $80 \%$ of the IESs are located within protein-coding regions ${ }^{19}$. As a result, DNA elimination is critical for the formation of a functioning MAC genome. More than 45,000 single-copy, AT-rich IESs have been determined by knocking down the domesticated transposase PiggyMac (PGM), which is required for the removal of IESs during development ${ }^{20}$.


Figure 3. Genome rearrangement during the development of MAC ${ }^{4}$. Ploidy: In mature MICs, there are only two copies of chromosomes (2n); in mature MAC, the genome is amplified to highly polyploid (up to $\sim 800 \mathrm{n}$ ). Precise elimination of IESs: Around 45,000 single-copy, AT-rich IESs are eliminated in the MAC genome when developed from MIC. Imprecise elimination of repeated sequences: transposons and minisatellites, repeated sequences, are removed during the MAC's maturation. Fragmentation of chromosomes is formed by these imprecise eliminations and de novo telomerization stabilizes the newly formed free chromosome ends.

The length of an IES varies between 26 and 4838 bp, with one-third of IESs being $26-28 \mathrm{bp}$ long. Furthermore, the short IESs found in $P$. tetraurelia have a size distribution (Figure 4) with a periodicity of 10.2 bp , which corresponds to a double-stranded DNA helical repeat. While the second peak (expected at 35 bp ) as seen in the profile only contains a few IESs, it is so-called the forbidden peak. This size range of IES may result from excision machinery restrictions and evolutionary pressure to IESs outside of certain size ranges ${ }^{21}$. IESs are hypothesised to be evolutionary remains of transposable elements that infiltrated the MIC genome, then degenerated over time by deletions and nucleotide substitutions ${ }^{22}$. Most IESs display lengths below 150 bp and are flanked with $5^{\prime}$-TA- $3^{\prime}$ repeats, one of them remains non-excised in the developed MAC genome after the IES has been eliminated ${ }^{23}$. Every IES TA repeat is accompanied by the very weak consensus sequence TAYAGYNR, which is supposed to be decisive since any change in the conserved sequences can impede IES excision. The similarity of IES ends to Tc 1/mariner transposons gives rise to the assumption that IES could be originated the insertion of these mobile elements followed by the missing coding capacity throughout evolution ${ }^{24}$. Besides terminal IES sequences, sequences flanking IESs have been involved in excision in some cases. Excision was abolished in Paramecium by removing a piece of its flanking region from one end of the specific IES ${ }^{25}$.


Figure 4. IES sequence distribution ${ }^{21}$. A) Histogram shows the genome-wide collection of IESs with lengths smaller than 150 bp . B) For the terminals of the genome-wide collection of IESs, a sequence logo depicting sequence preference at each place, was adjusted for a G+C content of $28 \%$.

### 2.2.2. Scanning models in IES excision

The excision machinery's recognition of IESs is not well understood. Excision of these distinct sequences requires a precise match. The IESs' loosely conserved end consensus sequence is deficient in explaining their recognition pattern suggesting that there must be another mechanism behind the precise elimination. Several theories have outlined the presumed roles of small RNAs (sRNAs) in IES excision as well as their participation in epigenetic events ${ }^{26-30}$. This mechanism has been widely studied and accepted in IES excision as a model called "the scanning model" (Figure 5). This model, first proposed in Tetrahymena thermophilia, outlines how sRNAs control the process of precise IES excision in a manner comparable to RNA interference (RNAi). In the example of Paramecium, two kinds of sRNAs have been found to mediate the cross talk between the MAC and MIC during autogamy: early expressed scan RNAs (scnRNAs) and late expressed IES RNAs (iesRNAs). At the onset of sexual development, in the meiosis of the MICs, the genome is bidirectionally transcribed to form longed double-stranded RNA transcripts ${ }^{31}$ which are processed by Dicer-like (Dcl) enzymes named Dcl2 and Dcl3 ${ }^{27}$. Recently, it has been reported these Paramecium enzymes had cleavage selectivity when generating scnRNA, with Dcl2 keeping a solid size preference for 25 nt and a terminal preference for $5^{\prime} \mathrm{U}$ and 5' AGA, and Dcl3 exhibiting a terminal preference for 5' UNG. Taken together, functional scnRNAs are 25 nt in length and exhibit a $5^{\prime}$ UNG preference ${ }^{32}$.


Figure 5. Scheme elucidating the scanning model in Paramecium. A) The meiosis of MIC initiates genome rearrangement. The MIC genome is transcribed bidirectionally, and the dsRNAs are processed into the scnRNAs, which consist of MDS (khaki) and IES (pink) dsRNA, by the Dcl2/3 cleavage. Ptiwi1/9 choose and carry one strand of the scnRNAs, and transport them into the fragmenting maternal MAC. B) This nuclear crosstalk might be mediated by Nowa proteins. The scnRNAs that are unable to match with MAC transcripts are transferred into new developing MACs by Ptiwi1/9. C) The new MACs, being clones of the MICs, include MIC-specific IES sequences, that
must be removed to generate a functioning somatic genome. The DNA regions marked by MICspecific scnRNAs are supposed to be removed by PGM and co-factors. Shorter excised IESs concatenate then circularise, while longer excised IES circularises directly. The dsRNAs are transcribed from IES circles with a rolling-circle transcription method. Another Dicer-like enzyme, Dcl5, converts these transcripts into the second type of sRNAs: iesRNAs. As the name implies, iesRNAs only map IESs. The iesRNAs are targeted by additional Ptiwi proteins, Ptiwi10/11, as well as anchor more IESs for excision. This procedure is supposed to be a positive feedback loop to ensure all copies of each IES are completely removed.

After being produced in the MICs, scnRNAs are loaded onto specific Piwi proteins, Ptiwi1/9, which remove the matching passenger strand after selecting a guide strand ${ }^{33}$ and immigrated into the old MAC. At this time, the old MAC is slowly fragmenting and its genome is transcribed into long non-coding RNAs ${ }^{34}$. The Piwi proteins that transport the scnRNAs are believed to scan those prolonged RNA transcripts with the assistance of Nowa ${ }^{35}$. The scnRNAs that match MDS bind to the transcripts and are inactivated selectively. The scnRNAs only containing germline-specific sequences, on the other hand, cannot match to any sequences from the MAC genome, are those desired scnRNAs. These sets of scnRNAs are carried into the new developing MAC by Ptiwi1/9. This kind of targeting is supposed to be a scan for complementary sequences again. Given that the new developing MAC is derived from MICs, the regions complementary to scnRNAs are IESs and are removed by PGM.

Another kind of short RNA is produced late in the new MAC development from IESs that are going to be removed. These small RNAs, known as iesRNAs, only map IES sequences. They range in size from 26 to 30 nucleotides and have a 5'UAG and 3'CNAU signature, with the characteristic 2nt 3' overhang signature of Dicer/Dicer-like protein activity. Dcl5 is in charge of iesRNA production from a double-stranded RNA precursor, and DCL5 knockdown entirely prevents its occurrence. Inhibiting IES excision, as with $\mathrm{Dcl} 2 / 3$ co-silencing, significantly reduces iesRNA levels. Thus, iesRNAs are produced from eliminated IESs and function in the positive feedback loop to ensure all copies of each IES removed from the developmental MAC genome ${ }^{26,32}$. Briefly, following excision, these IESs are either joined by alignment of TA overhang when they are longer than $200 \mathrm{bp}^{36}$ or similarly ligated with other IESs as long IESs when they are too short for circularisation. These extrachromosomal circles would be the source of the template for iesRNA precursor production. Theoretically, RNA polymerase complexes could interact with IES concatemers, this interaction provides a good
chance to generate enough copies of all IESs transcripts in it. As mentioned before, Dcl5 is in charge of iesRNAs production from double-strand iesRNA precursors. This sequence preference, interestingly, matches the ligated IES end conserved sequences of two IESs, or junctions between IESs. According to in vitro cleavage experiments, Dcl5 particularly detects these junctions and truncates sRNAs according to the distance between the junction motifs. The elimination would be blocked by mutations in these motifs. Consequently, iesRNA precursors are disassembled back into sRNAs that correspond to individual IESs ${ }^{27}$. Like the chosen scnRNAs, double-strand iesRNA is loaded onto Ptiwi10/11, the second pair of Piwi proteins, which pick a specific strand and carry it to further IES copies in the genome ${ }^{37}$. As IESs are mainly located in the coding regions in the genome, IES excision may be essential for iesRNA generation, as well as for the expression of genes implicated in the pathway. The IES excision in the developmental MAC might be a fundamental method for unblocking genes at the optimal time ${ }^{37}$.

There are still serval questions that should be asked in the scanning model. One of them is whether scanning occurs through a DNA: RNA based or RNA: RNA based mechanism. Because both hybrids are found in ciliates by direct and indirect evidence before, a previous member of our lab used Chromatin Associated RNA sequencing (ChAR-seq) to answer the question about DNA: RNA hybrid's existence in $P$. tetraurelia. Unfortunately, no evidence has been indicated that the sRNA: DNA hybrid presents in the scanning model in our lab. Actually, before answering this question, a prerequisite has to be confirmed whether helicase or similar proteins are included in the RNA-guided IES excision or not. If yes, could it be possible that the IES excision to be coupled with the massive genome amplification in the developmental MAC? If not, then how do the sRNAs recognize double-stranded, highly dense DNA in new MAC? Another question comes from the "cut and repair" mechanism. Following the excision, the ends of the flanking regions are subsequently ligated together in a way similar to the non-homologous end joining DNA repair mechanism. Ligase IV and $\mathrm{Ku} 70 / \mathrm{Ku} 80$ have been identified as players to catalyse this repair ${ }^{19,38}$. While the removal of IESs shortens the length of the chromosome when serval shorter IESs or one longer IES (even 4 kb sometime) get truncated. In whatever way of repairing the lesion after excision, the ligation of ends pulls two pieces of chromosome nearer which should be impossible unless there was a mechanism that can close the spatial distance before or during the repairing.

### 2.3. Structural maintenance of chromosomes (SMC)

The genome compaction controls the organization of chromatin and its activity in the nucleus. As shown in Figure 6, in a typical metazoan cell, DNA is condensed up to 10,000 times in length to construct a metaphase chromosome compared to chromatin ${ }^{39}$, which means the operating space for functional proteins has been reduced a lot. Since Walther Fleming ${ }^{40}$ first defined the dynamic activity of chromosomes during cell division, its duplication and division into two daughter cells are the two essential processes. Chromosomes undergo incremental structural changes that guarantee their segregation with high fidelity, making them more than just passive cargo or information carriers ${ }^{41}$. How this astonishing feat of packing is accomplished and how the chromosomes are reliably segregated into the daughter cells have been central concerns. The SMCs is an abbreviation of structural maintenance of chromosome proteins which have been studied during the last decades. As critical participants in both chromosomal condensation and segregation, the convergence of evidence from genetic and biochemical techniques has been reported ${ }^{42}$. Nearly all investigated living things encompass SMC proteins. They are crucial for mitotic chromosomal dynamics, gene expression regulation, and DNA repair. Their activities in several dimensions of chromosomal activity are conserved in these species ${ }^{43}$.


Figure 6. Hierarchical chromatin-folding model. Adopted from ${ }^{44}$. DNA is organised into chromatin structures in the nucleus, which controls the activity and inheritance of human genomic DNA. A 147 bp long DNA strand is wrapped around an octamer of histones H2A, H2B, H3, and H4 to form an 11nm DNA-core nucleosome particle. Each DNA-nucleosome particle is separated by 20 to 75 bp of histone H1-binding DNA. This primary nucleosome polymers further fold into secondary 30 nm fibres. There are two different structural models of the 30 nm fibres which refer to as the zigzag and solenoid fibre models. The solenoid fibre structure is 33 nm in diameter and includes six nucleosomes every 11 nm along the fibre axis. The diameter of the two-start zigzag fibre is 27.2 to 29.9 nm , with five to six nucleosomes per 11 nm . It is assumed that the 30 nm fibre assembles into helically folded $120-\mathrm{nm}$ chromonema, 300 and 700 nm chromatids, and mitotic chromosomes.

Most DNA-based activities are influenced by SMC complexes. Each 1,000-1,300 amino acids long, 110 KDa to 170 KDa SMC protein comprises a core globular hinge domain. Recent research has revealed that the hinge domain is the primary place where these molecules dimerize ${ }^{45,46}$. The hinge interface preferentially binds short single-strand DNA (ssDNA) ${ }^{47}$ and can spread structural changes to the head domains via the coiled-coil regions ${ }^{48,49}$. A globular domain containing a Walker A (GxxGxGKS/T) or Walker B motif (XXXXD, where X is any hydrophobic residue) (amino acid consensus sequences present in NTP-binding proteins named after J. E. Walker and co-workers, who initially discovered these motifs ${ }^{50}$ ) flanks this domain and is bridged by two extended coiled-coils domains. The coiled-coil regions can transmit conformational changes induced by ssDNA interaction of the hinge domain to the head domains which triggers ssDNA-stimulated ATP hydrolysis, involving head-to-head disengagement ${ }^{51}$. The protein is folded at the hinge, allowing the coiled domains to interact in an anti-parallel manner ${ }^{52}$. As a consequence, a functional ATPase domain that resembles an ATP-binding cassette (ABC) domain structurally is formed by joining the amino and carboxyl termini ${ }^{53,54}$. In such a complex, two SMC proteins bind at the hinge region to create long-armed V-shaped dimers ${ }^{45,55}$, which are then attached to nonSMC subunits that are distinctive to the complex ${ }^{56-58}$. A member of the kleisin protein family brings together two head domains of the V-shaped SMC protein dimers, allowing SMC complexes to carry on ring-like shapes ${ }^{59,60}$.


Figure 7. The architecture of SMC protein and SMC homodimers. A) A SMC protein's fundamental structure. The SMC self-folds through antiparallel coiled-coil interactions to create a hinge domain end and an ATP-binding head domain end. B) Dimerization is mediated by a hingehinge connection between two subunits, which results in a V-shaped molecule. The SMC homodimers display a wide range of conformations ${ }^{61}$.

Only one gene of each SMCs has been discovered in each of the prokaryotes examined, and it appears to form homodimers. Based on the sequence homologies, this family can be classified into six subfamilies in eukaryotes ${ }^{62}$ as in Figure 8. And the protein appears to form at least three types of heterodimers. These heterodimers involve the SMC1/SMC3 in the cohesin complex, the SMC2/SMC4 in the condensin complex, and the SMC5/SMC6 heterodimer, which forms a core part of a complex participating in DNA repair ${ }^{63}$.


Figure 8. Exhibition of six SMC subfamilies in eukaryotes. Adopted from ${ }^{64}$. SMC sequences were found in 59 species, which represent the main taxonomic assemblages in the eukaryotic tree. On the right, symbols of each species present the presence or absence of all these SMCs. The SMC5/SMC6
absent in those eukaryotes (x-marks) were indicated. When a subfamily was not discovered in the relative transcriptome database, no symbol in the relevant column was used to show undetermined.

### 2.3.1. The structure and function of condensin

Observations of mitotic chromosome kinematics in cytology first suggested the presence of molecules that compact chromatids or maintain sister chromatids together. The recovery of mutants deficient in these processes, together with the biochemical identification of mitotic chromosome-associated components, gave rise to the finding of condensin and cohesin ${ }^{65}$. Condensin and cohesin both include the SMC family proteins at their cores, but the two complexes are structurally and functionally distinctive ${ }^{42,63}$. Cohesin holds sister chromatids together until anaphase, before they separate, whereas condensin restructures chromosomes into their compact mitotic configuration ${ }^{66}$.

As shown in Figure 9, five subunits comprise the highly conserved condensin that utilises ATP to drive conformational changes in DNA, allowing it to address DNA compaction, architecture, and segregation from bacteria to humans. Higher eukaryotes generally have two condensin complexes (I and II), both of which consist of SMC2 and SMC4 heterodimers as the core part. The main differences between the two condensins are their non-SMC subunits, CAP-D2, CAP-H and CAP-G present in condensin I, while CAP-D3, CAP-H2 and CAP-G2 consist of condensin II ${ }^{67,68}$. Different species have different condensin I/II ratio. The condensin I/II ratio in human HeLa cells is 1:1, but 5:1 in the Xenopus egg, and 10:1 in isolated mitotic chromosomes from chicken DT40 cells ${ }^{69}$. Interestingly, Caenorhabditis elegans (C. elegans) contains three distinct condensin complexes, condensin I and II, as well as a third one, condensin $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{DC}}$, which exclusively acts in dose compensation ${ }^{70}$. In $T$. thermophila, a specialized condensin (condensin D) that does not appear to function in chromosome segregation has been identified by Howard-Till and co-workers ${ }^{71}$. It is essential for the completion of sexual reproduction and the developmental program of the somatic nucleus including DNA elimination. Condensins I and II also show distinct temporal and spatial localization profiles in higher eukaryotes. Condensin I is predominantly located in the cytoplasm in interphase and engages chromosomes just following the breakdown of the nuclear envelope in prometaphase, on the other hand, condensin II is mostly nuclear localization and attaches chromosomes when condensation occurs in prophase ${ }^{72,73}$. A combination of two functional assays revealed that condensin II interacts with duplicated
regions of chromosomes ${ }^{74}$. Mutation or removal of condensin subunits in a diversity of species disturbs appropriate chromosome condensation, resulting in chromosome segregation errors and cell death. Even though the two mitotic condensins are highly comparable, their pattern of localization implies that they may perform unique functions in the chromosomal organisation. Consistent with this assumption, depletion of either condensin I or II results in unique chromosomal defects, whereas depletion of both condensins results in more severe defects ${ }^{68,75}$.


Figure 9. Cryo-EM structures of the yeast condensin holo complex in the nucleotide-free apo form. Adopted from ${ }^{76}$. The left part is the schematic model of condensin, and the right part shows the 8.1- $\AA$-resolution 3D map that shows its overall architecture.

Condensin has functional differences across species. Although it was previously thought that, in most organisms, condensin only interacts with chromosomes during the cell cycle when they are condensed (prophase to anaphase), an increasing amount of data have revealed that condensin also plays important functions in controlling the interphase genome ${ }^{77}$. Even though they are widely distributed across the genome, genes that act in comparable processes frequently inhabit similar areas of the nucleus ${ }^{78}$. Condensin associats with DNA replication termination and genome integrity maintenance in the nucleolar organiser territory, which contains ribosomal DNA repeats ${ }^{79,80}$. Condensin both promotes the clustering of distributed loci into subnuclear regions and inhibits homologous connections ${ }^{81,82}$. Condensin II dissolved synapsed chromosomes into individual homologous chromosomes in Drosophila ovarian nurse cells ${ }^{83}$. This unpairing activity causes chromosomal compaction during interphase ${ }^{84}$. It has been demonstrated that yeast condensin has a function in interphase chromatin
architecture and RNA polymerase III transcribed gene clustering too. Condensin-mediated localization of these genes in the nucleus aids in the three-dimensional organisation of the genome in budding and fission yeast ${ }^{85}$. Mutations in yeast condensin subunits result in tRNA gene stationing deficiencies and partially block tRNA gene-mediated silencing ${ }^{86}$, revealing another association between genome organization regulated by condensin and gene expression ${ }^{87}$.

As cells advance through the cell cycle, chromosomes undergo substantial changes in structure. During mitosis, chromosomes are compressed into dense arrays of arbitrarily positioned sequential chromatin loops. There is growing evidences that the establishment and extension of DNA loops, also known as loop extrusion ${ }^{88}$, is the fundamental mechanism behind SMC complexes' ability to organise DNA. Several putative models for loop extrusion by SMC complexes have been proposed. These models are exhibited in Figure 10. In DNA damage repair, the initial loading of condensin to DNA was thought to occur via the SMC hinge-DNA interactions at the ssDNA region which is generated behind the replicative helicase ${ }^{89}$. Chromosome-conformation capture (Hi-C) on topological domains ${ }^{90,91}$ and polymer simulations ${ }^{92}$ suggested the formation of such DNA loops, whereas recent in vitro single-molecule studies provided experimental evidence of condensin's DNA translocase activity and ability to extrude DNA loops ${ }^{93}$. Also, evidence of loop extrusion by real-time imaging of the formation and processive extension of DNA loops by yeast condensin has been reported in $2019^{94}$. A direct DNA-binding site in the eukaryotic condensin complex formed by Ycg1 ${ }^{\text {Cnd3 }}$ HEAT-repeat and Brn ${ }^{\text {Cnd2 }}$ kleisin subunit has been identified which serves as a safety belt that prevents DNA dissociation from the groove ${ }^{95}$. The extrusion can be formed in an ATP hydrolysis-dependent, strictly asymmetric manner by condensin. Recent research results indicate that the active condensin complex transiently entraps the bases of a DNA loop in two distinct chambers. Single-molecule imaging and cryo-electron microscopy point to a possible power-stroke movement at the first chamber, which feeds DNA into the SMC-kleisin ring in response to ATP binding, whereas the second chamber remains upstream of the identical DNA double helix. By removing the stringent separation of the "motor" and "anchor" chambers, condensin is transformed from a one-sided to a bidirectional DNA loop extruder. It is inferred that the directionality of DNA loop extrusion is determined by the direction of two topologically coupled DNA segments during the SMC reaction cycle ${ }^{96}$. These properties mainly mean that condensin makes stable contact with DNA at a binding site of non-SMC subunits and then reels the DNA from only one side.

B
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Figure 10. Putative models of loop extrusion. Adopted from ${ }^{97}$. A) The walking model. During the SMC ATPase cycle, DNA segments (blue) are passed across SMC ATPase domains. If the hinge domain connects with a distal DNA segment during this process, loop extrusion may occur. If the hinge has a poor affinity for DNA and glides along it, symmetrical loop extrusion may occur. B) The pumping model. The SMC complex encircles a DNA loop and binds to it via the ATPase head domains. ATP hydrolysis causes the SMC coiled coils to align and pushes the DNA loop towards the ATPase heads. If the SMC complex encircles a second DNA loop (grey) after the head engagement, loop extrusion might occur. C) The scrunching model. At the ATPase heads and the hinge, the SMC complex attaches to DNA. If these transitions are connected to the SMC ATPase cycle, a folded conformation may facilitate the handover of a DNA segment from the hinge to the heads or vice versa, resulting in DNA translocation. If one DNA segment is constantly attached throughout this process, asymmetrical loop extrusion may ensue. If DNA binding shifts between the loop's two arms, symmetrical loop extrusion may occur.

Mitotic chromosomes fold as compact arrays of chromatin loops. An emerging model of prometaphase chromosomes is shown in Figure 11. As in chicken cells, the chromatin loops nested in a way of $\sim 400 \mathrm{~kb}$ outer loops generated by condensin II split up by $\sim 80 \mathrm{~kb}$ inner loops formed by condensin I. Chromosomes shrink as prometaphase progresses due to
increased helical winding, with the number of loops per turn rising. Consequently, the size of a helical turn ranges from $\sim 3 \mathrm{Mb}$ ( $\sim 40$ loops) to $\sim 12 \mathrm{Mb}$ ( $\sim 150$ loops $)^{91}$.


Figure 11. Schematic model of mitotic chromosome morphogenesis pathway. Adopted from ${ }^{91}$. Condensin II compacts chromosomes into arrays of successive loops and sister chromatids divide along their length during prophase. In red is the scaffold of condensin II-mediated loop bases. Condensin II-mediated loops become more enormous when the nuclear envelope breaking and the cell enters prometaphase, splitting into smaller 80 kb loops via condensin I. Chromosomes are depicted as loop arrays. The nested configurations of condensin II-mediated loop bases in the centre and condensin I-mediated loop bases in the periphery are depicted in red and blue, respectively. The core scaffold develops a helical configuration during prometaphase, with loops spinning around the scaffold as steps in a "spiral staircase" (the helical path of loops is indicated by arrows). Outer loops develop and the number of loops per turn grows as prometaphase advances, and chromosomes shrink to become the mature mitotic chromosome.

In addition to the loop extrusion, a new evidence shows that condensin might interact with the RNA-DNA hybrid and remove the RNA, followed by the annealing of ssDNA to form dsDNA ${ }^{89}$. Before mitosis, condensin competes with other DNA-bound components and unloads them from the chromosomal region to generate a clean chromosome. The promotion of ssDNA annealing could be required to facilitate their faithful segregation ${ }^{98}$. Studies in $S$. pombe also suggested the involvement of condensin I in DNA repair, which indicates that condensin I is recruited at damage sites of single strand break (SSB) through poly (ADPribose) polymerase 1 (PARP-1) $)^{99}$. A single condensin molecular moving along DNA can
explain the introduction of positive supercoils into closed circular plasmids in the presence of ATP ${ }^{100-102}$.

### 2.3.2. The SMCs in ciliates

As in Fig. 8, SMC1/2/3/4 are present in the genome while SMC5/6 is absent from ciliates. Only a few papers reported some functional analysis of condensin in Tetrahymena, and the functions of condensin in Paramecium, another ciliate also in the class Oligohymenophorea, have not been investigated till now. At any point throughout the cell cycle, there is no apparent chromosomal condensation in the MAC in Tetrahymena. The techniques needed to identify chromosomal condensation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae ${ }^{103}$, which has chromosomes equivalent in size to Tetrahymena MAC chromosomes, are challenging to apply to Tetrahymena's polyploid macronucleus. As mentioned before, the chromosome size in MAC ranges from 50 kb to 1 Mb , while the loop extrusion makes nested loops with 80kb inner loops from 400 kb outer loops in DT40 cells. In extreme cases, condensin could not even extrude even one loop in MAC if the loop size was conserved in all organisms. We have to be careful about imitating those conclusions, especially the loop extrusion, from other organisms to $P$. tetraurelia. Corresponding to the concern, some surprising roles for condensin in Tetrahymena amitosis have been reported. First of all, SMC4 was found in both MIC and MAC during the vegetative growth, while the knockout of SMC4 (SMC4 KO) generates an apparent MAC segregation defect. In contrast to condensin depletion in other organisms, SMC4 KO in Tetrahymena did not affect the segregation of bulk DNA during the mitosis which could be because of the lack of microtubule elongation inside the MAC ${ }^{104}$. Another study has indicated that condensin works in distinct ways on segregating chromosomes in the MIC and MAC of Tetrahymena. Condensin facilitates the condensation and resolution of MIC chromosomes and promotes the spatial distribution and segregation of shorter chromosomes in MAC. They also believed that the loop extrusion could be responsible to separate copied shorter chromosomes in MAC ${ }^{105}$. A development-specific condensin complex, condensin D, has been identified in Tetrahymena. Unlike canonical condensin, condensin D is crucial to the completion of sexual reproduction and the development of MAC. This is the first report mentioning that the condensin complex is supposed to promote genome organization in DNA elimination by an indirect way ${ }^{71}$.

### 2.4. PiggyMac, a key protein in IES excisions

PiggyMac (PGM) is a domesticated transposase identified in $P$. tetraurelia. Although IES sequences resemble Tc /mariner transposable elements, the excision process is more akin to that seen in PiggyBac Transposons (Figure 12A). Tc/mariner transposons perform a cut and paste transposing which results in a 3 bp overhang. In contrast, the transposition of PiggyBac transposons induces double strand breaks (DSB) that creates 4 bp 5 ' overhangs, as seen in Paramecium's IESs ${ }^{24,106}$. The PGM is a probable candidate which participates in IES excision since it has high homology with PiggyBac transposase and was shown to possess the catalytic triad, three conserved aspartic acids (D401D491D609). A downstream cysteine-rich (CR) domain and a C-terminal extension are predicted to adopt a coiled-coil (CC) structure which is required for the normal function of an active IES excision complex ${ }^{107}$. The CR domain has been considered to be crucial for PGM's activity in vivo. It can bind two $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ and form a cross-brace zinc finger. Additionally, the CR domain can connect with the N-terminal residues of histone H 3 in vitro ${ }^{108}$. Experiments revealed that the knockdown of PGM resulted in a fatal phenotype and impaired the excision of most IESs. Using a GFP-tagged PGM protein, they also demonstrated that PGM locates in the developmental MAC during the IES excision. As a result, it was suggested that PGM is the endonuclease responsible both for the accurate elimination of IESs and for the imprecise removal of repetitive sequences in the germline ${ }^{20}$.


Figure 12. Domain structure of the PiggyBac transposase (PB) and model of IES excision by PGM/PGMLs complex. Adopted from ${ }^{109}$. A) The Pfam domain DDE_Tnp_1_7 is shown in the orange domain, at its right side is the RNase H fold (conserved catalytic D residues are indicated by
vertical bars). Id indicates the percentage of sequence identity; Sim presents the percentage of similarity. B) this model is summarized according to previously published data. The active form of PGM is supposed to be a dimer. In the lack of knowledge of the complex's composition, one PGM homodimer is represented at each IES border, with all PGMLs forming a huge bridge structure. PGML subunits are postulated to drive the precise alignment of the PGM active site to those TA cleavage sites in a fully formed complex.

Besides PGM, five groups of distant PGM-like proteins (PgmLs, Figure 12) that are all able to interact with PGM and are essential for its localization and IES excision have been identified in $P$. tetraurelia ${ }^{109}$. A co-factor of PGM in DNA elimination, Spt16-1, a subunit of histone chaperone FACT which is the key to reorganising nucleosomes and ensuring chromatin integrity, facilitating the localization of $\mathrm{PGM}^{110}$. The protein Ku 80 c has also been shown the unique property to license PGM-dependent DNA elimination ${ }^{111}$. The DSB repair at those excised spots is accurate and efficient, ensuring that MAC chromosomes are rebuilt in the correct sequence and open reading frames are regenerated with accuracy ${ }^{13}$. The ligase IV-Xrcc4 complex, an important player of the C-NHEJ pathway ${ }^{112}$, was demonstrated to repair DSBs at chromosomal IES elimination positions and during the circularization of eliminated IESs using RNAi-mediated functional investigation of candidate genes ${ }^{19}$.

## 3. Aim of the thesis

Aim I:

The first aim of this research was to explore the roles of condensin components in the IES processing in $P$. tetraurelia. So far, no such information has been reported and it is worth noticing that the chromosome structure, especially constructed by condensin, should be an important aspect for the processing of some or all IESs in vivo. Hence, to gain a more indepth look at the relationship between condensin and IES excision, we first analysed the possibility of condensin components participating in IES removal by RNAi. When it was confirmed, additional functional analysis was included to elucidate the roles of condensin in IES excision. The expected results may contribute to supplementing the mechanism of genome rearrangement in $P$. tetraurelia, and provide a new vision into the activity of condensin in other organisms.

## Aim II:

In addition to the research work on condensin, we set out to investigate the members of excision machinery in two different ways. The excision machinery is the most interesting complex for people who focus on the mechanism behind the IES excision. Until now, nobody has succeeded in isolating this machinery in vivo. So, for the first time, we used biotinlabelled IES complementary oligos to pull down the DNA excision machinery in IES excision. Mass spectrometry was used to analyse the elution to identify the components interacting with IESs. The second way to purify the eliminating complex is mutant PGM Coimmunoprecipitation. Since the PGM is supposed to be the core component of excision machinery according to its functional analysis, mutated PGM with tag in theory should be purified with the IES excision machinery. Also, mass spectrometry analysis is included.

## 4. Results

### 4.1.Part I: Research article: Functional analysis of structural maintenance of chromosomes (SMCs) in internal eliminated sequences (IESs) elimination in Paramecium tetraurelia
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#### Abstract

The structural maintenance of chromosomes (SMCs) is a large family of ATPases that play a variety of roles in the organisation and dynamics of higher-order chromosomes. They are central regulators of chromosome dynamics and the core component of condensin and cohesin. The internal eliminated sequences (IESs) excision is controlled by a lot of different factors that occur between two forms of nuclei in Paramecium tetraurelia. During this process, massive genome condensation and dissolution happen with several rounds of meiosis and mitosis where the SMCs play vital roles in organizing chromosomes. While the relationship between IES elimination and SMCs function has not been discovered in Paramecium tetraurelia until now. Here we applied RNA interference, genome sequencing, mRNA sequencing, immunofluorescence and mass spectrometry to investigate the roles of SMCs in IES elimination in Paramecium tetraurelia. The results indicate that SMC4-2 is a novel factor of IESs excision in Paramecium tetraurelia, while SMC4-1 is not. The localization of SMC4s also suggests that SMC4-1 seems like the canonical SMC4 in other organisms, while SMC4-2 is more like an IES-specific SMC4 which only exists in Paramecium tetraurelia. Loss of SMC4-1-GFP in old MAC brings up the possibility that decompacted chromosomes help the scanning of scnRNAs. The genesis of iesRNAs is strongly inhibited by the knockdown of SMC4-2. Silencing SMC4-2 with SMC2-1, SMC2-2 or SMC4-1 abolished the retention phenotype is a new finding too. The enrichment of different proteins from SMC4-1 and SMC4-2 suggests a possibility of a competitive relationship between them. These innovations highlight the potential of SMCs participating in IES processing and which in end will lead to a new mechanistic discovery.


Introduction

Paramecium tetraurelia is a widely distributed unicellular eukaryote in fresh water in the highly diverse ciliate phylum. Similar to other ciliates, the nuclear dimorphism makes them a talent model to explore genome dynamics and RNA mediated epigenetic regulation between their two diverse forms of nuclei. The germline micronucleus (MIC) serves as the genetic information stock next generation. The somatic macronucleus (MAC) is responsible for gene expression. Genetic information comparison between MAC and MIC results in large-scale and precise elimination of specified DNA regions from the developmental MAC genome. The packing of meters of linear DNA molecules into compact metaphase chromosomes is an inevitable step in meiosis and mitosis which makes condensin could be important to the genome rearrangement.

This sexual cycle can be triggered by food stress and conjugation which can induce MAC fragmentation and MIC meiosis. The two new developing MACs are derived from two copies of MIC during the sexual cycle after one mitosis and two mitotic divisions of MICs. Endoreplication in the new MAC increases the ploidy from 2 n to 800 n . During this time, massive genome rearrangements occur which reproducibly remove the internal eliminated sequences (IESs). There are almost 45,000 annotated IESs within the new developing MAC. Precise excision is required for the functional maturation of genes containing IESs. No obvious feature has been found to clarify the precise removal of IESs except that most are flanked by TA ${ }^{1}$. Two sets of small RNA defined as scnRNAs ('scan' RNAs) ${ }^{2}$ and iesRNAs ${ }^{3}$ are supposed to be included in IES excision in early and late $P$. tetraurelia development respectively. In brief, during meiosis, the MIC is bi-directionally transcribed, yielding long transcripts that are then processed to yield scnRNAs. "Scanning" for comparable sequences in the parental MAC removes MAC genome-matching scnRNAs while enriching MIC genome-matching ones ${ }^{2}$. Later in the development of the MAC, excised IESs circularize or concatenate to serve as a template for iesRNA precursors. Following that, generated iesRNAs assure the eradication of the majority of IESs ${ }^{3,4}$. While mitotic and meiosis chromosomes are compacted with condensin, how the scanning model works under a compacted chromosome is still unknown.

Condensin is a large protein complex and plays critical roles in chromosome structure and segregation in cells ${ }^{5}$. Most of eukaryotes have two different types of condensin, condensin I and II. Condensin has a conserved dimer structure of two large proteins structural maintenance of chromosomes (SMC), SMC2 and SMC4, involved in organizing the genome by using the energy from ATP hydrolysis ${ }^{6}$. Both SMC2 and SMC4 self-fold to a head-to-end shape by the two ATPase domains. The hinge domain is situated in the heart of this V shape structure, the anti-parallel coiled-coil domains connect ATPase domains and the hinge domain. Dimerized by the hinge domains from SMC2 and SMC4, the core of the condensin forms ${ }^{7}$. In human ${ }^{8}$ or other eukaryotes ${ }^{9,10}$, condensin I and II regulate chromosome assembly and segregation differently in both meiosis ${ }^{11}$ and mitosis ${ }^{12}$. The condensin complex connects to chromatin and progressively extrudes a DNA loop by binding DNA on one side and reeling from another side. Furthermore, chromosomes organized as nested loop arrays winding around a helical 'spiral staircase' within a cylindrical chromatid by condensin I and II reveals the conformation of mitotic chromosomes ${ }^{13}$. In addition to condensing chromatin into organized structures, condensin has been indicated such as single strand DNA (ssDNA) binding preference ${ }^{14}$, reannealing complementary ssDNA ${ }^{15}$, remove ssDNA binding proteins ${ }^{16}$ since condensin was first reported.

The relationships between SMCs function and IES elimination in $P$. tetraurelia are supposed to be important. Because we assume that the compacted DNA structure should transiently loosen when an IES inside the DNA loop is being excised. It has been declared that the steric hindrance generated by condensin could impact or inhibit transcription ${ }^{17}$. As mentioned above, massive transcriptions are needed for the IES excision, the hypothesis that IESs cannot be eliminated if the corresponding DNA region was compacted into dense chromosomes could be reasonable. In the present research, we assessed the effects of two homologs of SMC4 (SMC4-1, SMC4-2), and SMC2 (SMC2-1, SMC2-2) in P. tetraurelia. Strong IESs elimination failure is observed after RNA interference (RNAi) of SMC4-2. It indicates a direct/indirect effect of condensin proteins on IES excision. SMC location indicates that SMC4-1 participates in the whole development of P. tetraurelia, while SMC4-2 mainly exhibits in the late stage. Interacting proteins of SMC4-1 or SMC4-2 have also been investigated to shed the light on the functional complex of SMC4s in $P$. tetraurelia. Therefore, this study contributes to research on SMC4s in $P$. tetraurelia by demonstrating the functional difference between them in IES excision.

Methods

## Paramecium cultivation

All experiments were performed with mating-type 7 of strain 51 of $P$. tetraurelia. Klebsiella pneumoniae-infected wheat grass powder medium (WGP; Pines International, Lawrence, KS) with $0.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\beta$-sitosterol was treated to cultivate the cells (Merck). As previously mentioned, cultivation took place at a temperature of $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{18,19}$.

Sequence alignment, domain prediction and phylogenetic trees generation
The Paramecium SMC4-1 (PTET.51.1.P0410063) and SMC4-2 (PTET.51.1.P0590135) sequences extracted from ParameciumDB (https://paramecium.i2bc.paris-saclay.fr/). Other SMC4 protein sequences were collected from previous reports ${ }^{20,21}$. Multiple sequence alignment was carried out in MAFFT ${ }^{22}$ and BLAST tool in ParameciumDB. Conserved domains prediction of SMC4-1 and SMC4-2 were performed with Pfam ${ }^{23}$. Expression pattern builds according to previous report ${ }^{24}$. The phylogenetic tree was generated by using the W -IQ-TREE with the default setting ${ }^{25}$.

Gene silencing of SMC2s and SMC4s

As a subunit of SMC part in condensin, the role of SMC2 in $P$. tetraurelia development needs to be explored at the same time. Similar to SMC4s in P. tetraurelia, SMC2-1 (PTET.51.1.G0330075) and SMC2-2 (PTET.51.1.G0450077) are annotated in the database. Knock-down (KD) of SMC2s and SMC4s were reached using RNAi by feeding doublestrand RNAs as described before ${ }^{26}$. Candidates' sequences were magnified from wild type MT7 genomic DNA with the primers in Supplementary Table S1. Next, either single or dual sequences were inserted inside of the two reversed T7 promoters in the L4440 vector ${ }^{27}$. The plasmids were expanded in the feeding cells: HT115 (DE3) Escherichia coli. An empty L4440 vector without insertion was the negative control. Additionally, a PiggyMac (PGM)

RNAi plasmid from our lab was chosen as a positive control ${ }^{28}$. Cross-silencing of other $P$. tetraurelia genes, according to RNAi off-target tests performed using the ParameciumDB ${ }^{29}$ tool (https://paramecium.i2bc.paris-saclay.fr/cgi/tool/rnai_off_target), is unlikely. Around 200 cells $/ \mathrm{ml}$ of $P$. tetraurelia cells were transplanted into the silencing medium. A total of 14 single cells that had completed sexual reproduction in the silencing media were then isolated and added to a freshly bacterized medium to assess the survival of the progeny following autogamy. Three days following their separation, cells were checked and recorded into three groups based on the observed phenotype (healthy, weak and death).

DNA extraction, IES PCR and Illumina Sequencing

Total DNA from 100 mL of each postautogamous culture was extracted with the GenElute Mammalian Genomic DNA Miniprep Kit (G1N70-1KT, Sigma-Aldrich). IES retention PCR was analyzed with genomic DNA and certain primers as previously described ${ }^{3}$. For deep sequencing, DNA of developmental MAC from 400 mL cells was extracted as previously described ${ }^{30}$. According to established Illumina techniques, a 150-cycle paired-end Illumina TruSeq DNA library was created and sequenced at the University of Bern's NGS platform.

Calculation of IES retention scores (IRSs) in genome-wide and correlation matrix

The IRSs were estimated using ParTIES ${ }^{31}$. The number of reads associated with the eliminated IESs with just the MAC IES junction is denoted as IES, whereas the number of reads which accommodates the IES region is denoted as IES+. Only read pairs that were mapped were counted. Each read was tallied just once to prevent excessive counting brought on by paralogous matches. To prevent length biases brought on by IES length variance, reads were exclusively counted at IES ends. Then, an IRS is determined as follows: IRS = $\mathrm{IES}^{+} /\left(\mathrm{IES}^{+}+\mathrm{IES}^{-}\right)$. Correlations were estimated with the Pearson method.

Total RNA extraction, mRNA sequencing and small RNA (sRNA) analysis

Total RNA was obtained from 200 mL of $P$. tetraurelia at 4 hours after $100 \%$ fragmentation. This timing is determined according to the strong GFP signal of SMC4-2 on the western blot. TRI reagent (Sigma-Aldrich) extraction was done following the suggested protocol. The mRNA library was produced based on standard Illumina protocols and sequenced at the NGS platform at the University of Bern. For sRNA sequencing, total RNA was sequenced by Fasteris SA (Geneva, Switzerland). The sRNAs were categorized into several size sets (15 35 nts ), then aligned with HiSat2 (version 2.1.0) using default parameters ${ }^{32}$. Those reads mapped were sorted to OES, IES and MAC sequences, the mitochondrial, DNA from Klebsiella pneumoniae and the vector backbone.

The GFP and mCherry fusion constructs, microinjection and localization

The SMC4-1 or SMC4-2-GFP fusion construct under the endogenous regulatory sequences respectively contained MAC sequences upstream of the ATG and downstream of the TGA. The optimized GFP coding sequence ${ }^{34}$ was inserted ahead of the stop codon. Before performing the microinjection, all plasmids containing the fusion transgene were digested with the AhdI (R0584, New England BioLabs) or SapI (R0569L New England BioLabs) to linearize them. The products were filtered through $0.22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Ultrafree ${ }^{\circledR}$ MC GV filter (UFC30GV0S, Millipore), and enriched with pure ethanol. DNA was dissolved using DNasefree $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to a final concentration of $\sim 5.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{uL}$. Finally, linearized DNA was microinjected into vegetative cell MACs ${ }^{35}$. SMC4-1-mCherry constructs as described above. Positive injections were picked up by checking green and red signals under a microscope. A positive single clone was expanded to high density ( 3000 cells $/ \mathrm{ml}$ ). At various life cycle phases, small samples were taken and counterstained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Fluorescence microscopy was used to detect GFP localization (Leica AF6000 system).

Flag-HA fusion construct, microinjection, immunoprecipitation, mass spectrometry

Fusion construct and microinjection were performed as described above. The positive injection was confirmed by Dot Blot ${ }^{33,36}$. Immunoprecipitation was conducted as described before ${ }^{37,38}$. Non-crosslinking was performed because the IP of SMC4-2 under crosslinking did not work at a pH below 10.4. In detail, 400 mL cells were harvested at 4 hours after $100 \%$ fragmentation, pellets were resuspended in 2 mL fresh lysis buffer ( 50 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 8.8,150$ $\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2$, 1 mM DTT, $1 \%$ Triton X-100, $1 \times$ protease inhibitor complete tablet (Roche), and $10 \%$ glycerol) and sonicated until complete lysis. Lysates were spin down at $13,000 \times \mathrm{g}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Beads were washed with 1 mL IP buffer ( 10 mM Tris pH 8.8 , $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.01 \% \mathrm{NP}-40,1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,1 \times$ protease inhibitor and $5 \%$ glycerol) for three times before incubation. A total of 1 mL of the supernatant was mixed with $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of AntiHA affinity resin (Roche) at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while rotating $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{N}$. Another 1 mL supernatant was frozen in liquid nitrogen and then store at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before use. After incubation, beads were washed using 1 mL IP buffer five times. Washed beads were resuspended in $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ IP buffer, boiled with $25 \mu \mathrm{~L} 5 \times$ SDS loading buffer at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, after cooling down on the ice, and immediately used for western blot and mass spectrometry analysis at the University of Bern.

Results

Identification of the $P$. tetraurelia SMC4s homolog

In Fig. 1a, the domain organization of SMC4s in P. tetraurelia is shown. A Pfam domain search predicted that domains are conserved in these two SMC4s while the sequence blast indicates only $37.36 \%$ identity between them. It highlights the question that why $P$. tetraurelia needs two SMC4s. In Figure 1b, we showed the phylogenetic tree of SMC4s from 22 species with maximum likelihood analysis, SMC4-1 in P. tetraurelia locates in an independent branch of ciliate SMC4, while SMC4-2 has a closer localization with Tetrahymena, a close relative with Paramecium. To compare the difference between SMC4-1 and SMC4-2, authors made an expression curve according to the ParameciumDB as shown in Fig. 1c. It is indicated that SMC4-1 has a long-term expression in the whole development stage of $P$. tetraurelia, while SMC4-2 starts expression after MIC meiosis which makes it a potential candidate of IES excision related proteins. Fig. 1c also presents a cross of expression and alterations in the expression's prominent position between SMC4s which gives the idea that specific SMC4 is needed in the distinct development stages and SMC4s may posse different functions referred to the given stage.


Figure 1. Structure and function comparison between SMC4-1 and SMC4-2 in $\boldsymbol{P}$. tetraurelia. (a) Similarity and differences between SMC4-1 and SMC4-2 in P. tetraurelia. All information obtains from ParameciumDB, amino acid sequence identity gains from the BLAST tool on the website. Conserved domain/motifs in different colours. (b) Maximum Likelihood tree of SMC4s in ciliates and randomly selected organisms. (c) Time-course of gene expression for P. tetraurelia SMC4-1 and SMC4-2. The curve in blue represents the expression of SMC4-1, and the orange one indicates the expression of SMC4-2. The Y axis means the mean expression level. VEG: vegetative cells; MEI: beginning of MAC fragmentation and MIC meiosis; FRG: population when $\sim 50 \%$ of cells have fragmented MACs; DEV1: earliest stage when a significant proportion of cells has visible MAC anlagen; DEV2/3: majority of cells with MAC anlagen; DEV4: majority of cells with MAC anlage. (d) Effect of EV, SMC2-1, SMC2-2, SMC4-1, SMC4-2 knockdowns on IES excision by IES PCRs. The excised form is shown as (IES-) and the unexcised form is shown as (IES+). The IES- form is always detectable due to the presence of the parental MAC in the sample. The IES+ is only present in IES retention in the newly developing MAC. (e) Effect of EV, SMC2-1, SMC2-2, SMC4-1, SMC4-2 and PGM KD on cell survival. The healthy, weak and death cells are shown in different colours. Blue: percentage of healthy cells (cell growing at a normal rate); orange: percentage of sick cells (altered
number of divisions or behaviour); grey: death cells. PGM KD was the positive control. The empty L4440 vector as the negative control. For each bar $\mathrm{n}=14$ cells.

SMC4-2 is essential for IES excisions in Paramecium

To figure out what the functions of SMC4s are in IES excision, RNAi was used to make a knockdown (KD) of SMC4s expressions at the mRNA level. The IES PCR results obtained from the preliminary analysis of KD are shown in Fig. 1d where the retention of IES excision is shown by two bands, the upper one is the DNA region that contains IES when elimination fails, and the lower bands are regions that succeed in IES excisions. From here we can tell that SMC4-2 KD provokes all tested IESs retention while there was no evidence that SMC4-1 KD influences that. As another core part of condensin, SMC2-1 and SMC2-2 KD IES PCR had been shown here too. Same with SMC4-1 KD, no IES retention was found. A survival test is a common way to determine the influence on the next generation after KD and whether all cells are under RNAi in P. tetraurelia. As shown in Fig. 1e, over $80 \%$ death rate has been shown in all SMCs KD which means SMCs play important roles in $P$. tetraurelia.

To gain a genome-wide perspective on how IESs are affected by SMC4-2 KD, developing MAC was isolated from the autogamy cells after RNAi and starvation, high-throughput sequencing analysis was performed, the IES retention score (IRS) distribution result shown in Fig. 2a. The most striking result to emerge from the data is that $99 \%$ ( 43889 out of 43900) IESs excision are affected by SMC4-2 KD, the peak of retention score is around 0.4 which indicates that SMC4-2 may participate in IES excision in an unknown way. This result is beyond our expectations and only PGM KD shows a similar but stronger right-skewed distribution (Fig. 2b) compare to all other previously examined factors of excision machinery. The results of the correlational analysis are summarized in Fig. 2c which illustrates some of the main characteristics of retention pattern after these factors' KD . It is apparent from this table that very few correlations between SMC4-2 KD and any other KD. More efforts are needed before we can confirm that SMC4-2 engage in IES processing in a new way.


Figure 2. IES retention pattern analysis. (a) Retention score distribution was determined by sequencing DNA extracted from a cell fraction enriched in new MACs after SMC4-2 KD. Y axis means the number of IESs. X axis means the percentage of single unexcised IES among its copies. (b) Integrated IES retention distribution of PGM (in orange), EZL1 (in blue) and SMC4-2 (in red) KDs. (c) Correlation in IES retention. The retention score of PGM, DCL2/3, DCL5, DCL2/3/5, NOWA1/2a, Ptiwi01/09, Ptiwi10/11, EZL1, TFIIS4, ISWI are from our lab, SMC4-2 retention score is from this study. The correlation coefficients of Pearson are given at the right part of the graph correspondingly.

Two SMC4s localization shows diverse patterns in the cell cycle

The next section of the survey was concerned with why SMC4-2 are such important to IES excision. Authors first constructed an SMC4-2-GFP vector with 300bp up- and downstream of the SMC4-2 coding sequence then linearized the vector and microinjected it into vegetative MAC. The localization of SMC4-2 in Fig. 3a highlights that SMC4-2 uniquely locates in newly developing MACs although the green signal loses in the post autogamy stage. Here we speculate that SMC4-2 exhibits the possibility to process IESs excision spatially and temporally. While another problem rises from here, if SMC4-2 was also a component of condensin, its absence in vegetative, early and post-autogamy is unacceptable to chromosome structure. As we know from the expression pattern, there is still another SMC4-SMC4-1 in P. tetraurelia and it has a long-term expression throughout the whole life cycle. So, it's reasonable that SMC4-1 serve as the "condensin SMC4" in P. tetraurelia. To figure out how the localization of SMC4-1 in the development of the $P$. tetraurelia looks, a linearized SMC4-1-GFP vector was injected into vegetative MAC in the same way as SMC4-2-GFP did. In Fig. 3b, the green signal represents SMC4-1 exclusively locates in nuclei including MICs through life, MACs except for the one that is going to be, as well as already fragmented MACs (old MACs). Considering the roles of condensin SMC4 in the dynamics of chromosomes and the scnRNA and iesRNA model, authors hypothesise that the dissociation of SMC4-1 in old MACs may be the premise of small RNAs from MICs matching to the long non-coding transcripts in old MAC genome. In Supplementary Figure S1, authors made a double injection by mixing equal amounts of SMC4-1-mCherry (red) and SMC4-2-GFP (green) into the vegetative MACs to check the co-localization of SMC4s in the development of $P$. tetraurelia. There was a significant positive correlation between them, the red and green signals can be visualized in newly developing MAC at a late time point. The single most interesting doubt to emerge from the localization was why two SMC4s are needed in the newly developing MACs if SMC4-1 and SMC4-2 served the same. If not, then what different functions they had on chromosome structure and IES excision.


Figure 3. Localization of SMC4-1 and SMC4-2 tagged with GFP. (a) SMC4-2 tagged with GFP was exclusively localized in the macronuclear. (b) SMC4-1 tagged with GFP has a wide distribution
including vegetative macronuclear and micronuclear, new developing macronuclear and micronuclear, not in macronuclear which is going to be fragmented and old macronuclear fragments. The red dotted line represents the $P$. tetraurelia cell body. DAPI staining in blue represents DNA. Green signals represent SMC4-2 in a and SMC4-1 in b. Green arrows represent developing MAC. Pink arrowheads point to MICs, and arrowheads in white represent old MAC fragments.

Knockdown of SMC4-2 disrupts genes expression at a late time point in P. tetraurelia

To know how SMC4-2 KD affect the IES excision and what kind of process SMC4-2 participate in $P$. tetraurelia, we performed mRNA sequencing of RNA samples from the late time of EV control and SMC4-2 KD. From Fig. 4a we can tell, SMC4-2 KD disrupts the expression of quite a long of genes. The downregulation of PTIWI06, PTIWI07, PTIWI10 and PTIWI11 highlighted on the plot could be because IESs exist in their promoters and coding regions. When we narrow to the top 50 most differentially expressed genes (DEGs, where the variance is the highest between samples) in Fig. 4b, it is significant that SMC4-2 KD can upregulate gene expression in a late time point including PGML5 and other PGMLs (not in the top 50, but still significant), the co-factor of PGM in IES excision ${ }^{39}$. In Fig. 4c, we provide a GO enrichment map. Enriched RNA-related GO terms indicate SMC4-2 could participate in RNA processing pathway. For the first time, SMC4-2 was reported to regulate the gene expression in $P$. tetraurelia. It is supposed that SMC4-2 plays downregulating roles in the development of $P$. tetraurelia, when disrupts the expression of SMC4-2, the depression from it would be released, and then a large number of genes could be expressed.


Figure 4. Differential expression and GO enrichment in SMC4-2 KD compared to EV. (a)
Volcano plots showing the differentially expressed genes detected in SMC4-2 KD compare to the control. The most statistically significant genes are shown toward the top, with upregulated genes on right and downregulated proteins on left. Grey dots mean no significant difference, and green dots represent significance only in fold change. The X -axis represents $\log _{2}$ (fold change) values and Y -axis represents $-\log _{10}$ (pval) values. (b) The top 50 most differentially expressed genes in SMC4-2 KD compare to the control. Light blue on the top represents two replicates of EV , the orange means two replicates of SMC4-2 KD. The red (upregulated) and blue (downregulated) colour and intensity of the boxes represent changes in gene expression. (c) GO terms enrichment map. The $x$-axis shows the Gene ratio, the percentage of total DEGs in the given GO term; the y-axis corresponds to GO terms. Count represents the number of genes enriched in a GO term. The colour of the dot represents the $p$ adjust value.

SMC4-2 knockdown wipes out the production of iesRNAs at a late time point

To know how SMC4-2 engages in most IESs excision, a small RNA analysis was performed to detect whether scnRNA or iesRNA are affected by the RNAi of SMC4-2. Since we already know that SMC4-2 exclusively locates in newly developing MAC and senRNA is produced during the early meiosis in $P$. tetraurelia ${ }^{2,3}$, it's unlikely the RNAi of SMC4-2 can disrupt the production of scnRNA. So, authors only focused on the genesis of iesRNAs which are 2630 nts long and generated in the newly developing MAC ${ }^{3,4,37}$. To assess the production of iesRNA in a high resolution, small RNA sequencing was performed and the detailed information of detected small RNAs are clustered into several groups. All these RNAs are shown in the bar chart (Fig. 5a, b) with a size strategy. Compared with EV, it is apparent from here that extremely few iesRNAs can be recognized from 26-30 nts. In general, SMC42 KD disrupts IES excision may be partly because of abolishing the genesis of iesRNAs.


Figure 5. Small RNA sequencing in EV and SMC4-2 KD. (a, b) Histograms of small RNAs classified by length. Both panels show the distribution at a late time point (specifically 4 hours after $100 \%$ fragments). The reads map to MDS is shown in green or IESs in red. A significant absence of 26-30 bp, in which the iesRNAs are, is observed in SMC4-2 KD.

Knockdown of SMC2-1/SMC2-1/SMC4-1 getting rid of the IES retention after SMC4-2 KD

In theory, SMC4 functions in cells in proteins complex way like condensin. So, if SMC4-2 manipulated IES excision in condensin form, the disruption of the remaining part of condensin should be robust or at least retain the IES retention phenotype under SMC4-2 KD. Therefore, the authors conducted double silencing of SMC4-2 with SMC2-1, SMC2-2 or SMC4-1. Surprisingly, as in Fig. 6a, the IES retention phenotype has disappeared in all these
double silencings. The survival test in Fig. 6b represents that at least $80 \%$ of cells have been affected after feeding with a double silencing medium. To get a convincing result, in addition to mixing two cultures of a single RNAi medium, the authors also constructed 3 silencing vectors which contain corresponding regions to double silencing, by this way, differential production of double strand RNA (dsRNA) to each gene should be excluded. Still, the IES retention phenotype induced by SMC4-2 silencing is no longer detectable.


Figure 6. Co-silencing of SMC4-2 with SMC2-1, SMC2-2 or SMC4-1. (a) IES PCR of cosilencing. IES retention was tested by PCR using primers flanking IESs sequences. (b) Survival test of co-silencing.

Co-immunoprecipitation identify distinct interacting proteins between SMC4-1 and SMC4-2

To compare the difference between SMC4-1 and SMC4-2, authors applied coimmunoprecipitation coupling mass spectrometry to analyse the interacting proteins of FlagHA tagged SMC4s at the same time point. As shown in Fig. 7a, SMC4-2-Flag-HA and SMC4-1-Flag-HA have been detected by anti-HA primary antibodies in cell lysate and bound solution. After analysed by Shotgun Liquid Chromatography Tandem Mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) with non-labelled samples, PGM or other IES critical proteins are undetectable in any of these interacting proteins is beyond our expectation. While the more surprising observation is the enrichment difference of SMC2s in these two SMC4s. the Venn diagrams (Fig. 7b and Fig. 7c) illustrate the relationships of differential enrichment and unique proteins among SMC4-1, SMC4-2 and WT interactions. In Fig. 7b, the grey arrow points to the SMC2-1 means it highly accumulated in both SMC4-1 and SMC4-2 enrichment compared to WT, but there is no different enrichment between SMC4-1 and SMC4-2 which indicates SMC4-1 and SMC4-2 may bind the same amount of SMC2-1 in this time point. The red arrow point to SMC4-2 and SMC2-2 means these two proteins not only upregulated in SMC4-1 and SMC4-2 compared to WT but also enriched in SMC4-2 compared to SMC4-1. The blue arrow pointing to SMC4-1 in Fig. 7c indicates that SMC4-1 is a unique protein which can only be detected in the SMC4-1 interacting dataset. Taken together, these results provide completely new insights into the diverse elements of SMC4s interactions in the development of $P$. tetraurelia.


Figure 7. Co-immunoprecipitation of Flag-HA tagged SMC4-1 and SMC4-2 at a late time point. (a) Western blot of Flag-HA tagged SMC4-2 and SMC4-1 pulled down with anti-HA antibodies. Lysate: cell lysate; Unbound: supernatant by spinning down anti-HA beads-lysate mixture after overnight incubation; Bound: enriched target proteins on beads after five times washing. The molecular weight of SMC4-1 ~150KD, molecular weight of SMC4-2 ~143KD. (b, c) Venn diagram of differential enrichment and unique proteins vs wild type. (b) Venn diagrams showing the overlap in differential enrichment of SMC4-1 vs WT, SMC4-2 vs WT and SMC4-1 vs SMC4-2. The grey arrow represents non-regulated in SMC4-1 vs SMC4-2. The red arrow means upregulated in SMC4-2 compared to SMC4-1. (c) Venn diagram shows the overlap in unique proteins of SMC4-1 vs WT and SMC4-2 vs WT. The blue arrow indicates unique protein only can be seen in SMC4-1 enrichment.

Discussion

Structural maintenance of chromosomes (SMC) complexes are the primary chromosome organizers in all living organisms ${ }^{5,40}$. These protein complexes play central roles in DNA
replication ${ }^{41}$, chromosome condensation and segregation ${ }^{42}$, gene expression ${ }^{6}$, and DNA damage repair ${ }^{43}$. In reviewing the literature, no data was found on the association between SMC4 and IES excision in $P$. tetraurelia even expand to ciliates. This is the first report that discovered and explored the relationship between SMC4-2 and IES processing. The important finding here is SMC4-2 KD shows a very strong IES retention phenotype, while other members of the $P$. tetraurelia SMC family including SMC2s and SMC4-1 don't. From here, authors suspect that SMC4-2 must have some unique function in addition to serving as the potential condensin component. Because if not, the knockdown of SMC2s and SMC4-1 should have similar results on IES excision. But the mechanism behind SMC4-2 regulating IES excision is still unknown, and from the structural prediction of SMC4-2, nothing significant characteristics can be presumed as the possible reason for this regulation. So, more indirect regulations have been taken into consideration.

The second interesting result from this work is the dynamic changes of SMC4s localization in the life cycle. As mentioned in the results part, SMC4-2 is localized specifically in newly developing MACs while SMC4-1 shows a more complex and remarkable positing strategy in both MICs and MACs. The observed green signal in newly developing MAC of SMC4-2GFP injected cells could be attributed to the possibility that SMC4-2 processes IES excision or is involved in that at least. This study did not find a significant SMC4-2 localization in any other nucleus. This finding suggests that SMC4-2 is not the fully functional condensin-SMC4 in P. tetraurelia. Hence, SMC4-1-GFP injection was performed in vegetative cells and the GFP signal was observed from the vegetative stage to the post-autogamy cells. Interestingly, SMC4-1 has localization in all nuclei including MICs and vegetative and newly developing MACs. These results are consistent with data obtained in Tetrahymena which suggests that Smc4p may be involved in amitotic, mitotic and nuclear division ${ }^{21}$. One unanticipated finding was that the SMC4-1 signal was not seen in early MACs and old MAC fragments which suggests a dynamic dissociation of SMC4-1 from the genome as cells into autogamy. It's still unknown what would happen after SMC4 released from the chromosome and what the chromosome looks like in P. tetraurelia. The most significant progress here is scnRNAs produced from MICs and matured in old MAC before guiding IES excision ${ }^{44}$. Hence, it conceivably we hypothesized that the dissociation of SMC4-1 in old MAC exposes a highly accessible DNA structure to DNA binding proteins ${ }^{45}$, this would be a good opportunity for scnRNAs to scan their target DNA regions. The co-localization results confirm the temporal
and spatial association between SMC4-1 and SMC4-2 at a late time point. Either SMC4-1 and SMC4-2 have some overlap in function or it's just a coincidence needs further study.

The mRNA sequencing results indicate that only several PTIWI proteins were affected by SMC4-2 KD while other important proteins like PGM and Dcls (except upregulated Dc15) were not included in the DEGs. The downregulation of PTIWI6/7/10/11 could be because of IESs' insertion in their promoter and coding regions. The IESs retention introduced by SMC4-2 KD disrupts the transcription and translation of these genes. This result reminds us of the possibility that IESs retention under SMC4-2 KD is not because of the downregulation of DNA excision machinery. Another interesting result under SMC4-2 KD is the upregulation of such amount of genes which reminds us that SMC4-2 could be a repressor of gene expression in the development of $P$. tetraurelia. Further study needs to be done to explore the real role of SMC4-2 in P. tetraurelia.

Another interesting result from the sRNA sequencing is that the population of the iesRNA was markedly depressed. For the first time, SMC4-2 was supposed to potentially manipulates the yield of specific sRNAs in ciliate. Here we assume that the possibility of SMC4-2 KD clearing away iesRNAs could be because SMC4-2 inhibits the early IES excision which is the template of iesRNA transcription. Further study is needed to explore the detailed mechanism behind SMC4-2 affect iesRNA production in $P$. tetraurelia.

The co-silencing results here indicate an outcome regard of restoring IES elimination under SMC4-2 KD by introducing a knockdown of SMC2-1, SMC2-2 or SMC4-1. The double silencing should robust the IES retention phenotype or retain it if SMC4-2 formed condensin with SMC2s in $P$. tetraurelia. If SMC4-2 had nothing to do with SMC4-1 and SMC2s, these double silencing should exhibit combing phenotypes of single silencing such as IES retention. In this study, no IES retention can be observed under double silencing. This is the first report that mention the IES retention phenotype can be restored when introducing another knockdown. No related report can be learned from to explain this phenotype. The LC-MS/MS results give us detailed information about how SMC4s interact with other proteins at the late time point. It is somewhat surprising that no PGM or other IES-related proteins were noted in the SMC4-2 interacting dataset. Not surprisingly, no such proteins
were found in SMC4-1 interactions. Although there is no direct evidence to prove that SMC4-2 processes IES excision, the truth that SMC4-2 KD affect IES excision and iesRNA production is still clear. In addition to these results, more interactions were found in differential expression and unique datasets in these two analyses. As mentioned before, when performing Co-IP by SMC4-1-Flag-HA as bait, all SMC4s and SMC2s can be captured at this time point, while only SMC2s were exhibited when using SMC4-2-Flag-HA as bait, SMC4-1 was not presented. The relationship between SMC4-1 and SMC4-2 has to be reconsidered. If they interacted with each other, the absence of SMC4-1 in the SMC4-2 pulldown is unacceptable since SMC4-2 can be enriched by SMC4-1. In these two experiments, the only thing that changed was the concentration of them because of the injection which introduced exceeding protein compared to their endogenous amount. Under this circumstance, the ratio between SMC4-1 and SMC4-2 at a late time point has been either flipped or enhanced which could be the reason why the SMC4-1 and SMC4-2 interaction was lost. This observation may support the hypothesis that SMC4-2 may potentially replace the position of SMC4-1 in condensin that interacts with SMC2s in a competitive binding way. This assumption is based on the fact that SMC4-1 and SMC4-2 share conserved domains and the potential to form condensin complexes with SMC2. Similar to the endogenous ratio, when introducing a large amount of SMC4-2 into a late time point, it may compete with endogenetic SMC4-1 on binding with SMC2s, the SMC4-1 dissociated from chromosomes but not degraded according to the continuous fluorescence. The 'SMC4-2 plus SMC2s' condensin complex could be the late condensin form that may participate in IESs excision as SMC4-2 was supposed to be the important co-factor of this process but SMC2s are not in this study. Contrary to the native ratio, as injecting SMC4-1 into MACs, the ratio at the late time point between SMC4-1 and SMC4-2 was flipped, SMC4-2 was still able to occupy SMC41's position while a high amount of SMC4-1 could inhibit this process that may stable the interaction with SMC4-2. For sure, this assumption needs to be interpreted with caution. Here we just bring up one possibility which can fit all results got from the study. Readers should judge the model carefully.

## Conclusion

This research aimed to study the effect of SMCs in processing IES excision in $P$. tetraurelia. The most interesting finding here is that SMC4-2 play important role in manipulating IESs and iesRNAs production. To our knowledge, this is the first report of the interaction between SMC4-2 and IES excision in $P$. tetraurelia. The second major finding was that SMC4-1 degraded in old MAC potential to be the initial step of IES processing which is also the first report of SMC4-1 dissociation at an early time point. The research has also shown that it's very likely that SMC2s and SMC4-1 take participate in SMC4-2 handling IES excision which has not been explored before. The last but not the least finding is that we described the interactions between SMC2s and SMC4s and the absence of SMC4-1 was reported in the SMC4-2 Co-IP results. Combining all results in this study, the authors proposed a competitive model which makes an explanation for each part of these findings. The current data highlight the importance of SMC4-2 in the genome rearrangement in P. tetraurelia beyond the classic definition of SMC4 in the maintenance of chromosomes in other organisms ${ }^{46,47}$. This project is the first comprehensive investigation of condensin SMCs' independent or dependent influences on IES excision which should contribute to existing knowledge of IES processing by providing newly potential co-factors from a completely new direction. The generalizability of these results is subject to certain limitations. Despite this, the study certainly adds a novel angle at which to look at this process. The precise mechanism of SMC4-2 in this progress remains to be elucidated. Further work is still needed to establish whether the competitive model is true or not. In general, this study provides a new foundation for additional investigation which may in the end lead to an exciting mechanistic discovery.
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Figure S1. Co-localization of SMC4-1 tagged with mCherry and SMC4-2 tagged with GFP at
late time point. DAPI represents DNA in blue, green signal represents SMC4-2 tagged with GFP, red signal represents SMC4-1 tagged with mCherry.

| Oligo name | Sequence 5'-3' |
| :---: | :---: |
| SMC4-1 +f R | TCAACATGAATAATTAATTTTAAATTCTTCATTCTT |
| SMC4-1 +f F | AAAGAATTAACTAGTATTTTGCAGAAAAAATATTAAG |
| SMC4-1 +fv 3 F | TGAAATGATTTTAAAATATTCATATTCCATTATTG |
| SMC4-1 +fv 3 R | TCTATTTTAATTAACGTTTTCATTGTTTTC |
| SMC4-1 +fv F | CAGACTGAAAGTGAAGAGCCAT |
| SMC4-1 +fv R | CATTTTATGTAAATATATTTAATATTTTTAAATTAACTTTTGG |
| SMC4-2 +F R | GAATTAATTCAAGATCTAAATATTCGTAACA |
| SMC4-2 +F F | ATGCGCAATTTAATTTGAGCAATATT |
| SMC4-2 +fv 3 F | TGAAATTTATATTAAATTATAATCAATTAATTTTATC |
| SMC4-2 +fv 3 R | AACTTCTAATTAGATGACTTCTGTTGAA |
| SMC4-2 +fv F | ATTAAGGAAGTTATTTTGGAGAATTTTAAATC |
| SMC4-2 +fv R | CATATTTTCTATCATTTAATTTTCTACTCAAA |
| SMC2-1 sil F | ATATGAGCTCATGTGGATCAAAGAAATCATTATCGA |
| SMC2-1 sil R | TACCAGGAGACAAAAAGAAACTATAGACTCGAGTAAA |
| SMC2-2 sil F | ATATGAGCTCAGAACAACTGAATAGAGAGATTACACA |
| SMC2-2 sil R | AAAAGAATTCTAATCATTAAAAGACAAAAAGTTGCTCGAGTAAA |
| SMC4-1 sil F | ATATGAGCTCATGCAGACTGAAAGTGAAGAGC |
| SMC4-1 sil R | TTTACTCGAGTGTTTTGTTATGATGTCAGATTGTTCACT |
| SMC4-2 sil F | ATATGAGCTCATGATTAAGGAAGTTATTTTGGAGAATTTT |
| SMC4-2 sil R | TTTACTCGAGTATCTACCTTATTTTATTTTTCTGGAATCA |
| SMC2-1 Co-sil F | ATATCCCGGGATGTGGATCAAAGAAATCATTATCGA |
| SMC2-2 Co-sil F | ATATCCCGGGAGAACAACTGAATAGAGAGATTACACA |
| SMC4-1 Co-sil F | ATATCCCGGGATGCAGACTGAAAGTGAAGAGC |
| SMC4-2 Co-sil-R | TTTACCCGGGTATCTACCTTATTTTATTTTTCTGGAATCA |

Table S1. Oligo sequences used for the study.

### 4.2.Part II: Purification of IES excision machinery by affinity capture and mass spectrometry from Paramecium tetraurelia.

The elimination of IESs can be regulated at many different levels, such as sequence preference on DNA, and the interaction with small RNAs and proteins. Protein is the main executor of life activity, proteins bind to distinct sites on the DNA, which recognize and cleavage the corresponding IESs. From previous studies, PGM, a domesticated transposase, has been supposed to cleavage IESs during development ${ }^{20}$. Despite being such an important protein in this process, nobody succeeds in identifying the protein complexes that interact within/around the IES regions in vivo which makes the mechanism behind the IES excision still unclear. Here, we propose an in vivo method, adopted from Pooja Murarka ${ }^{140}$, for the identification of IES binding excision machinery complexes in $P$. tetraurelia where the 3 tandem IESs-protein complexes formed in vivo are crosslinked by formaldehyde. In the autogamy or conjugation of $P$. tetraurelia, the development of new MAC is always accompanied by fragmented old MAC which makes any attempt to purify new MAC-specific stuff like the excision machinery always heavily contaminated by nonspecific fractions. The highlight point of this method is the idea that we use IES regions as the hot point to purify the excision machinery which is only present in new developing MAC. So, we can exclude the old MAC contamination. These complexes are further purified and the DNA is sequenced, bound proteins are identified, and potential binding RNA is kept for analysis. The method is schematically described in Figure 13. This method provides a new way to isolate in situ excision machinery based on complementary oligos purification and mass spectrometry analysis in the development of Paramecium.


Figure 13. Schematic diagram presenting the experimental procedure followed. A method for isolation and identification of excision machinery (protein complex supposed to be attached at the end of each IES when cutting). By cross-linking the excision machinery to IESs with formaldehyde when cells are in the timing of IESs elimination, such protein-DNA complexes are fixed in vivo. The new MAC is then subjected to digestion with restriction enzymes to generate overhangs, which further binds to corresponding biotinylated oligos attached to streptavidin beads. The purified complex then proceeds accordingly.

A major problem in isolating the excision machinery from paramecium is that nobody for sure knows what protein is in the complex. Even the PGM is putative to be the executor of IES cleavage just because of some indirect evidences ${ }^{20,107-109}$. The chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay is another extensively used approach for detecting DNA binding protein ${ }^{141}$. The ChIP assay is used to profile DNA-binding proteins throughout the whole genome. Aside from cost and availability, ChIP has technological limitations. Because this approach requires specific antibodies, it cannot be utilised when the target proteins are unknown ${ }^{142}$. Therefore, to gain a more comprehensive and precise insight into the intact excision machinery, accurately capturing the moment of IESs elimination by the complex has
to be performed from DNA or RNA level. In this method, we decided to achieve the goal by performing on the DNA level since RNA is relatively unstable compared to DNA.

The first step of this experiment is to determine the target region in the genome which contains 3 tandem IESs regions and the length should be limited. There are several concerns with these specific requirements. Firstly, these 3 tandem IESs should include two longer IESs at the outside of the inner one (less than 100 bp ) because this method needs restriction enzyme digestion on the outer IESs. The two outer IESs need a restriction site $>100 \mathrm{bp}$ from the innermost IES end (restriction sites should not cut inside of the outer restriction cut sites). This treatment shortens the IES and the excision machinery out of the restriction sites will be lost. Hence, longer IESs are needed to be sure there is enough IES residual left. Secondly, the possibility that short IES ( $26-28 \mathrm{bp}$ ) employ different mechanisms of elimination compared to longer one reminds us that involving different length IESs would be a better way to have a comprehensive insight into the components of excision machinery. Thirdly, the priming site should be specific to these two outer IES ends (priming sites exposed by exonuclease digestion). Fourthly, since various proteins can bind with DNA in a bunch of biology progress, a negative control has to be included to exclude the IES-unspecific binding proteins. This negative control is picked up according to several principles like similar total length, same restriction sites, and close existence time with the target region (3 tandem IESs). Bioinformatic analysis was conducted by Victor Mason, detailed information regarding all candidates can be found in the appendix. To determine when the target region and negative control are present in new developing MAC of Paramecium, genomic DNA from different time point ( $100 \%$ fragments to 10 hours after $100 \%$ fragments, 2 hours interval) has been extracted and PCRs with specific primers were performed respectively as shown in Figure 14. The negative control refers to IESPGM.PTET51.1.23.362798 (798-, if not specified) and target region referring to IESPGM.PTET51.1.18.134377 (377+, if not specified) were chosen because both of them can be detected at the same time point. In addition to this, they have the same restriction site as Dra I which generate similar length of products. After sequencing the PCR products, we confirmed that 10 hours after $100 \%$ fragments is a reasonable time point for the experiment.


Figure 14. PCR determines the presence of the 798- (697bp) and 377+ (437bp) target regions. Genomic DNA of different time points were taken from vegetative (negative) to 10 hours $+100 \%$ fragments, as well as genomic DNA from PGM knock down as a positive control. Oligos which were used to pull down target regions were taken as primers without biotin modification. A) The 377+ region can only be detected at 10 hours $+100 \%$ fragments and positive control. B) The 798- region presented at $100 \%$ fragments, 10 hours + $100 \%$ fragments and positive control. The overlap timing of $377+$ and $798-$ is 10 hours $+100 \%$ fragments.

The second step is the purification of new developing MAC without breaking the nuclear envelope. Since the MIC genome contains the IES sequence, it would be contamination to the specific binding of primer. So, we have to exclude the MIC genome from the purifying step. Due to the IES elimination is being believed to happen in developmental MAC, and we need the DNA-protein interactions not dissolved before elution, any intensive treatment that would potentially disrupt the interactions are forbidden including heating and a high amount of detergent. We modified a purification of the developing MAC method from O. Arnaiz ${ }^{21}$ to isolate the intact developing MAC. This modification gives us the opportunity to keep the interactions in nuclei stable after ultracentrifuge.

The third step is nuclear membrane lysis and chromatin release. A high-quality chromatin preparation is very important to DNA-protein interaction capture. This step is critical to the successful enriching of the target region with binding proteins, since we need to lysis the
nuclei membrane but not disrupt the DNA-protein interactions in nuclei. Commonly, $0.1 \%$ sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) is the concentration used in nuclear lysis, and luckily, according to H . Belaghzal's report ${ }^{143}$, nuclear proteins that are not cross-linked to DNA can be eliminated, and the chromatin would be opened for better and more homogenous digestion treated with $0.1 \%$ SDS which means cross-linked DNA-proteins will not be disrupted when treated with $0.1 \%$ SDS. An additional step to open the chromatin by incubating at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes was included.

Detail operations including restriction enzyme digestion, Biotin labelled oligo incubation, Dynabeads ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}} \mathrm{MyOne}^{\mathrm{TM}}$ magnetic beads purification and separation of different components are presented in the 'Method' part.

## Methods

1. Paramecium strain 51 , mating type 7 were used. Cultivation and autogamy were performed as previously described ${ }^{122}$.
2. 100 mL of cells was used to extract DNA at each time point ( $100 \%$ fragments to 10 hours after $100 \%$ fragments, 2 hours intervals). PCR confirmation of 377+ and 798were done with GoTaq polymerase (M3001, Promega) using primers without Biotin.
3. Collect cells at 10 hours $+100 \%$ in 15 ml tubes with 20 ul 50 X protease inhibitor. Aliquots all into eight 15 ml tubes, 4 for non-cross linking with two replicates for 798and $377+$, and 4 for the cross-linking group.
4. For cross-linking: $1 \mathrm{ml} /$ sample formaldehyde added into the cell, shaking at room temperature (RT) 10min, gently invert the tube every 1-2 min.

For non-cross linking, to step 6
5. Quench the cross-link with 100 ul 1.25 M Glycine, mix gently and incubate at RT 5 min .
6. Fill up a few ml pre-cold PBS, gently resuspend, then fill the rest of the tube with PBS and invert the tube to mix, $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 279 \mathrm{rcf}$ for 2 min twice. Remove as much supernatant as possible.
7. Resuspend in 2.5 volumes of Lysis Buffer 1 and transfer half of them to the middle size homogenizer; incubate 5 min on ice. In the meantime, pre-cool the centrifuge
8. Homogenise cells and check with DAPI staining frequently until no intact cell can be found.
9. Transfer lysate to a 15 mL tube, fill up with Wash Buffer, mix by inverting, centrifuge at $1000 \mathrm{RCF}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min , and remove supernatant.
10. Resuspend the pellet with 2 mL Wash Buffer, fill up to 15 mL , invert mixing, and spin down as in step 9.

Using a cut tip to avoid breaking MACs.
11. Resuspend lysate in 3 volumes of Sucrose Buffer.
12. Transfer 3 mL of Sucrose Buffer to an ultracentrifuge tube (Beckman Coulter 344060 $14 \times 95 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Slowly add the cell lysate on top, then fill up with Wash Buffer (gently, avoid disrupting the layer formation).
13. Balance the samples and spin down for $1 \mathrm{~h}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 35,000 \mathrm{RPM}$ with rotor: SW40Ti
14. Discard the supernatant with a Pasteur pipette, and then wash the pellet twice by resuspending it in $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of ice-cold $1 \times$ ThermoPol ${ }^{\circledR}$ Reaction Buffer ( $10 \times$ attached, diluted to 1 X ). Then transfer all to a 1.5 mL RNase-free tube, centrifuging for 5 min at $2,500 \times \mathrm{g}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
15. Remove the supernatant, resuspend in $1 \times$ ThermoPol ${ }^{\circledR}$ Reaction Buffer, and check the MACs under a microscope by DAPI staining.

Save $18 \mu L$ of lysate for the chromatin integrity control:
Take $18 \mu$ l of lysate and add $32 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ with $1 x$ ThermoPol® Reaction Buffer and $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Proteinase $K(10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$. Incubate at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Purify DNA by single phenolchloroform extraction without ethanol precipitation. Check the quality of the sample by running it on $0.75 \%$ agarose gel. The sample is good if DNA is either stuck in the well or runs as a single high band (>23 kb) (2ul DNA $+8 \mathrm{ul} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{ul}$ Loading Dye).
16. Add $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $1 \%$ SDS to each sample and mix carefully by pipetting until MAC staining becomes transparent. Avoid making bubbles.
17. Metal bath for 10 min at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to dissolve chromatin. Then put tubes on ice immediately.
18. Quench the SDS with $44.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $10 \%$ Triton X-100. Mix gently by pipetting and avoid making bubbles.
19. Add $9.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $10 \times$ ThermoPol® Reaction Buffer to have a final $1 \times$ ThermoPol ${ }^{\circledR}$ Reaction Buffer).
20. Add 50 U Dra I, mix gently, and incubate at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5-15 min. Add 50 U Lambda exonuclease to the mixture and incubate for 15 s at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Incubate at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 $\min$ to inactivate.

## (Optional) ASSESS THE DIGESTION

Mix $10 \mu L$ products with $40 \mu l$ of $1 x$ ThermoPol® Reaction Buffer and $10 u L$ of Proteinase $K$ ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). incubate at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 30 min , purify DNA by phenol-chloroform extraction like mentioned before and run $0.75 \%$ gel electrophoresis.
21. During the incubation, resuspend the Dynabeads ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}} \mathrm{MyOne}^{\mathrm{TM}}$ magnetic beads in the vial by vortex for $>30 \mathrm{sec}$.
22. Transfer 100ul beads to an RNase-free tube.
23. Add 1 mL of 1 X Binding \& Washing Buffer and resuspend.
24. Keep the tube on a magnet for 1 min and remove the supernatant.
25. Remove the tube from the magnet and resuspend the washed beads in $1 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{W} \& \mathrm{~B}$ buffer.
26. Repeat steps 24-25 twice, for total of 3 washes.
27. Resuspend washed beads in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} 2 \times$ Binding \& Washing Buffer to a final concentration of beads at $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{L}$.
28. After inactivation, immediately add $5.52 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of each Biotin-labelled primer (primer concentration at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) into the sample, mix well and incubate at $49^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min .
29. Mix incubation and resuspended beads, and add $94.25 \mu \mathrm{~L} 5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}$ to adjust NaCl concentration to 1 M . Incubate at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{N}$ on rotation.
30. Separate the coated beads with a magnet for 2 min , and keep the supernatant in a new RNase-free tube.

Optional (check the pull-down efficiency):
Aliquots $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ mix with 10 ul SDS loading dye and boil at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $15-20$ min and store at $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Another $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ store at $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $377+$ PCR detection.
Freeze left in liquid nitrogen, and store at $-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
31. Resuspend beads with 1 mL 1 X Binding \& Washing Buffer and separate the beads with a magnet for 2 min , discard the supernatant.
32. Repeat step 31 twice.
33. Resuspend in $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ RNase free water and transfer $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ into a new 1.5 mL tube, add $12.5 \mu \mathrm{~L} 5 \times$ SDS loading buffer, boil at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $15-20 \mathrm{~min}$; Add another $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$

RNase free water to the leftover and transfer $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ in another new RNase-free tube, freeze in liquid nitrogen for RNA extraction later.

DNA Extraction from the beads
34. Wash the DNA-coated Dynabeads in $50 \mu \mathrm{~L} 1 \times$ SSC with magnet separation.
35. Resuspend the beads in another $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $1 \times \mathrm{SSC}$, and incubate at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes.
36. Immediately put the tube on the magnet, stand for $1-2$ minutes, and transfer the supernatant to a nuclease-free tube, the supernatant contains a non-biotinylated DNA strand.
37. Performing PCR to check the existence of the 377+ regions.

RNA extraction from the beads
38. Add 1 mL TRI Reagent® to the frozen sample and vortex thoroughly, transfer all solution into a 15 mL tube and add another 2 mL TRI into the 15 mL tube.
39. Put 15 mL tube on rotation at RT for 5 min .
40. Add 0.6 mL chloroform into the sample, vortex for at least 15 sec , then let the sample stand for 5 min at RT.
41. Spin down at max. speed for 15 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
42. Carefully transfer the upper colourless phase into a 15 mL tube and aliquots $750 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ to each 1.5 mL tube.
43. Add $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ isopropanol to each tube, mix by inverting and stand at RT for 10 min .
44. Spin down at $1,200 \mathrm{RCF}$ for 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
45. Discard the supernatant, and wash the pellet with 1 mL of $75 \%$ ethanol.
46. Spin down at $7,500 \mathrm{RCF}$ for 5 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
47. Discard supernatant, and wash with $1 \mathrm{~mL} 75 \%$ ethanol once.
48. Discard supernatant, centrifuge for 30 sec , and discard the supernatant.
49. Make a tent with RNase Zap cleaned alumina, leave the lid opening, and air dry the pellet under $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min .
50. Resuspend with $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ nuclease-free $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and incubate at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min to dissolve the pellet.

Silver staining to check the enriched proteins
51. A $10 \%$ sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) gel run as usual.
52. Fix gel in 100 mL fixative solution overnight at RT with shaking.
53. Wash the gel 20 min with $50 \%$ Ethanol on shaking at RT, twice.
54. Treat the gel exactly for 1 min with 98 ml Sodium thiosulphate (3-4 flakes in 100 ml MilliQ water).
55. Wash the gel 20 seconds with MilliQ water three times.
56. Treat the gel with $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ for 30 min .
57. Wash the gel 20 seconds with MilliQ water for three times.
58. Develop the gel with 100 ml developing solution until the bands reached the desired intensity.
59. Stop the reaction with 100 ml Stop Solution
60. Scan the gel warped with glossy film.

Mass spectrometry preparation and analysis
Two replicates of each group were sent for mass spectrometry at the Mass Spectrometry and Proteomics facility (PMSCF, Bern, Switzerland). A 12 \% SDS-PAGE gel was prepared and run 0.6 cm into the resolving gel, the apparatus was disassembled and the stacking gel was removed. The gel was stained with InstantBlue (Expedeon) until the bands became visible and the band of interest was then cut out and cut into 6 small cubes of about $1 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ and the cubes were put in an Eppendorf tube. The cubes were covered with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $20 \%$ ethanol, and stored at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before sending.

Protein identification and statistics were performed by Manfred Heller at the PMSCF. Shotgun Liquid Chromatography Tandem Mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) with non-labelled samples was performed and the peptide identification was made with EasyProt software and
processed with MaxQuant software for estimation of protein abundances ${ }^{144,145}$. For the analysis, the LFQ and Top3 algorithms built into MaxQuant software were used for the labelfree protein quantification.

Only proteins exclusively present in the $377+$ group and not 798- samples, as well as deemed significant in both Top3 and LFQ were analysed. To filter the results, the IDs were converted into UniProt Accession numbers and entered into the PANTHER and AgBase databases to retrieve Gene Ontology terms and protein names ${ }^{146-148}$. Known contaminants such as ribosomal proteins and proteins not present in the same cellular compartment as the bait was excluded. The remaining proteins were manually searched for in the ParameciumDB to find out potentially interested proteins.

## Recipes

Lysis buffer 1

|  | Amount | Final concentration |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 4.915 ml |  |
| Sucrose | 0.43 g | 0.25 M |
| $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ | 25 ul | 10 mM |
| 1 M Tris pH6.8 | 50 ul | 10 mM |
| NP-40 | 10 ul | $0.2 \%$ |
| Roche protease inhibitor | 100 ul | 1 X |

Wash buffer

|  | Amount | Final concentration |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 197 ml |  |
| Sucrose | 17.2 g | 0.25 M |
| $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ | 1 ml | 10 mM |
| 1 mM Tris pH7.4 | 2 ml | 10 mM |

Sucrose buffer

|  | Amount | Final concentration |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 14.775 ml |  |
| Sucrose | 10.77 g (little by little) | 2.1 M |
| $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ | 75 ul | 10 mM |
| 1 M Tris pH7.4 | 150 ul | 10 mM |

2X Binding \& Washing Buffer

|  | Amount | Final concentration |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Tris-HCl} \mathrm{pH} \mathrm{9}$ | 100 ul | 10 mM |
| 0.5 M EDTA | 20 ul | 1 mM |
| 2 M NaCl | 9.88 ml | 2 M |

Fixative Solution

|  | Amount | Final concentration |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MilliQ water | 39.95 ml |  |
| Methanol | 50 ml | $50 \%$ |
| Acetic Acid | 10 ml | $10 \%$ |
| Formaldehyde | 50 ul | 50 ul |

Developing Solution

|  | Amount |
| :--- | :--- |
| MilliQ water | Up to 100 ml |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | 6 g |
| Sodium Thiosulphate | 2 ml |
| Formaldehyde | 50 ul |

Stop Solution

|  | Amount |
| :--- | :--- |
| MilliQ water | 95 ml |
| Acetic Acid | 5 ml |

## Results

To assess the potential timing for the purification, PCR using 377+ and 798- primers without Biotin were conducted at each time point separately. The results were shown in Figure 14. As shown, only at 10 hours $+100 \%$ fragments can both $377+$ and 798 - be seen which means it's a possible time window for pulling down interacting proteins in vivo. To confirm the corresponding bands are the desired region we expected, the PCR products were analysed and confirmed by sanger sequencing in Microsynth company (Switzerland) using corresponding primers.

To assure the time point was also correct when performing the pull-down assay. Total DNA was extracted from the aliquot of culture and checked the presence of the target region with PCR. As seen in Figure 15, both target regions can be observed in the harvested cells. To confirm the target DNA was enriched on the beads, another PCR was done with the eluted DNA and the results were shown in Figure 15. Taken together, it can be assured that desired DNA regions were enriched on beads.


## Eluted DNA



Figure 15. PCR determines the presence of the 798- (697bp) and $377+(437 \mathrm{bp})$ from genomic DNA and elution. DNA from cells and elution of 10 hours $+100 \%$ fragments were extracted.

To normalize the loading amount of each sample for mass spectrometry, we assumed that the number of proteins should be the same within the group if we could balance the concentration of DNA on beads. After eluting the DNA from the beads and measuring the concentration of each sample, samples corresponding to the same amount of DNA were loaded onto the SDS gel to check the enriched proteins (as shown in Figure 16) and prepare for mass spectrometry analysis.

## Non-Cross linking

## Cross linking



Figure 16. Silver staining of the enriched complex. All loading samples were normalized according to the eluted DNA amount. Both non-cross-linking and cross-linking are included. A 10\% SDS-PAGE gel was used. Silver staining was performed.

All enriched proteins that were only presented in $377+$ groups were shown in Table 1. However, none of the known key players of $P$. tetraurelia genome rearrangements, like the PTIWI proteins, PGM, NOWA proteins, EZL1, etc. was found by mass spectrometry. Several assumptions can explain this phenomenon. The first one is that the excision machinery which may contain PGM transiently binds on its target region and detach immediately after introducing cleavage on the IES boundary. This potential 'cut and go' mechanism indicates the chance of the excision machinery enrichment is extremely low which means it's possible that we only pull down the DNA region without the excision machinery. Although we have already confirmed the time point, we took is a good one on the DNA level, it's still possible
that 10 hours $+100 \%$ fragments is too late for IES elimination. In general, key players of IES elimination have relatively early expression profiles, like PGM, PTIWIs, DCLs, NOWAs, etc. are highly expressed from MEI to DEV1 which are all earlier than 10 hours $+100 \%$ fragments. In the meantime, M. Bétermier etc. has already reported that excision was shown to start 12 to 14 h after conjugation and was essentially completed at 16 h , while conjugation and autogamy share very similar cytological steps ${ }^{16}$. We did not compare the 16 hours after conjugation with 10 hours $+100 \%$ fragments experimentally, but according to our experiences, 16 hours after conjugation is much earlier than 10 hours $+100 \%$ fragments which means by that time, IES excision should have been finished and the excision machinery should not work anymore. The above possibilities remind us that other time points should be taken into consideration for enriching the excision machinery.

Table 1. List of unique peptides found in 377+, not 798- mass spectrometry.

|  | Synonyms | Description | iTop3 $\log 2 \mathrm{FC}$ 377NC - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 798NC |
| PTET.51.1.P0010534 | TMP2d, TMP2D, TMP_T2d, | Coiled coil domain | 2.534444058 |
| PTET.51.1.P0030180 |  | Ammonium transporter AmtB-like domain | 3.166234709 |
| PTET.51.1.P0040316 |  | Coiled coil domain | 3.517747077 |
| PTET.51.1.P0060088 | EPI31 | Protein of unknown function DUF2816 | 5.789938319 |
| PTET.51.1.P0070055 |  | Coiled coil domain | 4.692241051 |
| PTET.51.1.P0070167 |  | Ammonium transporter AmtB-like domain | 3.11997365 |
| PTET.51.1.P0090210 | TMP21j, PTETG900001001 | Coiled coil domain | 5.785905363 |
| PTET.51.1.P0110059 |  | Coiled coil domain | 5.277202169 |
| PTET.51.1.P0110160 |  | Ammonium transporter AmtB-like domain | 3.155710977 |
| PTET.51.1.P0110226 | PTETG1100007001, SFA12d | Coiled coil domain | 4.091869323 |
| PTET.51.1.P0110247 |  | Coiled coil domain | 4.184921652 |
| PTET.51.1.P0130214 | EPI21 | Protein of unknown function DUF2816 | 3.40344546 |
| PTET.51.1.P0130289 |  | Coiled coil domain | 2.254467887 |
| PTET.51.1.P0130338 | EPI17 | Protein of unknown function DUF2816 | 5.822698301 |
| PTET.51.1.P0160035 | EPI26 | Protein of unknown function DUF2816 | 6.81661733 |
| PTET.51.1.P0170089 | EPI36, KdA2 | Protein of unknown function DUF2816 | 5.370628316 |
| PTET.51.1.P0170267 | EPI45 | Protein of unknown function DUF2816 | 5.733245774 |
| PTET.51.1.P0180254 |  |  | 3.938814947 |
| PTET.51.1.P0180262 | TMP1f, T1f, TMP_T1f, | Coiled coil domain | 4.015563305 |
| PTET.51.1.P0190295 | EPI16 | Protein of unknown function DUF2816 | 2.254481094 |


| PTET.51.1.P0200169 | EPI19 | Protein of unknown function DUF2816 | 2.106901089 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTET.51.1.P0210006 |  | Coiled coil domain | 3.343525572 |
| PTET.51.1.P0230038 |  |  | 3.963123772 |
| PTET.51.1.P0230185 | TMP21c, PTETG2300009001 | Coiled coil domain | 3.503985457 |
| PTET.51.1.P0260023 |  | Coiled coil domain | 3.296661406 |
| PTET.51.1.P0260169 | TMP21h, PTETG2600001001 | Coiled coil domain | 2.927813739 |
| PTET.51.1.P0270102 | EPI46, KdA10 | Protein of unknown function DUF2816 | 8.374352378 |
| PTET.51.1.P0310127 |  | Histone-fold | 1.129961656 |
| PTET.51.1.P0330153 | EPI13 | Protein of unknown function DUF2816 | 6.145864103 |
| PTET.51.1.P0350305 | PTETG3500005001 | Coiled coil domain | 2.804309603 |
| PTET.51.1.P0360200 | TMP42f, PTETG3600005001 | Coiled coil domain | 2.748648714 |
| PTET.51.1.P0380195 |  | Glyceraldehyde/Erythrose phosphate dehydrogenase family | 3.236344749 |
| PTET.51.1.P0390135 | TMP1i | Coiled coil domain | 3.683297633 |
| PTET.51.1.P0440082 | TMP31e | Coiled coil domain | 4.324150318 |
| PTET.51.1.P0460182 | TMP1d, Tld, TMP_T1d | Coiled coil domain | 4.696906944 |
| PTET.51.1.P0500133 | EPI29 | Protein of unknown function DUF2816 | 6.840888567 |
| PTET.51.1.P0510026 | PTETG5100005001 | Coiled coil domain | 1.542966515 |
| PTET.51.1.P0520250 | EPI34 | Protein of unknown function DUF2816 | 6.095102095 |
| PTET.51.1.P0540235 |  | Coiled coil domain | 3.349462273 |
| PTET.51.1.P0580083 |  | Fibrillarin | 1.736609963 |
| PTET.51.1.P0590095 |  | Coiled coil domain | 3.994218106 |
| PTET.51.1.P0590139 |  | Coiled coil domain | 0.83176968 |
| PTET.51.1.P0600043 | EPI35 | Protein of unknown function DUF2816 | 3.149456236 |
| PTET.51.1.P0620035 |  | Coiled coil domain | 4.04062303 |
| PTET.51.1.P0660064 |  |  | 1.115913082 |
| PTET.51.1.P0660264 |  | Coiled coil domain | 4.393618351 |
| PTET.51.1.P0710131 | alphaPT4, tub_alphaPT4, | Tubulin | 1.598686896 |
| PTET.51.1.P0710219 | EPI39 | Protein of unknown function DUF2816 | 3.248001092 |
| PTET.51.1.P0720201 |  | Coiled coil domain | 3.82938176 |
| PTET.51.1.P0760096 | EPI2 | Protein of unknown function DUF2816 | 4.194158052 |
| PTET.51.1.P0790177 |  | Coiled coil domain | 2.738097151 |
| PTET.51.1.P0870174 |  | Histone H2B | 3.361690641 |
| PTET.51.1.P0890143 | EPI32 | Protein of unknown function DUF2816 | 2.76999129 |
| PTET.51.1.P0900049 | EPI41 | Protein of unknown function DUF2816 | 5.405962689 |
| PTET.51.1.P0940194 |  | Ammonium transporter | 4.716764313 |
| PTET.51.1.P0980135 | T1-b, TMP1b | Coiled coil domain | 2.802661429 |


| PTET.51.1.P0980151 | TMP42q, PTETG9800005001 | Coiled coil domain | 4.046552875 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PTET.51.1.P0990148 | EPI49 | Protein of unknown function DUF2816 | 3.926971376 |
| PTET.51.1.P1120164 |  | Coiled coil domain | 2.988272234 |
| PTET.51.1.P1170022 |  | Histone-fold | 3.085289639 |
| PTET.51.1.P1190042 |  | Ammonium transporter AmtB-like domain | 4.363070913 |
| PTET.51.1.P1190122 | EPI33 | Protein of unknown function DUF2816 | 3.312833769 |
| PTET.51.1.P1210094 | TMP4b, T4c, TMP_T4c, | Coiled coil domain | 3.043418246 |
| PTET.51.1.P1210124 | TMP32b | Coiled coil domain | 2.059115894 |
| PTET.51.1.P1370064 | H3P3 | Histone H3/CENP-A | 2.870751576 |
| PTET.51.1.P1370127 | PtRPB1, RPB1 | RNA polymerase Rpb1, domain 5 | 1.463019759 |
| PTET.51.1.P1400098 | EPI51 | Protein of unknown function DUF2816 | 3.338539768 |
| PTET.51.1.P1470130 | TMP21e | Coiled coil domain | 2.883304001 |
| PTET.51.1.P1470169 | PTETG14700002001 | Coiled coil domain | 3.910248714 |
| PTET.51.1.P1500107 | PTETG15000003001 | Coiled coil domain | 2.566474837 |
| PTET.51.1.P1630088 | beta-PT2, bPT2, SU3, tub_betaPT2 | Tubulin | 3.837856426 |
| PTET.51.1.P1710041 | EPI6 | Protein of unknown function DUF2816 | 7.081169883 |
| PTET.51.1.P1730070 |  | EF-hand domain pair | 6.162553257 |
| PTET.51.1.P5560 |  | 5.329433056 |  |

### 4.3.Part III: Expression of dominant negative form of PiggyMac in Paramecium tetraurelia

Nobody has succeeded in enriching PGM interacting proteins in P. tetraurelia. As mentioned above, the excision machinery potentially works in a 'cut and go' way in $P$. tetraurelia which indicates the interaction between excision machinery and the IES regions is transient. Our previous method does not have the potential to capture such short-time interaction. Hence, we conducted an expression of dominant negative $\mathrm{PGM}^{107}$ (PGM 3A) tagged with Flag-HA in $P$. tetraurelia and performed Co-immunoprecipitation at the late time point. Since the three conserved aspartic acids are supposed to constitute a functional catalytic triad ${ }^{20}$, the PGM 3A harbours D to A substitution at these positions has a dominant-negative effect in $P$. tetraurelia. Using this mutant, we assumed that the presumed 'cut and go' way would be switched to 'bind but stay', which gives us a great chance to purify the PGM interacting proteins without considering the transient binding. By this method, we were trying to answer the question that why this purification is still not reported and it should fill the gap if we could succeed.

First of all, the PGM coding gene was amplified from the genomic DNA and inserted into the pGEM-T easy vector by TA cloning. After that, the Flag-HA-coding fragment which recoded according to the codon preference of Paramecium was inserted before the stop codon of the PGM 3A gene. In this construct, the PGM 3A-Flag-HA coding sequence joints upstream and downstream non-coding sequences for its expression and regulation. Then, the positive plasmid was extracted and linearized by Ahd I (New England Biolabs). The purified fragment was microinjected into the vegetative MAC and positive injection strains were confirmed by doing Dot Blot. The last step is enrichment. Different time points were taken for detecting the HA signal by western blot as shown in Figure 17. In the meantime, we performed IES PCR and survival test to check the influence of PGM 3A mutation on the IES elimination and survival of its progeny. From Figure 18 A and B, we can tell all chosen IESs' excision were inhibited which is consistent with the dominant-negative effect on sexual progeny survival. It supports the idea that dominant-negative protein not only coexists with endogenous protein but also exhibits an impaired function out of the normal one.


Figure 17. Western blot analysis with the anti-HA antibody of PGM-3A-Flag-HA injected cells from different time points. Transformed cells were cultured to corresponding time points, and cell lysate and supernatant were taken equally to prepare the samples for western blot. At the 70hours + $100 \%$ fragments, only cell lysate was taken.

There are several points that should be looked at carefully. We tried a lot of different conditions before the band observed on the membrane although it is a bit too late for IES excision. Therefore, we concluded some hints for future study. The first one we want to emphasize is the pre-treatment with $5 \%$ SDS under $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min before boiled with 5 X SDS loading buffer as previously described ${ }^{109}$. Western blot never returns a positive band in PGM 3A-Flag-HA without this pre-treatment. An additional 5\% SDS (final concentration) supply reminds us the possibility of why there is no report regarding the in vivo purification using PGM as bait. It looks like the PGM is not disrupted even boiled with $2 \%$ SDS at $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. We assumed that the HA tag may be folded inside of the PGM structure which makes the Anti-HA primary antibody fail on binding. If our assumption was true, then the Co-IP using Anti-HA beads would not enrich the PGM 3A-Flag-HA from the lysate either. To test this possibility, we introduced a codon optimized GFP sequence between PGM and Flag-HA in the pGEM-T easy vector. This insertion could in theory increase the spatial distance between PGM and Flag-HA after being expressed in P. tetraurelia MAC, which could make the HA tag detectable. Another benefit of introducing GFP is the determination of timing and localization ${ }^{107}$ of PGM 3A in the development of $P$. tetraurelia. In Figure 19, PGM 3A-GFP-Flag-HA displayed a homogeneous pattern without significant foci suggesting that PGM foci
are associated with its normal function, especially with IES elimination as shown in Figure 18 A and B .


Figure 18. Effect of PGM-3A-Flag-HA and PGM-3A-GFP-Flag-HA injection on IES excision and progeny survival. A) The IES retention PCR results. Genomic DNA was extracted from vegetative cells, PGM-3A-Flag-HA and PGM-3A-GFP-Flag-HA injected cells at the post autogamy stage. The retention was indicated by upper bands. B) The survival test. A total of 14 cells were taken from each group. Y axis presents the percentage of live, weak or death cells. X axis presents each group in 3 days.

The second one is the time point. According to the expression pattern of PGM in $P$. tetraurelia, there should be a large amount of PGM expressed in each time point we took for the western blot and Co-IP. According to the results, the PGM 3A-Flag-HA signal can only be seen at a postautogamous time point (around 70 hours $+100 \%$ fragments). As we mentioned above, 10 hours $+100 \%$ fragmentation is too late to purify the excision machinery, the signal we got at such a late time point ( 70 hours $+100 \%$ fragmentation) could just be because of the accumulation same as the previous report ${ }^{107}$. This accumulation could be useless because of the possibility that interacting proteins disassembled either excision happened or not.

## PGM-3A-GFP-Flag-HA Late



Figure 19. PGM-3A-GFP-Flag-HA localization in Paramecium tetraurelia. Localization of PGM-3A-GFP-Flag-HA in the developing MAC. The top panel indicates DAPI staining in blue, which
indicates DNA. The panel in middle shows the GFP signal in green. The panel at the bottom represents a merge of GFP and DAPI. White arrows point new developing MACs.

Another hint is about the transferring condition. Although we did not test other transferring conditions on 70 hours $+100 \%$ fragments sample, we do try different transferring time on other time points and none of them succeeded in imaging the HA signal if we ignored the time point issue. So, the only condition that returns a clear HA signal on the membrane after western blot is 4 hours of transferring under constant 110 V on ice. Be careful, with long time transferring, keeping the solution at a low temperature is crucial.

## 5. Conclusions and perspective

Paramecium tetraurelia is characterized by the co-existence of two types of nuclei in one cytoplasm: a diploid micronucleus (MIC) and a highly polyploid macronucleus (MAC). The development of the MAC raising from the MIC and the genome rearrangement in this progress is an excellent model to understand the mechanism behind DNA elimination and recombination in other organisms. The mechanism of genome rearrangement in $P$. tetraurelia has been thoroughly investigated in recent years, and numerous novel components involved in IES elimination have been found. With processing IESs, new developing MAC generated from MIC go through several rounds of meiosis and mitosis where condensin is supposed to be essential to the faithful genome structure and separation. However, whether condensin participated in IES elimination or not is still unclear.

In all species, structural maintenance of chromosomes (SMCs) proteins are essential for effective chromosomal transmission during replication and genomic segregation. Condensin core subunits (SMCs) are conserved across all eukaryotic species investigated so far. In addition to the SMC subunits, condensin also contains a distinct set of non-SMC subunits. Although SMCs in ciliates are close relatives to those in other organisms, little is known of their detailed functions in $P$. tetraurelia. Furthermore, the investigation of $P$. tetraurelia SMCs may reveal a new aspect of the function of SMCs. To explore whether condensin participated in IES processing, we focused on the behaviour of SMC subunits of condensin in IES elimination. In this study, we identified one of two SMC4s in P. tetraurelia, SMC4-2, is necessary for proper genome rearrangement and progeny survival. The specific localization of SMC4-2 in new developing MAC provides the spatial possibility for participating in IESs elimination. The results from IES PCR, genome sequencing and survival test confirm that SMC4-2 is needed in the processing of IESs in the development of $P$. tetraurelia in some unknown mechanism. One potential explanation for this finding is that SMC4-2 can manipulate the production of iesRNAs, one group of small RNAs which are crucial to the positive feedback of IES elimination in scanning model, according to the small RNAs sequencing analysis. Distinct from the performance of SMC4-2 in P. tetraurelia, SMC4-1 expresses and behaves in a relatively early stage which seems like be able to get the genome ready for producing senRNAs. This hypothesis comes from the localization of SMC4-1 in all
stage of nuclei except the MAC that is going to be fragments. Interestingly, although no IES retention phenotype has been found in the RNAi of SMC2-1, SMC2-2 and SMC4-1, cosilencing of these genes with SMC4-2 separately abolished the IES retention phenotype in single silencing of SMC4-2. These phenotypes remind us that SMC2s and SMC4-1 could participate in SMC4-2 processing IES elimination in unknown mechanisms. By performing in vivo Co-immunoprecipitation and mass spectrometry analysis, we found that the interactions between SMC4-1 and SMC4-2 changed accordingly. Using SMC4-1 as bait, SMC4-2 can be enriched as an interacting protein of SMC4-1, while SMC4-1 cannot be detected using SMC4-2 as bait in vivo. We proposed a competitive model in that the competitive binding of DNA by SMC4-1 and SMC4-2 changes according to the ratio of concentration between them. This competition blocks or unlocks the IES accessibility to the excision machinery. Due to time constraints, we did not do further research to test the model. Therefore, this hypothesis has to be looked at carefully.

Programmed DNA elimination is a significant developmental genome modification in which certain DNA sequences, up to $90 \%$ of the genome in some cases, are removed from somatic lineages. Programmed DNA elimination in animals has sparked a lot of discussion curiosity and speculation since its discovery in 1887. The single-cell ciliates including $P$. tetraurelia are the best-studied instances of programmed DNA elimination in eukaryotes. While the mechanism behind this massive genome rearrangement remains poorly understood. Although a scanning model has been widely accepted to explain how two sets of small RNAs guide the IES excisions, the real players (also refer to as an excision machinery) of IES elimination are still unclear. In this part of my study, we developed an improved method to purify the excision machinery by affinity capture and mass spectrometry. The main idea of this attempt is to capture potential DNA excision machinery binding on the IES region by oligos complementary to the IES. By using bioinformatic analysis, we picked up several candidates for both target region and negative control as well as confirmed the existence time of these regions. With the Biotin labelled oligos complementary to the target region or negative control, we successfully developed, optimized and practiced this 3-IESs capturing method on P. tetraurelia. We were able to collect enriched interacting data from specific DNA regions at a specific time point during genome rearrangement. However, no key players of this progress like PGM, PTIWI, NOWA, or even the SMC4-2 we identified above, have been found in the results. Although the target region and control DNA regions have been confirmed in both
input and output, the MS results still need to be looked at carefully. We provided several possibilities for these scenarios: I) the timing of IES elimination could be earlier than the time point we chose for the capturing. It has been reported before that IES excision was shown to start 12 to 14 h after conjugation and was essentially completed at 16 h which means the time point ( 10 hours $+100 \%$ fragments) we took could be too late to capture the DNA excision machinery since the IES excision has been done. II) it also could be because the excision machinery behaves in a 'cut and go' way, which means its binding on DNA is transient and the time window for capturing the excision machinery-DNA complex is too narrow.

The PGM is a crucial candidate in IES excision which has high homology with PiggyBac transposase. It should be a good candidate to study the mechanism behind IES excision in $P$. tetraurelia. By introducing Flag-HA tagged PGM with three mutants on its conserved aspartic acids, we try to do the in vivo co-immunoprecipitation to enrich the PGM complex during the development of $P$. tetraurelia. By introducing these mutants, those PGMs were supposed to be not able to cut the IES regions, hence the DNA excision machinery where PGM should be involved would not dissociate from the IES region. We assume it would provide a good chance to enrich the DNA excision machinery by the IES-specific pull down method we developed. Although we failed on detecting any HA signal from PGM-3A on anti-HA beads enrichment, we do provide some hints for further study. At least to PGM, $P$. tetraurelia can exhibit the impaired PGM effect even the endogenous PGM is there, which makes further functional research easier. Because researchers do not need to knock down or knock out the endogenous proteins before introducing the manipulated one

## 6. Methods and Materials

### 6.1.Paramecium strain and cultivation

The strain 51, mating type 7 of Paramecium tetraurelia, was used. Cultivation and autogamy were performed at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as described ${ }^{4}$.

### 6.2.Ethanol fixation and staining for Paramecium

Collect and wash samples at specific time point as previously described, add 5 mL ice-cold $70 \%$ ethanol to the cell pellet for fixation. Transfer all into a 15 ml tube. Store at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until further implementation.

Transfer $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ fixed cell on glass slide, air dry it at RT.
Wash with PBS pH 7.43 times in a chamber.
Air dry the slide.
Add one drop staining solution and cover with cover glass, keep in the dark.

### 6.3.Cloning

All gene fragments were amplified using primers listed and Phusion ${ }^{\circledR}$ High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase or Q5 ${ }^{\circledR}$ High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase and cloned into pGEM T easy vector or L4440 vector.

PCR products purification was performed always.
Gibson assembly

|  | Volume |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vector | $50-100 \mathrm{ng}$ |
| Insert | 5 -fold molar excess of vector* |
| GA mix | $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | To $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| *: calculate with NEBioCalculator (https://nebiocalculator.neb.com/\#!/ligation) |  |

*: calculate with NEBioCalculator (https://nebiocalculator.neb.com/\#!/ligation)
$50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 30 \mathrm{~min}$
$65^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 20 \mathrm{~min}$
Immediately use or keep at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for short time.
Dialysis the ligation product for 20-40 min.
Mix with $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ competent cell ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ cell mix with $190 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{ddH} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), incubate on ice for 30 min.

Electroporation and resuspend in $500 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~TB}$, shaking at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before plating on selective medium.

### 6.4.Silencing specific gene by dsRNAs feeding

Protocol adapted from ${ }^{4,149}$.
Bacterial pre-culture: Incubate E.coli feeding bacteria (strain HT115) accommodating related DNA sequence in 5 mL LB selecting medium with $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ampicillin and $0.0125 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ tetracycline $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{N}$ shaking at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Dilute the pre-culture $\sim 1: 100$ in WGP ( 900 ul pre-culture in 90 ml WGP) containing $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ampicillin and incubate $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{N}$ shaking at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the $\mathrm{OD}_{600}>0.16$.

Dilute the culture to $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.04$ with WGP containing $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ampicillin to 200 ml , shaking at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until $\mathrm{OD}_{600}>0.07$ (around 80 min ).

Add IPTG to a final concentration of 0.4 mM , incubate at least 4 hours or $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{N}$ shaking at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Cooling the medium to $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by water bath and supply $0.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ of $\beta$-sitosterol.

Add mature $P$. tetraurelia cells to the culture at a concentration of 200 cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$.
Keep the culture at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until specific time point.

### 6.5.Survival test

Prepare depression slides with $600 \mu \mathrm{~L} 0.2 \times$ WGP containing $0.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l} \beta$-sitosterol in each compartment.

Pick single cell into each compartment under microscope.
Monitor the growth of the cells with marked as healthy (normal dividing), weak (dividing slowly or not dividing) or dead for at least 3 days $\left(27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

### 6.6.Genomic DNA extraction of $P$. tetraurelia cells

100 mL of post-autogamous or vegetative cells was collected and washed as previously described. Genomic DNA was extracted using the GenElute Mammalian Genomic DNA MiniPrep Kit (Sigma).

### 6.7.IES retention PCR

Chosen IESs were checked for retention on knockdown of specific genes. GoTaq G2 DNA polymerase (Promega) and standard primers (primers list) were used. A total 12.5 ng of genomic DNA serve as input of each PCR.

### 6.8.Microinjection linearized DNA fragments into the Macronucleus

Protocol adapted from ${ }^{4}$.
DNA preparation:
Plasmid DNA form 100 mL culture was extracted using the QIAGEN Plasmid Plus Midi kit.
Linearize $100 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of plasmid by AhdI or SapI.
Equal volume of Phenol : chloroform was added into the digestion product.
Vortex for 50 sec , spin down at max. speed for 5 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, DNA will be separated into the upper layer.

Carefully transfer only upper layer into the Ultra-free-MC-GV centrifugal filters (Millipore) and spin down at max. speed for 4 min .

Add $1 / 10$ volume of $3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOAc}(\mathrm{pH} 5.2)$ and 2.5 volume of ice-cold pure ethanol, flick to mix, incubate for at least 2 hours to $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{N}$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Centrifuge at max. speed for 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and wash DNA pellet with 1 mL ice-cold $75 \%$ ethanol.

Centrifuge at max. speed for 5 min at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ once more, discard ethanol as much as possible.

Cover the tube with tinfoil and air dry the pellet at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Resuspend in $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ nuclease free water, measure the concentration and adjust it to $5.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ Cells preparation

Young cells (6-8 generations) recovered from post autogamous wildtype cells were transferred into slides containing $600 \mu \mathrm{~L} 2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ Volvic-BSA solution.

Washing cells by transferring them to another slide containing Volvic-BSA solution.
Make of Volvic-BSA droplets in immersion oil and pick one cell into each droplet under microscope.

Injection:
Remove the Volvic-BSA solution to immobilize the cell in the droplet.

Inject prepared DNA with injection needle into the MAC and add the Volvic-BSA solution back to the droplet.

Transfer all cells into $600 \mu \mathrm{~L} 0.2 \times$ WGP media to wash the DNA outside of the cells.
Transfer the injected cells back into slides containing $0.2 \times$ WGP media separately and culture at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 6.9.Dot Blot

For the positive control, add $10 \mathrm{pg}, 50 \mathrm{pg}, 100 \mathrm{pg}$ of injected plasmid into $400 \mu \mathrm{LddH} \mathrm{d}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in 1.5 mL tubes.

Transfer $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of injected culture (cell density $\sim 1,000$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ ) into 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube.

Add $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 0.5 M EDTA ( pH 8 ) and $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 4 M NaOH to samples including control.
Cells can be stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after EDTA adding, in that case, mix NaOH before using.
Incubate all samples at $68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min .
In this 30min, prepare the Dot Blot equipment, whatman paper and Hybond-XL membrane (GE
Healthcare Life Science). Add 20 mL Church buffer into the incubating bottle.
Briefly soaking membrane and paper in 0.4 M NaOH before using, set the equipment.
Spin down all samples at max. speed for 1 min , chill them on ice.
Run all samples through the membrane by vacuum.
Incubate the membrane in 0.4 M NaOH on shaker for 15 min .
Wash the membrane with $2 \times$ SSC buffer for 15 min by shaking.
Dry the membrane with whatman paper, put it into the bottle containing Church buffer, incubate at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours.

Heat the radioactive probe at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min .
Discard the Church buffer and incubate the membrane with radioactive probe at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{N}$.

The radioactive probe can be re-used, wash the membrane twice with $2 \times$ SSC containing $0.1 \%$ SDS at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $10-15 \mathrm{~min}$.

Dry the membrane carefully, wrap in saran foil.
Expose the membrane on the IP screen for more than 2 hours.

Labeling radioactive probes by Klenow enzyme

Order $\left[\alpha-\mathrm{P}^{32}\right]$ ATP, $2 \mathrm{Mbq}=5 \mu \mathrm{l}$.
DNA preparation:
DNA fragments used for labelling with GoTag G2 DNA Polymerase (Promega) were amplified by PCR.

Purify the PCR products, dilute to $25 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$.
Mix 25 ng DNA with $20 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, denature by boiling for 5 min .
Put samples on ice immediately.
Add: $\quad 1 \mu \mathrm{~L} 500 \mu \mathrm{M}$ TTP
$1 \mu \mathrm{~L} 500 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CTP}$
$1 \mu \mathrm{~L} 500 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GTP
$20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ 2.5X RadPrime buffer
Add $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}\left(\sim 2 \mathrm{MBq}\left[\alpha-\mathrm{P}^{32}\right]\right.$ ATP $)$ and $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Klenow enzyme in C-lab.
Mix carefully and quick spin down $15-30$ sec.

Incubate at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min .
Add $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Stop buffer to stop the reaction.
Add 10 mL Church buffer and store at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 6.10. Macronuclear DNA isolation

Protocol adapted from ${ }^{21}$.

Day 1
Pre-cool the centrifuge, put all buffers on ice.
Collect and wash the cell as previously described.

Resuspend the pellet in 2.5 volumes of lysis buffer 1, transfer into pre-cold homogenizer on ice. Incubate 5 min .

Lyse mixture on ice by homogenizing. Check with DAPI frequently ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ cells $+1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ DAPI). Stop cracking until only intact MACs are visible by DAPI staining.

Transfer all to a 15 mL tube. Mix with 14 mL of pre-cold wash buffer by inverting.
Spin down at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1000 \mathrm{RCF}$ for 3 min , discard supernatant.

Resuspend the pellet with 2 mL wash buffer using cut-tip pipette, repeat the wash step above.
Resuspend the pellet in 3 volumes of pre-cold sucrose buffer. The volume should not over 2 mL . Avoid DNA shearing.

Transfer 3 mL sucrose buffer to ultracentrifugation tube. Add the lysate on top of sucrose buffer. Add wash buffer on the top of lysate.

Centrifuge for 1 hour at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 35,000 \mathrm{RPM}$, rotor: SW40Ti.
Discard supernatant thoroughly.

Resuspend the MAC pellet in $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 10 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.4,10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2$.
Transfer all into a 15 mL tube, mix with 3 volumes of lysis Buffer 2.
Incubate $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{N}$ at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Day 2:
Phenol extraction: add 1 volume phenol : chloroform : IAA (25:24:1) to the sample.
Shake the sample a few times and spin down at max. speed for 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Pre-soak the Slide-a-Lyzer Dialysis cassette in ice-cold dialysis buffer ( 10 mM Tris- HCl pH 8, 1 mM EDTA pH 8).

Transfer aqueous products into the cassette with a syringe through the cap.
Remove the excess air after loading the sample by pressing the membrane.
Dialysis at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a large beaker by slowly rotating using a magnetic stirrer.
Replace buffer after 2 and 4 hours, then incubate $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{N}$.
Day 3:
Recover the DNA from the cassette with a syringe.
Concentrate the DNA using the Amicon Ultra 2 mL filters.
Fill the device with sample and centrifuge at 4,000 RPM for 10 min .

Invert the device, centrifuge at 1,000 RPM for 2 min to harvest concentrated DNA.
Measure DNA concentration. Load $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ on gel to check the DNA integrity.
Buffers

| Lysis buffer 1 Amount | Final concentration |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 4.915 mL |  |
| Sucrose | 0.43 g | 0.25 M |
| $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ | $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | 10 mM |
| $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Tris} \mathrm{pH} \mathrm{6.8}$ | $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | 10 mM |
| NP-40 | $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | $0.2 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Wash buffer | Amount |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 59.1 mL | 0.25 M |
| Sucrose | 5.16 g | 10 mM |
| 2 M MgCl |  |  |
| 1 M Tris pH 7.4 | $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | 10 mM |


| Sucrose buffer | Amount | Final concentration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 9.85 mL |  |
| Sucrose | 7.18 g | 2.1 M |
| 2 M MgCl 2 | $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | 10 mM |
| 1 M Tris pH 7.4 | $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | 10 mM |
| Lysis buffer 2 | Amount | Final concentration |
| 0.5 M EDTA | 4.25 mL | $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ |
| 10\% SDS | $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | 1\% |
| N -lauryl sarcosine | 0.05 g | 1\% |
| $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ proteinase K | $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ |
| Dialysis buffer | Amount | Final concentration |
| 1 M Tris pH 8 | 10 mL | 10 mM |
| 0.5 M EDTA | 2 mL | 1 mM |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | To 1 L |  |

### 6.11. Total RNA extraction with TRI

Frozen collected cell pellet in liquid nitrogen immediately by drops and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Add 6 mL of TRI to the frozen pellet on dry ice.
Vortex the pellet until completely dissolved one by one.
Incubate 5 min at RT on rotator.

Add 1.2 mL chloroform and vortex for 15 sec .
Stand 5 min at RT.

Centrifuge at max. speed for 15 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Carefully transfer the top phase into a 15 mL falcon tube, make aliquots of $750 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ in RNase free Eppendorf tubes.

Add $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ isopropanol to each aliquot, mix by inverting and stand at RT for 10 min .
Centrifuge at $12,000 \mathrm{RCF}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min to pellet the RNA.
Remove the supernatant, resuspend with $1 \mathrm{~mL} 75 \%$ ethanol.
Centrifuge at $7,500 \mathrm{RCF}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min .
Repeat wash with another $1 \mathrm{~mL} 75 \%$ ethanol and centrifuge.
Remove supernatant thoroughly.
Air dry the RNA pellet at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ covered with a tinfoil tent.
Add $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ nuclease free $\mathrm{ddHH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ into the RNA pellet, incubate at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min to dissolve RNA.

### 6.12. Analysis of sRNA in denaturing gel

Gel preparation
Add 5 mL of autoclaved water into an autoclaved 100 ml screw cap bottle (Type A is best for stirring)

Add 16 ml Acrylamide (30\% Acrylamide/ Bis Solution 19:1) with sterile pipette
Add 12.6 g Urea (pellet not powder)
Add 3 mL $10 \times$ TBE
Dissolve it with a magnetic stir bar (approx. 1 h )
$16 \%$ polyacrylamide-7M Urea gel

| $30 \%$ Acrylamide/ Bis Solution $19: 1$ | 16 mL |
| :--- | :--- |
| Urea- $7 \mathrm{M}(60.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})$ | 12.6 g |
| $10 \times \mathrm{TBE}$ | 3 ml |
| $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ autoclaved | Up to 30 mL |

Chamber preparation
Wash entire equipment with hot water, $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $100 \%$ ethanol carefully.
Air dry all parts before assemble the system.
Pour the gel
Pass gel solution through a $0.22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ filter into a autoclaved 100 ml screw cap bottle with a 50 mL syringe.

Chill on ice over 5 min .

Add $150 \mu \mathrm{~L} 10 \%$ APS and $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ TEMED, mix gently.
Chill on ice over 5 min.
Pour the gel with 50 mL syringe, avoid bubbles, insert the comb in immediately.
Let the gel polymerize for 1-2 hours.

RNA 5' end labelling

| Master mix | 1 reaction |
| :--- | :--- |
| $10 \times$ Buffer B (exchange buffer) | $0.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| PEG $6000(24 \%)$ | $1.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| RNase inhibitor | $0.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| $\gamma-\mathrm{P}^{32}$-ATP ( 0.4 Mbq, add in C-lab) | $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| PNK | $0.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |

Add $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of master mix to each $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ RNA sample in C-lab.
Incubate at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min .
Mix $12 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ RNA loading dye ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} 6 \times$ DNA loading dye $+900 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ formamide) with each sample.

Heat samples to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , put on ice afterwards.
Add $0.5 \times$ TBE buffer to the assembled chamber.
Remove combs and clean slots with $0.5 \times$ TBE buffer (use syringe).
Pre-run for more than 30 min at 25 mA (fix).
Wash slots again before loading.
Load the samples and ladder, better separate samples by loading dye. Fill empty slots with loading dye.

Run the gel at 25 mA (fix) until the blue is at half of the gel (30-40 min).
Disassemble the frame and cover the gel (still attached to one of the plates) with saran foil. Put phosphor screen on top and expose for 1-2 hours or $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{N}$.

### 6.13. Co-immunoprecipitation

Adapted from ${ }^{150}$.
Collect and wash the cells as previously described. Transfer the cell pellet ( $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) into 15 mL Falcon tubes.

Non-crosslinking: go the wash step or put samples at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before washing.
Cross linking:
Add 1 mL of $1 \%$ PFA and shake for 10 minutes at RT.
Add $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 1.25 M Glycine, incubate for 5 min to quench on shaker at RT.
Wash samples twice with PBS (pH 7) at $279 \mathrm{RCF}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min .
Gently resuspend with a few mL of PBS, then fill it up and invert the tube to mix.
Discard supernatant. Add 2 mL of ice-cold lysis buffer to the pellet and resuspend thoroughly.

Sonicate with $30 \%$ amplitude, 10 sec on, 1 min rest, 15 cycles.
Stain $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ product with DAPI, check under microscope to make sure the sonication is efficient.
Transfer the sample to 2 ml tubes and centrifuge at $13,000 \mathrm{RCF}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min .
Transfer the supernatant to two new RNase free 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes ( 1 ml to each).
Freeze all components in liquid nitrogen, store at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Keep one tube on ice when perform further enrichment immediately.

Transfer $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ beads mixture of each IP sample into nuclease free tubes.
Add 1 mL IP buffer to the beads, mix gently by inverting.
Centrifuge at $500 \mathrm{RCF}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min .
Remove supernatant gently. Repeat wash twice.
Add 1 mL of the lysate to cleaned beads, incubate at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{N}$ on rotating.
Centrifuge at $500 \mathrm{RCF}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min .

Transfer the supernatant as unbound in a nuclease free tube on ice for further analysis.

Mix gently with 1 ml of IP buffer by inverting, do not pipetting.
Repeat this wash for another 4 times.
Resuspend beads gently in 1 mL of ice-cold IP buffer, transfer all to another nuclease free tube.

Centrifuge at $500 \mathrm{RCF}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min , discard supernatant (leave about $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ).
For PGM included samples, first add equal volume of $10 \%$ SDS and boil at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min. Add $12.5 \mu \mathrm{~L} 5 \times$ loading dye and boil at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $10-20$ min. store at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Buffers

| Lysis buffer | 10 mL | Final concentration |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 M Tris HCl pH 8.8 (modify accordingly) | $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | 50 mM |
| 2 M NaCl | $750 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | 150 mM |
| $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ | $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | 5 mM |
| $100 \%$ Triton X-100 | $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | $1 \%$ |
| $50 \times$ protease inhibitor (EDTA free) | $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | $1 \times$ |
| $80 \%$ Glycerol | 1.25 mL | $10 \%$ |
| DTT | $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | 1 mM |
| Milli-Q water | 7.165 mL |  |


| IP buffer (W/O NP-40) | 200 mL | Final concentration |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Tris} \mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} \mathrm{8.8} \mathrm{(modify} \mathrm{accordingly)}$ | 2 mL | 10 mM |
| 2 M NaCl | 15 mL | 150 mM |
| $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ | $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | 1 mM |
| $80 \%$ Glycerol | 12.5 mL | $5 \%$ |
| Milli-Q water | 170.4 mL |  |

## Store at RT.

Add $1 \mu L$ NP-40 to 10 mL IP buffer to make the complete IP buffer before usage.

### 6.14. SDS-PAGE

## Gel preparation:

Clean glass plates with hot water and pure ethanol, air dry on tissue paper.
Prepare the gel according to the Protocol (Modified from Harlow and Lane 1988).

| TABLE A8-9 Solutions for Preparing Resolving Gels for Tris-glycine SDS-Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| $\Downarrow$ Components Gel Volume $\Rightarrow$ | VOlume (ml) Of Components |  |  | Required to Cast Gels of Indicated Volumes |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 ml | 2 ml | 3 ml | 4 ml | 5 ml | 6 ml | 8 ml | 10 ml |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.68 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 5.5 | 6.8 |
| $30 \%$ acrylamide mix <! > | 0.17 | 0.33 | 0.5 | 0.67 | 0.83 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.7 |
| 1.0 M Tris ( pH 6.8 ) | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.38 | 0.5 | 0.63 | 0.75 | 1.0 | 1.25 |
| $10 \%$ SDS | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.1 |
| $10 \%$ ammonium persulfate < ! > | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.1 |
| TEMED < ! > | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.01 |

Modified from Harlow and Lane (1988).

Gel running:

Run at $80 \mathrm{~V}(\sim 30 \mathrm{~min})$, switch the voltage to 110 V as soon as the dye reaches the resolving gel.

## Buffers

| $10 \times$ SDS running buffer | 1 L | Final concentration |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tris Base | 30.2 g | 0.25 M |
| Glycine | 144 g | 1.92 M |
| SDS | 10 g | $1 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | To 1 L |  |

Dilute 1:10 before usage.

| $5 \times$ SDS loading buffer | 10 mL |
| :--- | :--- |
| $10 \%$ SDS | 2 mL |
| 0.5 M Tris-HCl pH 6.8 | 1.2 mL |
| $50 \%$ Glycerol | 4.8 mL |
| Bromphenol blue | Tiny |
| $\beta$-Mecaptoethanol | $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| ddH2O | To 10 mL |

Aliquot to 1 mL /tube, store at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 6.15. Silver staining

Fix the gel from SDS-PAGE in 100 mL Fixative solution at RT O/N.
Wash with $50 \%$ ethanol 20 min twice.
Treat the gel for exactly 1 min with 98 mL Sodium Thiosulphate (3-4 flakes in 100 mL MilliQ water).

Wash with $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O} 20 \mathrm{sec}$ for 3 times.

Treat the gel with $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ solution for 30 min . ( $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ can be reused)
Wash with $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O} 20 \mathrm{sec}$ for 3 times.
Develop the gel with 100 mL Developing solution until bands clear.
Stop the reaction with 100 mL Stop solution and scan the gel.
Solutions

| Fixative solution (mix in order) | 100 mL | Final concentration |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Milli-Q water | 39.95 mL |  |
| Methanol | 50 mL | $50 \%$ |
| Acetic Acid | 10 mL | $10 \%$ |
| Formaldehyde | $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |  |


| Developing solution | 100 mL |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO} 3$ | 6 g |
| Sodium Thiosulphate solution | 2 mL |
| Formaldehyde | $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Milli-Q water | To 100 mL |


| Stop solution | 100 mL |
| :--- | :--- |
| Milli-Q water | 95 mL |
| Acetic acid | 5 mL |

### 6.16. Western blot

Run the SDS-PAGE as usual.

Wash gel, resins the gel, sponges, whatman paper and membrane in ice-cold working transfer buffer.

Assemble the cassette from the black side


Using 15 mL tube to remove the bubbles.
Setup the cassette in correct direction in the chamber.
Put an ice package into the chamber, fill it up with ice-cold working transfer buffer. Put the chamber in ice bath if necessary

Transfer for at least 2 hours under 110 V . Time and voltage can be adjusted according to different proteins.

Disassemble the equipment, block the membrane with PBST containing 5\% milk for 30 min1 h at RT.

Incubate with primary Antibody (HA-Tag (C29F4) Rabbit mAb \#3724) 1:1000 in blocking solution 3-4 hat RT or $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{N}$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Wash $3 \times 5$ min with PBST at RT.

Incubate secondary antibody ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ in 10 mL blocking solution).
Shaking at RT for 1 hour.
Wash $3 \times 5$ min with PBST at RT.

Develop the membrane with Luminata Crescendo Western HRP Substrate (Millipore), expose membrane with Amersham Imager 600.

### 6.17. N-6x His-SUMO-PGM Expression

Cloning the N- $6 \times$ His-SUMO-PGM plasmid into BL21 strain cells.
Pick up single positive clone into 15 mL LB culture containing $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ kanamycin, incubate at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{N}$.

Dilute the pre-cultural to $1: 100$ with LB, supplying with $1: 1000$ kanamycin.
Incubate the dilution at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on shaker until the $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ is 0.4.
Add IPTG to final concentration 0.2 mM , incubate over 6 hours.
Collect all culture by centrifuge at $4200 \mathrm{RPM}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour.
Remove the supernatant, resuspend the pellet with $0.9 \% \mathrm{NaCl}$.
Centrifuge at max. speed, $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min .
Frozen the pellet in liquid nitrogen, store in $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ freezer.

### 6.18. N-6× His-SUMO-PGM purification

Add 25 ml lysis buffer into the pellet from expression, keep on ice 30 min , and dissolve by pipetting.

Sonication: 30\% amplitude, 10 sec robust, 1 min rest, total robust 6 min until transparent.
Take $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ lysate mix with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} 10 \%$ SDS, boil at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min .
Add $50 \mu \mathrm{~L} 5 \times$ loading buffer, boil at $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min (lysate).
Wash the glass tube with hot water, $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $100 \%$ ethanol before usage.
Transfer lysate after sonication into two 30 ml glass tubes, 15 ml each, balance by scale. Fit tube into rubber tube, spin down lysates at 11000 RPM $(\sim 14000 \times \mathrm{g}), 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 min .

Well mix the beads ( $50 \%$ of mixture), transfer 2 mL beads mixture into a 50 mL falcon tube.
Wash beads with 20 mL wash buffer and spin down 5 min at $500 \times \mathrm{g}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3$ times.
Take $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ supernatant and pellet which resuspended with same volume of input, mix with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} 10 \%$ SDS, boil at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min separately.

Add $50 \mu \mathrm{~L} 5 \times$ loading buffer, boil at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min (supernatant and pellet).

Add all supernatant ( $\sim 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) from above to gently resuspend beads, incubate in $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ room for $30 \mathrm{~min}-1$ hour to bind PGM by rotating.

Transfer all mixture into a 20 mL Chromatography Columns, collect flow through as unbound.

Wash the column three times with 25 mL washing buffer, collect the first wash for western blot (Wash).

Aliquot SUMO protease $4 \mathrm{ul} /$ tube keep in $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Resuspend beads in column with 1 mL working buffer ( 2 mL in total), transfer to 2 mL tube and incubate in $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ room on rotating for 40 min or $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{N}$.

Wash the Chromatography Columns with $20 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 10times, keep column filled with $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for reusing.

Collect the flow through which is the protein needed. Take $6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ in PCR tube, measure concentration with Nanodrop Protein 280 (standard BSA control $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ).

Take $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ protein and beads which diluted into same volume with input, mix with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ $10 \%$ SDS, boil at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min separately.

Add $50 \mu \mathrm{~L} 5 \times$ loading buffer, boil at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min (elution and beads).
Aliquot protein in 50-100 $\mu \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{PCR}$ tube. Two tubes should be free of glycerol, frozen in liquid nitrogen immediately. Add equal $80 \%$ glycerol into other tubes, frozen in liquid nitrogen, store according further requirements.

## Buffers

| Lysis buffer | 50 mL | Final concentration |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 M Tris HCl pH 9 | 2.5 mL | 50 mM |
| 5 M NaCl | 1.5 mL | 150 mM |
| $80 \%$ Glycerol | 6.25 mL | $10 \%$ |
| $\beta$ - mercaptoethanol | $35 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | 10 mM |
| $50 \times$ protease inhibitor EDTA-free | 1 mL | $1 \times$ |
| Lysozyme | 50 mg | $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ |
| ddH 2 O | 38.715 |  |


| Wash buffer | 300 mL | Final concentration |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 M Tris HCl pH 9 | 15 mL | 50 mM |
| 5 M NaCl | 30 mL | 500 mM |
| $80 \%$ Glycerol | 37.5 mL | $10 \%$ |
| DTT | $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | 1 mM |
| Imidazole | 408.48 mg | 20 mM |
| ddH $_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 217.29 mL |  |


| Working buffer | 2 mL | Final concentration |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 M Tris HCl pH 8 | $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | 20 mM |
| 5 M NaCl | $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | 500 mM |
| SUMO protease | $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $1756 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |  |

### 6.19. Denaturing urea polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis

Dissolve the gel solution at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water bath in a 50 mL tube, shake frequently until complete dissolve.

During this time, clean SDS-PAGE equipment with hot water, $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $100 \%$ ethanol, ary dry them.

Filter the solution into a new 50 mL falcon tube through $0.22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Chill the solution on ice.

Add $75 \mu \mathrm{~L} 10 \%$ APS and $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ TEMED, mix gently.
Chill on ice for another 5 min .

Pour solution into the gap and insert the comb, lay the equipment on a tip box and put a bottle on the top of cover glass to remove the gap between comb and gel.

Using $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ pipette to clean the hole.
Pre-running at $150 \mathrm{~V}, 20 \mathrm{~min}$.
Mix $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ DNA or RNA with $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ loading dye.
Boil at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{~min}$, immediately put them on ice for at least 5 min before loading.
Fill the equipment with $1 \times$ TBE solution.
Load all samples, fill the gap with dye, run at 150 V for about 1 hour.
Ethidium bromide (EB) staining and imaging.

| Gel solution | 15 mL |
| :--- | :--- |
| 10 TBE | 1.5 mL |
| $40 \%$ acrylamide 19:1 | 3 mL |
| Urea | 6.3 g |
| ddH2O | 3.5 mL |

### 6.20. Primer List

| Oligo name | Sequence 5'-3' |
| :---: | :---: |
| SMC4-1 +f R | TCAACATGAATAATTAATTTTAAATTCTTCATTCTT |
| SMC4-1 +f F | AAAGAATTAACTAGTATTTTGCAGAAAAAATATTAAG |
| SMC4-1 +fv 3 F | TGAAATGATTTTAAAATATTCATATTCCATTATTG |
| SMC4-1 +fv 3 R | TCTATTTTAATTAACGTTTTCATTGTTTTC |
| SMC4-1 +fv F | CAGACTGAAAGTGAAGAGCCAT |
| SMC4-1 +fv R | CATTTTATGTAAATATATTTAATATTTTTAAATTAACTTTTGG |
| SMC4-2 +F R | GAATTAATTCAAGATCTAAATATTCGTAACA |
| SMC4-2 +F F | ATGCGCAATTTAATTTGAGCAATATT |
| SMC4-2 +fv 3 F | TGAAATTTATATTAAATTATAATCAATTAATTTTATC |
| SMC4-2 +fv 3 R | AACTTCTAATTAGATGACTTCTGTTGAA |
| SMC4-2 +fv F | ATTAAGGAAGTTATTTTGGAGAATTTTAAATC |
| SMC4-2 +fv R | CATATTTTCTATCATTTAATTTTCTACTCAAA |
| SMC2-1 sil F | ATATGAGCTCATGTGGATCAAAGAAATCATTATCGA |
| SMC2-1 sil R | TACCAGGAGACAAAAAGAAACTATAGACTCGAGTAAA |
| SMC2-2 sil F | ATATGAGCTCAGAACAACTGAATAGAGAGATTACACA |
| SMC2-2 sil R | AAAAGAATTCTAATCATTAAAAGACAAAAAGTTGCTCGAGTAAA |
| SMC4-1 sil F | ATATGAGCTCATGCAGACTGAAAGTGAAGAGC |
| SMC4-1 sil R | TTTACTCGAGTGTTTTGTTATGATGTCAGATTGTTCACT |
| SMC4-2 sil F | ATATGAGCTCATGATTAAGGAAGTTATTTTGGAGAATTTT |
| SMC4-2 sil R | TTTACTCGAGTATCTACCTTATTTTATTTTTCTGGAATCA |
| SMC2-1 Co-sil F | ATATCCCGGGATGTGGATCAAAGAAATCATTATCGA |
| SMC2-2 Co-sil F | ATATCCCGGGAGAACAACTGAATAGAGAGATTACACA |
| SMC4-1 Co-sil F | ATATCCCGGGATGCAGACTGAAAGTGAAGAGC |
| SMC4-2 Co-sil-R | TTTACCCGGGTATCTACCTTATTTTATTTTTCTGGAATCA |
| 377 F | AAAGATAAATATGAAATTTGTTGGTGAATTTTAAT |
| 377 R | AAATTAACTTGGATTATGAATTCAAATATAAAT |
| 798 F | AAAATTAAGTTTCTGGATAATATATGATATGGTTA |
| 798 R | AAATAACCTATCCAAAATAACCTAACAGAA |


| IES |  | Retention score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Classical IES name | IES name in reference MAC+ IES assembly | Maternal control score $\quad E V$ | PGM-KD | CAF1-KD | SDCP-KD | NOWA1-KD | DCL2/3-KD | DCL5-KD | DCL/2/3/5-KD |  | PT01/O9-KD | PT10/11-KD |
| 51G11 | IESPGM.PTET51.1.51.451201 | 0.03 | 0.80 | 0.5 | 0.44 | 0.53 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.56 |  | 0.02 | 0.20 |
| 51G1413 | IESPGM.PTET51.1.51.452624 | $0.00 \quad 0.01$ | 0.78 | 0.35 | 0.12 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $51 \mathrm{G1832}$ | IESPGM.PTET51.1.51.453043 | $0.00 \quad 0.00$ | 0.73 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 |  | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 51G2832 | IESPGM.PTET51.1.51.454043 | $0.87 \quad 0.00$ | 0.77 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.59 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.71 |  | 0.41 | 0.00 |
| 51G4404 | IESPGM.PTET51.1.51.455615 | $0.97 \quad 0.00$ | 0.84 | 0.57 | 0.48 | 0.81 | 0.60 | 0.01 | 0.75 |  | 0.77 | 0.01 |
| 51G6447 | IESPGM.PTET51.1.51.457658 | 0.00 | 0.78 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0 |  | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 51A712 | IESPGM.PTET51.1.106.281631 | $0.34 \quad 0.00$ | 0.78 | 0.44 | 0.64 | 0.75 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.71 |  | 0.18 | 0.08 |
| 51A1835 | IESPGM.PTET51.1.106.284157 | $0.00 \quad 0.00$ | 0.80 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 51A2591 | IESPGM.PTET51.1.106.284913 | $0.99 \quad 0.00$ | 0.91 | 0.63 | 0.48 | 0.81 | 0.54 | 0.00 | 0.81 |  | 0.66 | 0.00 |
| 51A4404 | IESPGM.PTET51.1.106.286750 | $0.00 \quad 0.00$ | 0.83 | 0 | 0.23 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 51A4578 | IESPGM.PTET51.1.106.286924 | $0.00 \quad 0.00$ | 0.78 | 0.41 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.08 |  | 0.03 | 0.00 |
| 51A6435 | IESPGM.PTET51.1.106.288781 | $0.00 \quad 0.00$ | 0.77 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 51A6649 | IESPGM.PTET51.1.106.288995 | $0.58 \quad 0.00$ | 0.81 | 0.53 | 0.60 | 0.57 | 0.56 | 0.01 | 0.74 |  | 0.48 | 0.00 |
| Dcl5d-01 | IESPGM.PTET51.1.8.257314 | 0.02 | 0.75 | 0.39 | 0.23 | 0.77 | 0.03 | 0.50 | 0.73 |  | 0.07 | 0.25 |
| Dcl5d-02 | IESPGM.PTET51.1.16.506105 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 0.61 | 0.65 | 0.47 | 0.02 | 0.38 | 0.73 |  | 0.05 | 0.51 |
| Dcl5d-03 | IESPGM.PTET51.1.39.60104 | 0.00 | 0.74 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.6 |  | 0.00 | 0.25 |
| Dcl5d-04 | IESPGM.PTET51.1.168.68297 | 0.00 | 0.69 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.46 | 0.05 | 0.37 | 0.81 |  | 0.06 | 0.22 |
|  | Primer |  |  |  |  |  | Position |  | Band Size |  | Annealing Temperature |  |
| IES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Classical IES name | Forward Primer |  | Reverse Primer |  |  |  | Scaffold | Start | IES+ | IES- |  | Tm |
| $51 \mathrm{G11}$ | ATCATAAGATTGATATCTTCTCCCTTCTCC |  | ACTTGCTACTAAAGCAAGAAACATTGAGAG |  |  |  | 51 | 478642 | 296 | 253 |  | 54 |
| 5161413 | GAAGCTGCTTGTGTTAAGAATTCTACTGG |  | GCATCCAGCACTAGTTGAATTTACTGTAC |  |  |  | 51 | 480108 | 220 | 168 |  | 62 |
| 5161832 | CTATAACTCTTGAAGCTGCTTGTAATATG |  | TTGTCAATGAGCCATTAACAGTTGCTGGAT |  |  |  | 51 | 480579 | 217 | 187 |  | 62 |
| 5162832 | GCTATAACTCTTGAAGCTGCTTGTAATATG |  | TTGTCAATGAGCCATTAACAGTTGCTGGAT |  |  |  | 51 | 481609 | 393 | 164 |  | 60 |
| 5164404 | CTGTTGCTACACATTGTGCATATGTTACT |  | GCTGTAAGATTAACATTGAGCATGATCAAG |  |  |  | 51 | 483410 | 501 | 299 |  | 60 |
| 5166447 | AATGCATCAAATGTAGTAACTACTCCTGCT |  | AATTTGTAAAGTATCCAGCGCAGGCAG |  |  |  | 51 | 485675 | 137 | 109 |  | 57 |
| 514712 | TTGTCAAAAAGACATGTATCAAAATGCAG |  | TAGAATACTAAGAGATTCAATACAACAAAC |  |  |  | 106 | 196699 | 234 | 157 |  | 57 |
| 51A1835 | TAATGTATTGATAAGGCTTGCTCTACAGCC |  | ATCTAACATCCTTGAATAGTTACTGATCC |  |  |  | 106 | 299405 | 166 | 138 |  | 60 |
| 51A2591 | ATGTGITGGACTGGATTGGCATGTAGAAG |  | GATGTAGCATAACATTTATCAACAATCCAT |  |  |  | 106 | 300189 | 639 | 269 |  | 60 |
| 51A4404 | TGGAATAGTGCTGCATCACCAGCTGCTTGC |  | CCAGTTATTGAACTGCAACTTACTGCAGTG |  |  |  | 106 | 302396 | 355 | 278 |  | 57 |
| 5144578 | CCTGCAGTAAGTIGCAGTTCAATAACTGG |  | TGTAGTCTTAAAATCTTAGCATGTTGTACC |  |  |  | 106 | 302647 | 1007 | 125 |  | 57 |
| 51A6435 | CAAATTGTGTCACTAGAGGTACATGTTTCC |  | GcGACATCAATAGTAACAGCTGAGCATGAG |  |  |  | 106 | 305407 | 305 | 277 |  | 57 |
| 51A6649 | ACTGCACCTCTAACTTTAACAAGCGAAGCA |  | CAGCAGTACATCCAGCTCTCTAAGITTAGC |  |  |  | 106 | 305649 | 628 | 258 |  | 57 |
| MT | GGTGTTTATATCTTAATGGTTGACCCTCAC |  | ССАТСТАТАСТССАТTСТTTATCTTAATTCAT |  |  |  |  |  | 460 | 265 |  | 55 |
| Dc15d-01 | CCAGTCTTTATAACTCCAAATATACTAATGTTAATTGCC |  | CTTGCTGGTTGAATATCAATGGAAAAATCTTGATG |  |  |  | 8 | 269999 | 296 | 249 |  | 55 |
| Dc15d-02 | TTTCATACTCATCCTCACCCTACTCCC |  | ATAATATAAACTTTGAAGCCCCTGAAGCCG |  |  |  | 16 | 525921 | 520 | 424 |  | 55 |
| Dc15d-03 | TTCATCGTTCTCAAAATGGATGCCC |  | ATCAATATGAATTTTATTTGTTTGTTTATAAGCGTCTGG |  |  |  | 39 | 64383 | 235 | 163 |  | 55 |
| Dc15d-04 | TTAAGGTACTTCTTCATCCATAGTCAGCC |  | AACAATATGAGTTAGAAATTTAAAATCTTGGAGGAATCC |  |  |  | 168 | 70859 | 278 | 220 |  | 55 |
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## 8. Appendix

Supplementary Table S1. Differential expression genes between SMC4-2 and EV KD at late time point.

|  | baseMean | log2FoldChange | lfcSE | stat | pvalue | padj |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTET.51.1.G5220002 | 40.71833 | 21.51369 | 4.408693 | 4.879833 | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000284 |
| PTET.51.1.G0080326 | 32.65949 | 21.2026 | 4.409016 | 4.808918 | $1.52 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000358 |
| PTET.51.1.G0210128 | 32.02327 | 21.17525 | 4.409048 | 4.802681 | $1.57 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000363 |
| PTET.51.1.G2560008 | 26.69836 | 20.92597 | 4.409379 | 4.745786 | $2.08 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000443 |
| PTET.51.1.G5840001 | 25.66103 | 20.87199 | 4.40946 | 4.733457 | $2.21 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000465 |
| PTET.51.1.G0120376 | 32.64916 | 8.401617 | 1.600445 | 5.249551 | $1.52 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $6.30 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PTET.51.1.G4230002 | 19.04111 | 7.654903 | 1.626836 | 4.705393 | $2.53 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000521 |
| PTET.51.1.G0950057 | 56.83275 | 6.652865 | 1.21276 | 5.485721 | $4.12 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.97 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0460269 | 685.057 | 5.30066 | 0.505258 | 10.49099 | 9.50E-26 | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-21$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0680082 | 56.55277 | 5.202766 | 0.892612 | 5.828701 | $5.59 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $3.67 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| PTET.51.1.G1780066 | 56.55277 | 5.202766 | 0.892612 | 5.828701 | $5.59 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 3.67E-06 |
| PTET.51.1.G0190324 | 656.2619 | 4.513589 | 0.473992 | 9.522507 | $1.69 \mathrm{E}-21$ | $1.42 \mathrm{E}-17$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0950056 | 168.0462 | 4.440877 | 0.549309 | 8.084484 | 6.24E-16 | $2.32 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0910133 | 184.057 | 4.315784 | 0.572903 | 7.533182 | 4.95E-14 | $9.21 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| PTET.51.1.G1290040 | 214.7117 | 4.310907 | 0.56506 | 7.629118 | $2.36 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $5.28 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0760010 | 130.2862 | 4.293149 | 0.684386 | 6.272989 | $3.54 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $3.39 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0740074 | 223.7873 | 4.251348 | 0.559518 | 7.598226 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $6.28 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| PGML5a | 2031.005 | 4.249252 | 0.544829 | 7.799236 | $6.23 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $1.60 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| PTET.51.1.G1560014 | 523.7589 | 4.194562 | 0.469851 | 8.927437 | 4.36E-19 | $2.62 \mathrm{E}-15$ |
| PTET.51.1.G1410098 | 134.8058 | 4.194174 | 0.57794 | 7.257112 | $3.95 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $6.62 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0700198 | 84.95626 | 4.149045 | 0.728964 | 5.691697 | $1.26 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $7.26 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0690142 | 4122.739 | 3.936999 | 0.470598 | 8.36594 | $5.96 \mathrm{E}-17$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-13$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0920152 | 346.0847 | 3.923691 | 0.589339 | 6.65778 | $2.78 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $3.58 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0370120 | 70.66986 | 3.877675 | 0.678655 | 5.71376 | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $6.49 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0930036 | 1387.979 | 3.808416 | 0.567336 | 6.71281 | $1.91 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $2.66 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| PTET.51.1.G1660105 | 339.0518 | 3.804513 | 0.570187 | 6.672397 | $2.52 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $3.37 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| PTET.51.1.G1550066 | 3913.099 | 3.788689 | 0.501772 | 7.550612 | 4.33E-14 | $8.53 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0020221 | 178.2637 | 3.774387 | 0.679003 | 5.558722 | $2.72 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.52 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PTET.51.1.G1760029 | 33.51372 | 3.766921 | 0.833364 | 4.520137 | 6.18E-06 | 0.001072 |
| PTET.51.1.G1240104 | 30.24863 | 3.744827 | 0.80014 | 4.680214 | $2.87 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000582 |
| PTET.51.1.G0080272 | 861.277 | 3.732785 | 0.4637 | 8.049995 | 8.28E-16 | $2.77 \mathrm{E}-12$ |


| PTET.51.1.G0360075 | 38.99482 | 3.722471 | 0.880888 | 4.225816 | $2.38 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.003097 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTET.51.1.G2080006 | 104.4516 | 3.659177 | 0.755907 | 4.840776 | $1.29 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000323 |
| PTET.51.1.G0130368 | 705.9818 | 3.612385 | 0.456568 | 7.912037 | $2.53 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $7.07 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| PTET.51.1.G1300031 | 1316.057 | 3.535777 | 0.602317 | 5.870294 | 4.35E-09 | $3.10 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| PTET.51.1.G1390004 | 70.81666 | 3.514586 | 0.858046 | 4.096035 | $4.20 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.004939 |
| PTET.51.1.G0890016 | 81.87751 | 3.460816 | 0.639799 | 5.40922 | $6.33 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $2.94 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0230275 | 113.3842 | 3.459901 | 0.591881 | 5.845599 | 5.05E-09 | $3.45 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| PTET.51.1.G1270118 | 30.75336 | 3.459795 | 0.805711 | 4.294087 | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.002437 |
| PTET.51.1.G0740032 | 29.85139 | 3.458307 | 0.779958 | 4.433964 | 9.25E-06 | 0.001434 |
| PTET.51.1.G0970093 | 909.4569 | 3.455094 | 0.461216 | 7.491267 | 6.82E-14 | $1.20 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0350183 | 224.8513 | 3.45189 | 0.622481 | 5.545376 | $2.93 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.58 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PTET.51.1.G1570125 | 222.3059 | 3.438796 | 0.843702 | 4.075842 | $4.58 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.005281 |
| PTET.51.1.G1790053 | 141.6275 | 3.416503 | 0.589884 | 5.791819 | 6.96E-09 | 4.32E-06 |
| PTET.51.1.G1190058 | 132.3896 | 3.387229 | 0.700372 | 4.836327 | $1.32 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000326 |
| PTET.51.1.G0140247 | 454.6272 | 3.340586 | 0.51995 | 6.424821 | $1.32 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $1.52 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| PTET.51.1.G1030082 | 35.09834 | 3.303252 | 0.722917 | 4.569336 | 4.89E-06 | 0.000891 |
| PTET.51.1.G1590019 | 2632.748 | 3.28974 | 0.671642 | 4.89806 | $9.68 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.000265 |
| PTET.51.1.G0860044 | 105.1833 | 3.288363 | 0.641295 | 5.127694 | $2.93 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.000105 |
| PTIWI08 | 805.5734 | 3.285098 | 0.475292 | 6.911751 | $4.79 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 7.29E-09 |
| PTET.51.1.G1090151 | 68.56817 | 3.283213 | 0.642023 | 5.113857 | 3.16E-07 | 0.00011 |
| PTET.51.1.G1000012 | 582.4541 | 3.280811 | 0.59635 | 5.501489 | $3.77 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.83 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0210235 | 338.4711 | 3.27581 | 0.591792 | 5.535412 | $3.10 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.65 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0260271 | 197.0646 | 3.269804 | 0.637142 | 5.13199 | $2.87 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.000104 |
| PTET.51.1.G0150061 | 230.1202 | 3.268416 | 0.553312 | 5.907001 | $3.48 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $2.60 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| PTET.51.1.G1340123 | 1197.373 | 3.252477 | 0.682486 | 4.765629 | $1.88 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000409 |
| PTET.51.1.G0860006 | 250.6767 | 3.213096 | 0.526024 | 6.108273 | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $9.12 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| PTET.51.1.G1300051 | 65.29121 | 3.200415 | 0.633153 | 5.054726 | 4.31E-07 | 0.000142 |
| PGML5b | 840.5487 | 3.191825 | 0.531636 | 6.003776 | $1.93 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $1.70 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0740009 | 5603.293 | 3.187419 | 0.633586 | 5.030757 | 4.89E-07 | 0.000152 |
| PTET.51.1.G0050231 | 68.92674 | 3.164081 | 0.595836 | 5.310322 | $1.09 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $4.70 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0550043 | 899.7438 | 3.147149 | 0.493723 | 6.374325 | $1.84 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $1.99 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0080273 | 538.5461 | 3.142084 | 0.621969 | 5.051834 | $4.38 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.000142 |
| PTET.51.1.G0950020 | 519.1471 | 3.12217 | 0.654368 | 4.771275 | $1.83 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000403 |
| PTET.51.1.G0180198 | 1381.869 | 3.110291 | 0.468988 | 6.631923 | $3.31 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $4.11 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0360066 | 77.95532 | 3.094268 | 0.639124 | 4.841424 | $1.29 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000323 |
| PTET.51.1.G0200051 | 701.4204 | 3.092402 | 0.520896 | 5.936696 | $2.91 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ |


| PTET.51.1.G0860046 | 336.6854 | 3.069771 | 0.556678 | 5.514444 | $3.50 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTET.51.1.G0560201 | 54.09134 | 3.068474 | 0.664301 | 4.619102 | $3.85 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000742 |
| PTET.51.1.G1500004 | 833.7234 | 3.055053 | 0.672208 | 4.544802 | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000974 |
| PTET.51.1.G1410092 | 213.4016 | 3.031674 | 0.623238 | 4.864391 | $1.15 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000298 |
| PGML3a | 514.2986 | 3.019893 | 0.582971 | 5.18018 | $2.22 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $8.44 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PTET.51.1.G1500021 | 228.1698 | 3.019433 | 0.704807 | 4.284054 | $1.84 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.002498 |
| PTET.51.1.G1400105 | 160.2507 | 3.008773 | 0.654409 | 4.597696 | $4.27 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000795 |
| PTET.51.1.G2630002 | 288.132 | 2.999124 | 0.596295 | 5.029597 | $4.92 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.000152 |
| PIE2 | 280.2876 | 2.978457 | 0.554192 | 5.374415 | $7.68 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $3.48 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0120140 | 1265.478 | 2.969349 | 0.471013 | 6.304177 | $2.90 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $2.94 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| PTET.51.1.G3820001 | 31.06187 | 2.966221 | 0.689143 | 4.304215 | $1.68 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.002358 |
| PTET.51.1.G1020165 | 2146.4 | 2.951828 | 0.534945 | 5.518002 | $3.43 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.74 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0070281 | 90.18804 | 2.946778 | 0.624989 | 4.714924 | $2.42 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000503 |
| PTET.51.1.G1620005 | 211.9253 | 2.945819 | 0.498813 | 5.905655 | $3.51 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $2.60 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| PTMB.203, <br> PTETG100132001 | 92.07212 | 2.925432 | 0.605446 | 4.831861 | $1.35 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000328 |
| PTET.51.1.G0270188 | 30.78304 | 2.924083 | 0.719234 | 4.065552 | $4.79 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.00544 |
| PTET.51.1.G0030402 | 324.3273 | 2.922524 | 0.568282 | 5.142733 | $2.71 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $9.96 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0650036 | 552.0582 | 2.910121 | 0.454679 | 6.40038 | $1.55 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0070261 | 152.9081 | 2.874669 | 0.563474 | 5.101686 | $3.37 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.000114 |
| PTET.51.1.G1770066 | 33.27577 | 2.852072 | 0.693217 | 4.114252 | $3.88 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.004629 |
| PTET.51.1.G0120377 | 1037.544 | 2.85097 | 0.547239 | 5.209731 | $1.89 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $7.62 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PTET.51.1.G1300067 | 329.2038 | 2.846041 | 0.549652 | 5.177901 | $2.24 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $8.44 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| sAG_51g | 61.73219 | 2.843252 | 0.634583 | 4.480507 | 7.45E-06 | 0.001225 |
| PTET.51.1.G1530121 | 725.1364 | 2.841345 | 0.48061 | 5.911958 | $3.38 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $2.60 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| ku70-1 | 766.8925 | 2.837117 | 0.594759 | 4.7702 | $1.84 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000403 |
| PTET.51.1.G0260286 | 1532.243 | 2.832844 | 0.449056 | 6.308436 | $2.82 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $2.94 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0950019 | 1311.486 | 2.831603 | 0.69686 | 4.063376 | $4.84 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.005472 |
| PTET.51.1.G2860001 | 334.7067 | 2.830554 | 0.533893 | 5.301728 | $1.15 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $4.86 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PTET.51.1.G1520043 | 5367.705 | 2.827908 | 0.489797 | 5.773638 | $7.76 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 4.72E-06 |
| PTET.51.1.G0810201 | 203.6296 | 2.820272 | 0.519775 | 5.425949 | $5.76 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $2.72 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PGML4a | 128.8772 | 2.819598 | 0.579477 | 4.86576 | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000298 |
| PTET.51.1.G0280071 | 156.729 | 2.815108 | 0.578502 | 4.866205 | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000298 |
| PTET.51.1.G0750201 | 87.73424 | 2.809246 | 0.610999 | 4.597792 | $4.27 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000795 |
| PTET.51.1.G0970158 | 351.81 | 2.805533 | 0.720953 | 3.891421 | $9.97 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.009933 |
| PTET.51.1.G0400261 | 1200.415 | 2.798471 | 0.474077 | 5.902992 | $3.57 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $2.60 \mathrm{E}-06$ |


| PTET.51.1.G1670111 | 547.2172 | 2.793773 | 0.481728 | 5.799487 | 6.65E-09 | 4.21E-06 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTET.51.1.G0820079 | 53.43555 | 2.787327 | 0.608543 | 4.58033 | 4.64E-06 | 0.000854 |
| PGML2 | 372.3613 | 2.783207 | 0.517235 | 5.380929 | $7.41 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $3.40 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| SETb1 | 329.1162 | 2.782301 | 0.620196 | 4.486161 | 7.25E-06 | 0.001222 |
| PTMB.205, <br> PTETG100130001 | 113.2494 | 2.780495 | 0.603566 | 4.606776 | $4.09 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.00077 |
| PTET.51.1.G1590093 | 91.18207 | 2.778736 | 0.610625 | 4.550639 | 5.35E-06 | 0.000953 |
| PGML3c | 54.86999 | 2.775097 | 0.604637 | 4.589692 | 4.44E-06 | 0.000821 |
| PTET.51.1.G1410101 | 96.85685 | 2.773006 | 0.609259 | 4.551444 | 5.33E-06 | 0.000953 |
| PTET.51.1.G1440089 | 5924.881 | 2.769054 | 0.501817 | 5.518052 | $3.43 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.74 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0620172 | 346.0612 | 2.754072 | 0.62407 | 4.413082 | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.001544 |
| PTET.51.1.G0160234 | 101.0379 | 2.749016 | 0.570449 | 4.819035 | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000345 |
| PTET.51.1.G1550035 | 208.6115 | 2.740887 | 0.5253 | 5.217754 | $1.81 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $7.40 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0920155 | 131.1203 | 2.726684 | 0.554091 | 4.921005 | 8.61E-07 | 0.000241 |
| PTET.51.1.G0020207 | 51.01128 | 2.718359 | 0.599136 | 4.537133 | $5.70 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.001005 |
| PTET.51.1.G1580082 | 958.9166 | 2.715114 | 0.45831 | 5.924185 | 3.14E-09 | $2.56 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0060408 | 1794.571 | 2.713553 | 0.551472 | 4.920569 | 8.63E-07 | 0.000241 |
| PTET.51.1.G0970059 | 429.1529 | 2.707744 | 0.543015 | 4.986499 | $6.15 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.000184 |
| PTET.51.1.G1410093 | 1167.943 | 2.699896 | 0.456568 | 5.91346 | 3.35E-09 | $2.60 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0020442 | 196.284 | 2.698962 | 0.533732 | 5.056776 | $4.26 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.000141 |
| NOWA2 | 2567.751 | 2.691485 | 0.558237 | 4.821399 | $1.43 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000343 |
| PTET.51.1.G0440127 | 766.8217 | 2.682755 | 0.462616 | 5.799103 | 6.67E-09 | 4.21E-06 |
| PTET.51.1.G0910001 | 53.78835 | 2.679645 | 0.654026 | 4.097151 | $4.18 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.004932 |
| PTET.51.1.G0050297 | 419.5044 | 2.67641 | 0.457695 | 5.847585 | 4.99E-09 | $3.45 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0120047 | 63.96908 | 2.654335 | 0.576064 | 4.607709 | $4.07 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.00077 |
| PTET.51.1.G0040217 | 127.0865 | 2.649768 | 0.51921 | 5.103464 | $3.33 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.000114 |
| PTET.51.1.G0510207 | 46.75858 | 2.645398 | 0.666315 | 3.97019 | 7.18E-05 | 0.007587 |
| PTET.51.1.G0440203 | 583.3799 | 2.640862 | 0.471728 | 5.598276 | $2.16 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0040036 | 1592.522 | 2.640707 | 0.494962 | 5.335167 | $9.55 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $4.21 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PTET.51.1.G1280098 | 204.5025 | 2.639252 | 0.479603 | 5.50299 | $3.73 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.83 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PTET.51.1.G1190050 | 493.1198 | 2.637954 | 0.639295 | 4.126348 | $3.69 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.004479 |
| PTET.51.1.G1070131 | 218.6531 | 2.634468 | 0.534803 | 4.926054 | 8.39E-07 | 0.000238 |
| PtRPB1 | 2460.999 | 2.630865 | 0.625569 | 4.205554 | $2.60 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.003355 |
| PTET.51.1.G0120198 | 649.9158 | 2.627062 | 0.50006 | 5.25349 | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PTET.51.1.G6710001 | 134.7625 | 2.611914 | 0.581985 | 4.487937 | 7.19E-06 | 0.001222 |
| PTET.51.1.G0570172 | 251.7891 | 2.611164 | 0.524902 | 4.974572 | $6.54 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.000193 |


| PTET.51.1.G2740007 | 768.0912 | 2.604055 | 0.489847 | 5.31606 | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $4.61 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTET.51.1.G0580153 | 225.031 | 2.602068 | 0.523338 | 4.972063 | $6.62 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.000193 |
| PTET.51.1.G0320111 | 95.68941 | 2.596914 | 0.594487 | 4.368325 | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.001847 |
| PTET.51.1.G0140243 | 508.2914 | 2.593007 | 0.560574 | 4.625627 | $3.73 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000727 |
| PTET.51.1.G0110239 | 6606.55 | 2.582082 | 0.46509 | 5.551787 | $2.83 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.55 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0490010 | 125.5337 | 2.580456 | 0.513584 | 5.024412 | 5.05E-07 | 0.000155 |
| PTET.51.1.G1210169 | 23468.07 | 2.579541 | 0.616348 | 4.185204 | $2.85 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.003628 |
| PTET.51.1.G0500162 | 328.0773 | 2.577497 | 0.502433 | 5.130031 | $2.90 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.000104 |
| PTET.51.1.G0400276 | 622.208 | 2.564517 | 0.477437 | 5.37142 | $7.81 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $3.49 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0100167 | 326.9162 | 2.556505 | 0.462731 | 5.524819 | $3.30 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0370143 | 61.06252 | 2.544095 | 0.618104 | 4.115964 | $3.86 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.004627 |
| PTET.51.1.G0110132 | 1969.304 | 2.519988 | 0.524264 | 4.806716 | $1.53 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000359 |
| PTET.51.1.G1500049 | 43.70777 | 2.500593 | 0.634021 | 3.944026 | $8.01 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.008257 |
| PTET.51.1.G0730142 | 111.9686 | 2.497814 | 0.628727 | 3.972813 | $7.10 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.007528 |
| PTET.51.1.G0730048 | 45.69381 | 2.497235 | 0.634579 | 3.935264 | $8.31 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.008511 |
| NOWA1 | 1244.285 | 2.496632 | 0.55738 | 4.479223 | 7.49E-06 | 0.001225 |
| PTET.51.1.G1130106 | 344.9909 | 2.483521 | 0.480796 | 5.165441 | $2.40 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 8.93E-05 |
| PTET.51.1.G0970003 | 486.3809 | 2.475304 | 0.483251 | 5.122193 | $3.02 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.000106 |
| PTET.51.1.G0680057 | 40.00062 | 2.473119 | 0.629147 | 3.930911 | 8.46E-05 | 0.00864 |
| PTET.51.1.G1250162 | 97.15832 | 2.468204 | 0.531193 | 4.646526 | 3.38E-06 | 0.000673 |
| PTET.51.1.G0610198 | 194.0407 | 2.465366 | 0.550048 | 4.482091 | 7.39E-06 | 0.001225 |
| PTET.51.1.G0400181 | 1623.94 | 2.455199 | 0.59472 | 4.128329 | $3.65 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.004466 |
| DCL5 | 391.1537 | 2.454135 | 0.547896 | 4.479197 | 7.49E-06 | 0.001225 |
| PTET.51.1.G0040071 | 116.5924 | 2.453062 | 0.515181 | 4.761555 | 1.92E-06 | 0.000412 |
| PTET.51.1.G0940013 | 201.6209 | 2.445154 | 0.480517 | 5.088595 | $3.61 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.000121 |
| PTET.51.1.G0530225 | 218.4427 | 2.444252 | 0.552322 | 4.425412 | $9.63 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.001479 |
| PTET.51.1.G1180014 | 351.1036 | 2.442894 | 0.527688 | 4.629431 | $3.67 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000718 |
| PTET.51.1.G0370136 | 74.22967 | 2.442789 | 0.574356 | 4.253094 | $2.11 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.002791 |
| PTET.51.1.G0440244 | 872.8997 | 2.437151 | 0.615563 | 3.959224 | 7.52E-05 | 0.007837 |
| PTET.51.1.G1390096 | 305.243 | 2.435998 | 0.538761 | 4.521486 | 6.14E-06 | 0.001071 |
| PTET.51.1.G0280060 | 116.5327 | 2.433382 | 0.57365 | 4.24193 | $2.22 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.00291 |
| PTET.51.1.G1130040 | 301.94 | 2.428765 | 0.499168 | 4.865631 | 1.14E-06 | 0.000298 |
| PTET.51.1.G0380064 | 269.0768 | 2.422327 | 0.584566 | 4.143807 | $3.42 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.00419 |
| PTET.51.1.G1670070 | 603.9813 | 2.420244 | 0.487359 | 4.966034 | $6.83 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.000197 |
| PTET.51.1.G2550004 | 406.8497 | 2.42016 | 0.579875 | 4.173592 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.003775 |
| PTET.51.1.G0580061 | 650.3978 | 2.41614 | 0.493432 | 4.8966 | $9.75 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.000265 |


| PTET.51.1.G1660029 | 5517.256 | 2.414408 | 0.582067 | 4.147993 | 3.35E-05 | 0.00413 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTET.51.1.G0430272 | 130.2183 | 2.40415 | 0.50487 | 4.76192 | $1.92 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000412 |
| PTET.51.1.G0120094 | 187.4943 | 2.388572 | 0.482202 | 4.953471 | 7.29E-07 | 0.000209 |
| PTET.51.1.G0070139 | 1168.461 | 2.387628 | 0.552254 | 4.323425 | $1.54 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.002171 |
| H3P4 | 9110.24 | 2.382971 | 0.477626 | 4.989194 | $6.06 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.000183 |
| PTET.51.1.G0070416 | 146.5691 | 2.37141 | 0.582101 | 4.073882 | $4.62 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.005303 |
| PTET.51.1.G1250095 | 328.0021 | 2.371047 | 0.470652 | 5.037791 | 4.71E-07 | 0.000149 |
| PTET.51.1.G0230221 | 206.7634 | 2.350453 | 0.496496 | 4.734083 | 2.20E-06 | 0.000465 |
| PTET.51.1.G0630147 | 1865.088 | 2.339471 | 0.52224 | 4.47969 | 7.48E-06 | 0.001225 |
| PTET.51.1.G0230023 | 559.1881 | 2.330033 | 0.456544 | 5.103634 | $3.33 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.000114 |
| PTET.51.1.G1180067 | 269.0999 | 2.325907 | 0.478994 | 4.85582 | $1.20 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000309 |
| PTMB.219, <br> PTETG100122001 | 1213.072 | 2.317893 | 0.445815 | 5.199227 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $7.70 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| epsilon-51D | 66.14468 | 2.312644 | 0.58419 | 3.958722 | 7.54E-05 | 0.007837 |
| PTET.51.1.G0660068 | 290.3745 | 2.31078 | 0.490484 | 4.711228 | $2.46 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000509 |
| PTET.51.1.G0230328 | 717.4595 | 2.310656 | 0.477241 | 4.841701 | 1.29E-06 | 0.000323 |
| PTET.51.1.G1530110 | 372.8879 | 2.310105 | 0.483309 | 4.779769 | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000389 |
| PTET.51.1.G2090002 | 443.2323 | 2.300989 | 0.476078 | 4.833215 | $1.34 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000328 |
| PTET.51.1.G0350166 | 117.0893 | 2.29998 | 0.565598 | 4.066455 | 4.77E-05 | 0.005437 |
| PTET.51.1.G0730130 | 487.829 | 2.299647 | 0.492079 | 4.673325 | $2.96 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000598 |
| PtKu80-3 | 1586.418 | 2.294639 | 0.512947 | 4.473442 | 7.70E-06 | 0.001245 |
| PTET.51.1.G1290041 | 211.0183 | 2.291167 | 0.494021 | 4.637795 | $3.52 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000694 |
| PTET.51.1.G0660063 | 211.2992 | 2.288728 | 0.485106 | 4.717992 | $2.38 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000499 |
| PTET.51.1.G0410123 | 2478.354 | 2.284122 | 0.467316 | 4.887751 | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000275 |
| PTET.51.1.G1560117 | 418.6633 | 2.28406 | 0.518736 | 4.403129 | $1.07 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.00161 |
| PTET.51.1.G0730088 | 122.5067 | 2.279981 | 0.547195 | 4.166669 | $3.09 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.003834 |
| PTET.51.1.G0550130 | 4679.534 | 2.26818 | 0.435724 | 5.205542 | $1.93 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $7.62 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0360089 | 198.4496 | 2.267642 | 0.509254 | 4.452871 | 8.47E-06 | 0.001338 |
| PTET.51.1.G0120071 | 729.7377 | 2.26284 | 0.46792 | 4.835954 | $1.33 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000326 |
| PTET.51.1.G0900199 | 641.9858 | 2.262316 | 0.472568 | 4.787284 | $1.69 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000383 |
| PGML1 | 496.6463 | 2.255236 | 0.50389 | 4.475652 | 7.62E-06 | 0.001238 |
| PTET.51.1.G0720026 | 277.3071 | 2.253309 | 0.460172 | 4.896661 | $9.75 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.000265 |
| PTET.51.1.G0320284 | 228.7547 | 2.252531 | 0.504406 | 4.465711 | 7.98E-06 | 0.001279 |
| PTET.51.1.G0430206 | 471.3678 | 2.252493 | 0.446703 | 5.042483 | $4.60 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.000147 |
| PTET.51.1.G0430205 | 282.4239 | 2.248925 | 0.484349 | 4.643195 | $3.43 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.00068 |
| PTET.51.1.G0590028 | 72.74106 | 2.242661 | 0.565833 | 3.96347 | 7.39E-05 | 0.007779 |


| PTET.51.1.G0460076 | 916.3596 | 2.242076 | 0.537508 | 4.171243 | $3.03 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.003795 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTET.51.1.G1040164 | 136.3426 | 2.240305 | 0.562013 | 3.986217 | $6.71 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.00716 |
| PTET.51.1.G0030168 | 341.4735 | 2.232553 | 0.550964 | 4.052086 | $5.08 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.005667 |
| PTET.51.1.G0370037 | 494.6388 | 2.230852 | 0.459642 | 4.853458 | $1.21 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.00031 |
| PTET.51.1.G0990129 | 440.0647 | 2.2296 | 0.50411 | 4.422849 | $9.74 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.00149 |
| PTET.51.1.G0350295 | 849.363 | 2.224485 | 0.564676 | 3.939399 | 8.17E-05 | 0.008392 |
| PTET.51.1.G1080182 | 546.8664 | 2.212443 | 0.493962 | 4.478976 | $7.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.001225 |
| PTET.51.1.G1000101 | 351.9914 | 2.211109 | 0.535955 | 4.125552 | $3.70 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.004479 |
| PTET.51.1.G0480017 | 223.4175 | 2.192723 | 0.511163 | 4.289675 | $1.79 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.002456 |
| PTET.51.1.G0900069 | 245.2465 | 2.189541 | 0.536429 | 4.081694 | $4.47 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.005181 |
| PTET.51.1.G0950081 | 2961.64 | 2.18286 | 0.43299 | 5.041365 | $4.62 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.000147 |
| PTET.51.1.G0030393 | 1942.252 | 2.180625 | 0.523033 | 4.169195 | $3.06 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.003806 |
| PTET.51.1.G0540171 | 359.3771 | 2.178693 | 0.542121 | 4.018832 | $5.85 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.006422 |
| PTET.51.1.G1830010 | 180.3891 | 2.17165 | 0.553591 | 3.92284 | $8.75 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.008881 |
| PTET.51.1.G0380169 | 560.3811 | 2.170141 | 0.471084 | 4.606692 | $4.09 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.00077 |
| PTET.51.1.G1300152 | 250.7813 | 2.169912 | 0.535997 | 4.048368 | $5.16 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.005738 |
| PTET.51.1.G0120304 | 501.0776 | 2.168201 | 0.518348 | 4.182908 | $2.88 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.003651 |
| PTET.51.1.G0320285 | 388.4793 | 2.164238 | 0.474714 | 4.559039 | 5.14E-06 | 0.000925 |
| PTET.51.1.G1460041 | 939.697 | 2.161561 | 0.486287 | 4.445031 | $8.79 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.001375 |
| PTET.51.1.G1720081 | 385.1844 | 2.160682 | 0.5038 | 4.288766 | $1.80 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.002456 |
| PTET.51.1.G0180124 | 191.9209 | 2.158871 | 0.484721 | 4.453839 | 8.43E-06 | 0.001338 |
| PTET.51.1.G1650089 | 282.0665 | 2.152482 | 0.534061 | 4.030406 | $5.57 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.006134 |
| PTET.51.1.G1560077 | 187.3277 | 2.139719 | 0.492396 | 4.345526 | $1.39 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.002006 |
| EZL4 | 82.91756 | 2.138917 | 0.54733 | 3.907912 | $9.31 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.009391 |
| PTET.51.1.G1150123 | 614.2262 | 2.137212 | 0.472973 | 4.518674 | $6.22 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.001074 |
| PTET.51.1.G0650095 | 1181.983 | 2.136757 | 0.479234 | 4.458697 | 8.25E-06 | 0.001315 |
| PTET.51.1.G1170173 | 136.9032 | 2.134201 | 0.540341 | 3.949732 | 7.82E-05 | 0.008087 |
| PTET.51.1.G0750138 | 1195.485 | 2.133276 | 0.444233 | 4.802155 | $1.57 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000363 |
| PTET.51.1.G0160206 | 189.8574 | 2.132465 | 0.542708 | 3.929306 | 8.52E-05 | 0.008672 |
| PTET.51.1.G0710091 | 270.7601 | 2.131505 | 0.505028 | 4.220569 | $2.44 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.003151 |
| PTET.51.1.G0080258 | 363.179 | 2.130887 | 0.489716 | 4.351269 | $1.35 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.001962 |
| PTET.51.1.G0800198 | 7233.238 | 2.119321 | 0.521089 | 4.067096 | $4.76 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.005437 |
| PTET.51.1.G0930087 | 653.1718 | 2.119229 | 0.474088 | 4.470113 | 7.82E-06 | 0.001259 |
| PTET.51.1.G0680147 | 231.4977 | 2.118286 | 0.482961 | 4.386038 | $1.15 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.001718 |
| PTET.51.1.G0560236 | 219.31 | 2.108102 | 0.532219 | 3.960969 | $7.46 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.007812 |
| PTET.51.1.G0120102 | 228.5704 | 2.108008 | 0.517222 | 4.075638 | $4.59 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.005281 |


| PTET.51.1.G0630048 | 366.4367 | 2.103531 | 0.525748 | 4.001027 | $6.31 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.006858 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTET.51.1.G0710159 | 304.3996 | 2.0932 | 0.487561 | 4.293209 | $1.76 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.002437 |
| PTET.51.1.G0560087 | 471.2201 | 2.091788 | 0.45269 | 4.620791 | $3.82 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.00074 |
| PTET.51.1.G1120122 | 258.908 | 2.080446 | 0.47328 | 4.395801 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.00165 |
| PTET.51.1.G1670032 | 192813.1 | 2.074888 | 0.511053 | 4.060025 | $4.91 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.005514 |
| PTET.51.1.G0730049 | 329.3651 | 2.073011 | 0.485438 | 4.27039 | $1.95 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.002614 |
| PTET.51.1.G0490131 | 118.5305 | 2.072974 | 0.502517 | 4.125178 | $3.70 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.004479 |
| PTET.51.1.G0910136 | 264.4539 | 2.069992 | 0.477622 | 4.333953 | $1.46 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.002078 |
| mtGb | 747.1749 | 2.052756 | 0.499064 | 4.113213 | $3.90 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.004634 |
| PTET.51.1.G0090337 | 1038.254 | 2.046414 | 0.50969 | 4.015018 | $5.94 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.006506 |
| PTET.51.1.G0930132 | 249.2437 | 2.042952 | 0.478296 | 4.271313 | $1.94 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.002614 |
| PTET.51.1.G0740177 | 1565.875 | 2.036402 | 0.469124 | 4.340861 | $1.42 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.00204 |
| PTET.51.1.G1900001 | 274.9885 | 2.035641 | 0.47904 | 4.249415 | $2.14 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.002826 |
| PTET.51.1.G0980174 | 226.7236 | 2.029533 | 0.483448 | 4.198038 | $2.69 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.003455 |
| PTET.51.1.G0070099 | 1279.256 | 2.024488 | 0.456012 | 4.439553 | $9.01 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.001404 |
| PTET.51.1.G0480186 | 335.04 | 2.021889 | 0.478517 | 4.225322 | $2.39 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.003097 |
| PTET.51.1.G1640109 | 567.4071 | 2.020518 | 0.497368 | 4.062423 | $4.86 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.005476 |
| PTET.51.1.G0230268 | 868.5775 | 2.016391 | 0.471363 | 4.277786 | $1.89 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.002549 |
| PTET.51.1.G1210101 | 138.9781 | 2.007073 | 0.503484 | 3.986373 | $6.71 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.00716 |
| PTET.51.1.G0160137 | 121.1726 | -2.01259 | 0.482558 | -4.17067 | $3.04 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.003795 |
| PTET.51.1.G1330058 | 413.0646 | -2.05203 | 0.47826 | -4.29061 | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.002456 |
| PTET.51.1.G1470167 | 398.0095 | -2.05435 | 0.474002 | -4.33404 | $1.46 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.002078 |
| PTET.51.1.G0260144 | 429.584 | -2.08087 | 0.47325 | -4.39697 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.001649 |
| PTET.51.1.G0620217 | 127.9542 | -2.1171 | 0.488349 | -4.33522 | $1.46 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.002078 |
| PTET.51.1.G0250252 | 247.7278 | -2.12006 | 0.476252 | -4.45156 | 8.52E-06 | 0.00134 |
| PTET.51.1.G0800029 | 234.6636 | $-2.15313$ | 0.527023 | -4.08546 | $4.40 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.005115 |
| PTET.51.1.G1070064 | 1072.941 | $-2.16781$ | 0.479905 | -4.51718 | 6.27E-06 | 0.001076 |
| PTET.51.1.G0230099 | 205.8165 | -2.18512 | 0.48712 | -4.4858 | $7.26 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.001222 |
| PTET.51.1.G0090176 | 771.705 | -2.18894 | 0.468603 | -4.6712 | $2.99 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000601 |
| PTET.51.1.G0850039 | 401.906 | -2.2259 | 0.570228 | -3.90352 | $9.48 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.009506 |
| PTET.51.1.G0170227 | 236.0226 | -2.2955 | 0.506151 | -4.5352 | $5.75 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.001009 |
| PTET.51.1.G1160096 | 275.9696 | -2.30286 | 0.521351 | -4.41711 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.001523 |
| PTET.51.1.G0970001 | 51.83007 | $-2.37503$ | 0.587607 | -4.04186 | $5.30 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.00588 |
| PTET.51.1.G0590129 | 84.6369 | -2.38701 | 0.523011 | -4.56397 | 5.02E-06 | 0.000909 |
| PTET.51.1.G0080386 | 59.27146 | -2.38891 | 0.546799 | -4.3689 | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.001847 |
| PTET.51.1.G0580014 | 354.508 | -2.41508 | 0.578287 | -4.17626 | $2.96 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.003745 |


| PTET.51.1.G0880185 | 129.606 | -2.43207 | 0.507082 | -4.79619 | $1.62 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000371 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTET.51.1.G0930099 | 56.63102 | -2.46537 | 0.548413 | -4.49547 | $6.94 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.001186 |
| PTET.51.1.G0240243 | 636.4707 | -2.48265 | 0.528552 | -4.69708 | $2.64 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000539 |
| PTET.51.1.G1650093 | 255.3306 | -2.51486 | 0.505706 | -4.97296 | $6.59 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.000193 |
| PTET.51.1.G1650045 | 45.56399 | -2.51798 | 0.58523 | -4.30254 | $1.69 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.002366 |
| PTET.51.1.G0750147 | 133.806 | -2.56041 | 0.600603 | -4.26306 | $2.02 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.00268 |
| PTET.51.1.G0970152 | 180.4474 | -2.56528 | 0.512942 | -5.00111 | $5.70 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.000174 |
| PTET.51.1.G0520074 | 156.2173 | -2.59246 | 0.63399 | -4.08912 | 4.33E-05 | 0.005053 |
| PTET.51.1.G0760223 | 99.27008 | -2.62027 | 0.503869 | -5.2003 | 1.99E-07 | 7.70E-05 |
| PTET.51.1.G0870018 | 50.07805 | -2.6512 | 0.664547 | -3.98949 | 6.62E-05 | 0.007131 |
| PTET.51.1.G1540003 | 76.45525 | -2.65376 | 0.553512 | -4.79441 | $1.63 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000372 |
| PTET.51.1.G0820146 | 96.50806 | -2.7874 | 0.665356 | -4.18934 | $2.80 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.003576 |
| PTET.51.1.G0290167 | 769.3246 | -2.79062 | 0.535886 | -5.2075 | $1.91 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $7.62 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0160050 | 53.28775 | -2.84703 | 0.652296 | -4.36464 | $1.27 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.00187 |
| PTET.51.1.G0020281 | 145.9975 | -2.88207 | 0.504211 | -5.716 | $1.09 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $6.49 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0010175 | 145.4726 | -2.88557 | 0.599856 | -4.81043 | $1.51 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000358 |
| PTET.51.1.G0050186 | 60.00411 | -2.89247 | 0.653542 | -4.42584 | $9.61 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.001479 |
| PTET.51.1.G0020367 | 36.78415 | -2.95233 | 0.617151 | -4.7838 | $1.72 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000387 |
| PTET.51.1.G0600108 | 2013.863 | -3.00222 | 0.752746 | -3.98835 | $6.65 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.007142 |
| PTET.51.1.G1210173 | 22.04244 | -3.07927 | 0.719033 | -4.28252 | $1.85 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.002506 |
| PTET.51.1.G0690122 | 28.35534 | -3.12044 | 0.789109 | -3.95438 | $7.67 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.007956 |
| PTET.51.1.G1270004 | 74.27108 | -3.21308 | 0.697039 | -4.60961 | $4.03 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.00077 |
| PTET.51.1.G1410005 | 25.50615 | -3.21889 | 0.760336 | -4.23351 | $2.30 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.00301 |
| PTET.51.1.G4980001 | 54.2862 | -3.50393 | 0.590704 | -5.93178 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $2.51 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0650058 | 574.3621 | -3.58073 | 0.500155 | -7.15925 | $8.11 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $1.29 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0690117 | 1159.077 | -3.59992 | 0.534368 | -6.73678 | $1.62 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $2.36 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0760177 | 87.57607 | -3.65167 | 0.579859 | -6.2975 | $3.02 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $2.98 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| PTET.51.1.G0230294 | 19.93125 | -3.79325 | 0.793167 | -4.78241 | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000387 |
| PTET.51.1.G0120225 | 16.4522 | -4.04168 | 0.926857 | -4.36063 | $1.30 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.001897 |
| PTET.51.1.G0400056 | 86.44225 | -4.10707 | 0.631697 | -6.50165 | 7.94E-11 | $9.50 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| PTET.51.1.G1540004 | 14.93137 | -5.021 | 1.097103 | -4.5766 | 4.73E-06 | 0.000865 |
| PTIWI10 | 2443.73 | $-5.15723$ | 0.578201 | -8.91943 | 4.69E-19 | $2.62 \mathrm{E}-15$ |
| PTIWI11 | 1734.302 | -5.21111 | 0.447931 | -11.6337 | $2.78 \mathrm{E}-31$ | 4.65E-27 |
| PTET.51.1.G0710033 | 81.41969 | -5.49578 | 0.692059 | -7.9412 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $6.10 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| PTIWI07 | 126.6251 | -5.92237 | 0.695432 | -8.5161 | $1.65 \mathrm{E}-17$ | $7.89 \mathrm{E}-14$ |
| PTIWI06 | 998.904 | -6.12354 | 0.525005 | -11.6638 | $1.95 \mathrm{E}-31$ | $4.65 \mathrm{E}-27$ |


| PTET.51.1.G4270003 | 24.03736 | -8.21054 | 1.342599 | -6.11541 | $9.63 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $8.96 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ptcen_ic19d | 83.32795 | -10.0037 | 1.295224 | -7.7235 | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $2.71 \mathrm{E}-11$ |

Supplementary Table S2. Differential expression of proteins identified by mass spectrometry from SMC4-1 and SMC4-2 Co-IP experiments at late time point.

| Leading_Protein | Synonyms | Description | Score |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { OnOff WT } \\ & \text { vs SMC4_2 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Top3 } \\ & \text { log2FC } \\ & \text { SMC4_1 - } \\ & \text { WT } \end{aligned}$ | Top3 <br> $\log 2 \mathrm{FC}$ <br> SMC4_2- <br> WT | Top3 log2FC <br> SMC4_2- <br> SMC4_1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WT vs |  | SMC4_1 vs |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0010184 | PTMB.323, | Nucleic acid-binding, OB-fold | 17.419 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
|  | PTETG100077001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0010254 | PTMB. 266 | Surfeit locus 1/Shy 1 | 31.621 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -2.452939601 |
| PTET.51.1.P0010279 | PTMB. 248 c , | P-loop containing nucleoside | 61.028 |  |  |  | 2.107835054 | 1.104759802 | -1.003075252 |
|  | PTETG100038001 | triphosphate hydrolase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0010297 | PTMB. 233 | Rossmann-like alpha/beta/alpha | 31.245 |  |  |  | - | - | 1.384103669 |
|  |  | sandwich fold |  |  |  |  | 1.636188966 | 0.252085296 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0010326 | PTMB. 208 | UvrABC system protein C | 36.981 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -0.178349541 |
| PTET.51.1.P0010348 | mag3, PTMB. 190c | G surface protein, allelic form 156 | 21.388 |  |  |  | 1.989942861 | 3.963007169 | 1.973064308 |
| PTET.51.1.P0010379 | PTMB. 166c, | tRNA methyltransferase complex | 51.496 |  |  |  | 1.955001852 | 2.71942523 | 0.764423378 |
|  | PTETG100158001 | GCD14 subunit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0010479 | PTMB.81, | 2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase E1 | 55.85 |  | WT | SMC4_1 | 2.891235338 |  |  |
|  | PTETG100101001 | component |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0010562 | PTMB.14c, | GrpE | 18.65 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -1.193046312 |
|  | PTETG100074001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0010572 | PTETG100018001, | Fibrinogen alpha/beta chain family | 18.024 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
|  | PTMB.08c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0020102 |  | DNA/RNA-binding protein Alba-like | 23.593 |  |  |  | - | - | $-0.257615102$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.561043039 | 1.818658142 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0020184 |  | Calcineurin-like phosphoesterase | 55.323 |  |  |  | 1.608248666 | 1.454068232 | -0.154180435 |
| PTET.51.1.P0020273 |  | Carbon-nitrogen hydrolase | 18.822 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.717268812 |
| PTET.51.1.P0020350 |  | TLDe domain | 32.144 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-3.875236638$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0020475 |  | V-type ATP synthase subunit E | 14.041 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0030003 |  | Isopenicillin N synthase-like | 93.124 |  |  |  | 0.446955028 | 0.516986776 | 0.070031748 |
| PTET.51.1.P0030017 |  | Ulp1 protease family, C-terminal catalytic domain | 26.117 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0030065 |  | Nucleoside diphosphate kinase B | 25.866 |  |  |  | - | 0.317893291 | 1.777941479 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.460048189 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0030131 |  | Protein kinase domain | 38.952 |  |  |  | 0.390049091 | - | -1.500058669 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.110009578 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0030193 |  | Eukaryotic initiation factor 3, gamma subunit | 11.329 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0030205 |  | NADP transhydrogenase, beta subunit | 40.143 |  |  |  | 1.561873229 | 0.381939853 | -1.179933376 |
| PTET.51.1.P0030248 |  | S-adenosyl-L-methionine-dependent methyltransferase-like | 33.344 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -1.196441278 |
| PTET.51.1.P0030254 |  |  | 13.629 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -2.672132695 |
| PTET.51.1.P0030381 |  | Protein transport protein Sec23B | 26.467 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.331888012 |


| PTET.51.1.P0030393 | PTETG300037001 | Transcription elongation factor Spt6 | 106.78 |  |  |  | 0.280491455 | $0.734596941$ | -1.015088396 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0040135 |  | Peptidase M16 inactive domain | 76.731 |  |  |  | 2.842187056 | 3.161357131 | 0.319170074 |
| PTET.51.1.P0040137 |  | Nascent polypeptide-associated complex subunit alpha | 34.396 |  |  |  | 0.434630979 | 0.206621515 | $-0.228009464$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0040233 | PTETG400003001 | Biotin synthase | 35.47 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-0.38740496$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0040314 |  | Alpha/beta hydrolase family | 12.944 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-1.433886732$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0040332 |  | tRNA synthetases class I ( W and Y ) | 79.117 |  |  |  | 0.180198757 | 0.483183437 | 0.30298468 |
| PTET.51.1.P0040350 |  | Peptidase C1-like family | 12.816 | wT | wT |  |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0050055 |  | Aldehyde dehydrogenase family | 30.504 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-2.158637257$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0050154 |  | Cyclic nucleotide-binding domain | 23.366 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0050179 |  | WD domain, G-beta repeat | 73.8 |  |  |  | 0.156462689 | 0.550973586 | 0.394510897 |
| PTET.51.1.P0050419 |  | Coiled coil domain | 11.82 | wT | wT |  |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0060018 |  | Nop domain | 18.445 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0060034 | PTIWI06 | Piwi-like protein 1 | 24.925 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0060144 | PTETG600028001 | Chloramphenicol acetyltransferaselike domain | 41.118 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | $2.324672857$ |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0060199 |  | YTH domain-containing protein ECT3 | 13.86 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0060205 |  | High mobility group nucleosome- <br> binding domain-containing protein 5 | 12.133 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0060411 |  | Serine/threonine-protein phosphatase 2 A 65 kDa regulatory subunit A alpha isoform | 19.92 |  |  |  | 1.162876546 | $0.115876638$ | $-1.278753184$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0070001 |  | Isopenicillin N synthase-like | 59.826 |  |  |  | 0.233963125 | -0.22944554 | $-0.463408665$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0070020 | PTETG700003001 | Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme/RWDlike | 24.448 |  |  |  | 0.25256362 | -1.30899206 | $-1.56155568$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0070092 |  | Glycylpeptide N tetradecanoyltransferase 2 | 44.888 |  |  |  | $-0.72925668$ | $-2.15732847$ | -1.42807179 |
| PTET.51.1.P0070145 |  | Coiled coil domain | 11.286 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0070153 |  | Ribosome biogenesis protein BRX1 | 18.028 | wT |  | SMC4_2 |  | 1.584180061 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0070340 |  | S-adenosylmethionine synthetase | 13.921 |  |  |  | 1.255192661 | 0.841024053 | -0.414168608 |
| PTET.51.1.P0070404 |  | Mitochondrial substrate/solute carrier | 54.414 |  |  |  | 6.556738303 | 4.561035413 | -1.99570289 |
| PTET.51.1.P0080015 |  | Glycosyl hydrolases family 25 | 25.693 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -1.489272596 |
| PTET.51.1.P0080040 |  | Pyrroline-5-carboxylate reductase 1, mitochondrial | 40.169 |  |  |  | 1.791039032 | 1.270707694 | $-0.520331339$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0080054 |  | Metallo-dependent phosphatase-like | 20.565 |  |  |  | 0.432367747 | $0.150787319$ | $-0.583155066$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0080095 |  | Prohibitin | 30.648 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0080169 |  | Putative purine permease 20 | 11.397 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0080174 |  | Chaperonin Cpn60/TCP-1 | 82.126 |  |  |  | $0.383829878$ | $0.300297405$ | 0.083532473 |
| PTET.51.1.P0080218 |  | Citrate synthase, C -terminal domain | 14.147 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 1.233861029 |
| PTET.51.1.P0080260 | PTETG800018001 | Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme | 23.617 |  |  |  | 1.009567552 | 1.537666678 | 0.528099126 |
| PTET.51.1.P0080295 |  | Succinate dehydrogenase | 73.57 |  |  |  | 1.889751223 | 3.001087723 | 1.1113365 |
| PTET.51.1.P0080397 | PTETG800045001 | 2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase, mitochondrial | 12.771 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |


| PTET.51.1.P0080438 |  | Lipoyl synthase, chloroplastic | 19.087 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-1.061753821$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTET.51.1.P0090053 |  | 40S ribosomal protein SA | 13.042 |  |  |  | - | 0.981609103 | 1.430344062 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.448734959 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0090086 |  | ATPase, V1/A1 complex, subunit E | 34.584 |  |  |  | 1.521022788 | 1.639750351 | 0.118727563 |
| PTET.51.1.P0090113 |  | Translation elongation factor IF5A | 47.885 |  |  |  | - | 0.07714448 | 0.111294923 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.034150444 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0090195 |  | Alpha/Beta hydrolase fold | 17.436 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0090213 | PTETG900022001 | Spliceosome-associated protein 130 | 154.53 |  |  |  | - | 0.795713227 | 1.603894551 |
|  |  | B |  |  |  |  | 0.808181324 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0090252 |  | Structural maintenance of chromosomes protein 1 | 18.356 |  | SMC4_2 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0090255 |  | Vacuolar protein sorting-associated | 11.81 |  | wT | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | protein 26 |  |  |  |  | 0.155609699 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0100002 |  | Replication protein A 70 kDa DNAbinding subunit | 30.691 |  |  |  | 0.590355933 | -0.61280584 | $-1.203161774$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0100009 |  | Putative F-box protein At3g21170 | 251.07 |  |  |  | - | - | $-1.498297783$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.130721056 | 1.629018838 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0100011 |  | Coiled coil domain | 323.31 |  |  |  |  | $-1.22126825$ | $-0.816179703$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.405088547$ |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0100050 |  | Endoplasmic reticulum oxidoreductin | 11.35 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0100097 | PTETG1000030001 | Adenosylhomocysteinase | 100.08 |  |  |  | 0.042660057 | 2.851257011 | 2.808596954 |
| PTET.51.1.P0100106 |  | Ribosomal protein L3 | 36.793 |  |  |  | 0.702407944 | 1.131395661 | 0.428987717 |
| PTET.51.1.P0100128 |  | Regulator of chromosome condensation 1/beta-lactamaseinhibitor protein II | 77.806 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-1.606315422$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0100202 |  | Probable enoyl-CoA hydratase echA8 | 25.29 |  |  |  | - | - | 1.025183208 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.126928877 | 0.101745669 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0100252 | PTETG1000006001 | Cytochrome coxidase, subunit Vb | 18.668 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0100278 | PTETG1000002001 | Enolase | 123.51 |  |  |  |  |  | $-0.851934013$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.337512013$ | 1.189446027 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0100395 |  | MORN motif | 13.544 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | 0.759480328 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P01 10022 |  | Persulfide dioxygenase ETHE1, mitochondrial | 30.063 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0110028 |  | RuvB-like | 18.679 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0110036 |  | Armadillo-like helical | 112.23 |  |  |  | - | - | $-0.027114438$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.014427818 | 0.041542256 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0110039 |  | 60 S ribosomal protein L10 | 62.998 |  |  |  | 4.552670661 | 3.599627459 | -0.953043202 |
| PTET.51.1.P0110119 |  | Nucleotide-binding, alpha-beta plait | 12.509 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0110137 | PTETG1100001001 | Thioredoxin domain-containing protein 3 homolog | 11.612 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0110162 |  | Calmodulin binding protein-like | 12.439 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.903779238 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0110239 |  | Uncharacterized protein YfdF | 12.606 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-0.792907888$ |
| PTET.51.1.P01 10278 |  | Succinyl-CoA ligase, alpha subunit | 51.232 |  |  |  | 0.352119309 | 0.335368303 | $-0.016751006$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0110289 | PIE2, PDSG2 | Elongation factor Ts | 46.202 |  |  |  | 1.134453695 | - | $-2.75724477$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.622791075 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0110362 |  | Citrate synthase-like | 69.304 |  |  |  | 1.493807884 | 1.834998943 | 0.341191059 |
| PTET.51.1.P01 10390 |  | Protein kinase domain | 11.475 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.822604204 |


| PTET.51.1.P0110429 |  | ATPase, F1 complex, delta/epsilon | 13.179 |  |  |  | - |  | $-0.346807798$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | subunit, N -terminal |  |  |  |  | 0.746699668 | 1.093507466 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0120057 |  | Protein kinase domain | 17.373 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0120086 |  | T-complex protein 1 subunit beta | 131.92 |  |  |  | - | - | 0.065286752 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.109328783 | 0.044042031 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0120113 |  | Alpha/beta hydrolase domaincontaining protein 11 | 18.855 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0120119 |  | Protein max | 13.123 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.505966003 |
| PTET.51.1.P0120205 |  | Macroglobulin domain MG4 | 243.43 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.988791886 |
| PTET.51.1.P0120274 |  | DEAD-box ATP-dependent RNA helicase 15 | 45.209 |  |  |  | 2.911888405 | 2.891835029 | -0.020053376 |
| PTET.51.1.P0120277 |  | Mitochondrial 2-oxoglutarate/malate carrier protein | 72.134 |  |  |  | 0.849335348 | $0.442263356$ | -1.291598705 |
| PTET.51.1.P0120293 |  | Molybdopterin oxidoreductase | 25.308 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0120294 |  | NAC domain | 19.81 |  |  |  | - | - | -0.244035951 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.476503445 | 1.720539396 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0120377 |  | PHD-zinc-finger like domain | 12.382 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | 1.96136759 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0130008 |  | Protein STIP1 homolog | 18.659 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.502132381 |
| PTET.51.1.P0130113 |  | MT-A70 | 11.673 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0130197 |  | Adenylate kinase | 24.213 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0130217 | PTETG1300015001 | HEAT repeats | 43.859 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0130319 |  | Protein piccolo | 17.033 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0130320 |  | Mannose-binding lectin | 20.42 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0140021 |  | Citrate synthase-like | 38.823 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | 1.336657789 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0140093 |  | Mating-type locus allele B6 protein | 18.697 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0140342 | arp2-1, <br> PTETG1400002001 | Actin-related protein | 46.625 |  |  |  | $0.111035807$ | 0.912499468 | 1.023535275 |
| PTET.51.1.P0150014 |  | V-type ATP synthase subunit I | 13.147 |  | wT | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.497668145 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0150066 |  | ATP-NAD kinase-like domain | 19.914 |  |  |  | 0.00894269 | 0.147573698 | 0.138631008 |
| PTET.51.1.P0150089 |  | Serine--tRNA ligase, cytoplasmic | 70.172 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.252865885 |
| PTET.51.1.P0150105 |  | DNA/RNA-binding protein Alba-like | 11.446 |  |  |  | - |  | -1.146660891 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.650666526 | 3.797327417 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0150119 |  | Ribosomal protein L6e | 17.404 | wT |  | SMC4_2 |  | 2.871930347 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0150223 |  | Probable disease resistance protein | 25.131 |  |  |  | 0.372610564 |  | $-2.35810965$ |
|  |  | $\text { At4g } 19520$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1.985499086 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0150275 |  | Type III restriction enzyme, res subunit | 11.555 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0150343 |  | ClpP/crotonase-like domain | 49.709 |  |  |  | - | - | 0.337590239 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.838646188 | 0.501055949 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0160023 |  | Ribosomal protein S10 | 14.546 |  |  |  | 1.526874572 | 1.913528156 | 0.386653584 |
| PTET.51.1.P0160032 |  | Ribosomal protein L30, ferredoxin- | 33.107 |  |  |  | - | - | 0.04346942 |
|  |  | like fold domain |  |  |  |  | 0.563672687 | 0.520203266 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0160123 |  | WD domain, G-beta repeat | 12.564 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0160139 |  | Metallopeptidase family M24 | 11.914 |  |  |  | $-0.64291707$ | 0.231691677 | 0.874608747 |
| PTET.51.1.P0160163 |  | Phospholipid-transporting ATPase IG | 13.741 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0160240 |  | Ultraviole-B receptor UVR8 | 21.819 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-2.031172549$ |


| PTET.51.1.P0160244 |  | Protein disulfide-isomerase A6 | 30.408 |  | WT | SMC4_1 | 1.886979141 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTET.51.1.P0160330 |  | Putative mitochondrial 2oxoglutarate/malate carrier protein | 28.014 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-2.866191739$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0160340 |  | Coiled-coil domain-containing protein 18 | 72.105 |  |  |  | $0.967408531$ | $0.119216034$ | 0.848192497 |
| PTET.51.1.P0160357 |  | Coiled coil domain | 323.31 |  |  |  | $-1.0774546$ | $1.536090578$ | $-0.458635978$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0170077 | Nowal | Coiled coil domain | 19.672 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-1.274407887$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0170151 | PTETG1700002001 | GDP dissociation inhibitor | 33.656 |  |  |  | 1.383357078 | 2.849518574 | 1.466161497 |
| PTET.51.1.P0170184 |  | Polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase | 32.942 |  |  |  | 1.684678508 | 0.124522539 | -1.560155969 |
| PTET.51.1.P0170225 |  | Baculoviral IAP repeat-containing protein 6 | 39.148 |  |  |  | 2.493843364 | 1.625314876 | $-0.868528489$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0170257 |  | Protein of unknown function (DUF541) | 189.41 |  |  |  | 0.667158036 | 0.363964075 | -0.303193961 |
| PTET.51.1.P0190251 |  | Rhodanese-like domain | 17.345 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0200201 | KdB2 | Cysteine synthase 2 | 82.37 |  |  |  | $0.648948092$ | 2.767185277 | 3.416133369 |
| PTET.51.1.P0200315 |  | 50S ribosomal protein L11 | 17.121 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0200334 |  | Methylmalonate-semialdehyde dehydrogenase | 15.433 |  |  |  | 0.729894429 | $0.419585787$ | $-1.149480216$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0210034 |  | Ribosomal protein L10/acidic P0 | 22.042 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-0.33495953$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0210041 |  | D-isomer specific 2-hydroxyacid dehydrogenase, catalytic domain | 62.109 |  |  |  | 1.590870709 | 1.766809321 | 0.175938612 |
| PTET.51.1.P0210175 |  | AMP-binding enzyme | 37.426 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0210203 |  | Ribosomal protein S7e | 13.419 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -0.200620291 |
| PTET.51.1.P0210280 | PTETG2100008001 | Leishmanolysin-like peptidase | 138.25 |  |  |  | 0.979620444 | $-0.05456776$ | $-1.034188204$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0210302 |  | DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit alpha | 44.1 |  |  |  | 0.074318978 | $1.847364452$ | $-1.92168343$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0220003 |  | Gamma carbonic anhydrase 3, mitochondrial | 12.902 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | 1.479151769 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0220057 |  | Protein phosphatase 2C (PP2C)-like domain | 26.306 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0220116 |  | T -complex protein 1 subunit zeta | 74.619 |  |  |  | $0.879052388$ | $1.692869172$ | $-0.813816784$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0220177 | PTETG2200025001 | Insulin-like growth factor binding protein, N-terminal | 18.965 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0220197 |  | Elongation factor 1-gamma 2 | 26.289 |  |  |  | $1.253582662$ | $1.050572976$ | 0.203009686 |
| PTET.51.1.P0220247 |  | Methionine--tRNA ligase, cytoplasmic | 18.269 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -0.412404028 |
| PTET.51.1.P0220269 | PPN2 | Metallo-dependent phosphatase-like | 78.135 |  |  |  | 1.192614105 | 0.276595123 | $-0.916018982$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0220270 |  | Mitochondrial carrier protein | 19.657 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0230160 |  | Peptidase M16 inactive domain | 11.737 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0230214 |  | AMP-binding enzyme | 27.086 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.182906893 |
| PTET.51.1.P0230219 |  | Paramecium surface antigen domain | 38.651 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 3.824277118 |
| PTET.51.1.P0230221 |  | DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta | 20.079 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-0.654581522$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0230249 |  | Chaperonin Cpn60/TCP-1 | 19.551 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-1.216301179$ |


| PTET.51.1.P0230261 | PTETG2300007001 | Intraflagellar transport protein 74 homolog | 11.875 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTET.51.1.P0230286 |  | Isocitrat/isopropylmalate | 116.93 |  |  |  | - | - | 0.481163673 |
|  |  | dehydrogenase |  |  |  |  | 0.631028527 | 0.149864854 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0250050 |  | Plectin/S10, N -terminal | 24.205 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0250100 |  | Calcium-binding mitochondrial carrier protein SCaMC-1 | 49.545 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0250101 |  | small GTPase Rabl family profile. | 25.154 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0250236 |  | Coiled coil domain | 104.09 |  |  |  | 0.957156873 | 1.123070216 | 0.165913343 |
| PTET.51.1.P0250319 |  | Ribosomal protein L32 | 11.239 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -1.019550834 |
| PTET.51.1.P0260039 |  | Ribosomal protein S2, eukaryotic/archaeal | 135.05 |  |  |  | 0.649888062 | 1.229495822 | 1.879383884 |
| PTET.51.1.P0260174 | PTETG2600021001 | Spliceosome-associated protein 130 <br> B | 25.664 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 3.270369529 |
| PTET.51.1.P0270023 |  | DNA polymerase epsilon subunit 2 | 11.846 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0270129 |  | UvrABC system protein C | 82.496 |  |  |  | 0.189550989 | 0.83097285 | 0.641421861 |
| PTET.51.1.P0270186 |  | Dnal protein homolog | 18.166 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-2.499273707$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0270209 | PTETG2700003001 | P-loop containing nucleoside triphosphate hydrolase | 287.66 |  |  |  | 0.658647673 | 0.780559098 | 0.121911426 |
| PTET.51.1.P0270210 |  | Uridine kinase-like protein 3 | 20.385 |  |  |  | 1.087676047 | 0.854083649 | $-0.233592398$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0270239 |  | Enoyl-CoA hydratase, mitochondrial | 46.129 |  |  |  | 0.326029743 | 0.553293501 | 0.227263758 |
| PTET.51.1.P0280112 |  | Porphobilinogen synthase | 11.91 |  | SMC4_2 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0280283 |  | Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 subunit I | 18.716 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0290214 |  | Protein kinase-like domain | 11.654 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0300021 |  | Fumarate lyase family | 198.11 |  |  |  | - | - | $-1.356612478$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.139729929 | 1.496342407 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0300158 |  | Leishmanolysin-like peptidase | 18.824 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.350665289 |
| PTET.51.1.P0300222 | PTETG3000005001 | Parkin co-regulated protein | 17.969 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-0.076671389$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0300231 |  | Calcium and integrin-binding family member 2 (Fragment) | 11.863 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-0.72900787$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0300272 |  | Clathrin heavy chain 1 | 13.381 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0300291 |  | Coiled coil domain | 71.174 |  |  |  | 0.422381266 | 0.45692988 | 0.034548614 |
| PTET.51.1.P0310063 |  | Proteasome component ( PCI ) domain | 11.76 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0310118 |  | Aspartat/other aminotransferase | 50.932 |  |  |  | - | - | $-0.843071769$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.109647509 | 0.952719278 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0310186 |  | Peptidase C1A | 62.116 |  |  |  | 0.43354445 |  | -3.329598419 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $2.896053969$ |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0310192 |  | 60 S ribosomal protein L6E | 37.123 |  |  |  | 0.58052149 | 0.313217362 | $-0.267304128$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0310216 |  | Mitochondrial carrier protein | 13.157 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0320031 |  | Carbonic anhydrase 2 | 11.286 |  |  |  | - | - | 0.367598946 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.544950612 | 0.177351666 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0320061 |  | Tetratricopeptide repeat protein 7A | 56.745 |  |  |  | 2.522862006 | 0.791100914 | $-1.731761092$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0320109 |  | Paramecium surface antigen domain | 258 |  | SMC4_2 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  |
| PTET.511.1.P0320151 | actl_3 | Actin-related protein | 266.05 |  |  |  | 2.351626061 | 2.722879407 | 0.371253346 |
| PTET.51.1.P0320296 |  | Aldehyde dehydrogenase family | 200.25 |  |  |  | 0.002931382 | $1.027449721$ | $-1.030381103$ |


| PTET.51.1.P0330075 | PtSMC2-1, SMC2 | P-loop containing nucleoside triphosphate hydrolase | 312.21 |  |  |  | 6.06350107 | 6.558768196 | 0.495267126 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTET.51.1.P0330130 |  | ATP synthase subunit a | 12.069 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0330220 | Hsp70Pt01, PTETG3300001001 | Heat shock 70 kDa protein 5 | 57.713 |  |  |  | 2.200872247 | 1.996549596 | $-0.204322651$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0330225 |  | ATP synthase subunit alpha, mitochondrial | 225.99 |  |  |  | 0.350177958 | $0.213219196$ | $-0.563397154$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0330227 |  | Pyruvate, phosphate dikinase | 11.033 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0330250 |  | 6-phosphofructo-2-kinase/fructose- <br> 2,6-bisphosphatase 4 | 18.119 |  | SMC4_2 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0330264 |  | Thiamin diphosphate-binding fold | 19.138 |  |  |  | 0.720461985 | $0.628300069$ | $-1.348762054$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0340161 | PTETG3400005001 | Aldehyde/histidinol dehydrogenase | 19.861 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | 1.093478881 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0340162 | PTETG3400002001 | EF-hand domain pair | 74.555 |  |  |  | 0.032398142 | $0.074491662$ | $-0.106889804$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0340170 |  | Enoyl-CoA hydratase/isomerase | 20.492 |  |  |  | $1.026576652$ | $2.758310423$ | $-1.731733771$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0340171 |  | Armadillo-like helical | 40.073 |  |  |  | 0.608533219 | $0.848699802$ | $-1.457233021$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0340233 |  | ATP-dependent 6phosphofructokinase 7 | 12.872 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | 1.154499788 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0340238 |  | Ribosomal protein S6e | 25.404 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.302843197 |
| PTET.51.1.P0350129 |  | Isocitrate and isopropylmalate dehydrogenases family | 23.909 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-2.204176974$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0350184 |  | Tubby C-terminal-like domain | 27.508 |  |  |  | $1.228503834$ | $3.531520044$ | $-2.303016209$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0350280 |  | Sensory histidine kinase/phosphatase NtrB | 62.898 |  |  |  | 0.994220215 | 1.083863865 | 0.08964365 |
| PTET.51.1.P0360019 |  | Isoleucine-tRNA ligase, cytoplasmic | 19.017 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.275004612 |
| PTET.51.1.P0360034 |  | Delta-1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate dehydrogenase 12A1, mitochondrial | 11.487 |  |  |  | 0.011311024 | $0.263889232$ | $-0.275200256$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0360064 |  | Acyl-CoA dehydrogenase/oxidase Cterminal | 11.996 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0360133 |  | Coiled coil domain | 12.653 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.511.P0360184 |  | GroEL-like equatorial domain | 12.133 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0360228 |  | AIR synthase-related protein, Cterminal domain | 12.142 | wT |  | SMC4_2 |  | $0.257230755$ |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0370010 |  | Cytochrome b-c1 complex subunit Rieske-2, mitochondrial | 43.425 |  |  |  | 0.968822761 | 0.525989773 | -0.442832988 |
| PTET.51.1.P0370172 |  | Isocitrate and isopropylmalate dehydrogenases family | 86.542 |  |  |  | 1.957771629 | $1.381926136$ | $-3.339697765$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0370298 |  | Superoxide dismutase | 19.22 |  |  |  | 0.911859892 | $3.801110611$ | $-2.889250719$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0380053 |  | High frequency lysogenization protein HflD homolog | 99.343 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-1.152086789$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0380073 | PGML2 | Transposase IS4 | 17.832 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0380195 | GAPDH | Glyceraldehyde/Erythrose phosphate dehydrogenase family | 162.46 |  |  |  | 2.265369893 | 1.99806137 | $-0.267308523$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0380223 |  | Chromo (CHRromatin Organisation MOdifier) domain | 55.977 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-0.741023612$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0380286 |  | Pentatricopeptide repeat-containing protein At3g29230 | 76.354 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-1.02924861$ |


| PTET.51.1.P0390035 | PTETG3900002001 | Cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1+2 | 12.741 |  | SMC4_2 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTET.51.1.P0400049 | Hsp70Pt07, <br> PTETG4000011001 | Heat shock protein 70 family | 180.93 |  |  |  | 1.36269449 | 1.892984613 | 0.530290123 |
| PTET.51.1.P0400094 |  | o-succinylbenzoate synthase | 53.822 |  |  |  | 0.628905735 | 0.170428294 | -0.458477441 |
| PTET.51.1.P0400246 |  | Coiled coil domain | 25.082 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-1.62934145$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0400271 |  | Protein kinase-like domain | 86.474 |  |  |  | 0.493840028 | $0.102421581$ | -0.596261609 |
| PTET.51.1.P0410006 |  | Serine/threonine-protein phosphatase 6 catalytic subunit | 13.451 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0410041 |  | T-complex protein 1 subunit epsilon | 67.486 |  |  |  | -0.43536455 | $0.160353763$ | 0.275010786 |
| PTET.51.1.P0410063 | PtSMC4-1, SMC4 | P-loop containing nucleoside triphosphate hydrolase | 227.5 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0410099 |  | 5-methyltetrahydropteroyltriglutamate--homocysteine methyltransferase | 24.129 |  |  |  | 0.169590236 | $1.143449914$ | -1.313040149 |
| PTET.51.1.P0410101 |  | Prephenate dehydrogenase | 30.759 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -1.566692993 |
| PTET.51.1.P0410180 |  | NADH dehydrogenase ubiquinone Fe-S protein 4, mitochondrial | 24.597 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -1.409215414 |
| PTET.51.1.P0410229 |  | Agglutinin alpha chain | 12.322 | wT | wT |  |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0420093 | vATPase_B2, <br> PTETG4200001001 | P -loop containing nucleoside triphosphate hydrolase | 83.715 |  |  |  | 0.94134053 | 0.638551971 | $-0.302788559$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0420119 |  | Ribosomal protein L24e-related | 51.697 |  |  |  | 2.365595125 | 0.065761182 | $-2.299833943$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0420242 |  | NADH dehydrogenase | 67.064 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0430034 |  | Aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase, class II (D/K/N)-like | 99.66 |  |  |  | 4.751919598 | 4.584256565 | -0.167663034 |
| PTET.51.1.P0430169 |  | Dehydrogenase E1 component | 18.867 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0430270 |  | 60S acidic ribosomal protein P0 | 26.064 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.009100119 |
| PTET.51.1.P0440040 |  | UPF0302 protein YpiB | 14.147 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0450025 |  | WD40/YVTN repeat-like-containing domain | 13.023 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0450054 |  | ATP-dependent helicase/nuclease subunit A | 65.617 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0450076 |  | ARP2/3 complex, 16kDa subunit (p16-Arc) | 32.831 |  |  |  | $0.213054494$ | 0.128919141 | 0.341973635 |
| PTET.51.1.P0450077 | PtSMC2-2, SMC2, PTETG4500016001 | Structural maintenance of chromosomes protein 2-1 | 26.079 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.194467034 |
| PTET.51.1.P0450094 |  | Glutathione synnthetase | 71.076 |  |  |  | $0.244490257$ | 2.087092866 | 2.331583123 |
| PTET.51.1.P0450140 | PTETG4500010001 | Ribosomal protein S15 | 35.385 |  |  |  | $0.747229521$ | $0.287214182$ | 0.46001534 |
| PTET.51.1.P0450180 |  | DNA mismatch repair protein MSH7 | 47.961 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | 1.596631365 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0450187 |  | Probable cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase 2 | 13.45 |  | SMC4_2 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0450229 |  | Mitochondrial-processing peptidase subunit beta | 30.851 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 1.066887234 |
| PTET.51.1.P0450230 |  | $\mathrm{NAD}(\mathrm{P}$-binding domain | 93.916 |  |  |  | 0.658565356 | 0.583027761 | -0.075537594 |
| PTET.51.1.P0460020 |  | TCP-1/cpn60 chaperonin family | 84.724 |  |  |  | $0.723045446$ | $0.593793734$ | 0.129251713 |
| PTET.51.1.P0460022 |  | Thioredoxin | 19.246 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | 0.811512224 |  |  |


| PTET.51.1.P0460036 |  | Leucine-rich repeat, SDS22-like subfamily | 31.763 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTET.51.1.P0460041 |  | Chaperonin Cpn60/TCP-1 | 28.153 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -2.728400173 |
| PTET.51.1.P0460076 |  | P-loop containing nucleoside triphosphate hydrolase | 38.887 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-3.03607072$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0460136 |  | Inorganic polyphosphate/ATP-NAD kinase | 64.351 |  |  |  | 4.259209253 | 5.065061732 | 0.805852479 |
| PTET.51.1.P0460194 | PTETG4600006001 | Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase | 53.39 |  |  |  | 1.421388448 | 1.814765312 | 0.393376863 |
| PTET.51.1.P0470012 |  | Ribosomal L30 N -terminal domain | 12.157 |  |  |  | 0.410636505 | 0.461470135 | 0.050833631 |
| PTET.51.1.P0470151 |  | Trimeric LpxA-like | 33.512 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | 2.635407607 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0470179 |  | Coiled coil domain | 18.36 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0470190 |  | Arsenite methyltransferase | 47.969 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -0.687532832 |
| PTET.51.1.P0480006 | PTETG4800001001 | Guanine nucleotide-binding protein subunit beta-like protein | 323.31 |  |  |  | -0.46234253 | $1.344036171$ | -0.881693641 |
| PTET.51.1.P0480063 |  | Phosphofructokinase domain | 103.1 |  |  |  | 1.062554201 | $1.575487736$ | $-2.638041938$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0480070 |  | Protein male abnormal 21 | 11.956 | wT |  | SMC4_2 |  | 1.481110931 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0480074 |  | NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase, 21kDa subunit, N -terminal | 11.47 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0480132 | PTETG4800002001 | EF-hand domain pair | 18.41 |  |  |  | 1.023181836 | $-0.67694217$ | -1.700124006 |
| PTET.51.1.P0480261 |  | Eukaryotic porin/Tom40 | 12.829 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0490112 |  | Calpain family cysteine protease | 25.128 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | 0.908134307 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0490213 |  | Gamma-crystallin-related | 44.968 |  |  |  | 1.862257539 | 2.019732382 | 0.157474843 |
| PTET.51.1.P0490229 |  | Replication protein la | 18.536 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0500041 |  | Coiled coil domain | 117.83 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -1.277202834 |
| PTET.51.1.P0500099 |  | 40S ribosomal protein S15a | 21.291 |  |  |  | $0.816570125$ | $0.459560199$ | 0.357009926 |
| PTET.51.1.P0500184 | GapC | Glyceraldehyde/Erythrose phosphate dehydrogenase family | 20.008 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-1.359295245$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0500227 |  | Serine/threonine-protein kinase ATG1b | 11.724 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0510037 |  | Ribosomal L28e protein family | 12.482 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 1.425011988 |
| PTET.51.1.P0510055 |  | Ribosomal protein S3Ae | 18.499 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0510092 |  | Proteasome component <br> ECM29/Translational activator GCN1 | 11.148 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0510120 |  | Ribosomal protein L6 | 55.558 |  |  |  | 4.959577879 | 5.269939715 | 0.310361836 |
| PTET.51.1.P0510134 | PTETG5100001001, <br> AK2 | Arginine kinase | 39.629 |  | SMC4_2 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0510147 | sAG_51C | Paramecium surface antigen domain | 11.685 |  | SMC4_2 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0510203 |  | Cyclophilin-like domain | 13.69 |  |  |  | $0.342323353$ | $0.522614588$ | -0.180291235 |
| PTET.51.1.P0520133 |  | Elongation factor Tu | 33.276 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0520161 |  | Cyclophilin-like domain | 12.743 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | 1.087725893 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0520173 | KdC1 | Porin domain | 112.39 |  |  |  | 2.133198112 | 2.417501713 | 0.2843036 |
| PTET.51.1.P0530017 |  | Armadillo-type fold | 19.237 |  |  |  |  |  | -1.554547074 |
| PTET.51.1.P0530030 |  | P -loop containing nucleoside triphosphate hydrolase | 196.08 |  |  |  | 0.005650187 | $0.374573867$ | -0.380224053 |


| PTET.51.1.P0530059 |  | Skpl family, tetramerisation domain | 24.501 |  | WT | SMC4_1 | 1.370356504 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTET.51.1.P0530127 |  | Chaperonin Cpn60/TCP-1 | 12.708 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-1.555673662$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0530165 |  | alpha/beta hydrolase fold | 73.402 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -2.066899206 |
| PTET.51.1.P0530218 |  | RAC serine/threonine-protein kinase | 17.797 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0530254 |  | TCP-1/cpn60 chaperonin family | 85.122 |  |  |  | 0.314480304 | 0.490167007 | 0.175686703 |
| PTET.51.1.P0530256 |  | Succinyl-CoA synthetase, beta subunit | 52.085 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0540213 |  | Pyruvate/Phosphoenolpyruvate kinase-like domain | 24.34 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | 0.563096121 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0550098 |  | von Willebrand factor type A domain | 11.557 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0550100 |  | Tropomyosin like | 11.464 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | 0.257315153 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0550159 |  | Protein-tyrosine phosphatase-like | 27.101 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 1.570436882 |
| PTET.51.1.P0550219 |  | Malate dehydrogenase | 116.81 |  |  |  | 0.364104663 |  | $-0.483569993$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.119465331 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0560051 |  | Peptidase C1A | 32.697 |  |  |  | - | - | $-2.479947528$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.913941133 | 3.393888661 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0560226 | PTETG5600001001 | Cytochrome coxidase subunit $1+2$ | 48.751 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 3.681874706 |
| PTET.51.1.P0570051 | PGMLSa | Transposase IS4 | 19.074 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0570068 |  | Luc7-like protein 3 | 48.671 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.270719889 |
| PTET.51.1.P0570074 |  | TNF receptor-associated protein 1 homolog, mitochondrial | 34.733 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-0.649767307$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0570163 |  | Aconitase/isopropylmalate dehydratase | 24.336 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | 1.909683374 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0580041 |  | RuvB-like | 13.861 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0580083 |  | Fibrillarin | 56.081 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-1.053108113$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0580112 |  | Saccharopine dehydrogenase Cterminal domain | 65.224 |  |  |  | 0.896053335 | $0.232619529$ | $-1.128672864$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0580147 |  | Superoxide dismutase, copper/zinc binding domain | 25.876 |  |  |  | 0.490457282 | 1.504697606 | 1.014240324 |
| PTET.51.1.P0590040 | PTETG5900041001 | Ribosomal L27e protein family | 18.356 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 1.195422691 |
| PTET.51.1.P0590042 |  | ${ }^{60 S}$ ribosomal protein L6E | 24.225 |  |  |  | 2.424388281 | 2.142912527 | $-0.281475754$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0590059 |  | Ribosomal protein L22/L17, eukaryotic/archaeal | 13.811 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.807889943 |
| PTET.51.1.P0590135 | PtSMC4-2, SMC4 | RecFRecN/SMC, N -terminal | 243.16 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 5.696556993 |
| PTET.51.1.P0590146 | DRPC1 | Dynamin central region | 11.664 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.062880742 |
| PTET.51.1.P0590225 |  | Ribosomal protein L14b/L23e | 124.16 |  |  |  | 0.665724657 | 0.259025419 | $-0.406699238$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0600091 |  | Ribosomal protein S9/S16 | 27.773 |  |  |  | 3.001018729 | 2.4794536 | $-0.521565129$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0600104 | KdC2 | Uncharacterized killer plasmid pGKL-2 helicase | 11.171 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.814148221 |
| PTET.51.1.P0600114 |  | Cyclophilin-like domain | 36.814 |  |  |  | 0.674016279 | $0.462850037$ | $-1.136866316$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0600152 |  | Prephenate dehydrogenase | 19.692 |  |  |  |  | $0.622636357$ |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0600185 |  | 60S ribosomal protein L14 | 12.315 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.502231667 |
| PTET.51.1.P0600189 |  | Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 component subunit beta | 17.805 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0610066 | PTETG6100008001 | AAA domain | 13.307 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | 0.629025916 |  |  |


| PTET.51.1.P0610077 | PTETG6100010001 | Chloramphenicol acetyltransferase- | 25.011 |  |  |  | $-0.08085226$ |  | -2.875649093 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | like domain |  |  |  |  |  | 2.956501353 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0610150 |  | Glycine cleavage system P protein | 26.278 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -1.899402178 |
| PTET.51.1.P0610190 |  | SecY translocase | 24.893 |  |  |  | 1.848137737 | 0.709782674 | $-1.138355063$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0610217 |  | Kinesin-like protein | 11.038 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0610254 |  | Probable mitochondrial import receptor subunit TOM40-2 | 27.925 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-2.119280759$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0620102 |  | Staphylococcal nuclease (SNaselike), OB-fold | 17.655 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0620182 |  | Creatine kinase M-type | 19.196 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0620230 |  | Coiled coil domain | 11.5 | wT | wT |  |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0620246 |  | Peptidase M1, alanine aminopeptidase/leukotriene A4 hydrolase | 65.156 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 1.022904209 |
| PTET.51.1.P0620250 |  | Ribosomal protein L31e | 17.692 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0630027 | can-A5-2, <br> PTETG6300001001 | ATP synthase delta (OSCP) subunit | 50.113 |  |  |  | 1.477651728 | 0.616067503 | $-0.861584225$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0630039 |  | 2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase E1 component | 57.803 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | 1.999695673 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0630139 |  | Fructose-1-6-bisphosphatase, Nterminal domain | 11.475 | wT | wT |  |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0640022 | PtSERCA1, SERCA, SERCA1 | Calcium-transporting ATPase 2, endoplasmic reticulum-type | 92.398 |  |  |  | 1.906902643 | 0.057684191 | -1.964586834 |
| PTET.51.1.P0650012 |  | Thioredoxin | 49.994 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0650034 | STO7, PtSto7, STO | Stomatin-like protein 2, mitochondrial | 12.2 |  | SMC4_2 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0660034 | PTETG6600006001 | Ubiquitin-like modifier-activating enzyme 1 | 30.16 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.384354978 |
| PTET.51.1.P0660075 |  | P-loop containing nucleoside triphosphate hydrolase | 83.436 |  |  |  | 0.645849523 | 0.096721825 | -0.549127698 |
| PTET.51.1.P0660088 |  | Ribosomal protein L22e | 48.162 |  |  |  | 2.140610805 | 2.142047378 | 0.001436573 |
| PTET.51.1.P0660146 |  | Uncharacterized protein YggE | 44.475 |  |  |  | 0.840886608 | 0.871096426 | 0.030209819 |
| PTET.51.1.P0660147 |  | Protein of unknown function DUF541 | 49.105 |  |  |  | 0.376209149 | 0.306949418 | -0.069259731 |
| PTET.51.1.P0670034 | PTETG6700004001 | Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme/RWDlike | 17.071 |  |  |  | 0.347719802 | 0.667080408 | $-1.01480021$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0670042 |  | Thiol protease SEN102 | 13.361 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 2.037950184 |
| PTET.51.1.P0670074 |  | RNA recognition motif domain, eukaryote | 23.026 |  |  |  | $-3.35645567$ | $3.500869393$ | -0.144413722 |
| PTET.51.1.P0670172 |  | Ornithine aminotransferase | 100.44 |  |  |  | 0.534834953 | 0.944850725 | 0.410015772 |
| PTET.51.1.P0670236 |  | Major vault protein, N -terminal | 67.527 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -0.201303798 |
| PTET.51.1.P0690129 |  | Ribosomal protein S5, C-terminal domain | 72.4 |  |  |  | 1.604653985 | 2.079791979 | 0.475137993 |
| PTET.51.1.P0700060 |  | Insulin-like growth factor binding protein, N -terminal | 39.256 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -0.744665076 |
| PTET.51.1.P0700131 |  | Chaperonin Cpn60/TCP-1 | 82.651 |  |  |  | $0.329424262$ | $0.410698235$ | -0.081273972 |
| PTET.51.1.P0700138 |  | Protein piccolo | 17.095 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0710112 | PTIWI01, PTETG7100004001 | Ribonuclease H-like domain | 17.432 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |


| PTET.51.1.P0710131 | alphaPT4, tub_alphaPT4, PTETG7100002001 | Tubulin alpha chain | 323.31 |  |  |  | 0.457990877 | 1.588300465 | 1.130309588 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTET.51.1.P0710133 |  | Ribosomal L22e protein family | 12.684 |  |  |  | 2.129112835 | 1.711487111 | -0.417625724 |
| PTET.51.1.P0710140 |  | Fe-S cluster assembly protein DRE2 | 13.87 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0710220 |  | dITP/XTP pyrophosphatase | 19.327 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0720056 |  | Ribosomal protein L18e/L15P | 17.482 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -1.508538399 |
| PTET.51.1.P0720102 |  | Coiled coil domain | 30.208 |  |  |  | 0.898986084 | 3.501253089 | 2.602267005 |
| PTET.51.1.P0720184 |  | Csel | 24.803 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0730164 |  | KH domain | 185.65 |  |  |  | 2.368489155 | 1.742818213 | -0.625670943 |
| PTET.51.1.P0740114 |  | Zinc finger CCCH domaincontaining protein 13 | 12.068 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | 0.403114662 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0740177 |  | Protein of unknown function DUF3546 | 12.886 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0740192 |  | HAD-like domain | 28.31 |  |  |  | 2.557731101 | 2.591874429 | 0.034143328 |
| PTET.51.1.P0750047 |  | Nephrocystin-3 | 38.474 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | 0.375292 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0750166 |  | Protein kinase domain | 14.303 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0750175 |  | $\mathrm{NAD}(\mathrm{P})$-binding domain | 12.768 |  | SMC4_2 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0750181 |  | MmgE/PrpD | 89.878 |  |  |  | 1.215722326 | 1.516434711 | 0.300712386 |
| PTET.51.1.P0760045 |  | Phosphofructokinase domain | 38.084 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0760195 |  | Ornithine aminotransferase, mitochondrial | 11.905 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -0.251839819 |
| PTET.51.1.P0760207 |  | dTDP-4-dehydrorhamnose reductase | 11.511 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0770007 |  | Putative DNA-binding domain | 24.534 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0770142 |  | Glycine cleavage system T protein | 13.142 |  |  |  | -0.57496509 | $0.562851342$ | 0.012113747 |
| PTET.51.1.P0770143 |  | CID domain | 11.874 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0770145 |  | V-ATPase subunit C | 20.772 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -0.473311621 |
| PTET.51.1.P0770146 |  | 3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase B, peroxisomal | 135.56 |  |  |  | 0.059419468 | 0.770768025 | 0.711348557 |
| PTET.51.1.P0770174 |  | Aspartate/ther aminotransferase | 17.79 |  |  |  | 0.215110468 | 1.574331696 | 1.359221227 |
| PTET.51.1.P0770205 |  | Pyridine nucleotide disulphide reductase class-I signature | 13.056 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | 3.371753647 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0780038 |  | ATPase, F1 complex, gamma subunit domain | 12.269 |  |  |  | 2.525764859 | 1.480945077 | $-1.044819782$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0780047 |  | Phosphoglycerate kinase | 53.096 |  |  |  | $0.221356355$ | $0.310192096$ | -0.088835741 |
| PTET.51.1.P0780060 |  | WD40-repeat-containing domain | 11.894 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0780129 |  | Uridylate kinase | 18.668 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0790053 |  | Heat shock protein 104 | 68.078 |  |  |  | 1.728400086 | 1.496874204 | -0.231525881 |
| PTET.51.1.P0800043 | PTETG8000005001 | Protein kinase-like domain | 23.083 |  | WT | SMC4_1 | 1.817091039 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0800091 |  | Coiled coil domain | 37.057 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0800119 |  | Peptidase C1A, papain C-terminal | 13.802 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 1.279702687 |
| PTET.51.1.P0800141 |  | 60 S ribosomal protein L4 | 90.397 |  |  |  | 2.54424805 | 3.109300998 | 0.565052947 |
| PTET.51.1.P0800155 |  | Endoplasmin | 54.805 |  |  |  | 0.307310857 | $0.227896294$ | -0.535207151 |



| PTET.51.1.P0870174 |  | Histone H2B type 1-M | 24.358 |  |  |  | 2.051335896 | 2.068718942 | 0.017383046 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTET.51.1.P0880068 |  | H-type lectin domain | 323.31 |  |  |  | -1.03534828 | - | -0.023976612 |
| PTET.51.1.P0880113 |  | Protein phosphatase 2 A , regulatory subunit PR55 | 12.358 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -1.184663319 |
| PTET.51.1.P0890039 |  | Reticulocyte-binding protein 2 homolog a | 31.403 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0900010 |  | Pyridine nucleotide disulphide reductase class-I signature | 112.59 |  |  |  | 2.183429211 | 1.431544828 | -0.751884383 |
| PTET.51.1.P0900182 |  | Ribosomal protein | 18.74 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -1.287875511 |
|  |  | L7Ae/L30e/S 12e/Gadd45 family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0900212 |  | Isocitrate/isopropylmalate dehydrogenase | 82.406 |  |  |  | 3.109820213 | 2.110750642 | -0.999069571 |
| PTET.51.1.P0910161 | PTETG9100014001 | Thiolase-like | 37.306 |  |  |  | - | - | $-2.176385867$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.419875227 | 3.596261095 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0920028 |  | Transmembrane protein 120B-A | 18.749 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0920068 | PTETG9200004001 | 40S ribosomal protein S 12 | 24.439 |  |  |  | 1.771498908 | 4.083573 | 2.312074092 |
| PTET.51.1.P0920087 |  | Pyridine nucleotide-disulphide oxidoreductase, $\mathrm{FAD} / \mathrm{NAD}(\mathrm{P})$ binding domain | 26.19 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0920172 |  | Glutathione S -transferase, C -terminal-like | 25.513 |  |  |  | 0.18462559 | 2.51529703 | 2.330671439 |
| PTET.51.1.P0940124 |  | Rcd1 | 12.578 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | 0.950297874 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0940159 |  | Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase class- <br> I, eukaryotic-type | 17.417 |  |  |  | 0.468315033 | 0.48864932 | 0.020334287 |
| PTET.51.1.P0950040 |  | Coiled coil domain | 32.226 |  |  |  | - | - | -3.360102319 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.236895856 | 3.596998175 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0950122 | KdC3 | Histone-lysine N-methyltransferase | 92.957 |  |  |  | 1.342372 |  | $-1.83408343$ |
|  |  | SETD7 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.491711431 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0950124 |  | JAB 1/MPN/MOV34 metalloenzyme domain | 13.17 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0960133 |  | Nucleotide-binding, alpha-beta plait | 11.951 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0970177 |  | Pyridoxal 5'-phosphate synthase subunit PdxT | 12.228 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0980013 |  | 60S ribosomal protein L18 | 21.605 |  |  |  | 1.471434067 | 2.169883154 | 0.698449088 |
| PTET.51.1.P0980025 |  | Ribosomal protein S17e | 16.767 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -1.670851477 |
| PTET.51.1.P0980041 |  | E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase Hakai | 18.056 |  |  |  | 2.271677753 | 0.327816346 | $-1.943861407$ |
| PTET.51.1.P0980107 |  | $50 \mathrm{Sribosome-binding} \mathrm{GTPase}$ | 60.173 |  |  |  | 1.409304793 | 5.111311386 | 3.702006593 |
| PTET.51.1.P0980124 |  | Lysine-specific demethylase 6A | 11.712 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0980168 |  | 40S ribosomal protein SA | 94.167 |  |  |  | $0.786320836$ | 0.841717292 | 1.628038127 |
| PTET.51.1.P0980184 | PTETG9800002001 | 14-3-3 protein | 30.322 |  | WT | SMC4_1 | $0.215862996$ |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P0980191 |  | 50S ribosomal protein L9 | 24.793 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.330378004 |
| PTET.51.1.P1000056 |  | $\mathrm{Na}(+) / \mathrm{H}(+)$ antiporter 2 | 75.107 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.725208741 |
| PTET.51.1.P1010044 |  | Protein kinase-like domain | 44.23 |  | WT | SMC4_1 | 0.639295127 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1010047 |  | TCP-1/cpn60 chaperonin family | 122.5 |  |  |  | 0.309760411 | - | -0.993702455 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.683942043 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1010085 | HSP90-1, <br> PTETG10100003001 | Heat shock protein 81-3 | 182.89 |  |  |  | $0.051692721$ | 0.061835307 | 0.113528028 |


| PTET.51.1.P1010086 |  | Ribosomal protein S7e | 12.609 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.447002848 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTET.51.1.P1010109 |  | AMP-dependent synthetase/ligase | 36.165 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1010158 |  | T-complex protein 1 subunit delta | 162.25 |  |  |  | - | 0.018558121 | 0.145472392 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.126914271 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1020022 |  | ATP-grasp domain | 51.459 |  |  |  | 0.191320437 | 0.226518855 | 0.035198418 |
| PTET.51.1.P1020045 | Hsp70P08 | Luminal-binding protein 5 | 13.453 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 2.441626658 |
| PTET.51.1.P1020084 |  | 40S ribosomal protein S12 | 12.99 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-0.463552511$ |
| PTET.51.1.P1020090 |  | Enoyl-CoA hydratase/isomerase | 12.054 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1020102 |  | Protein phosphatase 2 A , regulatory subunit PR55 | 95.561 |  |  |  | 0.100893338 | 0.438603113 | 0.337709775 |
| PTET.51.1.P1020121 | PTETG10200002001 | WD40-repeat-containing domain | 12.364 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1050110 |  | Malate dehydrogenase | 28.51 |  |  |  | 1.475140728 | 0.851578813 | -0.623561915 |
| PTET.51.1.P1050126 | PTETG10500002001 | Glutathione S-transferase Mu 4 | 24.399 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.157341576 |
| PTET.51.1.P1050161 |  | Glutaredoxin | 19.563 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 2.327755898 |
| PTET.51.1.P1060046 |  | WD40/YVTN repeat-like-containing domain | 77.382 |  |  |  | 0.581379236 | 1.332501327 | 0.751122091 |
| PTET.51.1.P1060077 |  | Profilin-1A | 14.246 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 1.997624453 |
| PTET.51.1.P1060094 |  | Phenylalanyl-tRNA synthetase | 29.413 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | 2.029097809 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1060137 |  | Superoxide dismutase | 21.378 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | 2.344131336 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1060141 |  | Major vault protein beta | 58.25 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.081582513 |
| PTET.51.1.P1060180 | sAG_51A, <br> PTETG10600003001 | G surface protein, allelic form 168 | 323.31 |  |  |  | 0.022167241 | 6.482479954 | 6.460280713 |
| PTET.51.1.P1070023 |  | B30.2/SPRY domain | 19.443 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1070046 |  | P -loop containing nucleoside triphosphate hydrolase | 112.09 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | 0.197453322 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1070063 |  | Profilin | 19.3 |  |  |  | 1.187765191 | 1.840672946 | 0.652907754 |
| PTET.51.1.P1080068 |  | HSP20-like chaperone | 26.622 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.486858772 |
| PTET.51.1.P1080081 |  | Putative amino-acid ABC transporter-binding protein PatH | 28.61 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | 1.570228787 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1080104 |  | F-box-like/WD repeat-containing protein TBL1X | 89.49 |  |  |  | -0.09690444 | 1.844742054 | 1.941646494 |
| PTET.51.1.P1080183 |  | Peptidase C2, calpain, catalytic domain | 12.52 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-0.299752334$ |
| PTET.51.1.P1080192 | rab_C103 | RanBP1 domain | 20.196 |  |  |  | - | - | 0.341354055 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.425457957 | 0.084103902 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1100030 |  | ADP-ribosylation factor family | 21.865 |  |  |  | 0.674859696 | $0.727734637$ | $-1.402594333$ |
| PTET.51.1.P1110038 |  | Homoserine O-acetyltransferase | 35.16 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-2.127433883$ |
| PTET.51.1.P1110100 |  | Transketolase | 55.305 |  |  |  | 0.694456376 | - | $-2.099827384$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.405371008 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1110112 | PCM1 | NAC domain-containing protein 68 | 25.237 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 5.291532417 |
| PTET.51.1.P1110113 | PCM1 | Gamma-crystallin D | 323.31 |  |  |  | - | 0.588232921 | 1.105758405 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.517525484 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1110150 |  | Ribosomal protein L23 | 13.369 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-0.822986529$ |
| PTET.51.1.P1 120047 |  | Vacuolar protein sorting-associated protein 35, Vps35 | 24.377 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1 120052 |  | Ribosomal protein L44e | 19.5 |  |  |  | 1.258718406 | 0.647902934 | -0.610815472 |


| PTET.51.1.P1 120149 |  | MmgE/PrpD family | 25.535 |  |  |  | 1.338002123 | 0.279697861 | ${ }^{-1.617699984}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1120155 |  | 40S ribosomal protein S15-B | 29.115 |  |  |  | 3.524331024 | 2.100154352 | -1.424176672 |
| PTET.51.1.P1130041 |  | Glutathione S-transferase, C-terminal-like | 17.954 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1150054 | TEF1, <br> PTETG1150000300 | Elongation factor Tu GTP binding domain | 156.07 |  |  |  | 0.982599106 | 1.329231722 | 0.346632616 |
| PTET.51.1.P1150082 |  | Mitochondrial substrate/solute carrier | 26.345 |  |  |  | 2.332730903 | 0.356298569 | -1.976432334 |
| PTET.51.1.P1150112 |  | Mitochondrial acidic protein MAM33 | 16.856 |  |  |  | 0.869871225 | $-1.02218374$ | $-1.892054966$ |
| PTET.51.1.P1 150131 |  | Pyridine nucleotide-disulphide oxidoreductase, $\operatorname{FAD} / \mathrm{NAD}(\mathrm{P})$ binding domain | 151.71 |  |  |  | 1.830143446 | 0.615779252 | $-2.445922698$ |
| PTET.51.1.P1160067 |  | Protein kinase domain | 11.517 |  |  |  | 0.929878052 | 1.227154242 | 0.297276191 |
| PTET.51.1.P1160120 |  | 15-cis-zeta-carotene isomerase, chloroplastic | 20.727 |  |  |  | 1.149910628 | 0.352210727 | -0.797699901 |
| PTET.51.1.P1170020 |  | Ribosomal proteins L26 eukaryotic, <br> L24P archaeal | 12.371 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1170022 |  | Histone-fold | 24.943 | wT | wT |  |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1 170122 |  | Mitochondrial phosphate carrier protein 3 , mitochondrial | 63.927 |  |  |  | 3.27310856 | 1.871290831 | -1.401817729 |
| PTET.51.1.P1170129 |  | SURF1 family | 21.674 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1170142 |  | WD domain, G-beta repeat | 11.841 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1180044 |  | Transaldolase | ${ }^{12.623}$ | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1180048 |  | P-loop containing nucleoside triphosphate hydrolase | 40.078 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -0.085526657 |
| PTET.51.1.P1180049 |  | ATPase, F1 complex, gamma subunit domain | 23.67 |  |  |  | 3.839332296 | 2.673869644 | -1.165462652 |
| PTET.51.1.P1180090 |  | Thioredoxin-like fold | 22.037 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1 180110 |  | Heat shock protein 70 family | 201.3 |  |  |  | 0.931212543 | 0.466951899 | $-0.464260645$ |
| PTET.51.1.P1 180158 |  | Calpain family cysteine protease | 22.393 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1190018 |  | Thioredoxin-like fold | 25.785 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 1.195004824 |
| PTET.51.1.P1190028 |  | Ribosomal protein S13-like, H 2 TH | 19.053 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-2.300146215$ |
| PTET.51.1.P1190058 |  | WD40-repeat-containing domain | 34.919 |  |  |  | 0.07788074 | 0.731801254 | 0.653920513 |
| PTET.51.1.P1200007 |  | Sec63 domain | 17.646 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1210120 | rab_A10 | Ras-related protein Rab-1A | 12.524 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1220029 |  | Pyridine nucleotide-disulphide oxidoreductase | 93.949 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -2.971455419 |
| PTET.51.1.P1220083 |  | Serine hydroxymethyltransferase 2, mitochondrial | 13.624 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1220095 |  | NAD(P)-binding domain | 118.86 |  |  |  | 0.585026759 | 0.165789367 | $-0.750816126$ |
| PTET.51.1.P1220101 |  | 26 S proteasome, regulatory subunit Rpn7 | 18.411 |  |  |  | 2.803607409 | 1.667982254 | -1.135625156 |
| PTET.51.1.P1220116 |  | Pyridoxal phosphate-dependent transferase | 13.082 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | $0.203272801$ |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1230083 |  | Cingulin-like protein 1 | 35.152 |  |  |  | 2.290168973 | 1.566806791 | $-0.723362182$ |
| PTET.51.1.P1230130 |  | Nucleoside phosphatase GDA1/CD39 | 73.737 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-0.24888278$ |


| PTET.51.1.P1240009 |  | Succinyl-CoA synthetase, beta subunit | 12.619 |  |  |  | 0.864675047 | 0.374056346 | $-0.490618701$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTET.51.1.P1240016 |  | Nuclear pore complex protein GP210 | 14.025 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1240020 |  | 26S proteasome regulatory complex, non-ATPase subcomplex, Rpn1 subunit | 30.79 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-1.807403992$ |
| PTET.51.1.P1240024 |  | Protein arginine N -methyltransferase | 45.073 |  |  |  | - | - | $-0.295744234$ |
|  |  | 1.1 |  |  |  |  | 1.506895769 | 1.802640004 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1240046 |  | H-type lectin domain | 16.791 |  |  |  | - | - | $-0.169747837$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.959342905 | 1.129090743 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1240098 |  | Adenylate kinase | 26.531 |  |  |  | 1.917139291 | - | -2.793376592 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.876237301 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1250020 |  | Protein disulfide-isomerase | 13.065 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1250118 |  | Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme/RWD- | 133.41 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | 2.316623531 |  |  |
|  |  | like |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1250136 |  | Ribosomal protein L11/L12 | 26.78 |  |  |  | 2.907491599 | 2.166520982 | $-0.740970617$ |
| PTET.51.1.P1260091 |  | Netrin receptor UNC5D | 48.601 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -0.954801324 |
| PTET.51.1.P1270007 |  | WD40-repeat-containing domain | 13.339 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.685506805 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1270143 | PTETG12700004001 | Serine/threonine-protein kinase Nek3 | 16.61 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1280037 |  | Iron sulphur-containing domain, | 24.96 |  |  |  | 0.484430327 | - | $-1.295926482$ |
|  |  | CDGSH-type, subfamily |  |  |  |  |  | 0.811496155 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1280060 |  | Coenzyme A transferase | 19.639 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | ${ }^{-0.165252255}$ |
| PTET.51.1.P1290088 |  | Ribonuclease P protein subunit p 25 - | 12.392 |  |  |  | 0.631763337 | 0.726406933 | 0.094643596 |
|  |  | like protein |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1290090 | PTETG12900002001 | Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme/RWDlike | 12.842 |  | SMC4_2 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  |
| PTET. 51.1.P1300003 |  | Proteasome subunit Rpn10 | 19.785 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 2.142926869 |
| PTET.51.1.P1300018 |  | Glutathione S-transferase, C-terminal domain | 36.052 |  |  |  | 0.372621272 | 1.261651879 | 0.889030606 |
| PTET.51.1.P1300068 |  | Elongation factor G-1, chloroplastic | 87.093 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-1.902561375$ |
| PTET.51.1.P1300125 |  | Coiled coil domain | 12.374 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1300163 |  | Nucleic acid-binding, OB-fold | 24.228 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.78044884 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $2.269195043$ | $1.488746203$ |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1310018 |  | Beta/Gamma crystallin | 323.31 |  |  |  | - | - | -0.53254491 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.637974026 | 1.170518936 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1310046 |  | Ribosomal protein L13 | 19.794 |  |  |  | -0.22092009 | 0.740273385 | 0.961193475 |
| PTET.51.1.P1320133 |  | 40S ribosomal protein S4 | 99.809 |  |  |  | 0.375382546 | 0.887916365 | 0.512533819 |
| PTET.51.1.P1330007 |  | Ribonuclease T2-like | 34.433 |  |  |  | 1.160025841 | 0.826409547 | -0.333616293 |
| PTET.51.1.P1330041 | $\mathrm{pp} 2 \mathrm{r}-1,$ <br> PTETG13300003001 | Calcineurin-like phosphoesterase | 19.466 |  |  |  | 0.135928447 | $0.589197925$ | $-0.725126372$ |
| PTET.51.1.P1330115 |  | haloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase | 19.574 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1330129 |  | Capsid protein | 19.376 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | 1.633443182 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1330138 |  | Mercuric resistance operon regulatory protein | 23.79 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1340014 |  | Mitochondrial carrier protein | 13.184 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-1.398398284$ |
| PTET.51.1.P1340068 |  | BTB/POZ fold | 39.8 |  |  |  | 0.613566915 |  | $-1.370722741$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.757155826 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1350053 | PTETG13500002001 | Intraflagellar transport protein 172 homolog | 60.24 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | $0.720985075$ |  |  |


| PTET.51.1.P1350121 |  | Ribosomal protein L18 | 18.22 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 2.906453069 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTET.51.1.P1360074 | PTETG13600002001 | Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme | 11.824 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 1.399597445 |
| PTET.51.1.P1360118 |  | HAD-like domain | 12.223 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1370083 |  | Adenylate kinase | 11.25 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.021812029 |
| PTET.51.1.P1390019 |  | Insulin-like growth factor binding protein, N -terminal | 46.094 |  |  |  | 1.240494258 | $1.433062785$ | -0.192568527 |
| PTET.51.1.P1390033 |  | 26S proteasome non-ATPase regulatory subunit 11 | 11.658 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1400024 | can-A4-2, <br> PTETG14000006001 | ATPase, OSCP/delta subunit | 13.619 |  |  |  | $2.160970389$ | $1.733024893$ | 0.427945497 |
| PTET.51.1.P1400027 |  | DNA double-strand break repair Rad50 ATPase | 11.03 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1400050 |  | Uncharacterized protein C458.02c | 52.702 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1400060 |  | Ribosomal protein L15e | 31.687 |  |  |  | 0.095325499 | 1.498916489 | 1.40359099 |
| PTET.51.1.P1400095 | PTETG14000016001 | V-type ATP synthase alpha chain | 30.454 |  |  |  | 0.235037571 | $0.389344583$ | -0.624382154 |
| PTET.51.1.P1400107 |  | Probable mitochondrial 2oxoglutarate/malate carrier protein | 46.069 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -1.193078776 |
| PTET.51.1.P1420051 | PCM2, <br> PTETG14200002001 | Insulin-like growth factor binding protein, N -terminal | 239.05 |  |  |  | $1.265557647$ | $0.632218445$ | 0.633339202 |
| PTET.51.1.P1420091 | PTETG14200003001 | ARP2/3 complex, 34kDa subunit (p34-Arc) | 57.471 |  |  |  | 0.244356598 | 1.530006146 | 1.285649547 |
| PTET.51.1.P1430120 |  | Alanine--tRNA ligase | 18.107 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1440017 |  | Oligoribonuclease | 161.77 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | 0.884989695 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1440071 | PtCenBP1, CenBP1 | Coiled coil domain | 12.244 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1440105 | ran_B14 | Ran GTPase | 73.916 |  |  |  | 2.478509648 | 2.695605156 | 0.217095508 |
| PTET.51.1.P1440125 |  | 2-acylglycerol O-acyltransferase 1 | 35.927 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -1.177335223 |
| PTET.51.1.P1440137 |  | Oxygen-dependent choline dehydrogenase | 18.957 |  |  |  | 0.047823335 | $0.174734402$ | -0.222557737 |
| PTET.51.1.P1450009 |  | 50S ribosome-binding GTPase | 11.335 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1450044 |  | Chromo (CHRromatin Organisation MOdifier) domain | 22.105 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -3.344759907 |
| PTET.51.1.P1460073 |  | Lipid-A-disaccharide synthetase | 61.174 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-2.969791857$ |
| PTET.51.1.P1460081 |  | Accumulation-associated protein | 85.927 |  |  |  | 3.821062816 | 1.982678154 | $-1.838384663$ |
| PTET.51.1.P1470096 |  | Prohibitin | 18.631 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1470170 |  | Protein translocase subunit SecA | 35.519 |  |  |  | 1.825159106 | 0.813759709 | -1.011399397 |
| PTET.51.1.P1480062 |  | 54S ribosomal protein L17, mitochondrial | 11.878 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 1.211895697 |
| PTET.51.1.P1480099 |  | Thymidine kinase | 19.165 |  |  |  | 0.431361615 | 0.690825846 | 0.259464231 |
| PTET.51.1.P1490073 |  | Succinate dehydrogenase | 59.133 |  |  |  | 4.666455542 | 4.285994996 | -0.380460546 |
| PTET.51.1.P1490078 |  | Fibrillarin | 17.918 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1490080 |  | C3a anaphylatoxin chemotactic receptor | 20.303 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -2.434963222 |
| PTET.51.1.P1490087 |  | Branched-chain-amino-acid aminotransferase | 26.418 |  | WT | SMC4_1 | 2.770852094 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1500083 |  | Ribosomal protein S3Ae | 86.55 |  |  |  | 0.559399878 | 0.181437586 | -0.377962292 |
| PTET.51.1.P1500100 |  | 40 r ribosomal protein S4 | 29.476 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.453406053 |


| PTET.51.1.P1510028 | PTETG15100006001 | Peptidase C48, SUMO/Sentrin/Ubll | 11.626 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.494294568 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTET.51.1.P1510031 |  | 60 r ribosomal protein L10a-3 | 68.376 |  |  |  | 2.479402854 | 3.037658986 | 0.558256132 |
| PTET.51.1.P1510079 |  | Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase small chain 3B, chloroplastic | 18.178 |  |  |  | 0.327038715 | 1.078034119 | -1.405072834 |
| PTET.51.1.P1520081 |  | Protein kinase domain | 17.984 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1530028 |  | tRNA dimethylallyltransferase | 26.258 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -0.671453806 |
| PTET.51.1.P1530088 |  | Ribosomal protein S26e | 12.72 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1540048 |  | ssDNA-binding transcriptional regulator | 22.987 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -0.306716605 |
| PTET.51.1.P1540072 |  | $\mathrm{K}(+)$ efflux antiporter 1, chloroplastic | 323.31 |  |  |  | - | - | $-1.38758384$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.440158101 | 1.827741941 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1550057 |  | ATP-dependent DNA helicase hus $2 / \mathrm{rqh} 1$ | 57.515 |  |  |  | 2.826145337 | 2.62925964 | -0.196885698 |
| PTET.51.1.P1550131 |  | Feline leukemia virus subgroup C receptor-related protein 1 | 16.231 |  |  |  | 1.051504885 | $0.578287127$ | -1.629792012 |
| PTET.51.1.P1560087 |  | Ribosomal protein S12/S23 | 12.105 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -0.997217404 |
| PTET.51.1.P1570010 |  | 505 ribosome-binding GTPase | 33.631 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1570012 |  | Cyclin-dependent kinase 3 | 24.144 |  |  |  | $0.616313758$ | 3.139649555 | 3.755963312 |
| PTET.51.1.P1570072 |  | UBA-like | 11.648 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | $1.805547921$ |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1570128 |  | ADP,ATP carrier protein | 173.12 |  |  |  | 1.282219882 | 0.233600387 | $-1.048619495$ |
| PTET.51.1.P1580009 |  | Thioredoxin-like fold | 48.547 |  |  |  | 0.903770202 | 0.493540044 | -0.410230158 |
| PTET.51.1.P1580012 |  | Ribosomal Proteins L2, C-terminal domain | 33.415 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.28592987 |
| PTET.51.1.P1580031 |  | Ribosomal protein S17 | 33.724 |  |  |  | 0.002319576 | 0.350455343 | 0.348135767 |
| PTET.51.1.P1580060 |  | Palmitoyl-acyl carrier protein thioesterase, chloroplastic | 12.935 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -1.964528414 |
| PTET.51.1.P1590096 |  | Fez1 | 11.146 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1590123 | alpha-51D, <br> sAG_alpha51D | Paramecium surface antigen | 89.221 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1600056 |  | Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase, catalytic domain | 17.166 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1600099 |  | Protein kinase-like domain | 32.104 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -1.541738597 |
| PTET.51.1.P1610030 |  | Thiamin diphosphate-binding fold | 20.535 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -0.044620492 |
| PTET.51.1.P1620066 |  | CTD small phosphatase-like protein 2 | 11.817 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1630038 |  | Probable mitochondrial transport protein fsf1 | 18.25 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1630088 | beta-PT2, bPT2, SU3, tub_betaPT2 | Tubulin | 323.31 |  |  |  | 1.248976646 | 1.519761843 | 0.270785197 |
| PTET.51.1.P1630095 |  | Respiratory-chain NADH dehydrogenase 51 Kd subunit | 24.358 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -0.410413817 |
| PTET.51.1.P1640075 |  | Protein phosphatase 1 regulatory subunit 3B | 18.766 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1640126 |  | SF-assemblin/beta giardin | 18.857 |  |  |  | $0.750119447$ | 0.83535631 | 1.585475758 |
| PTET.51.1.P1650072 |  | Ubiquinol-cytochrome C reductase hinge domain | 12.432 |  |  |  | $-0.15242399$ | 1.892996119 | 2.045420109 |
| PTET.51.1.P1650092 |  | Ribosomal protein L6 | 18.464 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-1.157853725$ |


| PTET.51.1.P1660054 |  | Protein kinase-like domain | 56.732 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTET.51.1.P1660069 |  | 60S ribosomal protein L21-B | 20.026 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.31336729 |
| PTET.51.1.P1660073 |  | Heat-inducible transcription repressor | 14.394 |  |  |  | - | - | -0.251137368 |
|  |  | HrcA |  |  |  |  | 0.421504265 | 0.672641633 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1670032 |  | Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 subunit I | 21.048 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | 0.806905964 |
| PTET.51.1.P1670039 |  | Chalcone--flavonone isomerase | 46.469 |  |  |  | 3.250695806 | 2.537188173 | -0.713507633 |
| PTET.51.1.P1680035 |  | Trimeric LpxA-like | 39.857 |  |  |  | 1.240003353 | - | -3.136902692 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.896899339 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1680046 |  | Virilizer, N-terminal | 57.565 |  |  |  | 3.5619662 | 3.399349516 | -0.162616683 |
| PTET.51.1.P1680080 |  | Ribosomal protein L28e | 19.239 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -0.656113316 |
| PTET.51.1.P1680081 |  | Ribosomal protein S4e | 24.207 |  |  |  | 0.415201384 | -0.22081778 | -0.636019164 |
| PTET.51.1.P1700045 |  | Zonadhesin | 323.31 |  |  |  | 2.455499603 | 1.142054361 | -1.313445242 |
| PTET.51.1.P1700072 |  | Sulfide:quinone oxidoreductase, mitochondrial | 55.399 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-3.355770293$ |
| PTET.51.1.P1710013 |  | Alcohol dehydrogenase superfamily, zinc-type | 17.303 |  | SMC4_2 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1710019 |  | ClpP/crotonase-like domain | 135.52 |  |  |  | 0.385598398 | 0.264768192 | -0.120830205 |
| PTET.51.1.P1710064 |  | 40S ribosomal protein S5 | 31.335 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -1.992516361 |
| PTET.51.1.P1730008 |  | Probable butyrate kinase | 18.541 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -1.105734912 |
| PTET.51.1.P1740041 |  | Dynein heavy chain-like protein | 11.894 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | - |  |  |
|  |  | PF11_0240 |  |  |  |  | 0.498329767 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1750015 |  | Ribosomal protein L10/acidic P0 | 65.449 |  |  |  | 1.716416174 | 1.89241648 | 0.176000305 |
| PTET.51.1.P1750049 |  | Coiled coil domain | 16.837 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1750074 |  | EF-hand domain pair | 17.706 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1780068 |  | PCI domain | 11.547 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1790015 | PTETG17900001001 | P-loop containing nucleoside triphosphate hydrolase | 50.163 |  |  |  | 2.56757276 | 2.268188729 | -0.299384031 |
| PTET.51.1.P1790028 |  | Polynucleotide 3'-phosphatase ZDP | 13.979 |  |  |  | 0.532951296 | - | $-2.343699113$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.810747817 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1820010 |  | Clathrin heavy chain 1 | 238.04 |  |  |  | 2.865940145 | 1.197758278 | -1.668181867 |
| PTET.51.1.P1820013 |  | PKHD-type hydroxylase AZC_3753 | 28.618 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1820041 |  | Thioredoxin-like fold | 25.016 |  | SMC4_2 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1840023 |  | Coiled coil domain | 25.332 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P1840047 | PTETG18400001001 | Protein kinase domain | 323.31 |  |  |  | 4.538036906 | 1.1679505 | $-3.370086406$ |
| PTET.51.1.P1850001 |  | DNA double-strand break repair | 12.299 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Rad50 ATPase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P2080011 |  | Lipoamide Acyltransferase | 19.841 |  | wT | SMC4_1 | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.207487422 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P2150003 |  | 6 -phosphogluconate dehydrogenase, | 37.23 |  |  |  | 0.445928526 | - | -1.424736608 |
|  |  | NADP-binding |  |  |  |  |  | 0.978808082 |  |
| PTET.51.1.P2410004 |  | Protein kinase-like domain | 29.443 |  |  |  | - | 0.957945197 | 1.299776293 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.341831096 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P2530004 |  | Probable xyloglucan galactosyltransferase GT19 | 12.129 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P2550005 |  | rRNA methyltransferase 1 , mitochondrial | 36.057 |  |  |  | 0.572601156 | $0.434777814$ | $-1.007378971$ |
| PTET.51.1.P2710001 |  | 40S ribosomal protein S14 | 11.721 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | $-0.327344848$ |


| PTET.51.1.P2710005 | CAP domain | 11.386 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTET.51.1.P2740007 | Homeodomain-like | 30.069 | SMC4_1 |  | SMC4_1 |  |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P3030001 | Molybdopterin synthase catalytic subunit 2 | 44.243 |  |  |  | 2.484049115 | -0.81146107 | -3.295510185 |
| PTET.51.1.P3490004 | P-loop containing nucleoside triphosphate hydrolase | 64.813 |  |  |  | 3.15236338 | 2.550851555 | -0.601511825 |
| PTET.51.1.P4420003 | Cytochrome Cl family | 74.259 |  |  |  | 2.448505491 | $0.540811163$ | -2.989316654 |
| PTET.51.1.P5040001 | Ribosomal protein S8e | 14.449 |  |  |  | -0.28599972 | 1.575060577 | 1.861060297 |
| PTET.51.1.P5560008 | Arginine-tRNA-protein transferase, C-terminal | 25.684 |  | WT | SMC4_1 | 0.786201325 |  |  |
| PTET.51.1.P5560045 | Maintenance of mitochondrial structure and function | 12.939 | SMC4_1 | SMC4_2 |  |  |  | -0.323164633 |

## Supplementary sequences list

BgIII specific 3-IES candidates
ID 390
Left IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.60.189390
sequence=TACAGATCTTAACTTAATTTTTAATATTTTTTAATTTTGATCGCTAAAACATATTATATTTTTTAATTGAAAAAGAGATTCTAATGATT CCATAAGTATTGAAAATAGATTCGAATAATTGAAAAAGAAAAAAGAAATCATGTTTATTG

Middle IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.60.189879
sequence $=$ TATAGCTAATAACATATGTTTTGTAATTAATGTCGCGTTTAAAAATAATTTGAGATCAATAAGTTGAATTTTACTG
Right IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.60.190332
sequence $=$ TACATTCAATTAAGTAAAATTTACTGATGATCCTTGATATTTAAAATTACAAAATAATACCATTAATGAAAAGGCGAAAGAATAA TATTTATTTTTTCCATTTTTTTGAATATAATAAAAAATCAAAATATATTTCAAGATCTG

## Region sequence

TAACTTAATTTTTTAATATTTTTAAATTTTGATCGCTAAAACATATTATATTTTTAAATTGAAAAAGAGATTCTAATGATTCCATAAGTATTGAAA ATAGATTCGAATAATTGAAAAAGAAAAAAGAAATCATGTTTATTGTATTGTTTGATTGGCTAGAATTGCTTCCTTCGAGTTGTTTCTTATT GGACTAAGTTTTGAGGTATTGTCACTGCTTTCTTGATTATAAAATCAAATTCTTGTTAGAATAGAGTATCATTTTATTGAATGATGCAAATG GAGAATCGACTTATCTAAACTATAAAATTTATGATGAAGAACAGTATAATAAAACTATATTGAAGGCATAATCCTTCTTAGCATTTATGAGG ATCTATGCCTCTTAGATCGTCCAATAATGTGGAGATTAATTTTTATCAGCCATAGATAAATTATTATAATAAAACAATTTTGATATCATTGAA AAAAATAAGGATTATACAATTTTAGAATTGCCTCTAAAAGTTGCTTAATTATTTAAGAGTGGAAATAAAGAAAATACATAATTAGCAAATA CTTTGATGGTTGATTTCAATAAGAAAATGAAATAATTAAAAAACTCTGATTTTGATGTTTCTGTGTTAGAAGAGGTATAGCTAATAACATA TGTTTTGTAATTAATGTCGCGTTTAAAAATAATTTGAGATCAATAAGTTGAATTTTACTGTAGGAGCTAATCTTGGATATGATATTTTAGCA GATCCAGTAGAATTAATGGAAATGAAAAATTTACTAAAGATAAGAATTAAAAATAAGGCATATTCACTTGAAACATATGAAAAATATTTTG ATTATCAAATGAAACTAGTCTCCTTGATTAGACATTATGATGAAGAATTGTCTATGTAATTAATTTTTGAAAAGATACTATCTGACGATCCA TAAAATCTCAAAGTTCAGATTAATTATGATTGGCTTAAGTTTTCAGAAAATAAAATCTCACAATCACAATTGATTGAAATATATTAAAAATA TCTCССTGCCCCACCAAAGATTGAACAAATCATTTACAAAAGAATAGGAACACTAAAATTAATAAATAATTAAATAAATGAAGCAAAAG CTTGTTTTGAAAAAATATAAGGTGATGATTACGAGGCCAGTTTGGCGCTTGGTATGATACATTCAATTAAGTAAAATTTACTGATGATCCT TGATATTTAAAATTACAAAATAATACCATTAATGAAAAGGCGAAAGAATAATATTTATTTTTTCCATTTTTTTGAATATAATAAAAAATCAA AATATATTTCA

LEFT_PRIMER: TGAAAAAGAGATTCTAATGATTCCATAAGT
LEFT_PRIMER_START_LENGTH: $(56,30)$
RIGHT_PRIMER: TTCGCCTTTTCATTAATGGTATTATTTTGT
RIGHT_PRIMER_START_LENGTH: $(1233,30)$
PCR Product size $=1178$

## ID 75

Left IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.86.181075
sequence $=$ TACAGTGGTTTTATACAAATATTTATTTATAAAAATATAATCTCATATTTGAAAACAACATAAATTAATTAATGATAATGAAAATAA GATCTATAAATATTGGGGAATTCTAACTACCTTGGCATCACTTTAACTGATAAATCTCATCTTTCTAACTAGAGTAGTGAAATAAAGGAAG AATTAATTATTTTTCAAATTGACTTAATGGGATTTCTTTTAAAATGAATAGCAAAAAAGAATTATTTGACTTACTCAAATTTAGTTATCTAA AACAACTCACTTTAAAAACTCCAATAGAGTAATCTGATAAAACTATAAAGAAGTCCATGAAGATGATAACCAGAGTGCCAAAATCAACA CCAAATTGTATTATTGATATACTAATCGAAATTAATAGAAATAATTAGGTTCCTAAGTGCCTTATAAATTTAAAGAGATAATTGAAATCATTG AGGGGAAAACGAAGAAAAGCAGATCTGTCAAGATGAAGATACAAGTGGAACAAGCGATAACAGATATCAATGAATAATTTATTGTATGT TTAAGCTCATTAACAGACATAATAATTATAAGATTCAATAACCAAAATGATTGGTTATATAAATTAATTGTTCTAACAAAAATATAGTATATT ATATTTGAATTTCCCAATCCCTATTTTATTCTATATTATAAATTTAGGGGTTTTCCTCCTTTAAATTGGTTGTTTTATCCCTTTTATATTAGGTA AATTAATCTTATACATTTCTAATTGGAATATTATATAAGATTATACAAATACAAATCTTTTGATATAATTGTATGAAAACACCTTAGAGAAAC TTTTCTTAAATTTAATTAAATAGAGAAAGATGACAAGATTTAAAGTAATTTAAAAAAATTTAGCTTATTTTATCAAATAAATAAACTATAAG CAAAACTGATGATTTAGAAACAAAAAAATATTATTTACTTAAAAAAGTAACAATTTTAAGTAGCCTCAACTG

Middle IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.86.182566 sequence=TATAGTCTGTTCTTAATATTCAAATATTCATAATAAAGTAATATCGACTG
Right IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.86.182821
sequence=TATGTTTCTTGCAAAAAAGAATTTATGGAGTATCAATTATTTAATTATAAAATGATTATGATGAAATTCCTCAAAATTTGATATCTT ATAAATAGAACTACACATATATAAGATAATTGAAAAACAATGAATTATTTATAAATTTCCATCTATATGTCTCTTCCTTCTAGGAAAATCTTA TATGCAAGATCTA

Region sequence
GTCAAGATGAAGATACAAGTGGAACAAGCGATAACAGATATCAATGAATAATTTATTGTATGTTTAAGCTCATTAACAGACATAATAATTA TAAGATTCAATAACCAAAATGATTGGTTATATAAATTAATTGTTCTAACAAAAATATAGTATATTATATTTGAATTTCCCAATCCCTATTTTAT TCTATATTATAAATTTAGGGGTTTTCCTCCTTTAAATTGGTTGTTTTATCCCTTTTATATTAGGTAAATTAATCTTATACATTTCTAATTGGAAT ATTATATAAGATTATACAAATACAAATCTTTTGATATAATTGTATGAAAACACCTTAGAGAAACTTTTCTTAAATTTAATTAAATAGAGAAA GATGACAAGATTTAAAGTAATTTAAAAAAATTTAGCTTATTTTATCAAATAAATAAACTATAAGCAAAACTGATGATTTAGAAACAAAAA AATATTATTTACTTAAAAAAGTAACAATTTTAAGTAGCCTCAACTGTATCAATCAATTTATTTTAAAATTCTTATGAACACTACATTTTCAA AACAGGCTTCCTTGCATTATCAATTACTTTGACATCCTTAATAAAGCCTTGTATCTAAAACTATATACCATAATACTTTGAATTATTCAGCAA TTTTATACAAAGAGATCCTTTCTTATTCATTTGTTTCTATTACAATATCAATATCAGAGTCAAGTAAATTTAACCCCGTATAGCAAGAACCG TATAAAAAGGCACGAGATTTAGAAGATACAGTTTGAACAATCTAATAATTGAATGAGAATAGATCATACTTCATCAACCATCTACACAATT TTGTCAATAATTGGCCTTTATTCTTCCAATAATTTATTCAGCTCTTGAGAGAAGGTATTGATTTCAAAAGATAATTACCTAAATTTACTAATA TGGTTACTTAACAATGTCATCTAAGGTAGTTCTGTGTTAAGGTAAAGTAACGATGGTTTCAGAGATCAATTAATCATAAAATGGTTGATTT ATATAATTATGCTCTGGAATTTATGATTCCGAGTCCTTCTTTTCTTTGTTTTCTGTTTGGATTGCTTGATCATTGAATACTTTGGGTGGTTTA

TGAAAAATTTCACAACAATCCTCATATTTGGATGACTTCTGCGAATTTTAATTATTTTCAACTAATTCTTCTTACTTTCTTTTTCTATTTGGG GATTTTTGAAATTGAATTTCTTCTTCAATAAGTTAGTTTCTTGTTTCTTGATTGTAAGCAACATCTAATGGTGTTTGATGTTGTTAATTTTTT TTTTTATAGAGATTTTCTTTTTGACAAATACTTTTTATAATTTATAAATTGCCCAATCTAGATGCTACATGTAACGCTGTGTCTCCATTTTCAT CCTGTCCAGAAGCCCCATACTATTCAATTATCTTTTGTGCCGTTTTAAAATTGCCTTTACGCAGTGATTTGATTAAGTGTTAAGGCTTTTCA AGGGAGGTGAGTTGAAGCAATGTTTCCACAATATCCCAATTATCTTATTCTGATTAAATCGCGATATCAAGTGCTAGTTTAAATTATTTTTT CTCAAACTTTTCTTTGTATTTTATAATTTCATTTAATATGCTAATTTTGCCGCTTTTTGCTGCCTAGATCAAAGCAGTGTTTCCTTGATTATC CTTATAATTGAAATCAATTTATTATCTTGATATTTTTGGAAAATATTTACTTTAAAATTTATTAAATGCATTATAAGTGTTCTTCACTATCTCTA GTATAATAGATGCATTCAACTAAGGCTTATGAAAAATTCATTTATGCTGTTTAATTTACTCGTATATAATCTTCTAATTAAACATATTCACCTA AATTTCAAATGTAGTGGTACCTTGATTAGTAAATTCGACTATTTTTTTATTAGTGAATAGATTATTATTACATATCGTGATTTTTAATAATTTAT TTAATACATTAACAAAAATTCTCATTATAATAAAACAGTCCATATATACAGATATAGTCTGTTCTTAATATTCAAATATTCATAATAAAGTAAT ATCGACTGTAATAATAAATTTAGTAAAAACAAAAACTGTTTTATCAAATATGGATTGAATCAAAGTGGCTAAGGTGATTCTTATAAAATAA GTGTCTTTTGCCCGTTTAGCAATATGTGTATTAATTCAAATAGTCTCATGTTTCCATCGAGTTTCTACGTTTTCACTCTGCCCACTAAGATA GATAAATAAGGATGTAGAAACTTCCTTTTTTAATTAGTTTTATTAATTCTATCCCAATACGCTTGTGAAATTAGGTTTGATATGTTTCTTGCA AAAAAGAATTTATGGAGTATCAATTATTTAATTATAAAATGATTATGATGAAATTCCTCAAAATTTGATATCTTATAAATAGAACTACACATA TATAAGATAATTGAAAAACAATGAATTATTTATAAATTTCCATCTATATGTCTCTTCCTTCTAGGAAAATCTTATATGCA
LEFT_PRIMER: GGTTTTCCTCCTTTAAATTGGTTGTTTTAT
LEFT_PRIMER_START_LENGTH: $(204,30)$
RIGHT_PRIMER: CCTAGAAGGAAGAGACATATAGATGGAAAT
RIGHT_PRIMER_START_LENGTH: $(2474,30)$
PCR Product size $=2271$

> DraI specific 3-IES candidates

ID 377
Left IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.18.134377
sequence=TAAAGCAGGCCCATTTTATCTCCCATAAATTTCCTAAACTGGAAATTCATTTAACATGTATACACTTGGGTGAATTTACCTTTTG ACAAATTAATCATTTCATATTTGAAACACAAAGAATAATTTCTTATTATTTAAAGATAAATATGAAATTTGTTGGTGAATTTTAATATTTAGA AAATCATAGTAAACATTTTGCTTAAAATATTGTTAATTCAATGAATAAGAACTGTATATATTATAATTTTTACATTTAATAATCAAAATACGA AATATTAATTGTAAATTCACTCAAAATGTATTTTAATTG

Middle IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.18.134388
sequence=TACACTCTTATATATTATAAATAAGTTAATTTTATAATTATATATGG
Right IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.18.134467
sequence=TACAGTCGATCTTATATTATTAAACATTTTTGAGTTGAACTTTTATTCATCTGATTATTTTGACAATTTACCAAATCCTCTTTTCAT CTACTAATTTATATTTGAATTCATAATCCAAGTTAATTTAAAATTATAGGTGATATGTTAATGAATGGGTTTGTATGATACTTAATGTACATTG ATTAATCCATTATCTTTTATGCTGTTTAAGAAAAATATGTCTTAAATCAAAAATTATTTTGCAGCCTTAATTAAATCAATTACATCATAAATT ACATTTCGATAAATTCATTTCGAAAAATATTTTCTATATAGATTTTAGATTATAACAATAAATAAATTTGGATTATCAATTTACAATTTCTAAT TGAAAAATGTTTAATAATATAAGCTCTTCTG

## Region sequence

GATAAATATGAAATTTGTTGGTGAATTTTAATATTTAGAAAATCATAGTAAACATTTTGCTTAAAATATTGTTAATTCAATGAATAAGAACT GTATATATTATAATTTTTACATTTAATAATCAAAATACGAAATATTAATTGTAAATTCACTCAAAATGTATTTTAATTGTAGTTATGAACTACA CTCTTATATATTATAAATAAGTTAATTTTATAATTATATATGGTATCATCTACAAATACTGAACTAAACCTTATAAAGATATTAATATGAATTTT AGATTTATTTTTGAAGAAATCTTTAGGTACAGTCGATCTTATATTATTAAACATTTTTGAGTTGAACTTTTATTCATCTGATTATTTTGACAA TTTACCAAATCCTCTTTTCATCTACTAATTTATATTTGAATTCATAATCCAAGTTAA

LEFT_PRIMER: ACGAAATATTAATTGTAAATTCACTCAAAATGT
LEFT_PRIMER START_LENGTH: $(128,33)$
RIGHT PRIMER: GATGAAAAGAGGATTTGGTAAATTGTCAAA
RIGHT_PRIMER_START_LENGTH: $(395,30)$
PCR Product size $=268$
PciI specific 3-IES candidates

## ID 144

Left IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.6.380144
sequence=TACATTCACAATTTCATTCAATAATTTTTTTTAATAAAAAGAGTTTATTATCTCTTTTATATGAAATTTAGTTTCATAATTATACAA GAATTATGTAAAATTAAGAAAATAGGTAATAATGCCATTAATTATTTAATGAAAATAAAGCAAGAAAAACTTAATTTAAATTTGAACATCT CAAAAAAATGACAATATTTTCTCATAAAAAACCATGCAAAAATTTGCTCTTCGAAAATAATATATAAATAATTAATGTCATTTAAGAGATTA GAAGATTCCTCTAAACTTTAGATGAATCTTATTTCACTAATTAAGATTTTAATTTTGATTTACGTATGTCATTGTTTATTAATGACATGTAATT GATCTTAAGTGACATATTTGCAACTATTTTGTATAAGAAAGAAATCTTTAAATTTTTTGAATTATTTATTTAAACATTTTTTAAATATCTTCAT AAATTTAATAAAATATTTAGAAAATATAGTATAAAATATTAAAAAAAAAATAATAAAACAATTAAAGAAAAACATATAAATTATAACTTTCA ATATTTTTCATATAAAATTAATGAAAATTTACTAAAAAATAAATGTTGATTTTGCTA

Middle IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.6.381684
sequence=TATAGAAGATAAGACAGAAATGAGCTA
Right IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.6.382023
sequence $=$ TATTGCTTGATTAATCACTCAGTTTGGATTACTCGATAATAAACTATCAGTTTATCTAAGCTAATTGTAAATATAAAATCCATATTA GTTTAATAATTTATTTTAGTTTAAGAGATAAAATTGAACATGTAAAATATATAAAATCTGAAAAACTTATTAGTATATAAACCTAAAAATCTC ATTTGGAATTGGTTGACAA

AATTGATCTTAAGTGACATATTTGCAACTATTTTGTATAAGAAAGAAATCTTTAAATTTTTTGAATTATTTATTTAAACATTTTTTAAATATCT TCATAAATTTAATAAAATATTTAGAAAATATAGTATAAAATATTAAAAAAAAAATAATAAAACAATTAAAGAAAAACATATAAATTATAACT TTCAATATTTTTCATATAAAATTAATGAAAATTTACTAAAAAATAAATGTTGATTTTGCTATATTAGTGGATAGCAAAATAAGCCTGAATCG CATTGCATATTCAATTAAAATCATCTTTTTCCAACATATTTAATCAAGAAAATAATATTGAGGGTGATTTAACAAAGATATTAAAGCTTTTT AAGTATTGAATTCATATACGAATTCAATACTTAATATTATTTCTTAATAGGAATCTTTTTAATAAAGATGCAGATCTTAGATTGATCCATAATT TCAATGACATAGCTTAAGAAAATTCATATAATTTAAATCTTGATAAGTATTAGAAAATGCTTTATTAAGCTTGGATACCTTTTTCAATTTAAT AGTTTGAATATTTATTTTCTTTAGATTTATCAAAAAATAAATTATAAATTAATATTAAATTATTTTGATAATTATTTATAACAATAATTACTCAA TCATTTTTTGTTCATTGTCCCTCCATATTTTACTTTTCCTAATAAAAACTCACAAACAGCCACAGGTGCTTTTTCTTTCATTTCATCCAATG AAATCCACTTTTAATCAGTTGAATCTTCGAAACACACTAAAAAATCTACTCTTCCATTATCGTGTCTAACTTGTTTGACTTAACAAGGTTT AСТTTTTCTTGGCTTATGAGGACCATTTCCTGTATTATTGTTAAGCACATTATCAACATCTTTCTATATTTACTATTGTTTTGGTTTATCTGAA ATTTTTTCTTGAGGCTTTTCAATAATTTTTTCAGTTTTCTCAACTTTATCCACTTTGTCATTTGACCTTTTTTCTTTTTTTTGTTCCTCTAATT TTTTCCCATTATTGTTTTGATTGATAATTTAAGTGTCTTGTTTTATATGTTTTTGAGGTTTAGGTTCTTGAGGGTCATGCCCTAATTGTTTTA ATTCATAATCATGGACTAGAACCAGCATTTCAGGAGTTAATTGAGTCATGGGTTCAATTGATACTGAGTTGTTACTCCATCTGAACTTATA CATGATGGCTTCAGGTTCAATCTTTTTCTTCAATAATTCAACAGGATGTTTTGAATTCATAATAGATAAATTAATTATTAAGAGAAGGCTCT ATCAATGTTTATGTAGGACAATTATTGCAATGGTTTATCAAAATATTATATAACATTTATTGTTTAATTTTAGTTTGAGTTTCATAAAAAAAA TACACAATATTTGAAATAATAAATAATTTTATAATTTCTTTTTTCACTTCTATAATCTGGTTATAATATTTGTTTATTTTATTTATAATCTTTAAA AAATTGAATAATTTAAATATAAAATGGCAAATATACATAGATTGGGTGATAATAATGATTAGTAAAATAATTATCAGAACTTGGGGGGTAAT GGAAGACAACAGATGATTTCTATATTCGGTAAGCAAATTCATTTAAAGATATCAAGGAGGGATGGATGCAGACCCAAGAGGTGAGAATT TTTTTGATATGTTGAAAAAATCATTCTGTCCAAGACTTAAACTTATTTCATTTACTACAATAGTCTCAGCATTGATTATAATTCTATACATCA CAATGTTAGGAGTTGGAGGAATAAATATAGAAGATAAGACAGAAATGAGCTATAAACATGATCGATTTTTATCAGTTTATGAGAAAACCT TGAATGATTTTGGTGGAAATGATCCAGATGATGTTAAATCCAACTATGAAATTTTCAGATGGGTGACATCCTTACTCTTAGTTGGAGACTT СTATAATCTAATACTTGCGATCTTTATGATATTAATATGCTATTCGATATTAGAAGCCACATAAGGATTGAATTTAACATTAATAGTGTTTTTT GGGGCAGGAGCATGTGGTGCCCTATTTGGAGATTTGTGCAATATTTGCAAATACAGAACTTACTCTGAAACCTTTTCTTGCATATACGCTT GTGTAGGGTTCTTGATAGGAGTATATATTGCTTGATTAATCACTCAGTTTGGATTACTCGATAATAAACTATCAGTTTATCTAAGCTAATTGT AAATATAAAATCCATATTAGTTTAATAATTTATTTTAGTTTAAGAGATAAAATTGA

LEFT_PRIMER: TCTTAAGTGACATATTTGCAACTATTTTGT
LEFT PRIMER START LENGTH: $(6,30)$
RIGHT_PRIMER: AGTTTATTATCGAGTAATCCAAACTGAGTG
RIGHT_PRIMER_START_LENGTH: $(2197,30)$
PCR Product size $=2192$
PsiI-v2 specific 3-IES candidates

## ID 799

Left IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.33.359799
sequence $=$ TATTGTTTGATATAAATTTAATTTTAAATCAGAATAATTTGTTACTTTTCAAATTTACTTTTTTAAAATTAAATAATTAAAAATTCC AATTTCTAAATATTTGGAATGAAATTAAATTTTTCAATCTATATTTTTAAATTCTACTTTCAAATAAGCCAAAAAATTTTTACTTAATTTTATA GCTTTACTTGAAGAATAGCAAACTAAAAAAATAAGAATAAGATCTTCAGTTAATACAATTTACTCAATTATAAAATTTATTAACTATCTTAA TTTACTCTACTTTATCAAAAACAATTTTTAATGATAAATTTATAGATATATAGGTATAATTATAGATTGATTGAATTAACAGAATGATTTAATT AATGGATGTTATTCCCTTAAATTGATTCAATAATAAAATAATAATTAGCATTCCTAAAATAATTAGCAACCAATTAAGATTTGCATTATTATAT ATATCAAAATTAAATTATATATTCTTCTCTTATTAACAA

Middle ID $=$ IESPGM.PTET51.1.33.361301
sequence $=$ TATAGTCTAAAATTTTAAAATATTATAGAATTAGATTTCTTAGATAATAAATCATAAAATGTCATCGATTCAACTA
Right IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.33.361772
sequence $=$ TATAGATGCTGCACAAAGGAAATATGTAGTATTTAAATTTATATCTAAATTAATAATTGGAGAACCATAGTGCTAGTTTTTTAAGT AAAACAAAAGAGTTAATTAAAATTTAAATTGGTTTATAATAAATTTCATGATAGAATAGAAAGACTATTTAAAATTGATTAAATAATTTATT ААТСАТАТТСТТТАССААТТАТААGТАТТААТТТТТТСАТСТСААААТААССАТСТАGСАААТТСТТСТСТGСАААСАСТА

## Region sequence

AATTTATTAACTATCTTAATTTACTCTACTTTATCAAAAACAATTTTTAATGATAAATTTATAGATATATAGGTATAATTATAGATTGATTGAAT TAACAGAATGATTTAATTAATGGATGTTATTCCCTTAAATTGATTCAATAATAAAATAATAATTAGCATTCCTAAAATAATTAGCAACCAATT AAGATTTGCATTATTATATATATCAAAATTAAATTATATATTCTTCTCTTATTAACAATAGATTAATTAAAGAAATGCAAAATCATTGAGATAA TATTTTCAGAGGACTTTATTAGAAATTTAGCTTACAAAGCTAAAATTATTAATGCCTATAAAAATGAAATTGTTACCCATCCAGGTTTATAC ATCGTCATTTAAGGTGGAATGAAAGTATAAATATAAGCTGAATATGAAACTAAATAAATATTAAAAGTAATTTCAATATATATAATTTAGAAT GGGGATTATTTTGGTCTTATTGAAATGATCTTAAATAAAAGTTAAAATTTATTTCTAAAATCTATAAAAGAAGAAAGTCTTCTAATTTATAT TTCAGGTGATGATTTTCATCATAAAATAAAAGAGTTTACGGAGGATTATGAAAAAATGAGATTTATACATGATCAATTGCTGTTCAGTTCA AGTACAACGAAAATCAAATAGAAATGCTATTTTTGTTAATAATATCATGTTCCTTCATAATGTAAAATAATAAATTTCAAACCTGAATTTGA TTTTGAGAAATTGAAATTGGATGAATAAAATGATAGAAGATATTTTTAAAGAGCCAATAAGAAGCACATTTTTTAAATAAGAAATAATTCA GAATCATCTAAAAGTTCAAATGAATTTGAAGAGTCCTTTGAGATCGATCCAGTCAGTTCAGAAATGCAAAATGAAAGATAAATCGGTAA GAATATTTTAGGATCCATTTCTTAAATGATTGTGTGCAATTCAAAAATATCCCAAGAAGGATTCCCATAATTACTTTAAACTTAGAGTTTTA AGGTATAAGAAAGTTAAACGTAACAAAATCAGGTAAATACAACTTAAGGATGGAGAGTTTTAGACTTAGACAATAGAAATATTTGCATAG ACAATTTAAGGAATTTTTAGTTTTACGATCCTGTGTATAACATAGAAACTATAATCCGAAAAGTAAATAAATTTTTAGAACATTGAACTTTT ATTATTCATGATTATTCAACATGATCAGCAACTACATCTACTGGGGCTTCACAAACACCTCCAAAGTATAAGTTGAGTCTGTATCCATTCTT CCATGCATAACAAGTTCTGTGTTTAATTGATTGTCTTTCGAGTAAATCTCCATTGTCATTCAATAATTCATAAATTGTAGAATCAGCCAAAA AGATCAATCGATATTAATACCAAACTTCAGTTCTAATCTTTGTAGTGAAAATTCTTATCAGTTGATCAGGATGTTCATAGGGAACTACACT ATTGTCATAAGCGTAGGCTGCAATTTCTACTAAATCTTATTCTTGGCAATTTGGAACTACGTATAATCCTTGTTCATTATATTTCAAACATGA TTGGGCTCTTCTGAAGACAAATCTATCGCTATCTTTATGATGGAGTGATCCAAAACATCTAGAATAGCCCCATAATTTATTCCAAGAACTC ATGCATCTCTATTAGTTTTCATCATGAGGAACAACATAAGTTGCTCCAGTGACACCTAACTTGATTCTTATTTGAGAGATTTCTGAGTTCT TTTATAGTCTAAAATTTTAAAATATTATAGAATTAGATTTCTTAGATAATAAATCATAAAATGTCATCGATTCAACTATAATAAACAGGTAAT GTGGGAGTTTCATATTGATCACCTTTTTTGATTGTCAATGTGTACTCTGCTTAATGAGTAGATGCAATCAAAATATATAACAATAATATCATT AAAATTTATGAGTGATTAATTGATCATTAAAAGGAGGAGAGGTAATACCGTAGAGACCACGAATTAAATTATCACTCTAAATTTGTCAGA AGCTAATTCCACATTGTTATCACTTAAGAAAGAGATATAAAAGATAAGTATTATTTTTGGAATAATCCATTAGCTAGAATCAAGCCATTAAG ATAGTGGTTAACAAGCGAAGATTTAAAAACTGTGTATGATGATAATAGCAAACCTTTGAATTAATGTGGATTTAAGAGTGGATAAGTCTT GGTTGTAAAGGACTTAGGACCTTAGATGCTTTGGATTACAGTATTTTATGCAGAATATGTGGGTCCAATCTTGATGTTTGTTTTGTTGTACT ATAGATGCTGCACAAAGGAAATATGTAGTATTTAAATTTATATCTAAATTAATAATTGGAGAACCATAGTGCTAGTTTTTTAAGTAAAACAA

```
AAGAGTTAATTAAAATTTAAATTGGT
LEFT_PRIMER: AGCATTCCTAAAATAATTAGCAACCAATTA
LEFT_PRIMER_START_LENGTH: }(159,30
RIGHT_PRIMER: TAAATACTACATATTTCCTTTGTGCAGCAT
RIGHT_PRIMER_START_LENGTH: (2329, 30)
PCR Product size = 2171
ID }65
Left IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.88.149655
sequence=TATTGTAGATTAATCATCTCTACTCAATTTTAAATTTAATTTGTAACAAGAAATCTGATTTAAATTTATTTCTTAGTTTAGCATTAG TATCTTAAATAATAGATTGATAAAGATTAAAAAAATTATAATTTTATTCAACTTAATGAAGAGGTTTGTTATTTAGTTATTAACTTATTCTGT AATCAATTTGCTGTAATAATTTCTGTTTCCATTTAAAATATTCAAATTTTAAACAATTAAATTATTAAAATTGTTTTATATATTTCACAA
Middle IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.88.149727
sequence=TACACTCAATATGAAGTGAATACAATTTAGATTATCAAATAAAACTCATTTTTATTG
```

Right IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.88.151525
sequence=TATAGCTCTTACACAAAAGATTTATTCAATTTTATAGTAAATTGATATGAAGAAGATTTTTTAATAAAAATATAAGTATCGATAAA TTTAATTATTATATCAAGGATCAAAAATTAATTATTATTATTCTCTTTAACATATAGTCAACTCAATCAATCATATTGCAATTATTTTTCCAATC TGTTATCATTTACTAATTAGGATTAGAAATATTTCTTAAATTTATTAAGAGATTTCTAAAAATTTTATAAGTATTAAAATATATTTTTGTATTTC TGAGTTGGAATTGAAGGACAAATGTAGATTAATTTTGGGATCAGGAAAGTTTAGAATAATTGATACTTCTTTTTCTAGCTAAGTTTTTAAG AGATCCATAACAACTTTCCAACTAAAAGGTTTAAAGTTTGCTCTACTTTATCTAGCTATAAATTATTTTTCATTGTTATTCACATTTTTCTTC AAGGTAATTTATTTTCACCATAGAGATGCTTTGTACATTATCTTATTAACAACATTTATGATTCTGAATAATAAGATAAGAGTTAATTAGAA AATAAAATTTATACAGTAAACAATCAATGACAAGCATATTAATATTGAGTTTTCACAAATGAAAGAAATTATTATCTATTTATTTTGAAAAT GAAATTACTATTTTCTAAATGGTACTACATAGATGATCTATGCAAGTGCTA

## Region sequence

TTTTATTCAACTTAATGAAGAGGTTTGTTATTTAGTTATTAACTTATTCTGTAATCAATTTGCTGTAATAATTTCTGTTTCCATTTAAAATATT CAAATTTTAAACAATTAAATTATTAAAATTGTTTTATATATTTCACAATACCAATCTACAGATTTATCACTAATTTCAAATGATGTTTATGGG ATAACTTCTCCTTAATCAAAAGTCTGTTACACTCAATATGAAGTGAATACAATTTAGATTATCAAATAAAACTCATTTTTATTGTATTTGATA AAATATGATGAAATTTTATTTTTGTTGTCATCTTGTGTATGACTTGATATCAATTTCACTAGAAAATAATATTTACCTTCATCAAGTTATAGA ATCGATTGAATATGATCTTTCGATGAATTCATCACTTAGATTTCAAATATTTATTTGGCAAGATTAGTTTCATTTTTTGTTCCTTATACTAATA TAGATCCAGAGCAAGTAAATACTTCCAAGAAGAGTTTTGATTTTTATTACAAAAAGACTTCATAATAGTTTGGTTATCCCATCTTAGTTAA CTAATATTGTGATATGCTATCAATTAAAGCATTTAACTCACCATTTGTAATTATTACTCCAATAAAAGTCTAATTATGTAAGGCCTTGATGGA AATTTCATACAAACCAAATTAATCATACAGGTGATATGAGATAGCTTTCGGGTATTTATTAAAATAATTAATAGGTTTGATGGAAGTATGAG TAATATCAAAATATAGATTTTCAATGGATTGATGAATATTTTAGATTTGTAGAGTTACAAACTTCTATTGATTATTAGATGTTTGAGTGACTG ATTGATATTAGAGTTTTATAGTCTCCTGAGCTTTTATTTAATAAGTCATCATCTGACCCAAATGAATAGTGTAAGTCTATTTCTCAATTCTAA CTGCACTGATCATAAAGACAGAAACAGGTACCAATGATTCAACTTTTATTGTAATCAATGATTCTAAGGTTTTCTTTTTTTCGAATAGAAT TAAGGTGTCCTATTAAATTCTGGATGTCAGATCTGCTTCATCATTATGCAGAAGTTCTTAACCTTCCTTCAAATATAATCTAATGTCCCCGC TTATCAATGAGACACTGATTTGAACATCAACATTTGAATAAAAGCGATATTGAGAAGACTCTGACTCACTCAAAACTTCTCTCCATTGAA GATTGTCGTATAGGGTAGTCTAATACTTTTGACCACTAAATTCAATCAAACCTTTACTATTCCCTTAGACGGCTGTAATTTCAATTGAATAA ACGCAACCCACTTTTTCGCAAGTCTTCACTTTAAATGATTTTTGTTTATCAACAATTTCATCAATAAACAAATGATCATTAATACTAATAAT AGTTTCATCATCAATAGACTCCAATGCTTTTGCCCTGACTTACAATTTACCTTGGAACGCAAACACAATGATTTTATATTCCTTATCATTTG GATCATCTCTAAAAATGTAATAATGAGGTATGTCTTCATGGAATAGAACATCAAATGGATAGCCAAGGAAAGCATTGACAAATTTTGCAT CGTCAGTATTGTAATTAATTTAAAATTCACATTCTTCTTCCCCAAACACGCCTATGATATAATCATCCTTTGCCAAATATCGATTATTCACCT CTTATAGAATGTAAGTTGTTCTAAAAATATGTGACCACTATTGATTAAATTCAGATAGGCTGGGTCTTTAGTCTTTCCCTTTAGATATTCTA GCATTAACAAATTCACCACCTCCTTTGACATGAATATTAACTTGTATCGACTTAACATCTTATGTTAAACTGAGTTTGTAATATTTGGTTTA ACCTTTTTAAATAACGTCGATAATAGGGTCTGATAGAGTCAATAGTTTAACTTCTTCATAGACACCAATCGTATAGGTGTCATTTTAATAAT GAGGCTCTATAGGTTAAACACGGATGTATAAAACCTAATACTTCTCAGTTTCAGGGATTGTAAAACTTTAAAATTAGGTGAAATAGGAAT GTGCTTTGAATGTTTCATATCTCAGATCAGAATTATCTTTGTTATAGCTCTTACACAAAAGATTTATTCAATTTTATAGTAAATTGATATGAA GAAGATTTTTTAATAAAAATATAAGTATCGATAAATTTAATTATTATATCAAGGATCAAAAATTAATTATTATTATTCTCTTTAACATATAGTC AACTCAATCAATCATATTGCAATTATTTTTCCAATCTGTTATCATTTACTAATTAGGATTAGAAATATTTCTTAAATTTATTAAGAGATTTCTA AAAATT

LEFT_PRIMER: TCAATTTGCTGTAATAATTTCTGTTTCCAT
LEFT_PRIMER_START_LENGTH: $(54,30)$
RIGHT_PRIMER: AATTGCAATATGATTGATTGAGTTGACTAT
RIGHT_PRIMER_START_LENGTH: $(2237,30)$
PCR Product size $=2184$
SspI specific 3-IES candidates
ID 795
Left IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.9.406795
sequence=TATTATTAATTTATATTTCAAACGCTTTTAATTTTAAATATTATTTAGTCATGAAATCATAAGTAATTAATAAATCCTCAAAAAAAC AACAAAATCCCATAACATTTAACGTTTATACAAATAAATCCAATAATTTTTTAATTTGATAA
Middle IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.9.406958
sequence $=$ TATATAATTAAATACTTATAAATACAT
Right IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.9.407393
sequence=TATAGTTGATTCACAGATTATTTTTATTTTAATTCCTTAAATTTTTATAAATTACTTAAGATATTAGGGTATTTAAATAAATCAGTTT GTGCTTGAGTAGTAAAAGGTGATAATATTCAGATTTCTTATTAAAATATATCTTAATTCAAGTAAGAAAAATATCAATTTTAAAAATAATTA ATTATTCGAATTTCTATTGAATTGACTA

## Region sequence

ATTTAGTCATGAAATCATAAGTAATTAATAAATCCTCAAAAAAACAACAAAATCCCATAACATTTAACGTTTATACAAATAAATCCAATAA TTTTTTAATTTGATAATAGCTACGCTAAGCTTCATACAAGTAAATCAGCTTCCAAAAAGAAGCCTAATTTAATGGAATGTAAAAACTAAAT TTAATGTACAAAAGAAACAAAAGAGATAAAATAAAATGATATTAAAAAGATTTAATCAGGGAACAAAAAACAGAGTGAAACTACAGAT TATATAATTAAATACTTATAAATACATTATACATTCAGACCGAAAAAAAATGAAGTGCCAATAGATAAGTAAAATAGAGCAAGGAAGAATT AAAAATAGAAGTAGAGTATTGATCTTTGTGTCAATACAGATTTGAAGGAAATTATTCACATTATTAACGACTTAGATTTATTTAATAATAGA TTAAAAAAGAAGTCATGATGAGAATTAATTTTGAATATAGACTATTTATTTATTAAATGACAAATCATTATTTAATTTACTTAAAAGCCTATT ATAAATATCATTTATGAAGTAATAATTCTTTTTATTTGAGTATTGCATATTCTGAAACAATCTATTTCAACCATTTCCAAACCTATTTTAACTG AGGTGGCGCTGGGAATTGCACTTTAGAATGAAAGCTCATAAACATTTAAATTAGAGATTCAATGAATTTCAATTCAGAAGATCTCTTTGT ACTATAGTTGATTCACAGATTATTTTTATTTTAATTCCTTAAATTTTTATAAATTACTTAAGATATTAGGGTATTTAAATAAATCAGTTTGTGC TTGAGTAGTAAAAGGTGAT

LEFT_PRIMER: AACAACAAAATCCCATAACATTTAACGTTT
LEFT_PRIMER_START_LENGTH: $(42,30)$
RIGHT_PRIMER: TCAAGCACAAACTGATTTATTTAAATACCC
RIGHT PRIMER_START LENGTH: $(827,30)$
PCR Product size $=786$

## ID 453

Left IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.52.211453
sequence $=$ TACAGCTGTAAGAGATTTCCTTCATTTTTGAATAGAACAAAAATTCAATATTGGATAGAGTAAGTTACTAATACTAATCTAAGTT ATAATTAAAATTTTACTTCTAGTAAATAAAATAGACAGTTGAAATCACTAAAAATCCTTTAAGAATGTCTA

Middle IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.52.212381
sequence $=$ TACACTACAAATAGTTCACATTCTTAATTTAAATAATTATAACAG
Right IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.52.212637
sequence $=$ TATAACTTTAGCAAACAATATATATATTTTGTCTAGTAGTTTCTATTAATTTAATTAGAAAATAAATAGCTAATTAATTAAAATATA ATTTATGGTGAAAAAGCAGAAAAACCTGTTTAAATATTAAATATTAGCCCAGCATTTAAATTATTTATAAATTTATGATTTTCATTATATAAC TTCTGGAAATATTCAGCTTGTGAGAACAA

Region sequence
GGATAGAGTAAGTTACTAATACTAATCTAAGTTATAATTAAAATTTTACTTCTAGTAAATAAAATAGACAGTTGAAATCACTAAAAATCCTT TAAGAATGTCTATACTATTCTCCATTATAAATCTTATTATTTATAGGAATTTCAACAAAATAAACTTCTTCGTAATCTGGTTTTTCATACTCAT CTTTAGATAAATCATTGTCTAAATACTCTTCAAAATCAAGAGATGAATCTGTTTTTGCTAAAAATGATAATCCATTATCAATCAATTTATATT TAGAAATTTCAACAAATCTCTACATTGCCCTAAAACATGTTTAGGCATACAAATAAGAAACTAAAGGGTTTACATCTTAATCTAAATCAAC TCCATAATCTTCTTCAATTTCTGATCTAGTTTCCATTTCTTTCATCATAAGTTTTCTTCCСССТTCTTCAGTTAAATTAATTTCAAGTTTTTCT AAATAAGCCTCCTTTATAACAGCAAACAAGACTAAATTATAAGGAATGTTGCCCTAAGCATCGCATTTGAGTTACATATCCATAATTTTGA AAAGTGTATTCCTTATCAAATCTGCTTGTATATTAAATTTAAGTTGATTTATTTCTTCTTAAGTGTGTTACGGATTGATGTTTTTCCAATCTT CTACACCCTTTTACACGGATTTGTTAATGTCTAAACCCAATGGTTTTGGTAATTAAAGAACTAGATCAGTCAATTTATTTTAACTAATAATT GCTCCATCAGAGCTAAAACGAGTCCAAATATCAAGCATTTTGTTTTAGTACTCATCAAATCTATTGAGTGGATTGTCCTCATGAAAATAAT TTATGTCATATTGATCTAATACAATAAGGATAAATAGATTAAGCATAACAAAATTTTAAATCAAAATGAAGACAACCCAAAATAAAGCATT AAAATTTGAACCATTATTCATCGTATCATACATAATTCTATTCCAATCCAACCCTGTTTAAGAAAGGAACAACAAAATGAAAGAATGATGA AAATCTGAGAAGTTGTTAGTACACTACAAATAGTTCACATTCTTAATTTAAATAATTATAACAGTATCAGATATAATTTTCCCCTTCTTAATA TCTGAAAACAAAAATACTCCCAAAACTGAAAAAATTGAATGGATCAAGAAGTATAAAGCAGCCGCATTTATGATTGCAGGAATTGAAAA AACTGCAGTATCTATTAGTTTTTTGAGCCCCTTAAATTATTTGACTAATCTTAATAATCTTATAGCTCTTAAAATTCTAAACCCTTTTCCTAT AGATAATCCACTCTCATTTGTTACATAATCAATAATGGATATAACTATAACTTTAGCAAACAATATATATATTTTGTCTAGTAGTTTCTATTAA TTTAATTAGAAAATAAATAGCTAATTAATTAAAATATAATTTATGGTGAAAAAGCAGAAAAACCTGTTTA

LEFT_PRIMER: ACAGTTGAAATCACTAAAAATCCTTTAAGA
LEFT_PRIMER_START_LENGTH: $(67,30)$
RIGHT_PRIMER: CAGGTTTTTCTGCTTTTTCACCATAAATTA
RIGHT_PRIMER_START_LENGTH: $(1447,30)$
PCR Product size $=1381$

XbaI specific 3-IES candidates

## ID 913

Left IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.106.284913
sequence=TATTCTAAAATTAACAAAAATACATTATTTTACTTTTTATAAATATTTGCTTTGAATTGTGAAATAATTCAATATTTGAAATAATAT AAGAAAGTAGGAAAAATTTAAAAAAGAGTATGTTATAGTGATTATTAAAATACTTGATTCATAAGTTTAAAAGCTTTGGCTAATAGTCATA GAAAAGGTTACTTAATAAAATTAATTTCATTTCAAAATCATATATCTAACTATAAGTTCTAGATGTATAATATTGAGATTTATATCTTTTTTCT CAAATTCAGCTTGCCTATTTTTGTTACCTATTATTTTACAAAACTAATACCGATTAAAATTATTGAATAATCCATCATTTTATTTCTTAACTTC TCAA

Middle IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.106.286750
sequence $=$ TATTGTGGTGTTATTTTAAAAAGTAATATATTTTTTAAAATATTTGGTTTTCTCATAAAAAAAACTTAAACCTACCA
Right IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.106.286924
sequence $=$ TACAGCTAACGTAAGAAATTTTATTGTAAATATATTTTCAGCTAGATTTATTAGAAAAGAATATGAATTATCTGGAACCCTTTAAT GAGTTAACTAATGAATTCTCATTTAGATTACATATTACGGTGCTTTTAAGTTATTAAATTCGAGACTTCCATTATCAAGACATCTTCTAATAA TTTTTTAAATAGTCTTTAGAATTCTTTGAGACTAACAACCATTTTTTTTTAATTATAATCTCCTTTCTTATCATTTGAGAATAACACTGACAT

TAAAGATTCTAGAAAGCAAGGAAAATATTAATACCCTGAATTTTATCCTAATTCAAATCTTTGAATTATTGGATGAAAAACACGAGATTAC TTTGAATTGAAAATTTGATTTTTTGTTTAAAATTAAACTAGTTTTATTTAAATGGAATGTTTACACCACTATGAAAGAAATTAGCGTTAACA GTAATGATATTTTCAGTTAACAACTCTTGAAAAAATAGTCTAATCCAAATACGCTATCAGATTTTTCACCTTCAGAGATGATCATTTTTAAA TTAAATATCATAATCATTATCTTTGTTTTTAAAAACATGATGGAATTAATTAAATGAAACTCTAAGGATCTGTTGATCAACTAGAATTAATA GAATTACAAAAATAATAAAATTTAGCAAAAAAAGTAAGAAAACATAATATCTTTTTAAATTCCAAAAAGTTTTGAATATCTTTTGAGTTTA CAAATCTACTTTTATAAAATGATCTTGCATTTAATATTTCTAAATAATCAATCAAAAAGGAAATCTACTATTTGAATGTTAAAAAATTCATTC CATAAAACCAAACTTAATTTGTGAATTAATTCAATTCAAAAAATTTCATTTCCTAGACTG

Region sequence
TGTATAATATTGAGATTTATATCTTTTTTCTCAAATTCAGCTTGCCTATTTTTGTTACCTATTATTTTTACAAAACTAATACCGATTAAAATTAT TGAATAATCCATCATTTTATTTCTTAACTTCTCAATACCACGATCAGCTAATTGTACAGACTATATGACACAAGCTTAATGCCATAAAACAC TCACAAATTTAACAGCAAATGATGATTGTAAATGGATTGTTGATAAATGTTATGCTACATCTAGCTTTGCTTCTGGAGCTTGCACATCTTTC AAAGGAACTTAAACAATGTGCTAAGGATATAGAGTTGGATGCACAAATACTAATTCAGCAACTTCTTCTACTGCTTGTACTTTGGATTGT ACATTAAAAACTGGAACGGGCTTAGCATTTTCAGATTGCTAAGCTGTAGATACTACATGCTCAGTAAATAGTACTGGAACTGGATGCATA GCTATATAATCTGCCTGCACAGGATATGGATAAACAGCTGCTAATTGCTTTAGATCTACAGCTGGATTATGTGCTATGAATGCTGCCTCTCC AGCAACCTGCTAAGCAGTAACTTAGGCATCTGAATGTGTATTAGTGACTGGAAAAACTGGTTTAGATCATGCAAAATGTTAAGCTTATCA TACCTCATGCACTTCTTTAAATGATGGAACTGGATGTTAAGAGTTTAAGGCAACTTGTCCTGCTTTCACTGGAGACGCTGCACATTGCAC TGCCTCATAATAAGGTAAATGCTACTTGAGTGGTTCCGATTGCGTACGTTTCTCTACTTGTGCTGCAATTTCTGGAATTGGATTAACTGAT GCTAAATGCGCAGGATATAATGCAGATTGCACTGTTAATGCTGCTGGCACTGCATGCTAAGAGTAAAAGGCTACATGTGCTGTGTACTTA ACTCAAGATTCTTGCACTACATCAAAAGATACAGCAACAGCCGATAAATGTGCTTGGAGTGGAACAGCTTGCGTTGCAGTAACAACTGT TGCAACTTAGTGTGCATTTGTTACAGGGTCTGGTTTGGATGATACATAATGTGCAACTTATAATGCTGGTTGTGTTGCTAATGCTACAGGA ACAGCTTGTTAAGAGAAAAAGGCAGCATGTACAGACTATACAACTTCTACAGCTTGTGCAACATCTACAGCTGCCAAATGCTATTGGAA TAGTACTACTTCACCAGCTGCTTGCATTTCTATTACTACAGTGGCAACTGATTGTTAATTAGTTTTGGGATCTGGTTTAGATGATGCTAAAT GTGGAGCTTACCTAACAGGCTGTGTCGCACTTTCAACCGGAGCGGGTTGTTAAGAGAAAAAGGCCACTTGTGCAGCTTACACAACCAC TACCGCATGTGGAACATCAACTGCTGCCAAATGCTATTGGAATAGTGCTGCATCACCAGCTGCTTGCATTTCAATTACTACAGCTTCGAG CGATTGTTAATTAGTTTTGGGATCTGGTTTAGATGATACTAAATGTGGAGCTTACCTTACAGATTGTGTTGCACTTTCTACCGGAGCAGGT TGTTAAGAGAAAAAGGCCACTTGTGCAGGTTATACAACCTCTACCGCATGTGGAACATCAACAGCTGCCAAATGCTATTGGAATAGTAC TGCTTGTATTCAAATTTCTACAGTTGGAACTGATTGTTAATAAGTAACTGGAACAGGTTTAGATGATGCTAAATGCATAGCTTATAACGCT GGTTGTGTCGCAAATTCAACAGGAACAGCTTGTTAAGAGAAAAAGGCAGCATGTACAGACTATACAACTTCTACAGCTTGTGGAACATC AACTGCTGCCAAATGCTATTGGAATAGTGCTGCATCACCAGCTGCTTGCATTCAAATATCCACAGTTGCAACTGATTGTTAATTAGTATTG GGATCAGGTTTGAATGATAGTAAATGTTCAGCTTACAACGCAGCTTGCACATCTCTAGTTGATGGTATTGTGGTGTTATTTTAAAAAGTAA TATATTTTTTAAAATATTTGGTTTTCTCATAAAAAAAACTTAAACCTACCATACTGCTTGTTAAGAGGAAAAAGCAAACTGTAAAGATTAC ACCACACAAAATAAATGTATTTCAACTTCTAGTGTAACTTGTATTTGGTTTGAAAATGCTTGTTATTCTATCACTGCAGTAAGTTGCAGTT CAATAACTGGAACTTCTCTTGATCATACAAAATGCCAAGCCTATACAGCTAACGTAAGAAATTTTATTGTAAATATATTTTCAGCTAGATTT ATTAGAAAAGAATATGAATTATCTGGAACCCTTTAATGAGTTAACTAATGAATTCTCATTTAGATTACATATTACGGTGCTTTTAAGTTATTA AATTCGAGACTTCCATTATCAAGACATCTTCTAATAATTTTTTAAATAGTCTTTAGAATTCTTTGAGACTAACAACCATTTTTTTTTAATTAT AATCTCCTTTCTTATCATTTGAGAATAACACTGACATTAAAGAT

LEFT_PRIMER: TCAGCTTGCCTATTTTTGTTACCTATTATT
LEFT_PRIMER_START_LENGTH: $(36,30)$
RIGHT_PRIMER: CATTAGTTAACTCATTAAAGGGTTCCAGAT
RIGHT_PRIMER_START_LENGTH: $(2315,30)$
PCR Product size $=2280$
DraI specific inside IES

## ID 798

IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.23.362798
sequence=TATTGTCAAATTAAAAATACAACATTATTGTGACAATGAATACTGTTGAATAATTGGGTGCTATAAAAGCTTTAATAGAATTGTA TCATCAATTGAAATACATTCCTACTATTCTTGTCAATATCAGTAATTTTATAGTTTAGTTGATAAACTATTATAATCAGGTATTTTAGCATAAA TAATCGTAACTATGTCATCAGCGAACTGAAAACTTTAACATCAATAATTAAGTGAGCTTTTTAGTTCATTAAGAAACTCTTCAACTTAAAT ATTATATAGGGTTGGAAAAAGTGGTGATCCTTGAGGAACACCATTAAAAAAGTAGTATTTTAATTTTCATAAATTAAGAGGCAGATTTAG AGTAAGTTGGAATGCAATGGAAGTCTATTTCAGGTGGATCTAAGATTACTTTTTATTCTAATTATATTATAAAATATTTCTATTAACAGTATT AACAGTATTAATAAAATCAGTTTTTATATATTTAGTAGTGTAATTATTTATTAGATTTAATAAATCGGTTATGTTTATTTAGTTGATGAGGAGG GAATAAAACCAATTTAATCTTTAGAAAGTCGCTCAATCAAATAGCTTAGGCAGGAATCTGAATTCTAGTAATTTGTACAAAGCGCTAAGA ATAGTAATAGGTCTCAAATAAAGTTTTTTAGGGATATTAAGGAAAGCTTTATTTAATGGTATTAATCTCGCTTTTCAACATAATTAGTTTGTT TCAATAGTGGAGTTTTTCCAAATATTAAGAAATAAAGCAGAGTTCTTTGTTTGTTTAATGAAAGTATCAGAAGTTCCATCATATGAAATTG CTTTGTTAGAAGACATATTCTATTACAGTTTTTAAAATTCTATATTGGTGATAAAGAGTCGGAAGTTTTACGGATTAAACGATGATCATTGA AAGATGCCATCTAGTTGGTTTAAACAATTGTCAATTGCCTTCTTACCAATTTGTATATTTTTTTCATCATCTAAATAATAAGATAATATTCCT CCATCCCTTTTATTCGGCTCATGGTAATAACTTTTGTTAAGAAAATTATTGAATTTTATTTATTATTCTGAAATACTATTAAGAGCTTCTCCT ATAACGATTGTTTATTATAATCATTGTTTTCTTGAATTTTGGCACTATTCTTCTTTTAATCATCTGGATGATCTTTTGCTTTCTGATGTTATTC TAAATAATTTTATAATAGCATGTAAAATCAGTAAATTTAGATGATGCAGTTTTTTAAGATTTAGAGCATTCTCAATATCAGTTTAAAATTAA GTTTCTGGATAATATATGATATGGTTAGTTTTACTTTCAATTTTTTTCAAAATTTATATCTACTAAAAGTATTTTGTGTTTAGGAATAGCTTAA GGAAAAAAGCAAAAGGAGGACTAATAGAGTTAAAATCTCCGCAAATTATTATTCTTTGATGAGTTGTTTTAGTTTAATTCAAATTTATTAG AATGTTTGAAACTAATAGGTGAAACATTCCAAGAGATTATCGAAATGGATATTATGATTTTGAATTATGACACTTTTGGTTTATACTGCTGA GACTTTAAGATAGTTTCATATCCTTAAACTCATTAAATAAGTTATCCATTTAAGGTATGTGATCTGTCTTTAGGATAATTTAATAGTATTTTAT TTTTTTCTAACATTTTATTAATTCTTTAGGTTAAGGAGCGAGGTCCATACTTCATACTTGAAGTATTTTTTCTTTTTTGCATGTATTTTATTAT TGATTAGTTGAGTTTTTCAGCTAAGCACTAAACTATCTGATAAAAATAACCTTATTAAACAATTTCAGTGCATTTTAGTCATTTCTAAGAGT TGCCTCTTGAAGGATTGTTATTTATACAGTCCTATACTCAGAATGATCTTGAAGAATTTGAAGGATATTAGTTTGTTCTGATGGATGTTTTA TTGGTTCTGTTAGGTTATTTTGGATAGGTTATTTAAATTGAATTAAATCATCATTTATAAGTTACCCTTGCCGGTTAACTTTATCTTCAAGAA GTGGTAACTTTGAAAGGTTTTCATTTATTGTAGAACTTACACTATTAACAAATTGTATAATCCAAAATGTTAAATTCACTATAATATTTTAA GTATGCTTATCTGTGATGTAGTTGGTTTAAGAATTAAGCAGGGAAAGCTTTAGATACTTATAAATTCTTGAAAAAATTCTTTATAGTACAAT CTTAATAAACAAATGAAAATATGGAATATTCTTCTTCCAACATAATCATTCATAGCTTAACGTTATAATGAATTATCCTTAGGTATAAGAATA CAAATACCTAGCGATATTAATCAGTTTCAAATGAAAATTAAATAGCACATTCTTAATCTAAAAATTAATCATTATTGAGCTAAAATGGATAC CTCAACATCTTTCTGGAAATGATAGCTTCTTCATTGGTAAAATTACATTATTTCCCATATATTATAATCTTTAAGTGCAATTCCTTTCTAAAG CTATTGAATATCTTCAAAGAACTAGAAAAGATCTATAAGGCCATTTCAAAAGGTAGTAGGTTTTTCCAAAATGTCATCGAAACAAATTAA TTACCTATTAATTCCCTAACTTTTGACTTTAATTAAAAAAGCCTACTCGAAAACTATTAATAAGAAGTAATTTATACAAATAACTTAAATTC

CATCATGAAATATGAATTTCCAGAAAATTAAATGCAAACCAAAAGTATAAGCTAAATCAATTTTGATTACCTTCCTAATAATTTTATAACTT TATTCAAATTATATCCTCAATATCTGTAAAGAGCACCAGTGTAATATCCTTCCAACATATTATGAATTATCATTCAAAATATCATGCACCGAA ATAAAATTATCTTAGAAATAAAATTAAGAAAGAGCTTAGAAATTTTATTTCCATAATTGAACAATTATGATTTGATAGTATTCGTGTGTTAA TAAATTTAATTTTTAAACCATAAAATAATTTAGAAACCGATATCTAATATTAATAAAATTCTAAATTTTTTTATGTAAAATAGAGAAAGCTCA ATTTAATTGAAACCTAGCATAAATGATCTAAGAAATCTTTCTTAATCATTCAAGATCAATTAATCTTCTGATGAAATTGATTCTAAGGATGG CTACTATTTTAATTTGAAATAATTTTATTTAAGGTATTAGGACTTAAAAAAAAGATAACTTACAATTTCTATAAGATTCTTTAAAAGACTTA AAAAATCAAATTATTGATTTATAAAATTCAAAATTAATTCCATTTGTATAATCTGGACAAATTTAAAATATGGCTAATTTATTTCAAAAAAC AAAATATAATCTTAAAAACACAAAAATATTCAGAAACCTTGACAA

IES length $=3362$
length of target RE framgent 697
left RE position: 373696
right_RE_position: 374393
Target sequence
TTTAAAATTAAGTTTCTGGATAATATATGATATGGTTAGTTTTACTTTCAATTTTTTTCAAAATTTATATCTACTAAAAGTATTTTGTGTTTAG GAATAGCTTAAGGAAAAAAGCAAAAGGAGGACTAATAGAGTTAAAATCTCCGCAAATTATTATTCTTTGATGAGTTGTTTTAGTTTAATT CAAATTTATTAGAATGTTTGAAACTAATAGGTGAAACATTCCAAGAGATTATCGAAATGGATATTATGATTTTGAATTATGACACTTTTGGT TTATACTGCTGAGACTTTAAGATAGTTTCATATCCTTAAACTCATTAAATAAGTTATCCATTTAAGGTATGTGATCTGTCTTTAGGATAATTT AATAGTATTTTATTTTTTTCTAACATTTTATTAATTCTTTAGGTTAAGGAGCGAGGTCCATACTTCATACTTGAAGTATTTTTTCTTTTTTGCA TGTATTTTATTATTGATTAGTTGAGTTTTTCAGCTAAGCACTAAACTATCTGATAAAAATAACCTTATTAAACAATTTCAGTGCATTTTAGTC ATTTCTAAGAGTTGCCTCTTGAAGGATTGTTATTTATACAGTCCTATACTCAGAATGATCTTGAAGAATTTGAAGGATATTAGTTTGTTCTG ATGGATGTTTTATTGGTTCTGTTAGGTTATTTTGGATAGGTTATTTAAA

## ID 437

IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.155.26437
sequence $=$ TATTATTTATGAAATAAAAATCTAGGTTTTTTTATATGAAACTTGAAAAACAAAATTTTTGTTCAACATGATAGATTATTTTAAAA GTTATTTATCATTTTTCAAAACTCTTTCTTTAGGAATTATTTATTTAAAAATGGAACAATGGATGCAATACATTTTTTCAATTAACATTCCAG AAATTAATTAAAAATTAATGAACATACTTATTAATATTATTTTAACTCATGATTTTCGATTGCTAATAAAAACTGTAAGGTTATTCCACATAA TTTATATAGGATTGAGTTATGGAGAATAAAGAAACAAAAACCTTATAGTAACTACTTTTAACAGTTTTTGTACCGATTTAAAATCTTTGAA ATCATTTGAGTTTCCACGTATCTACGATTTTTAATTATGTCCAAGAGCAAATTCATCCAAAAACAAAATACAAAGTTGAATTATTCAACGG TATAGACTAGGTAAACTATGGAGTATTAATATTTCTTTTCTAGTAACTACTTTGTGTTTCCTCTCTTATCTTTTTATAAGGCAATTTGGAACT AGCATTACAATATTTTCTAATCAAAATTTGAAACTATCTTTGTCATATTGAATGTTCAGATTATTTAAAATGCTCTAAAATTCTTTGGTAAGA TTTCGCTACAATAAAATTATGTAAGAGGGATCTATTTGATTGGTTTCTTTAACCATTTTGAATGGATTTCGTTCAAGTTAAAACAATTTAAT TAATCTTATTTCATTTCTTCATTTAGAATTATACACTTCACTTAAGATGGTTTTGTTTTTAAGATGAAAGCTATAGAATATGCAGTGAATCCA TTTTTCAGAGTTTGACCACTATGTTCAGATTTTGTAAAAATTCAAAACTAAACTCTTTTTTTACCAAAATAATTGGGTTAATCATAAATATT TAGAGTCGATAAAAATATAAAATAGGCTAAGTTCGGTATTTTGAGAGGAATTAAACTAATTTATTGATTTTATTTTACTAAAAATAGAGATA GGTTATATTAGGATGGGCTGCTCACAATTTTTTTTTATGATATAAATCCATATTCTATTTTTCAACCACTAAATGAAATATTTTTATAAGAATG ATAATTTGAGTAATAGAAAGGTATATTAGTAATTTAAACTTCTCATTGACAACATCAAAGAATTTCCATCTAAATACAATTACAATTGAACT AAATTTGGAATGAAATGTTAATAATAATTGCTCTAAAAATTAAAAAAAAGGTTTTGGTTTCGTAAGTATATTTGTAAGGGTAGTAATAACA GTATTGTAAATAGGAAAATAACATTATGGAATAATTAGACCTAACATTCGCTAGAACAATCCAAGGAAAGGTAGTATAAACTCTCTTAGA CTCCATTTGTTCCTCAGGAAAACCATATAGTAAGAAAAATCTCCTGTTTACTACCTTTACCAAATCAGGCTAAAGAAAATAAACGATTGA AGAATAACTCATGAAATTGTTTACATTATTTAATCAGCCAAGCCTCAAGCAAGCTTTCCAAAATATAAAAAGAATGGCAACTCTTAATCCT TCAAAAAAGGAAGCTGGTATCTTTAGATGCTTCAAGGATGATCAAGATAATATAAATACTCATCCAGATACTGAATAAAATGTAAGACAA GAATTAGACAGAATCTCGCAGAGAAGTGTATATCAGCCTCTTACCCATACTAATTTGCCCTCTATATGGAATGAAGAGATTCAGAAGCTAT AAAAGCTCATGTCAAAAGATAAAGCTATTACGATGGATGGTATATCAGACAATTTTATAAGGATCAAAACATCTGGACATTTTAAAGATCT TTGGAATGATAACACTGGCTAAATAAATCAATATATTGATCAAGCTCGTGTGGTCCCACTAAATAAGGTATATCAAGAAATACCCTCAAAA TAGCAATTCAGACCTATCACAAAACTTAGCCCATTTTTCAAATTTTTAGAATTAAGATTTTTAAACAAGACTCAAAGATTTTGAGAGCAG CGCCTAAAATATGAACAAAATGGCTTCATGTTAATAGAGGAACATAAGTAAATATAGAGAAATTGGTTGCTTACTTAGGTAGTGTGAATA GAAAGGAGAGGAAATGTGTAATTTTTATAGATTTTCAAATGCATATTGCATCATGATAACAAACAAGTTGTTTTAAATTATGGAAGAAATA AGTATAATACTTGACGAATTAACATTTTCAAAAGGATTACACTCATTACTTCATTATTAAGACCCTAGGAAAGAAAAAGAATATGGTGGTT TAAAAATGGAGTCGTTTAAGTATCCCTATTATCACCATCACTACTCAATATTTACTTGGATACCTTTTTATAAAATTTATCTTCAAAACTGAA TCAGGAGCTGAACGTTAGAGCTTATGCAGGTGATCTAGCTTTTATTGTAGACGACAAAGCTGCGAAGAATTATAATTATCTTGGAATCAA TTTAACAGATAAAGCTTCTACATCTAACTAGATTAACAAAATAAAAGGAAGAATTAATTATATCTCCAATTAACTCAAATGGATCTTCTGA AAAATGGATATTAAGCAAAAAATTATCCTCTGGAAATTTACATAAGGCCACTTATCACCTATTCGAATTTAGCTATTTAAACAGCCATTAA AAAACTCCAAAGAATGATATAATAAAACTATAAAGAAAATCTCTAAAGACGATAACTAGAATGCCAAAATCTACACCAAATCCAATCATT GATATATTAATCAAAATTAACAGGTATAGCCAGGTTCCTAACAACTGGGAAAAATATGCAATATTCATAAATGCAAGATGAATGATTCACA TATAATTGAATAAGATTTTGGGCTTAAATTTGAAGAATTATTGGTAATCCCCCAGGGGAGAATGTAGAAAAGCAAAGCTATCAAGATGAA AAAACGAATAGAACAAGCGATAACAGAAATTAATCAAAATATCAGAGAATGAAATATTAATGTGTGTTTAGGTCCCAAAAAGGACATAA TAATAATTATAATAATAAATAGGAAAATAGTAAATATAAAGATTCTAAATTTATATGAAAGGATGTTAATGTTGTTTTAAATATTGTAATAAG ATGGTTAGATAATAACTTCGTAATTTAAAATTGAGATAAAGATTATTATGTAATCAATCAGACTTAGCCCTTTCAAATAATCTAAATCTCGG ATAATTATTTCTTTACTAA
IES length $=3211$
length of target RE framgent 689
left_RE_position: 28326
right_RE_position: 29015
Target sequence
TTTAAACTTCTCATTGACAACATCAAAGAATTTCCATCTAAATACAATTACAATTGAACTAAATTTGGAATGAAATGTTAATAATAATTGCT CTAAAAATTAAAAAAAAGGTTTTGGTTTCGTAAGTATATTTGTAAGGGTAGTAATAACAGTATTGTAAATAGGAAAATAACATTATGGAAT AATTAGACCTAACATTCGCTAGAACAATCCAAGGAAAGGTAGTATAAACTCTCTTAGACTCCATTTGTTCCTCAGGAAAACCATATAGTA AGAAAAATCTCCTGTTTACTACCTTTACCAAATCAGGCTAAAGAAAATAAACGATTGAAGAATAACTCATGAAATTGTTTACATTATTTAA TCAGCCAAGCCTCAAGCAAGCTTTCCAAAATATAAAAAGAATGGCAACTCTTAATCCTTCAAAAAAGGAAGCTGGTATCTTTAGATGCT TCAAGGATGATCAAGATAATATAAATACTCATCCAGATACTGAATAAAATGTAAGACAAGAATTAGACAGAATCTCGCAGAGAAGTGTAT

ATCAGCCTCTTACCCATACTAATTTGCCCTCTATATGGAATGAAGAGATTCAGAAGCTATAAAAGCTCATGTCAAAAGATAAAGCTATTAC GATGGATGGTATATCAGACAATTTTATAAGGATCAAAACATCTGGACATTTTAAA

## ID 670

IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.174.130670
sequence $=$ TATACTCAAATACAATTTTCATCTACAACTTCAGACATCCTAATTTTTTTATTATAATATAAATATATTTCTATATCTAATCACAATC AAAAACACACAAACACATAATTTAATTTTTATAATGTGAAACTATAAATAAAAATAAAAGGCTTATTATATTGAGAGTTGGAGGGATGAAT TAAGGAGCGAATGATTAACTCCCATTCGATTCCAAAATTCGAAACTCTGCTTTGACTAAAATCTCCTTCAAAGTACTAAAGAATTCCAGA CTAACAAACTGCGATTGCTACGTTATTGCTATTCAAAAGGAGCGGAAACTTTTTGCACATTTACTTGTGAAAATTTATATTAAAAACTTGA ATTTATATAATAGCATCAGATGTATGATTGTAAAACCTAACTATGAATTTAAGTTTGAAGTAGAGATGTTTGAACTTGGGTTGATGTATAAT GGAGTTAAGCACAACATGTATATTACAAATTCTAAGGCAGTTATTGCCACTTGCTTAAGTTAAGGGCTTAAATGGAGGAAGAAATAAATA ATAAATAAATGATAATTGATTCAGAATTTATTATTATCATTTGATGGAGGAATTATTCAGGACTAAGTTAAAATTATTCACTTCATTATTTTG CTAAAAGCAGCTTTAAGGTTTCATTCAGAAATTCTCCAAATACCTATTGATTTACATTTCAATTAACCCAGAATCAAATACTAAACCTATC GCAACCGTCAGTATCAATTACAAATTTACCTTTTTAAGGTGTTCGATTCTATATACAACAATAGACACCTATCTGGTTTGCCAACTTCATCC AGTTTTAAAGCTAAATGGATCCACATAGACTAATTTTTAATCCGAGCTGAGAAGATTAGTTAATTATTTTGCAAATTCAAATCTTCTTGTTA AAGTACATTTTTTTTGAGGATTAAATTAACAAAATAAGTAAGTGATAAGTATACAACCCAAAACCATTAAAAACTATTGATTACTATGAAA ATTCACAATAATTATTAATATGTTTGATTTCAAATGAGCAATATTATCTTAAACTACAAATTTTTTCAATCCATAATTTGACCTATTCATCAA ATGAAAAAGCAAATTATTGACACATAGAATTAGAAAACTCTAAAACTTACTAATATTGATATTTAGCCCTTCAAATTGATTTATTAAATATC CTGTTAAAATTTAGATTTTATAGAAATTCCTATTTCTTAAGCAATTTACTTTGGTCCCTTTGTTAGTCGTTAATCTTTGCATTCCTTCCCTTAT CACTATCAAATATTATGTGTATCATCAAAAGGAATCTATTTGTGTATTTACTGATGAAATTTTTTTTTATACAATTAGGTTTTAATAAAATTAT TGACAGACATCATAGCATGCAAGTATCACAACATAGTTTAAGTTTAAGGCCTGCTATAGCAAATAAAATTATTACAGAGAATAAACTCATT AAATTATGAGTAAGATTAAATGAAAAAGTATATATTTTGTTAATTTTTGGAAATGTCTTGAATTTAAAAATAATCCAATAAAAATGTATGTT ATAATTTATATTAAAAAATATTAATTAATTTTTTTGATATATTCTTTATTATGTTTATTTTTAATTTGCTATAAAAGATTTTTTTTTTCAATATAA ATTTTAGTTTTGAATGCTAAATAAATTGAGTCAGGTTTCCATTTTACAAGCAACCAAACAAACGGTTCTGTTGAATCATTTTATTATGGAT TAATCTTAGCGGGATATTATTTGCCCGCATTCCATATGTTTGGAGAATACGATAATATTTTCTCAGAGTTGCTAAAAAAAATCTCTTGCTCA GAATCAAAAATGTCCTAACAGGATAATTGTTTCAGCAACTAAAGAGGTATTTTACACAATAATTTAAGAATCTAAATGACTATATTTTGGC ATAGCAGATGAAATCTACACTCATCAAATGCGGGCTATCAATATTCTTAAAGCGATGGAGCTGAATCATTCTTAATTCAAGAATCAAAGG GACTAGATTAATCAAATCTAAAGCAAGATGACCTAATGCAAAGATTTTACAATCAAAATTTTCAATCGTTATTTAGATACAAAGGTTGGA AGAAATAAAAGTGAGATATTTTTGCAAAAAATGAAGGAGCAAGTTGAGAAATTTAAATAAGATGAAACAAAAGCAAGTAGTCTCCCAA AGATCTCACTTAAGCAAAAAACTTACTTAAAGTAGCAAAGATAACTAAGAGTTGGATCAAATAAATTAAGGAATTTGAGAAGAAGTATA ATAAGCGAAGTCTTTTTAAAGAAGAAATTGAATAATTATTAATAATAAAAACAATAAGATCTAATCTTATGGATAATTTTAATTGCTAAATT TCTGCAACCTTTTTTCTGTATTCTCTACTCAAAGAAGTTTTTCATCTTCGTTACAATCTTTATAATAGTGCTTCAAAATATCGATATTCATCC TGTTCGCTTATGAATTCTTTAATGTATTTTTAGCATTTCCATTTTTCAGCAATTCTCTTTCTTCAAAATAAAATTCTTGCTAGTTATTTTCTTT ATCATTTTTCATCTTAGTTTTAGGTGCTTGGTTTATGATTTGAAATTTTTTTGGTTTTATTTTATTAGAGGTTTGTTAAAACACACTAACTTT TTTCATAAACTTTTTGTATTCCTTAGTTGATTTTTGCTAGTCAATCTATAACTCTGAGTCCAAATTTTTCATCAATTGTTCACTAAAATTAAA ACGATGGCATTGATGTTCAATTCCCCTAACAATACTTTCTAAGTACATTGTCAAGTTATGGGGAAGTTGGGATATATTTTCAGATAATTTGA AAATAATAATGAAAATAAAATAATACACCTACATGAAATAATTTGCTATAAAATGTGCGATTTTCCTTTCTCATCTAATGCAAAATAGATTA TTACCAGAAATATATAAATAATGTGCATAAAAATTACTAAAAATGTTAGCTAAAATATGTTTTAACTA

IES length $=3001$
length of target RE framgent 712
left_RE_position: 138050
right_RE_position: 138762
Target sequence
TTTAAAGCTAAATGGATCCACATAGACTAATTTTTAATCCGAGCTGAGAAGATTAGTTAATTATTTTGCAAATTCAAATCTTCTTGTTAAAG TACATTTTTTTTGAGGATTAAATTAACAAAATAAGTAAGTGATAAGTATACAACCCAAAACCATTAAAAACTATTGATTACTATGAAAATT САСААТАATTATTAATATGTTTGATTTCAAATGAGCAATATTATCTTAAACTACAAATTTTTTCAATCCATAATTTGACCTATTCATCAAATG AAAAAGCAAATTATTGACACATAGAATTAGAAAACTCTAAAACTTACTAATATTGATATTTAGCCCTTCAAATTGATTTATTAAATATCCTG TTAAAATTTAGATTTTATAGAAATTCCTATTTCTTAAGCAATTTACTTTGGTCCCTTTGTTAGTCGTTAATCTTTGCATTCCTTCCCTTATCA CTATCAAATATTATGTGTATCATCAAAAGGAATCTATTTGTGTATTTACTGATGAAATTTTTTTTTATACAATTAGGTTTTAATAAAATTATTG ACAGACATCATAGCATGCAAGTATCACAACATAGTTTAAGTTTAAGGCCTGCTATAGCAAATAAAATTATTACAGAGAATAAACTCATTAA ATTATGAGTAAGATTAAATGAAAAAGTATATATTTTGTTAATTTTTGGAAATGTCTTGAATTTAAA

## ID 181

IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.196.12181
sequence $=$ TATTATCAATTCAAAATTCATCATTTTATTTTTGAAATGCAGAAGGTTCAAATAATTTTCCAACTGATTTAGACCAAATTTATTTA CCCTAATATTTGAGTTCCTGAGATAGCTTTCAAGAATAAACTACATTCATTTTATTCTTTAAAGAAGACAAATTTCCCTCTTTCCTTCATGG TAACTTTTTTAAAAATCCCTTAAAAACCCTCAGCCATTTGTTTAACAATTATTTCTAATTAATCATCTCTTTAAATTGTTTATGAATTTAAGG GTTTGAACTTAGGAAAATCGTAAGGAATTTAACTCAAGTAGTGATCCAAATAATAATTTTAGAGCAATTCATGGAAATTCTACAAATGTTT TTTATCAGATACAAATAAAGAGGATTAGTTGTAGATTTATTAAAATTTCAAAAGGGACAACCTCATTATCTGAGAAGAGTGGTATGATAGG TGAATAATTACAGATTTGCAACTAATCAACTTAACAACAACTAAATGAATCAGTGATGATAGAATAAATAGTTTAGCCAGTTTAACAGATA GGAAATGGGCTATGTTAGAAATATTGATCAAATACAATAATATTCCTAACAAAATCTTAGCATTAATTGCAAAGTTGTGTATGCTTAGGTTG AATCATAAGGCCATGCAATACCTGATGTAACAAAGAGGAAACGCTGAAAAATACAAATATAAATGATGTATTCAAGCACAAGCAGCAGA TGAAAATAAAGAAAAGAACAATTGTTGAATAGATCATATTACTTGAGGTATCTATCAACAAGTACATGTTGTACTCTAAATCTAGTATTAA TTTCGTCTGCTCAAGGTTAACAGGTTTAAGATCCAGAAAAGTAAACGATGCCCATATATGGACGTGCATTAATTTTAAAATAGGGATGCA AAACAGCATATTTGTAAGTTACATAATTTTCTTGTTTTGCTAGATACTTGTAGATTAAGAACAAAAAAAACATGTAAAACATTGATCTTGA TATCTAAAGATAATATACAAAAGGACTGTGCTAAGAGGCTTCTAATTTCCATTCTTAAATTTATACCCAGATTGTGGACAAGGATTTCAAA TAGTTTGCCTTTTGTTGATACATATATTAACTTAATTCTTATATAATTAAATGTATGGAAGTATAGAAGATTATAAAGTTGAACAACCAGCAG ATAAATTAGAAATAAATGGCTGATGCATTCAAATAGTTCTAAATTAACCATGATATTACACTTATTTAGGTGGTTAAGTTACTTAGAACACA ACCAACATCACTAAAGCTTATATTACACTATTATGGAACATTGATAAATTCTAAGCATATGAAATTTTAAGCATAAGGGGCGATATATAGGA CCTTATATGCAACAAAAATAAAAGCCCCATGAGAATATATATAGAGAAATAAGATGAACAAAGACTCCAAGCAGAAGTAATGTTCATTAT CTATAAAAATGAACAAAATTCTTCATCATCACTAACTAACAGGATTAATTGCAAGTTAATAAAAGTTGCATATTGTTTTGGTAATATTTTTT TTTAGCAAACCGCACATACTATTATGATCACCTTGAATGTATAGTGATGAATAATACGAAAAGTATTACACTAATACTATCAATCCTATGTAT TAAAGTGAAGCATTAGACAGCAGATTAGGAAGAAGTTATGGAGGTTTTGACATGGGTAGTTTTTGAACAACAACTTTAAAAAGTTGAA GGCTTGCATATCTAATTTTTAGGTTCATTTTGTTGCATGACATGTTTTAGAGTTGGCATAGCAACATTAAATTTCTCTCTGATTAAAACAGA TTATGATTTGTAACTACTTCTTGAATCAACTTCAACTGCACACGAAGTGTTGGAACTGTTAAATTAATCATAAGAGTGGTTAACACTGTTA

ATTTAGTTTTACAAGTTTCAGGTATTTAAAAACAATACTAGAGCAATTAGTGCAAGTAAACTATCTAACTATACTTGTAACTGTGTGGTTC TCTAAGATAGTTCCACAATTATAATAGAGGTAACAATTCAAGTAAGTATTGTAGAGACAAAATAAATTATATTATCGCAGTCCTCCTAACA GGACATGCTTGACACTTTCCAAATTACATAAGTAGTAAAATATAATTCGTAACTGAAATATGAGTTTCTTTAGTCTTCTATAGATTAAGAAG GCTTACATAGTCTGAACGTTTATGCGGATGTTGAGAAGTAGCCACTGTTTATTCAGTAGTATAAGGGTTATAAGAATATCAAGGAACGTAA ATAATTAGATTGATTATCTAAGAACAATTCAATAAGGGTTGATGAGATACCAGAGGAAGACATTAGTCTGAACATCCATAACGTTTTAAGA ATTAATGCAAGTAGTGCTGTGGAGTAAATATCGATATCGAACGAAATGATTGTCATTAAGTAGAAAGTATTAGCATCATATTAATAGTAAA GCACTCAATGCACTATAATATTATAAGAGTAGTTTTAAAGGTATATCGTATTACTATATAAATAGCATGACTCCAATATTGCCTAATCTGTTA ACTATCATAAAATGTAGATACATTCATTTACCTAATAATGAGGCTACTGTATTTTAAGTTTGTCTATTCTCAATTCACTTGTAGATATTTAAG TTACCGTTAATTACAATTAACTCCATTAAGAGACAAATAATCCTTGCAACATAGCAACTATTAGAAAATTATGTGGATATACTGTTGGAGC TTTACATTTAATTCAAATTAGAAATTATATTACTTTAGTTTTAGGGTTTCAAGGAGTTGAATGTTGTCAACAAACAAACAAAGTGCATGTC ATAGATTAGAAAGTATATTTTTCAATTCATGTTAAAACAAGATGGACTAAACCAAATTAAGACATACAGAACCTTCATGCATCAAACCTTC AAACACTATGAGTTGTACAGCAAATATAGAAACAAGAAGAACATGTTTCACCAGCCCTCTAGGTTGTCCTAGTAAAGTAAGAATTGGAT AATAAGTAGACTATTAACCAGCCTGTAATGCAACATTTGAAGTGCACTTCTCAAATGTAAAAGTAAGGCTGGCATCATAGAATCCTATTG ATTGAGTGTTCCAATTTTAAAATAATTTTTATGCATCTATTTGCAGGTTCGTTACTCCTATATTGTTTACAACTTTAGGCTGTGTAAATGGCA GTTCAGTTTTACTGTTAAAACAAAAGGATGTGAAATATCCTACACCCGAGGGAAAATCATTGTGCATATAATATAAAGCCTCATTGAGTCT GAATAGTATTGAAAGGAGAAAATTACAGGAGGCAGTTTCAATAGGTTTGCTAAAACTGATTTTCATAACTCACTGAGAATGTCCAATATC AGAATGAAATTTAAGATTTTTTTGAAAAAAAGTTACCAAAGCCTCTGAATAGCTACAAAATTAATATTTTAAAAGGTTAGTTGATCATTTG AACAAGTTTGGAAAAATGTAATATACAA

## IES length $=3489$

length of target RE framgent 831
left_RE_position: 13230
right_RE_position: 14061
Target sequence
TTTAAAATAGGGATGCAAAACAGCATATTTGTAAGTTACATAATTTTCTTGTTTTGCTAGATACTTGTAGATTAAGAACAAAAAAAACATG TAAAACATTGATCTTGATATCTAAAGATAATATACAAAAGGACTGTGCTAAGAGGCTTCTAATTTCCATTCTTAAATTTATACCCAGATTGT GGACAAGGATTTCAAATAGTTTGCCTTTTGTTGATACATATATTAACTTAATTCTTATATAATTAAATGTATGGAAGTATAGAAGATTATAAA GTTGAACAACCAGCAGATAAATTAGAAATAAATGGCTGATGCATTCAAATAGTTCTAAATTAACCATGATATTACACTTATTTAGGTGGTT AAGTTACTTAGAACACAACCAACATCACTAAAGCTTATATTACACTATTATGGAACATTGATAAATTCTAAGCATATGAAATTTTAAGCATA AGGGGCGATATATAGGACCTTATATGCAACAAAAATAAAAGCCCCATGAGAATATATATAGAGAAATAAGATGAACAAAGACTCCAAGCA GAAGTAATGTTCATTATCTATAAAAATGAACAAAATTCTTCATCATCACTAACTAACAGGATTAATTGCAAGTTAATAAAAGTTGCATATT GTTTTGGTAATATTTTTTTTTAGCAAACCGCACATACTATTATGATCACCTTGAATGTATAGTGATGAATAATACGAAAAGTATTACACTAAT ACTATCAATCCTATGTATTAAAGTGAAGCATTAGACAGCAGATTAGGAAGAAGTTATGGAGGTTTTGACATGGGTAGTTTTTGAACAACA ACTTTAAA

## ID 191

IES ID=IESPGM.PTET51.1.579.2191
sequence $=$ TATTATTTAAGACCATAAATTCAAAAGTAATGATAAAATATTAGACTATGGAATCTTATATTTCATTAATATATATCACAATTATTAG GTAATTCGTAGAACATTGATATTAGAGGTATCTAAATATTAAAAAATACTAAGGAAGTTCATCAATAGGAAGGATGAAAATTTATTTGTAG AGATTATTTGAAGAATAATGTTGTCCTATTTAACACCCCATAAACAGATAAGTGTATCACCGCTACCAGATACTATTGTAGTATTATAAAGG CTGATTAAACTAAATTTTATGATCACCAAATTTGCCTTTTTGTTGAGACGTTTTTACTTCCTTACCATAAGAGAAGTGTATGACAATCAGA ATCCTCAAAAAAAAAGAAGAGATTACTCGAACAAATACATTCTTTCTTTTAAAAATTCAAAACTTACAAACATTTTCCTTCTTTTATAATT СААААСТТТААТСАТССТTGATTATCCTTTATTAGTGATGATAATGAAATTTGATCAATTCTTTTCAACTTCTTCTTACACACTTTCAACAA GTGAAAATAGCAACTCTACTACAATTTCTTCAAATACAAAAATAGGATGTGTTTTTGTTGCATTATTTTTATATCTTTTTATCAAGCATAATA TTCTGACAGCTTATTTAATTACAAAACCTTCATTAAACATATACAACAACAACTTAATTTATAATCTTTCTTTTTCCAAACTTCTTGATTTTA ATCAATCGAAAAAACCACAGAATTTTGTAGATCACAGCATATCTAGTTAAATAGACATGCTTTCACGCTTATATTAAGAATACTTTTCTTAC TGTGGTAAAATGCTGAAGAATATATGAATTAATTTTGATAAATTCTTTTGAATTTCTGAATCAAAAAAATAGCTTTTAGTTCGATTTTTTTC GTAACTATAAAATTATATAAGCTTCAAGCTTATGGATCATGCCTAAGCTTTAACAATTAATTACTTAAACTTCTACAACTACTGCTAATAAA TGTTTATGAGGTAAATTATCTAAATATAGCCAATGAATAAAAACTATTTTGAGCATTTAGACTTTGATTAAAGACACTTTAAAAAATGAAA GGCTTTATTGATATACTGCCAAACAAATTTAATAAACTAACTCAGATGACGCGCAAAATTTGTAATTAACATAATTTTATTTTGTCATTTAA ACATATTATGGTATGCCATTCAAAATTCTTGGTCTAAATTATAAGTACGTTTTAAGGATGACTATTACAATAAAAAATAAATTAGAAGAAAA TAGAAGAGTTAATAAATAATAAAAAGAGTTTATGAATTTGATATTTGATTAATATGAGTTAATTTTAATATTATATGGATTCATTACCTCCCC ATTTTGGATTTCTTAGGAATGAGATTAAATTTGTTTAAGTATTAGCCTTCAAATCTTTTAACAAAGATTCAATCTTATTCTCTTGAAACAAG ATTCTATTGATTAATTATACTTAAATTTCGAAATATACTTATAAACCTTAGCAATTATCTTTTTATATTTGATTAGGTTGAGACTCATAAAGTT AGATATATATAGAACCCTAAAGAAGAAACATGAAGTGTACCTACCAGAAATATTCAATACCTCAAAATTTAAGAGCCTGAAATTATAAGT GTGTGAACATCTATATATACATCAATACTTAAGTTAGAGACTTTTATTAAATTTATTGAAACTACAAAAAGATATATTAAAGTACAAATTTTA TGAATATTATTAAATGCTAAGGATTTGAATATTCTATTTAAACTGATGCTCTAATAGTTTTTAATGATTAGATAAAGTAAAATTGTTAGTTAC TTTGCCTTGGATATAAATGTTTATTCAGTTAAATAAAGTGGCTTAAAAAATAAAATATTCTTAATTCAACTCAAATTAAAATTAAATCATGA AAAAATCAAAACAATTTACTTGTTAATAAGTTGTTGTTGTTGTGCAAATCTTACATAAAGCAGCTTCTAATCTTCTTATTAAAATATGAGC GTTAAGCTCTTCTACTAAATCGATTGATTGATAAACATTCTTCTGATTATTGCATAATCAAAAAAAGTAAACTAATTTAAGCATCTTTGTAT TTTAGTTAAATATATGTTATATATATTTGATGTAGATGCTAAGTAGTACTTACAAAGGCATAATACATATTCAAATGATTTAACAATATACTAA GGAACCTGAACTGAGTCAGAAGGATGAAAGTATGTATGTATCACATTCATCACACCAGTATTAAATTTAGAATTGTTGGGATCCTTGCAG AATACATCTTTCGCTTAATCACCAGCATTTCAATATGTCTTGAATAGTTCTTTACACTCTAAAAAACTAAGAAACAACCTTTCAATATATGA TAGCTATTCAGCTTAGCCAATTGTGCAAGAAGTATATCATCCTATTCTAAAGTGCCATCAGCATCTAATGGTGCACCACGTTGTGCTTTCT TTCTCGGTTGCAAGAGCCTACATTCTAGTAGCAACTAGATGTACAGGAATTATATTTAGTTAAAAAATATAAAGCATTATTACCAATTAATA GTTCAAGTGGTTAGATATGAAACATCCACAATTACAATAAAATATGCTTTTACTTCTAAGCTGATCAATTATGTTTTACAATTGGTCAGAAT TAGCCACCTAAGCATACACATTTACAAAGTTTAACTACATTCTATAGTTTGAGCCTAATTATTGAACGATTTTAATTGGGCAACACAATTTA GCACATGATGAACATTAAATAAAAACGGCAGGGAATATTGGAGTAGTAAATTATTTTAAAACACCAGCTCATACTGCTACTCGAATCAAA AAATATCTGAAATAATTCTCATTTCACTTTGCCTGATACATTTAAGATTGCTGGAATATTGGTGAAATCCATATAATCAATTATTGCAATAAC TAAGGCTAATAAAATTCTAACCAAAAATTATTATTAAGGAAGTTATTAAAAAGTCTAAAACAAGAGACAATGAATATGATATTTCTTTCGT TACATTAGTTACAGGTTACATAATGTGATAGTGCATTCGTGATCAGGTATGTGCTTAATCATATTCTAATTATTTACCCTATATTCATGATATT TGATGGCTAAGCACTTGAGGAGCTTTAATCTTCATCACAAGAATTAAGCGAAGGATATATTTTATCTTAAAGTAATACTATAAACACTATA ATTTATTATTACATGTTGAATAATGTAGACAGAATCCATGAACAAAATTTTAATATAACGGCCATCATTAACTGAATTAAATTGAACATATTT AATACGCCATAATATTTTAAGGTAGAAGAATCATAATTAGTAACGAAAGTTACATCACTGAACTTTTATTTCATCAAAGTGGAAGAAAAAT TGAAACTCATTTCAATCTAGACTACCTGCCTAATTGAATTGACATGATTGTACATCAGTAGAACTATTACTCCAGTTGTACAAAAGGTCCA AACAGAATTGAAAGAATGACAAACATTAGTGTTTGCAGTAACAACACCAATCAAAAAAGCTACTGCATGTTAATTGATACGTACTGTGC

CAATTTCACATTTTTAGCTCTAAATAAAATTGGACATCAGTATAACTATTAGTAACAAAATTAATGCAGCAAATTGTATTGTGCTGTAGAAT ATCATCTCTCTCTCAAATATTTTTGGGAATTCATTATTCTAAATGGAAAATATTTGAATGAGCATATTATCTTTTTTTACTAATTTTCTTTCCC ATTTTTATAATCTTAACTTTGTTTTTGTGAGAGTTCCTCCTTATTTTAATTCTCCGCTTAATATTCTTCTGAAAGTATTAATTCTGTCAGATTG ATTTGCTAATCATTTCATCGATTATTTCCTAAAATTAAATTTGTATGATTTTTCATTATTTAAACATTTGATAGGAGAAGTTCATCTAATAAAT GAATTTAATTGATTAAATAATAAAATACAAAATCTCAATTTTGGCTATAATTTATTATTTTCCTTATTATTTTTTTCTTTCATATACATTTAAAA ACATAAATAGTATTCTCCATTTGTAAATAGGCTTCAACTTCCTAATGCTGCTTTATTGTAGCTTCAGTTGGGGTGTTTCTTAGAAGGATAGA AATGTATTTTTTTAGTTCCAATTAATTCAAATCTCTTGTTTTAGTTTAAATTTAAGTTTTCAAATTTAATCTTAAATGTTAAAATTTTTTTGTT TTAATGGCAAAATTTTAATTGATTCTTTGTTTTGTTTTTGTAATGACACACATGATTATGGTGCAAAAAATGCAACATTTCATTAAATGAAA AACCTTTCCTGATCTAAAAATGATATTTATAATTTATCATCAGTATCATCATAACTGAGAACTTACAGTGTACATTACTCAAATATGATAATC TAAATAATTTTCGTACATCAGCAATCTGTAAAGTGTTTTGTAAATTTCCATTTTTAATTCTTTTAATTCTTCCCATAATGATATTCATCACATT CTGAAATTTGATACAAATGTGAGAATATCTTATCTTCTTCAAAGATTTGAGAATGATGTTGTTTGAGATGTTTACAAAATGTATATATTTTT GCAAAGTTACGATCTCTTGGATTACACTTTGAATTAAAACATTTGTTGTTCTTTCCCAACAAAAAGTACTTTACAAACCTTAAATGCTATG ATTAAATGTGATCAATCAATAAACTCAAATTGTCAAATTTTATCTTACATAAATAACATTGCAAATATTATTATGTGATTTGTGCCAATAACA GACCTTCATTTTCTAATTCAATCAAGTTTAATATAAACAACATAACTTTATTAATAAAGTTTTAGAATTTAATTTCTTCTTCTTCTTCTTCATA TTAATCTTCATCATTATTATTTAAAAATTTCATTAAATTTTACATAATTTATTTTGAATCGAAAAAGTTATCAAAGTACTATTTCTATTAATCC ATTCTCTACACTTTTTATTCTTATTCTTCTTCTTCTTCTTCTTTTTTTTTTACGATTAATTCCCTCAACTTTTCCAAACATAATCTAATCATCTC TTCGACAACAATTTCATTACCTTTTGAAATCCACAATTTATCAAATACTACTCCTCCACATTCCAATAATTTAAATTTTTTCAAAATGGATT TTAAATTAAACAATTATTTATAATATTATAACTTGTCATAACTGTCTAATACATTTAATTACTTCTTCCAAACTTTCATCAAAATTATTATTATA ATTCTCTAAGTGATCTTAATTATCCAAAATGTATTCATAATGTCTGATGATATTGA

IES length $=5314$
length of target RE framgent 1113
left_RE_position: 4999
right_RE_position: 6112
Target sequence
TTTAAAACACCAGCTCATACTGCTACTCGAATCAAAAAATATCTGAAATAATTCTCATTTCACTTTGCCTGATACATTTAAGATTGCTGGA ATATTGGTGAAATCCATATAATCAATTATTGCAATAACTAAGGCTAATAAAATTCTAACCAAAAATTATTATTAAGGAAGTTATTAAAAAGT CTAAAACAAGAGACAATGAATATGATATTTCTTTCGTTACATTAGTTACAGGTTACATAATGTGATAGTGCATTCGTGATCAGGTATGTGCT TAATCATATTCTAATTATTTACCCTATATTCATGATATTTGATGGCTAAGCACTTGAGGAGCTTTAATCTTCATCACAAGAATTAAGCGAAG GATATATTTTATCTTAAAGTAATACTATAAACACTATAATTTATTATTACATGTTGAATAATGTAGACAGAATCCATGAACAAAATTTTAATAT AACGGCCATCATTAACTGAATTAAATTGAACATATTTAATACGCCATAATATTTTAAGGTAGAAGAATCATAATTAGTAACGAAAGTTACAT CACTGAACTTTTATTTCATCAAAGTGGAAGAAAAATTGAAACTCATTTCAATCTAGACTACCTGCCTAATTGAATTGACATGATTGTACAT CAGTAGAACTATTACTCCAGTTGTACAAAAGGTCCAAACAGAATTGAAAGAATGACAAACATTAGTGTTTGCAGTAACAACACCAATCA AAAAAGCTACTGCATGTTAATTGATACGTACTGTGCCAATTTCACATTTTTAGCTCTAAATAAAATTGGACATCAGTATAACTATTAGTAAC AAAATTAATGCAGCAAATTGTATTGTGCTGTAGAATATCATCTCTCTCTCAAATATTTTTGGGAATTCATTATTCTAAATGGAAAATATTTG AATGAGCATATTATCTTTTTTTACTAATTTTCTTTCCCATTTTTATAATCTTAACTTTGTTTTTGTGAGAGTTCCTCCTTATTTTAATTCTCCG CTTAATATTCTTCTGAAAGTATTAATTCTGTCAGATTGATTTGCTAATCATTTCATCGATTATTTCCTAAAATTAAATTTGTATGATTTTTCAT TATTTAAA
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