Application of alpha-boryl radicals in ATRA reactions

Inaugural dissertation of the Faculty of Science, University of Bern

presented by

Gaetano Geraci

from Italy

Supervisor of the doctoral thesis: **Prof. Dr. Philippe Renaud**

Department of Chemistry, Biochemistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences

Original document saved on the web server of the University Library of Bern



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 2.5 Switzerland License. To view a copy of this license, visit

Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 2.5 Switzerland License or send a letter to Creative Commons, PO Box 1866, Mountain View, CA 94042, USA.

Copyright notice

This document is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial-No derivative 2.5 Switzerland Licence. http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/ch/

You are free:

to copy, distribute, display, and perform the work

Under the following conditions:

Attribution. You must give the original author credit.

S Non-Commercial. You may not use this work for commercial purposes.

ENo derivative works. You may not alter, transform, or build upon this work.

For any reuse or distribution, you must take clear to others the license terms of this work.

Any of these conditions can be waived if you get permission from the copyright holder.

Nothing in this license impairs or restricts the author's moral rights according to Swiss law.

The detailed license agreement can be found at: Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 2.5 Switzerland License

Application of alpha-boryl radicals in ATRA reactions

Inaugural dissertation of the Faculty of Science, University of Bern

presented by

Gaetano Geraci

from Italy

Supervisor of the doctoral thesis: **Prof. Dr. Philippe Renaud**

Department of Chemistry, Biochemistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences

Bern, 05.07.2024

The Dean Prof. Dr. Marco Herwegh

Ever tried. Ever failed. No matter. Try Again. Fail again. Fail better."

- Samuel Beckett -

Cchiu longa è a pinsata, cchiu rossa è a minchiata."

- Anonymous -

Acknowledgements

First of all, I would like to thank **Prof. Philippe Renaud** for giving me the opportunity to realize a PhD in his group under his supervision. I started this adventure during the pandemic but I could feel your support and help, especially since I was coming from a different university. I enjoyed and learned a lot from your passion for science and I always appreciated our discussions inside and outside the lab. However, you still did not succeed in motivating me trying skiing, maybe it will come in the future but right now it is still a big no!

I would like to thank **Dr. Xavier Bugaut** and **Prof. Dmitry Katayev** for having accepted to evaluate my manuscript.

A big *merci* to **Dr. Fabrice Dénès** for his support and help during these three and a half years! It took a while for us to know each other, sometimes it was hard to understand each other, but I am particularly thankful to you because thanks to our conversations I grew up not only as a chemist, but also as a man. For sure, I will talk about you to my future kids.

Special thanks as well to our collaborators in Prof. Christoforos Kokotos group at the University of Athens. They hosted me for two weeks and made me feel like at home! I am especially indebted to **Chara Batsika** for her guidance and interesting discussion about the project but, most importantly, for having introduced me to the Greek culture. Our good time in the lab will be unforgettable.

Cheers to former and present members of the Renaud Group. I am particularly grateful to **Dr. Dace Cirule** and **Dr. Lars Gnägi** for having introduced me to the lab and for their help in the initial stage of my PhD. **Dr. Elena Hofstetter** for her support, her friendship and for being constantly beside me since 2021. **Dr. Ravi Kumar** for being a mentor to me, for his assistance in good and bad moments and for dealing with my everyday crisis with calm and with (a lot of) patience.

During my PhD, I had the chance to supervise two apprentices, **Leo** and **Zoe**. Thanks for all the work and effort you put into my project and for always being polite, punctual and efficient. I have learned a lot from you as well and I am looking forward to seeing what you are going to become. I just hope that you will not forget this crazy Italian guy speaking a questionable Swiss German who taught you how to do column chromatographies.

A big *merci viu mau* to all the different people of DCPB who are constantly there to assist us in our work. Starting from the *Ausgabe team* (Michel, Dani, Simon and Marco), the *NMR team*

Ilche and Martina), the *HRMS team* (Claudia and Andrea), the *Werkstatt* and the *Renés* for IT support. Thanks to our group secretary **Fränzi** and the department secretaries **Beatrice** and **Sandra** as well.

Thanks to other PhD Students of the department with whom I had the chance to work with during this time. I am very proud to have founded the PhD Coalition together with **Kaila**, **Sarah**, **Laurianne**, **Sophia**, **Aline**, **Isabelle**, **Marianna** (just to cite a few) and I hope that it will continue growing in the future. Thanks as well to other groups members: **Etienne**, **Luca**, **Keir**, **Jan** and the whole **Katayev group** for the fruitful discussions we had.

I cannot mention the community of *youngSCS* which I have joined in 2023 and where I am currently the vice-president of. I had the chance to interact with very talented chemists who have a big motivation in sharing their passion in chemistry. A big thank you to **Désirée**, **Tara**, **Ghewa**, **Konstantina**, **Magdalena**, **Chrysanthi** and **Patrick** (again just to cite a few) for what you taught me during this time. I am looking forward for our future work together!

Last but not least, my friends and my family. You dealt with my emotions, you supported me and you were always standing next to me during the bad moments. I would like to cite two persons: **Valeria**, perché mi sopporti e supporti dal 2010 e senza cui non potrei più vivere and **Phuong Trinh**, une soeur désormais, une inspiration et une personne à qui je dois beaucoup pour m'avoir accepté ouvertement quand on s'est connu à l'uni en 2015 (oui ça fait bientôt 10 ans). A big *grazie* to my family for their assistance towards the years and for always supporting my decisions. Grazie a mia sorella **Sara** perché è sempre stata vicino a me durante le tempeste e il bel tempo.

Questa tesi è dedicata ai miei nonni **Caterina e Francesco** e **Gaetano e Rosaria** perché è grazie a voi che sono arrivato a questo punto. Mi avete insegnato a vivere con spirito critico e aperto e un pezzo di voi sarà per sempre dentro di me. Spero di avervi reso orgoglioso, soprattutto tu nonno Francesco che mi guardi da lassù.

Abstract

The common thread of this thesis is the application of alpha-boryl radicals in different ATRA (Atom Transfer Radical Addition) reactions. They were generated by using various types of initiators, but the most reliable and efficient was Et₃B/DTBHN. The first part of the thesis is devoted to the application of alpha-boryl radicals in intermolecular ATRA reactions which delivered 1,3-iodo boronic esters as final products. The optimization of the conditions and a small scope on different linear olefines will be presented.



The observed yields being moderate, we then decided to study the reaction on dienes, with the aim of better understanding the last step of the ATRA reaction, the lodine Atom transfer. The choice of this unsaturated system was not random: when the alpha-boryl radical is added, they undergo 5-*exo*-trig cyclization and deliver 1,5-iodo boronic esters as products. We speculated that the relative position of iodine and boronic ester being different, the compounds would be more stable on silica than the parent 1,3-iodo boronic esters. This cascade reaction was renamed ATRAC (Atom Transfer Radical Addition and Cyclization). The synthesis and the reaction of different dienes with different radical precursor together with some investigations about the reactivity of alpha-boryl radicals will be detailed.



Based on the knowledge acquired from the ATRAC project, a new annulation reaction using secondary alpha-boryl radicals was investigated. The scope and the limitations of the reaction will be presented. This new annulation reaction was renamed ATRAn (Atom Transfer Radical Annulation).



List of Abbreviations and Symbols

optical rotation
chemical shift (ppm)
2,2'-Azobis(2-Methylpropionitrile)
aluminium oxide
aqueous
Atom Transfer Radical Addition
Atom Transfer Radical Addition and Cyclization
Atom Transfer Radical Addition and Annulation
Bond Dissociation Energy
concentration
catalytic
calculated
Benzyl chloroformate
concentrated
Correlated Spectroscopy (2D NMR experiment)
1,8-diaminonaphthalene
Deuterium atom transfer
dichloroethane
dichloromethane
Distortionless Enhancement by Polarization Transfer (1D NMR experiment)
Diisopropylethylamine
dilauroyl peroxide
dimethylformamide
dimethylglyoximato
dimethylsulfoxide
di- <i>tert</i> -butylhyponitrite
diastereomeric ratio
Electron Donating Group
enantiomeric excess
equivalents
enantiomeric ratio
Electron spray ionization
Electron Withdrawing Group
Flash Chromatography
light irradiation
Hydrogen Atom Transfer
Heteronuclear Multiple Bond Correlation (2D NMR experiment)
High Performance Liquid Chromatography
High Resolution Mass Spectroscopy
Heteronuclear Single Quantum Coherence (2D NMR experiment)
Infrared spectroscopy
coupling constant (in Hz)

k	absolute rate constant
m/z	mass to charge ratio
Мр	melting point
nep	neopentylglycolato
NMR	Nuclear Magnetic Resonance
NOESY	Nuclear Overhauser Enhancement Spectroscopy (2D NMR experiment)
PC	photocatalyst
BPin	pinacol boronic ester
ppm	parts per million
pyr	pyridine
R	rest (or substituent)
rt	room temperature
RP-HPLC	Reversed Phase - High Performance Liquid Chromatography
RSE	Radical Stabilisation Energy
quant.	quantitative
TEA	triethylamine
TBC	4-tert-butylcatechol
TBAF	tetrabutylammonium fluoride
TBME	tert-butylmethyl ether
THF	tetrahydrofuran
TLC	Thin Layer Chromatography
TMEDA	N,N,N',N'-Tetramethylethylenediamine
Ts	Tosyl
sat.	saturated
SET	Single Electron Transfer
sol.	solution
UV	ultra-violet
XAT	Halogen (X) Atom Transfer

Contents

1	α-Β	oryl radicals: synthesis and applications	3
	1.1	Introduction	4
	1.2	α -Boryl radicals: a new powerful synthetic tool?	5
		1.2.1 Properties of α -boryl radicals	5
		1.2.2 Preparation of α -boryl radicals	5
	1.3	Application of α -boryl radicals in ATRA and related reactions \ldots	15
		1.3.1 ATRA reactions	15
		1.3.2 Merging α -boryl radicals and ATRA reactions: synthesis of 1,3-xanthopinaco boronic esters	l 17
		1.3.3 Merging α -boryl radicals and ATBA reactions: cyclopropagation reactions	18
		1.3.4 Other uses of α -iodoalkyl boronic esters derivatives	23
		1.3.5 Annulation reactions involving α -boryl radicals	23
	14	Hydromethylation of alkenes	26
	1.5	Previous results: on a new anti-Markovnikov hydromethylation strategy	30
	1.6	Goal of the work	33
	Refe	erences	34
			υ.
2	Syn	thesis and Isolation of 1,3-iodopinacol boronic esters via intermolecular	
	Ato	n Transfer Radical Addition of $lpha$ -boryl radicals	39
	2.1	Initial results	40
	2.2	Optimization of ATRA reaction	41
		2.2.1 Solvent screening	41
		2.2.2 Optimization of the equivalents of initiator (DLP)	41
		2.2.3 Optimization of other parameters (Radical precursor, temperature and	
		concentration)	43
	2.3	Development of a work-up/purification strategy to purify 1,3-iodonorbornene boronic	11
	0.4		44
	2.4		40
	2.5	One-pol ATRA and functionalizations protocols	48
		2.5.1 One-pot ATRA + delogination (HydroboryInternylation)	48
	0.0		48
	2.6		51
			らり

	2.7	Experimental Section	53
3	lisation reactions involving $lpha$ -boryl radicals: Atom Transfer Radical Addi- and Cyclisation (ATRAC) and Atom Transfer Radical Addition and Annula-		
	tion	(ATRAn)	71
	3.1	Introduction and aim of the project	72
	3.2	Optimization of the reaction conditions	74
		3.2.1 Initiator	74
		3.2.2 Radical precursor	76
	3.3	Scope with ICH ₂ BEPin as radical precursor	78
	3.4	Scope with ICH ₂ BPin as radical precursor \ldots	80
	3.5	Substrate scope using a secondary radical precursor	84
	3.6	Mechanistic investigations	86
	3.7	Post-functionalizations	89
		3.7.1 lodohydroxyalkylation	89
		3.7.2 Hydroborylalkylation	89
		3.7.3 Hydroxymethylation	90
		3.7.4 Hydromethylation and hydroalkylation	91
		3.7.5 Hydrothiolation	92
		3.7.6 Miscellaneous	93
	3.8	On an annulation reaction involving $lpha$ -boryl radicals: ATRAn (Atom Transfer Rad-	
		ical Addition and Annulation)	95
	3.9	Conclusion	101
References		erences	102
	3.10	Experimental Section	104
		3.10.1 Initiators	106
		3.10.2 Synthesis of radical traps	118
		3.10.3 ATRAC products	133
		3.10.4 Deuteration experiments	156
		3.10.5 Post-functionalized products	159
		3.10.6 ATRAn products	176
Α	Арр	endix	189
	A.1	NMR Spectra Chapter 1	191
	A.2	NMR Spectra Chapter 2	237

α -Boryl radicals: synthesis and applications

This chapter presents a general introduction to the reactivity of α -boryl radicals. It will also include some methods used to generate these species and some examples of application, particularly in ATRA reactions. The structure of this chapter follows a review from Masarwa and co.¹ published in 2020.

1.1 Introduction

Although most frequently underestimated, boron (from the arab word *Burāq* - flash) has emerged as an important element in chemistry. It was first isolated in 1808 by French chemists Joseph-Louis Gay-Lussac and Louis-Jacques Thenard. Over the years, boron containing compounds have been employed for different uses. Amorphous boron is used in fireworks to obtain a nice green flame, in the borosilicate glass synthesis or to develop new resistent materials.² In the field of medicinal chemistry, they have emerged, among others, as new agents for cancer treatment.³ In organic chemistry, organoboron compounds are today employed in various reactions. Among them it is worth to cite the palladium catalyzed Suzuki cross coupling,⁴ which allows the formation of a new carbon bond between an alkenyl or aryl halide and a boronic ester.



Figure 1.1: Examples of items where boron containing compounds are found.

The electronic configuration of boron is $1s^2 2s^2 2p^1$, meaning that it possesses 2 empty *p* orbitals. For this reason, a lot of boron based compounds are also used in organic chemistry as Lewis acids. In the context of radical chemistry, boron containing compounds are extremely versatile: the generation of new radicals can, for instance, occur via *in situ* transesterification of a pinacol boronic ester to a catechol borane which is now able to react in a radical chain process and be converted into a new alkyl radical, ready to be further functionalized.⁵ But radicals can be generate at the α position as well with respect to the boron atom, giving rise to a new species whose reactivity is now influenced by the boron moiety. These radicals are called α -boryl radicals and have gained in interest as building blocks for useful transformations.¹



Figure 1.2: The dual nature of a pinacol boronic ester: one piece, two possibilities.

1.2 α -Boryl radicals: a new powerful synthetic tool?

1.2.1 Properties of α -boryl radicals

According to some literature reports and calculations, α -boryl radicals are more stable than a secondary alkyl (\approx 14.5 kcal/mol).¹ Analogously, they can be compared to the methyl radical of toluene: the α -boryl radical arising from the H-atom abstraction of Et₃B will be more stable.⁶ This increased stabilization arises from the hyperconjugation of the radical with the adjacent empty p orbital of the boron atom. Since there are a variety of organoboron compounds used in chemistry, the boron atom can be bound to different substituents, having thus an impact on the stabilization of the α -boryl radical. As shown in Table 1.1, the α -borane radical is more stable than an α -boronate radical, because the lone pairs on the oxygen atoms are also in conjugation with the empty p orbital of boron.⁷ However, in comparison to an α -ester radical, the RSE is lower.⁸



Table 1.1: Comparaison of the RSE of different α -boryl radicals with an α -ester radical.

1.2.2 Preparation of α -boryl radicals

AIBN (with stannates or with TTMSS) as radical initiator

One of the first reports about generation of α -boryl-radicals was published in 1995 by Carboni and co.⁹ The radical was generated via iodine atom abstraction performed by Bu₃Sn•, formed after AIBN or light initiation. It then underwent a radical cyclisation which delivered a mixture of three products: two diasteroisomers of the cyclopentane (**1b** and **1c**) and one cyclohexane (**1d**). This was due to the lack in selectivity of this cyclization in absence of a Thorpe-Ingold effect at elevated temperatures.¹⁰



Scheme 1.1: Radical cyclization of a secondary α -boryl radical to a linear olefin. Isolated yields.

The following year, the Batey group reported the intermolecular addition of a secondary α -boryl radical, generated from α -iodoalkyl boronic esters, using Bu₃SnH on different olefins.

Interestingly, better yields were observed when electron-rich olefin **2b** was used. The addition on electron-poor olefins proved to be more difficult due to the oligomerization of the trap and the reduction of the radical precursor. To increase the yield, the reaction was thus performed using slow addition of the reducing agent. In a competition reaction experiment between the radical and **2b** and **2d** present in the same pot, the addition on **2d** occurred preferentially and the desired product **2c** was not observed.¹¹ This supports the hypothesis of the electrophilic tendency of the α -boryl radical.



Scheme 1.2: Intermolecular addition of a secondary α -boryl radical to a different activated olefins. Isolated yields.

Some years later, the same group reported an interesting reaction involving, again, secondary α -boryl radicals, which underwent a 5-*exo* or 6-*endo* cyclization to form boracyles **3d** and **3e**. These were efficiently oxidized using trimethylamine-*N*-oxide, delivering the desired 1,3-diols as final products. Notably, diastereoselectivity was good and the reaction could be performed using propargyl alcohols too.¹²



Scheme 1.3: 1,3-diol synthesis using boracycles as reaction intermediates. Isolated yields.

DLP as radical initiator

DLP (Luperox ©) is a cheap, commercially available and easy to handle initiator which is increasingly used in radical chemistry. Its 10h half-time temperature is 60°C in benzene. However, one of his drawbacks is related to the amount of side-products generated after initiation, which may render the purification problematic.¹³ In 2015, the Zard group employed DLP to generate α -boryl radicals after addition of a carbon center radical to vinyl MIDA boronates. Upon generation of **4d**, the radical was not stabilized because the *p* orbital of boron was occupied by the lone pair of the nitrogen atom, and it was thus more reactive towards the xanthation reaction. As a result, highly functionalized MIDA boronates could be synthesized and then treated to yield post-functionalized products. The reaction works with different electrophilic or nucle-ophilic sources of radicals (**4a** and **4e**). This example is interesting because it clearly shows the importance of the empty *p* orbital of boron atom in stabilizing α -boryl radical.¹⁴ Recent studies showed, however, that **4d** can be depicted as a stabilized radical (RSE: ~-4.6 kcal/mol) and this effect comes from σ_{B-N} hyperconjugation.¹⁵



Scheme 1.4: Generation of α -boryl radicals on vinyl MIDA boronates using DLP as initiator. Isolated yields.

DLP was employed to generate α -boryl radicals used in ATRA reactions: these examples will be treated in a separate paragraph.

Metal-mediated reactions

One of the first reports where α -boryl radicals were generated using a metal complex was published by Baran and co. in 2017.¹⁶ In this work, they performed the anti-Markovnikov hydrofunctionalization of different olefins using Fe(acac)₃/PhSiH₃ system.¹⁷ The reaction worked

as well when vinyl pinacol or 1,8-diaminonaphthalene boronic esters or MIDA boronates were employed. As shown in Scheme 1.5, the tertiary α -boryl radical **5c** was generated after addition of the active species **5b** to a vinyl boronic ester **5a**. This complex originated from the bridged intermediates **5h** and **5g**, which were formed after reaction of Fe(acac)₃ with another *in situ* generated specie, PhSi(OEt)H₂. The newly formed radical added to an electron deficient olefin to form intermediate **5d**. The radical was then reduced to a stabilized carbanion which gets protonated by the solvent to deliver the desired hydroborylated compound.



Scheme 1.5: Generation of α -boryl radicals upon addition of a hydride complex on a vinyl boronic ester.

In 2021, Norton and co. reported an α -boryl radical triggered addition-cyclisation cascade strategy to synthesize functionalized pyrrolidines (Scheme 1.6). α -boryl radical **6b** formed after addition of a hydride coming from an *in situ* generated cobalt complex and undergoes fast cyclisation to form the secondary stabilized radical **6c**. β -hydride elimination regenerates the active species and compound **6d**, which is then further oxidized using sodium perborate to deliver the desired tertiary alcohol as final product. No isomerisation, hydrogenation, or cyclohydrogenation side reactions have been observed. This method proved to be quite generic and can be used as well for the synthesis substituted tetrahydrofuran rings.¹⁸



Scheme 1.6: Tertiary α -boryl radical generation using a cobalt hydride complex, followed by cyclization to obtain complex pyrrolidine scaffolds. Isolated yields.

Visible light mediated reactions

The new photoredox chemistry tool has been recently employed in combination with the generation of α -boryl radicals. The advantage of this method is the formation of new radicals in selective positions by using mild conditions. The first example was reported by Aggarwal and co. in 2017. They described the 1,2-metallate rearrangement of vinyl boronates using a Ru photocatalyst. Two different reactions were merged together: the well-known Matteson homologation,¹⁹ typical reaction of boronate complexes, and the addition of a radical on an unsaturated system. In the presence of light, the newly formed radical **7d** underwent a SET to form a carbocation, which was subjected to 1,2-metallate shift (Scheme 1.7). The mechanism was recently revised by the group and most probably involves the formation of an iodine atom transfer intermediate **7f**.²⁰ The scope of the reaction is quite broad and general and furnished a variety of γ -carbonyl boronic esters.²¹ An enantioselective version of the reaction was reported by the same group in 2018.²² Another version of the reaction involving the same reactive species but using Et₃B/DTBHN for the second step (and not photoredox catalysis) was reported by Renaud and co. in 2018.²³



Scheme 1.7: Generation of α -boryl radicals under photoredox conditions and application in 1,2metallate shift reaction. Isolated yields.

The same chemistry was used by Shi and co. to synthesize various *gem*-bis(boryl)alkanes. The key intermediate was generated by the reaction of a vinyl Grignard with B_2Pin_2 and it underwent radical addition and SET, followed by pinacol boronic ester migration to form the desired compound in good to moderate yields²⁴ (Scheme 1.8).



Scheme 1.8: Generation of α -boryl radicals under photoredox conditions and application in 1,2metallate shift reaction - synthesis of gem-bis(boryl)alkanes. Isolated yields.

Studer and co. reported a very similar reaction starting from pinacol boronic esters. Their strategy had a major advantage: a broader scope could be envisioned since a lot of pinacol boronic esters are commercially available. The first version of the reaction was reported in 2017 and involved a radical-polar crossover reactions of vinylboronate complexes.²⁵ Some years later, the group updated the reaction conditions, as shown in Scheme 1.9. The α -boryl radical was generated via H-atom abstraction of the ate-complex **9c** and the reactive species was a trifluoromethyl radical. The radical **9e** was then oxidized by CF₃I to form carbocation **9f** which undergoes 1,2-metallate shift.²⁶ In a nutschell, CF₃I replaced the more dangerous Et₃B.



Scheme 1.9: Generation of α -boryl radicals under photoredox conditions and application in 1,2metallate shift reaction - use of CF₃I as oxidant. Isolated yields.

Apart from application to 1,2-metallate shift, the photoredox reaction conditions can be applied to generate α -boryl radical which can be reduced and trapped by an electrophile. In 2018, Aggarwal and co. reported the addition of different radicals to vinyl pinacol boronic ester under photoredox conditions. The reaction intermediate was a secondary α -boryl radical **10e** which was reduced to carbanion **10f** by the photocatalyst and then protonated to form the hydrobory-lalkylated adduct (Scheme 1.10).²⁷



Scheme 1.10: Hydroborylalkylation reaction via photoredox generation of an α -boryl radical, reduction and trapping by a proton.

The same strategy was used by the group to develop a new cyclopropanation reaction: the

carbanion intermediates **11e** or **11g** undergo 1,3-elimination to yield a highly functionalized boracyclopropane (Scheme 1.11).²⁸



Scheme 1.11: Synthesis of borocyclopropanes via 1,3-elimination from in-situ reduced secondary or tertiary α -boryl radicals. Isolated yields.

Miscellanous

An interesting example of α -boryl radical preparation was reported by Wang and co. in 2021 via radical alkylative deborylation of *gem*-diborylalkane.²⁹ As depicted in scheme 1.12, their work exploited the chemistry previously described in our group²⁹ and thus uses catechol to transesterify one half of the molecule to form catechol borane **12c**. Upon interaction with an alkoxy radical, this moiety is substituted to form the desired α -boryl radical **12d**. This is employed to perform conjugate addition on various enones. Two plausible mechanisms are described: after addition of the radical on the Michael acceptor, **12e** can be reduced via HAT process from a hydrogen atom donor to deliver **12g** as desired product or form **12e**' which, upon interaction with intermediate **12c**, forms the boron enolate **12f** and a new α -boryl radical **12d**. **12f** is then hydrolyzed with water to deliver the desired compound **12g**.

Radical initiation



Scheme 1.12: α -boryl radicals generation via radical alkylative deborylation and addition of enones. Isolated yields.

1.3 Application of α -boryl radicals in ATRA and related reactions

1.3.1 ATRA reactions

The pioneer of Atom Transfer Radical Addition reactions is Morris S. Kharasch who, in 1945, published the very first paper on this topic where he described the radical addition of carbon tetrachloride and chloroform on olefins.³⁰ His discovery had a huge impact, particularly in the field of polymer chemistry and for the synthesis of new plastics, needed during the period after the Second World War. It is important to mention that, based on Kharasch's reports, Atom-Transfer Radical Polymerization was developed and is one of the most used methods to synthesize size-specific polymers today.^{31,32} But what are the peculiarities of this reaction? To illustrate them, an example published in 1948 is described in Scheme 1.13.³³



Scheme 1.13: Typical reaction mechanism of an ATRA reaction.

The first step is the **initiation**, in which the radical **13d** is generated from radical precursor **13b**. This will **add on the unsaturated system 13a** and form the secondary radical **13e**. To close the chain process, **13e** will now under **Halogen Atom Transfer** from radical precursor **13b** to form the desired product **13c** and a new radical **13d** which will sustain the chain. Three important features of this reactions are worth to mention:

- 1. The final product will bear two new functional groups and can be further functionalized by using other reactions.
- 2. The conditions are mild and the temperature of the reactions can be chosen as a function of the initiator.
- 3. The reaction is completely atom-economic and side-products are originated by recombination of the radicals at the end of the chain process. As a reminder, the atom-economic or atom efficiency/percentage is a measurement of the conversion efficiency of a reaction

and tracks the amount of atoms transferred from the reactant to the product.³⁴

Two important effects contribute to the correct progression of the reaction and have to be considered to design efficient ATRA processes:

- 1. *Thermodynamic effect*: the reaction is exothermic due to the formation of two new σ bonds from a π system. Moreover, **13e** must be less stable than **13d** so that the Halogen Atom Transfer can take place.
- 2. Kinetic effect: the faster the rate of halogen atom transfer, the more efficient the chain process. To illustrate this concept, let's compare the reactions in Scheme 1.14. The synthesis of **13c** was previously detailed in Scheme 1.13 and reported by Kharasch and co. In the nineties, Baciocchi and co.³⁵ reported the same reaction using ethyl iodoacetate **14a** and a different initiator. The yield of this reaction nearly doubled and the reason is because the iodine atom transfer reaction is faster than the bromine atom transfer. Curran and co. determined the rate constants of the aforementioned reactions³⁶ and the values are in accordance with the experimental results.



Scheme 1.14: Comparaison between two ATRA reactions using different radical precursors to illustrate the kinetic effect. Isolated yields.

When talking about Atom Transfer Radical Addition reactions, the work of Dennis Curran has to be cited as well, since he and his group made huge efforts in studying the iodine atom transfer processes, particularly in annulation reactions.³⁷ But why did he get interested in this particular topic? During the total synthesis of *capnellene*,³⁸ a potentially chemotherapeutic agent found in a soft coral, they employed a radical annulation strategy to build the main core of the natural product (Scheme 1.15). When bromide **15a** was employed, the reaction worked well, giving 80% yield of the desired compound **15b** in 30 minutes under *n*-Bu₃SnH conditions. However, when iodide **15c** was employed, the yield surprisingly dropped off 20% using the previous conditions. Interestingly, when the mixture was left under longer reaction time, the obtained yield of **15b** was the same as the first reaction using the bromide. After several mechanistic study, they discovered that when **15c** was used, the intermediate **15e** underwent iodine atom transfer to form **15d**, which was then reduced to the desired compound **15b**. This proved that the iodine atom transfer reaction from **15c** to vinyl radical **15e** was surprisingly faster than reduction with *n*-Bu₃SnH.



Scheme 1.15: Key step of the total synthesis of *capnellene*.

The group started to investigate these annulation reactions in more details using different simpler systems³⁹ and better elucidated the mechanism of the reaction. It was a Kharasch type addition reaction and the iodine atom transfer is very fast due to the formation of a new σ bond at the expanse of a π bond. Other similar reactions were later investigated by Curran and co., particularly in the development of new annulation reactions.³⁷

Kharasch and Curran's seminal works on ATRA reactions have gained more and more importance in the field of radical chemistry and today different reactions have been reported using various conditions. Worth to mention are some works published by Renaud and co. on radical carboazidation⁴⁰ and ATRA reactions using DTBHN as initiator⁴¹ or employed for the postfunctionalization of natural products.⁴² An ATRA reaction under photoredox catalysis conditions was reported by Stephenson and co. in 2011.⁴³ Recently, the use of ascorbic acid⁴⁴ or sodium ascorbate,⁴⁵ under irradiation, as an initiation method for ATRA reactions has been employed. Lastly, transition metal catalysts have been developed to perform ATRA reactions and they are notably based on copper.^{46,47}

1.3.2 Merging α -boryl radicals and ATRA reactions: synthesis of 1,3-xanthopinacol boronic esters

The very first example where α -boryl radicals were used in ATRA reactions was published by Zard and co. in 2019. Radical precursor **16a** (Scheme 1.17) was employed to perform ATRA reactions on different olefins using thermal initiation (DLP, 100°C) to yield the desired bisfunctionalized compounds in moderate yields.⁴⁸ The results can be explained by a not efficient chain process and by the formation of oligomers as by-products. It was important to perform the reaction neatly probably to accelerate the xanthate group transfer step, which sustains the chain.





1.3.3 Merging α -boryl radicals and ATRA reactions: cyclopropanation reactions

 α -Boryl radicals have been increasingly used in combination with the development of new cyclopropanation reactions. Classical organic chemistry methodologies include the use of very highly reactive chemicals which might be incompatible with different substrates, especially in late stage functionalization of natural compounds. In addition to that, the reaction intermediates are difficult to prepare and quite unstable because of their high reactivity. As an example, the Simmons-Smith reaction, one of the most used cyclopropanation strategies, requires the use of an organozinc carbenoid which is prepared by the reaction between diiodomethane and a zinc-copper couple.⁴⁹ Several reports have been recently published about the development of new mild conditions for cyclopropanation of olefins,^{50–54} and a few of them utilized the addition of 1-haloalkylboronates on unsaturated systems.

Renaud and co. reported the one-pot metal-free cyclopropanation of linear olefins from 1,3iodo pinacol boronic esters intermediates **17c**, generated after ATRA reaction using iodomethyl pinacol boronic ester **17a** as radical precursor. The isolation of the intermediates being complicated due to important yield losses during column chromatography purification, the crude mixtures were treated *in situ* with TBAF so that 1,3-elimination could take place and deliver the desired cyclopropane **17d** as final compound (Scheme 1.17).⁵⁵ Interestingly, the method is selective for terminal olefins, as shown in examples **17f** and **17g**.



Scheme 1.17: Synthesis of cyclopropanes via elimination of 1,3-iodopinacol boronic ester intermediates - DLP initiation. Isolated yields.

In 2024, Kokotos and Renaud and co. reported the same cyclopropanation reaction using ascorbic acid under photoredox conditions (Scheme 1.18). The key α -boryl radical is generated after the cleavage of the C-I bond of the halogen-bonded complex **18a** between **17a** and ascorbic acid. The cleavage occurs exclusively upon irradiation (370 nm light). Once the radical is formed, it adds to the unsaturated system, forming intermediate **18c** which can now abstract an iodine atom from **17a** to form the 1,3-iodo pinacol boronic ester intermediate **17b** and another α -boryl radical **18b**, which will sustain the chain.⁴⁵



Scheme 1.18: Synthesis of cyclopropanes via elimination of 1,3-iodopinacol boronic ester intermediates - photoredox initiation.

1-Haloalkylboronates have been employed as well for the synthesis of borocyclopropanes, a pattern which was previously accessed via several reaction steps. Indeed, vinyl boronates are required as starting materials, on which the cyclopropane moiety is introduced via a Simmons-Smith reaction or by using a diazo compound. In 2017, Charette and co. first reported their direct synthesis starting from alkenes using a novel boromethylzinc carbenoid (Scheme 1.19). After having developed a new scalable synthesis of the key precursor diiodomethyl pinacol boronic ester, the method proved to work on different olefins with excellent diastereoselectivities, particularly when 1,2-disubstituted olefins were used, thanks to the allylic ether oxygen of the substrate. The reaction worked on styrenes as well, although an erosion of the selectivity was observed. Mechanistically, it works analogously to the Simmons-Smith reaction, namely the desired carbenoid is first synthesized and then it adds to the unsaturated system via a concerted mechanism.⁵⁶



Scheme 1.19: First report on direct borocyclopropanation of olefins.

Shortly after this report, Takai and co. reported the same cyclopropanation reaction using diiodomethyl pinacol boronic ester promoted by CrCl₂ and TMEDA, still requiring, however, the use of transition metal carbenoide as well as very toxic and pyroforic reagents.⁵⁷

An important milestone for the development of the borocyclopropanation reactions was the use of photoredox catalysis. Based on the seminal work of Suero and co. on the development of new metal-free cyclopropanation reactions on styrenes⁵⁸ or Micheal acceptors.⁵⁹ Charette and co. developed new photoredox conditions for borocyclopropanation of styrenes using diiodomethyl pinacol boronic under UV light irradiation in a continuous flow reactor. The use of xanthone as organic photosensitizer greatly impacted the efficiency of the reaction by reducing the residence time from 3h to 1h, delivering 78% of yield of the desired product. Interestingly, the same reaction in batch resulted in a yield of 58% after 18h and no conversion was observed after 1h reaction time. Pleasingly, the reaction was efficient on a broad range of styrene derivatives. Mechanistically, long-lived triplet excited state of xanthone is accessed after UV-A irradiation. This transient species can be reduced by Hünig's base (DIPEA) or oxidized by diiodomethyl pinacol boronic ester 20d (Scheme 1.20), although experimental evidences support the first pathway. In both cases, however, a radical anion is formed and will fragment to form an iodomethyl pinacol ester radical which will add on the styrene to form intermediate 20f. After radical 3-exo-tet process, the desired borylated cyclopropane 20g and an iodine radical are formed, which can be guenched by DIPEA.⁶⁰



Scheme 1.20: Photoredox borylcyclopropanation of styrenes under flow conditions. Isolated yields.

A modified version of the reaction, restricted to styrenes, was reported in 2023 by the same group for the synthesis of gem-borosilylcyclopropanes. In this case, the reaction could be performed in batch and it delivered the desired compounds with yields up to 96%.⁶¹ Recently, the borylcylopropanation using (diborylmethyl)iodide was reported by Marder and co., expanding the scope to unactivated olefins as well. The reaction requires the use of fac-lr(ppy)₃ as photocatalyst and a fluoride source (CsF) as base. It is compatible with a variety of different alkenes, tolerant to functional groups such as amides, halides, alcohols and esters, and was selective towards terminal olefins. However, it did not work on styrene derivatives. Concerning the mechanism of the reaction, the group proposed a tentative mechanism for this transformation. They rapidly understood that CsF was playing a huge role in the mechanism, in particular, for the formation of mixed species 21d (Scheme 1.21). This will undergo reductive quenching by the excited iridium photocatalyst and the desired iodomethylpinacol boronic ester radical 20e is generated. This adds onto the unsaturated system to deliver intermediate **21e**, which undergoes SET from the reduced iridium catalyst to deliver carbanion 21f. The product 21b is then generated from ionic 3-exo-tet cyclisation of intermediate 21f. An alternative to this mechanism would be the formation of 1,1,3-diboryliodo intermediate via an ATRA process, followed by ionic cyclisation triggered by CsF.62



Scheme 1.21: General borylcyclopropanation reaction on unactivated alkenes using photoredox catalysis. Isolated yields.
1.3.4 Other uses of α -iodoalkyl boronic esters derivatives

Very recently, Molloy and co. reported the addition of α -boryl radicals on styrenes: as a result, E-allylic boronic esters can be obtained. As shown in Scheme 1.22, the protocol is transition metal free and uses 2,6-lutidine to form the Electron Donor-Acceptor (EDA) complex, responsible for the formation of the α -boryl radical. The radical **22c** adds to the unsaturated system and a new benzylic radical is formed. This radical can undergo two different mechanisms to form the final compound. In the so-called *closed shell* assumption (**22e**), the radical is oxidized to carbocation and lutidine acts as a base to remove a proton and then forms a double bond. The base is as well required in the scenario where the ATRA product is formed *in situ* because it is allows for the elimination reaction. Another possibility is the formation of the new double bond in the so-called *open shell* mechanism (**22f**). In this case, an iodine radical abstracts the hydrogen α to the benzylic radical and, after recombination, the new double bond is installed. The authors supports the *closed shell* mechanism and they studied the whole process using various experiments. Last but not least, they were able to develop a way to access Z-allylic boronic esters by adding an Ir catalyst to the mixture which acts as a sensitizer, enabling selective energy transfer.⁶³



Scheme 1.22: Addition of α -boryl radicals on styrenes and formation of E-allylic boronic esters. Isolated yields.

1.3.5 Annulation reactions involving α -boryl radicals

The use of α -boryl radicals can be expanded to the synthesis of 5-member rings through annulation reactions. The most used strategy is the addition of a radical on a boron containing radical trap (namely vinyl boronate derivatives) and subsequent intramolecular cyclization to deliver the desired borylcyclopentane. Previous reports include the work of Lin and co.⁶⁴ and Merad and co.⁶⁵ where cyclopropyl ketones (via Ti-catalyzed radical redox relay reaction) and isothiouronyl radical cations were respectively added on vinyl pinacol boronic ester to deliver the desired five-member ring (Scheme 1.23).



Scheme 1.23: Previous literature on the formation of borylated cyclopentane via annulation reaction. Isolated yields.

Recently, our group published the first example of annulation reaction using α -boryl radical which follows an ATRA mechanism. As shown in Scheme 1.24, two different types of radical precursors **24a/24b** or **24c/24d** can be employed for the reaction. After Et₃B/DTBHN initiation, the first radical **B** is formed and adds on the vinyl pinacol boronic ester derivative **23e**, **23f** or **24e** to form the alpha boryl radical **B**, which undergoes annulation to deliver intermediate **C**. After the iodine atom transfer takes place, final product **24f** is delivered, together with radical **A** which will be engaged in another addition/annulation reaction.⁶⁶ The same reaction can be performed using **1**,1-diborylethene **25d** (Scheme 1.25) as radical trap: after addition of a radical on the unsaturated system, a new α -boryl radical is formed and engaged in the annulation reaction to deliver iodo-*gem*-diborylated cyclopentanes as desired compounds.⁶⁷



Scheme 1.24: Borylated cyclopentanes via Atom Transfer Radical [3+2] Annulation. Isolated yields.



Scheme 1.25: *gem*-Diborylated cyclopentanes via Atom Transfer Radical [3+2] Annulation. Isolated yields.

1.4 Hydromethylation of alkenes

In 2019, our group published a two-step cyclopropanation strategy where the ATRA intermediates 1,3-iodo boronic esters were treated in situ with TBAF to deliver the desired cyclopropanes as final products.⁵⁵ Let's now focus on the intermediate of the cyclopropanation sequence: after the ATRA reaction, a new C-C bond is formed selectively and using mild conditions. Although a variety of different reactions can be applied to functionalize olefins (hydrogenation, dihydroxylation, addition, cycloadditions), the formation of simple C-C bonds is difficult and foresees the use of metal complexes. This reaction is called **carbometallation** and usually consists in the addition of an organometallic reagent on a π -system to form two new σ bonds, a C-C and a C-Metal ones, which can further react with an electrophile or with another olefin, like in the case of Ziegler-Natta polymerization.⁶⁸ Although the carbometallation of alkynes is a well-controlled. predictable and widely-used process in organometallic chemistry, the reaction on alkenes still presents some drawbacks, namely the lack of regio-, stereo- and enantioselectivity of the addition of the organometallic species on the unsaturated system. Moreover, the stability of the new saturated intermediate being low, it is hard to perform further reactions and extend its utility for new transformations.⁶⁹ In contrast to carbometallation, our method will enable us to form new C-C bonds using mild conditions and in a regioselective fashion: the addition of α -boryl radicals on terminal olefins will only occur on the less-substituted side of the olefin (anti-Markovnikov addition). Besides that, the 1,3-iodo boronic esters have a great potential in terms of other post-functionalizations. It is, in fact, possible to access different compounds from this common intermediate by means of previously reported reactions (Scheme 1.26). The iodine atom can be selectively reduced using radical chemistry (Et₃B, H₃PO₂/Et₃N or TTMS/AIBN). The pinacol boronic ester moiety can be easily oxidized using sodium borate or transformed into a radical active species and then functionalized using different radical traps. This last reaction was the object of a previous study performed in our group.⁵ The boronic ester can be transesterified in situ using MeOBCat to a catechol borane which, upon reaction with an α -alkoxy radical, will form a new alkyl radical which can be functionalized using a radical trap or reduced in the presence of an H-atom donor (TBC). The complete reduction of 1,3-iodoboronic esters was considered an interesting transformation, allowing possible hydromethylation and hydroalkylation of the starting olefin. Moreover, due to the regioselective addition of the α -boryl radical on the double bond, a new protocol for anti-Markovnikov hydromethylation can be envisioned.



Scheme 1.26: Possible post-functionalizations of 1,3-iodo boronic esters.

Are there any reports in the literature concerning the hydromethylation of olefins? To the best of our knowledge, there are only 4 methods to perform direct Markovnikov hydromethylation (Scheme 1.27). The very first example was reported by Kambe and co.⁷⁰ and it involved the use of a zirconium metal complex and MeOTs as methylating agent. Later, Tilley and co. reported other examples of hydromethylation of unactivated olefins using a scandium metal complex.^{71,72} However, in both cases, the scope of the reaction was not broad and included just few examples.

A general method was published by Baran and co. in 2015 and it utilised an iron complex to form a hydrazone intermediate which was then treated to deliver the hydromethylated compound.⁷³ Although the scope was broader and more sensible towards various functional groups, setting the stage for possible late stage functionalization of natural products, it had as some important limitations as well. For example, styrenes gave poor yields owing to the homodimerization by-products and sterically hindered olefins reacted worse, giving rise to an increased amount of reduced by-products. Moreover, the purification step was quite difficult because of the similar polarity of the desired product with other side products.

In 2019, the Shenvi group reported 4 examples of hydromethylation of alkenes where manganese and nickel metal complexes were involved in metal hydride hydrogen atom transfer (MHAT) catalysis and nickel-catalysis to form quaternary carbon centers.⁷⁴



Scheme 1.27: Examples of Markovnikov hydromethylation of olefins.

The range of reactions for *anti*-Markvnikov hydromethylation is also quite limited. (Scheme 1.28). Melchiorre and co. reported an example of *anti*-Markovnikov hydromethylation in which, after the enantionselective addition of an α -sulfone radical on an enamine was performed, the sulfone moiety was reduced under harsh conditions (magnesium metal) to deliver the fully reduced compound.⁷⁵ Other examples involve the use of cheap and sustainable methyl group sources such as methane^{76,77} or acetic acid⁷⁸ under photoredox catalysis conditions.



Scheme 1.28: Examples of anti-Markovnikov hydromethylation of olefins.

To the best of our knowledge, the only general reported procedure for *anti*-Markovnikov hydromethylation was published in 2019 by Studer and co. in 2019.⁷⁹ In their 3-step approach, an olefin is hydroborated to form the desired pinacol boronic ester **A** (Scheme 1.29) which is then engaged to a 1,2-metallated shift reaction (Matteson homologation) to introduce a new carbon atom and form intermediate **B**. The BPin moiety is then removed by protodeboronation using photoredox conditions and thiophenol as hydrogen-atom donor to form the desired *anti*-Markovnikov methylated compound **C**.



Scheme 1.29: *Anti*-Markovnikov hydromethylation of linear olefins reported by Studer and co. Isolated yields.

1.5 Previous results: on a new *anti*-Markovnikov hydromethylation strategy

Dr. Tappin and Dr. André-Joyaux, two former PhD students of the group, gathered all the knowledge acquired from the previously reported cyclopropanation reaction involving 1,3-iodo pinacol boronic esters intermediates and proposed a one-pot, two steps strategy for the *anti-*Markovnikov hydromethylation of unactivated alkenes (Scheme 1.30).



Scheme 1.30: Proposed method for direct *anti*-Markovnikov hydromethylation of unactivated alkenes.

One of the first issue to solve was related to the stability of the 1,3-iodo pinacol boronic esters intermediates. Dr. Tappin realized that these molecules were relatively unstable on silica and, as a result, a yield loss of about 20% was observed after column chromatography purification. He tackled this problem by first studying the transesterification reaction of pinacol boronic esters in presence of other diols. The principle behind this experiment is to find a new boronic ester which is more thermodynamically stable yet still prone to transesterify with TBC. Pleasingly, *exo,exo-2,3-norbornanediol boronic esters* can satisfy both requirements. Once the new boronic ester identified, Dr. Tappin synthesized different iodomethyleneboronic esters derivatives, tested them in ATRA reactions to synthesize the desired 1,3-iodo boronic esters and check their stability on silica. As shown in Scheme 1.31, the best results were found with norbornanediol and pinanediol boronic esters (**27f** and **27g**) and the first one was chosen to have easier spectra to analyze. Compared to commercial pinacol boronic ester, the gain is of about 15% yield. He then scoped the method on different unactivated olefins and was able to obtain the desired compounds in good yields (Scheme 1.32).



Scheme 1.31: ATRA on undec-10-en-1-ol using different iodomethyleneboronic esters as radical precursors and comparison of the isolated yields after column chromatography. Isolated yields.



Scheme 1.32: Scope of 1,3-iodonorbornene boronic esters using DLP as radical initiator. Isolated yields.

Once the problem of the stability of the intermediates solved, the focus moved to study the transesterification reaction of norbornene boronic esters (RBnor) to catechol boronic esters (RBCat) using MeOBCat. The following studies were conducted by Dr. Emy André-Joyaux. After several NMR studies, it was showed that the transesterification reaction on alkyl norbornane boronic esters is even more favourable than with the corresponding alkyl pinacol boronic esters derivatives and it occurs at room temperature already. These findings are in accordance with previous works available in the literature.^{80,81} The protodeboronation step was then optimized and worked well upon acidic catalysis and using TBC as catechol source. Prolongated reaction times had a positive impact on the outcome of the reaction. The last step to develop the hydromethylation reaction was finding new conditions for the deiodination of 1,3-iodonorbornene boronic esters. Giving the fact that TBC, a very good hydrogen atom donor is needed for the protodeboronation reaction, it was thought to add Et₃B to the mixture and open the system to air with a chance of performing both reactions at the same time. Indeed, the attempt was successfull and the desired hydromethylated compound was obtained in 67% GC yield (Scheme 1.33).



Scheme 1.33: Conditions for one-pot deiodination/protodeboronation sequence.

With the optimized conditions in hand, Dr. Emy André-Joyaux scoped the method and synthesized different methylated compounds starting from commercial olefins and obtained promising initial results (Scheme 1.34). Some of the examples come from natural products bearing an unactivated double bond. Moreover, the protocol is selective to non-substituted double bonds. The DTBHN initiation method for the ATRA reaction can be as used as well and has a big advantage, since all by-products are volatile.



Scheme 1.34: Scope of hydromethylation sequence. GC yields.

1.6 Goal of the work

The initial aim of the work was to optimize the previously reported reaction conditions. In particular, most of obtained yields were determined by GC because the compounds could not be obtained pure due to several impurities coming from the two reaction steps. It was important for us to show that these yields correlated with the corresponding isolated yields. To achieve this purpose, it was critical to optimize the purification step which is challenging for two main reasons:

- The stability of 1,3-iodo boronic esters on silica;
- The separation of the desired hydromethylated compounds from DLP decomposition byproducts.

The project was thus structured in a way that the two steps of the hydromethylation sequence, namely the ATRA reaction and the full reduction of the reaction intermediates, could be separately investigated. In the first case, we wanted also to better understand the reactivity of the α -boryl radical and their α -iodoalkyl boronic esters precursors in ATRA reactions, in particular for what concerns the iodine-atom transfer step. Indeed, there are no reports about this topic which can be found in the literature. Moreover, it was still not clear why the ATRA intermediates were unstable: is it due to the relative position of iodine and boronic ester moieties on the molecule or is it due to other side-reactions (namely cyclopropanation) arising upon exposure to acidic conditions or light? The isolation of pure compounds, i.e. not contaminated with products arising from the decomposition of the initiator, was very important for us as well, because we could envisioned to submit them to different post-functionalization reactions which would give access to a library of different derivatives starting from the same olefin via the ATRA intermediates. Last but not least, some reaction parameters also needed to be changed, namely the solvent, as we wanted to switch from the harmful benzene to a more environmental-friendly and safer alternative. To summarize, the goal was to understand how to pass from a proof of concept to a preparative method applicable to a wide range of linear olefins and to get more insights about the reactivity of the α -boryl radical and their α -iodoalkyl boronic esters precursors in ATRA reactions.

References

- (1) Kumar, N.; Reddy, R. R.; Eghbarieh, N.; Masarwa, A. Chem. Commun. 2020, 56, 13–25.
- (2) Grams, R. J.; Santos, W. L.; Scorei, I. R.; Abad-García, A.; Rosenblum, C. A.; Bita, A.; Cerecetto, H.; Viñas, C.; Soriano-Ursúa, M. A. *Chemical Reviews* 2024, *124*, 2441–2511.
- (3) Kulkarni, S.; Bhandary, D.; Singh, Y.; Monga, V.; Thareja, S. *Pharmacology & Therapeutics* **2023**, *251*, 108548.
- (4) Miyaura, N.; Yamada, K.; Suzuki, A. Tetrahedron Letters 1979, 20, 3437–3440.
- (5) André-Joyaux, E.; Kuzovlev, A.; Tappin, N. D. C.; Renaud, P. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2020**, *59*, 13859–13864.
- (6) Grotewold, J.; Lissi, E. A.; Scaiano, J. C. J. Chem. Soc. B 1971, 1187–1191.
- (7) Walton, J. C.; McCarroll, A. J.; Chen, Q.; Carboni, B.; Nziengui, R. *Journal of the Ameri*can Chemical Society **2000**, *122*, 5455–5463.
- Zipse, H. In *Radicals in Synthesis I*, Gansäuer, A., Ed.; Springer Berlin Heidelberg: Berlin, Heidelberg, 2006, pp 163–189.
- (9) Guennouni, N.; Lhermitte, F.; Cochard, S.; Carboni, B. *Tetrahedron* **1995**, *51*, 6999–7018.
- (10) Beesley, R. M.; Ingold, C. K.; Thorpe, J. F. J. Chem. Soc., Trans. 1915, 107, 1080–1106.
- (11) Batey, R. A.; Pedram, B.; Yong, K.; Baquer, G. *Tetrahedron Letters* **1996**, *37*, 6847–6850.
- (12) Batey, R. A.; Smil, D. V. Tetrahedron Letters 1999, 40, 9183–9187.
- (13) Fraind, A.; Turncliff, R.; Fox, T.; Sodano, J.; Ryzhkov, L. R. *Journal of Physical Organic Chemistry* **2011**, *24*, 809–820.
- (14) Quiclet-Sire, B.; Zard, S. Z. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2015**, *137*, 6762–6765.
- (15) LaPorte, A. J.; Feldner, J. E.; Spies, J. C.; Maher, T. J.; Burke, M. D. Angewandte Chemie International Edition **2023**, *62*, e202309566.
- (16) Lo, J. C.; Kim, D.; Pan, C.-M.; Edwards, J. T.; Yabe, Y.; Gui, J.; Qin, T.; Gutiérrez, S.; Giacoboni, J.; Smith, M. W.; Holland, P. L.; Baran, P. S. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2017**, *139*, 2484–2503.
- (17) Crossley, S. W. M.; Obradors, C.; Martinez, R. M.; Shenvi, R. A. *Chemical Reviews* **2016**, *116*, 8912–9000.
- (18) Shi, S.; Salahi, F.; Vibbert, H. B.; Rahman, M.; Snyder, S. A.; Norton, J. R. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2021**, *60*, 22678–22682.
- (19) Matteson, D. S.; Mah, R. W. H. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1963**, *85*, 2599–2603.
- (20) Lewis-Borrell, L.; Sneha, M.; Clark, I. P.; Fasano, V.; Noble, A.; Aggarwal, V. K.; Orr-Ewing, A. J. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2021**, *143*, 17191–17199.

- (21) Silvi, M.; Sandford, C.; Aggarwal, V. K. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2017**, *139*, 5736–5739.
- (22) Silvi, M.; Schrof, R.; Noble, A.; Aggarwal, V. K. *Chemistry A European Journal* **2018**, *24*, 4279–4282.
- (23) Tappin, N. D. C.; Gnägi-Lux, M.; Renaud, P. *Chemistry A European Journal* **2018**, *24*, 11498–11502.
- (24) Zhao, B.; Li, Z.; Wu, Y.; Wang, Y.; Qian, J.; Yuan, Y.; Shi, Z. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2019**, *58*, 9448–9452.
- (25) Kischkewitz, M.; Okamoto, K.; Mück-Lichtenfeld, C.; Studer, A. Science 2017, 355, 936– 938.
- (26) Wang, D.; Mück-Lichtenfeld, C.; Studer, A. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2019**, *141*, 14126–14130.
- (27) Noble, A.; Mega, R. S.; Pflästerer, D.; Myers, E. L.; Aggarwal, V. K. Angewandte Chemie International Edition **2018**, *57*, 2155–2159.
- (28) Shu, C.; Mega, R. S.; Andreassen, B. J.; Noble, A.; Aggarwal, V. K. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2018**, *57*, 15430–15434.
- (29) Wu, C.; Bao, Z.; Dou, B.; Wang, J. Chemistry A European Journal 2021, 27, 2294–2298.
- (30) Kharasch, M. S.; Jensen, E. V.; Urry, W. H. Science 1945, 102, 128–128.
- (31) Kato, M.; Kamigaito, M.; Sawamoto, M.; Higashimura, T. *Macromolecules* **1995**, *28*, Publisher: American Chemical Society, 1721–1723.
- (32) Wang, J.-S.; Matyjaszewski, K. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1995**, *117*, 5614–5615.
- (33) Kharasch, M.; Skell, P.; Fisher, P. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1948**, *70*, 1055–1059.
- (34) Dicks, A. P.; Hent, A., Green chemistry metrics : a guide to determining and evaluating process greenness, 1 online resource (viii, 90 pages) : illustrations vols.; SpringerBriefs in molecular science; Springer: Cham, 2014.
- (35) Baciocchi, E.; Muraglia, E. Tetrahedron Letters 1994, 35, 2763–2766.
- (36) Curran, D. P.; Bosch, E.; Kaplan, J.; Newcomb, M. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry* **1989**, *54*, 1826–1831.
- (37) Curran, D. P.; Chen, M. H.; Spletzer, E.; Seong, C. M.; Chang, C. T. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1989**, *111*, 8872–8878.
- (38) Curran, D. P.; Chen, M.-H. Tetrahedron Letters 1985, 26, 4991–4994.
- (39) Curran, D. P.; Chen, M. H.; Kim, D. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1986**, *108*, 2489–2490.

- (40) Panchaud, P.; Ollivier, C.; Renaud, P.; Zigmantas, S. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry* **2004**, *69*, 2755–2759.
- (41) Tappin, N. D. C.; Renaud, P. Advanced Synthesis & Catalysis 2021, 363, 275–282.
- (42) Pruteanu, Elena; Tappin, Nicholas D.C.; Gîrbu, Vladilena; Morarescu, Olga; Dénès, Fabrice; Kulciţki, Veaceslav; Renaud, Philippe *Synthesis* **2021**, *53*, 1247–1261.
- (43) Nguyen, J. D.; Tucker, J. W.; Konieczynska, M. D.; Stephenson, C. R. J. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2011**, *133*, 4160–4163.
- (44) Magagnano, G.; Gualandi, A.; Marchini, M.; Mengozzi, L.; Ceroni, P.; Cozzi, P. G. *Chem. Commun.* **2017**, *53*, 1591–1594.
- (45) Rrapi, M.; Batsika, C. S.; Nikitas, N. F.; Tappin, N. D. C.; Triandafillidi, I.; Renaud, P.; Kokotos, C. G. *Chemistry A European Journal* **2024**, *30*, e202400253.
- (46) Yang, Z. Y.; Burton, D. J. The Journal of Organic Chemistry 1991, 56, 5125–5132.
- (47) Metzger, J. O.; Mahler, R. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition in English* **1995**, *34*, 902–904.
- (48) Huang, Q.; Michalland, J.; Zard, S. Z. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2019**, *58*, 16936–16942.
- (49) Simmons, H. E.; Smith, R. D. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1958**, *80*, 5323–5324.
- (50) Li, L.; Wang, F.; Ni, C.; Hu, J. Angewandte Chemie International Edition **2013**, *52*, 12390– 12394.
- (51) Phipps, E. J. T.; Rovis, T. Journal of the American Chemical Society **2019**, *141*, 6807–6811.
- (52) Phelan, J. P.; Lang, S. B.; Compton, J. S.; Kelly, C. B.; Dykstra, R.; Gutierrez, O.; Molander, G. A. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2018**, *140*, 8037–8047.
- (53) Sakurai, S.; Inagaki, T.; Kodama, T.; Yamanaka, M.; Tobisu, M. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2022**, *144*, 1099–1105.
- (54) Ni, J.; Xia, X.; Zheng, W.-F.; Wang, Z. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2022**, 144, 7889–7900.
- (55) Tappin, N. D. C.; Michalska, W.; Rohrbach, S.; Renaud, P. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2019**, *58*, 14240–14244.
- (56) Benoit, G.; Charette, A. B. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2017**, *139*, 1364–1367.
- (57) Murai, M.; Mizuta, C.; Taniguchi, R.; Takai, K. Organic Letters 2017, 19, 6104–6107.
- (58) Del Hoyo, A. M.; Herraiz, A. G.; Suero, M. G. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2017**, *56*, 1610–1613.

- (59) Del Hoyo, A. M.; García Suero, M. European Journal of Organic Chemistry 2017, 2017, 2122–2125.
- (60) Sayes, M.; Benoit, G.; Charette, A. B. Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2018, 57, 13514–13518.
- (61) Thai-Savard, L.; Sayes, M.; Perreault-Dufour, J.; Hong, G.; Wells, L. A.; Kozlowski, M. C.; Charette, A. B. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry* **2023**, *88*, 1515–1521.
- (62) Hu, J.; Tang, M.; Wang, J.; Wu, Z.; Friedrich, A.; Marder, T. B. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2023**, *62*, e202305175.
- (63) Marotta, A.; Fang, H.; Adams, C. E.; Sun Marcus, K.; Daniliuc, C. G.; Molloy, J. J. Angewandte Chemie International Edition **2023**, *62*, e202307540.
- (64) Hao, W.; Harenberg, J. H.; Wu, X.; MacMillan, S. N.; Lin, S. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2018**, *140*, 3514–3517.
- (65) Archer, G.; Cavalère, P.; Médebielle, M.; Merad, J. Angewandte Chemie International Edition **2022**, *61*, e202205596.
- (66) Cirule, D.; Dénès, F.; Gnägi-Lux, M.; Rohrbach, S.; Renaud, P. *Advanced Synthesis & Catalysis* **2024**, *366*, 1366–1374.
- (67) Cirule, D.; Dénès, F.; Renaud, P. Advanced Synthesis & Catalysis 2024, adsc. 202400340.
- (68) Fisch, A. G. In *Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology*; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd: 2019, pp 1–22.
- (69) Marek, I. Beilstein Journal of Organic Chemistry 2013, 9, 234–235.
- (70) Terao, J.; Watanabe, T.; Saito, K.; Kambe, N.; Sonoda, N. *Tetrahedron Letters* **1998**, *39*, 9201–9204.
- (71) Sadow, A. D.; Tilley, T. D. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2003, 125, 7971– 7977.
- (72) Fontaine, F.-G.; Tilley, T. D. Organometallics 2005, 24, 4340–4342.
- (73) Dao, H. T.; Li, C.; Michaudel, Q.; Maxwell, B. D.; Baran, P. S. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2015**, *137*, 8046–8049.
- (74) Green, S. A.; Huffman, T. R.; McCourt, R. O.; van der Puyl, V.; Shenvi, R. A. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2019**, *141*, 7709–7714.
- (75) Filippini, G.; Silvi, M.; Melchiorre, P. Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2017, 56, 4447–4451.
- (76) Hu, A.; Guo, J.-J.; Pan, H.; Zuo, Z. *Science* **2018**, *361*, 668–672.
- (77) Laudadio, G.; Deng, Y.; Wal, K. v. d.; Ravelli, D.; Nuño, M.; Fagnoni, M.; Guthrie, D.; Sun,
 Y.; Noël, T. *Science* **2020**, *369*, 92–96.
- (78) Zhu, Q.; Nocera, D. G. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2020**, *142*, 17913– 17918.

- (79) Clausen, F.; Kischkewitz, M.; Bergander, K.; Studer, A. Chem. Sci. 2019, 10, 6210–6214.
- (80) Roy, C. D.; Brown, H. C. *Monatshefte für Chemie Chemical Monthly* **2007**, *138*, 879–887.
- (81) Roy, C. D.; Brown, H. C. Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 2007, 692, 784–790.

2 Synthesis and Isolation of 1,3-iodopinacol boronic esters via intermolecular Atom Transfer Radical Addition of α-boryl radicals

This chapter will include the initial results obtained on Intermolecular Atom Transfer Radical Addition of α -boryl radicals, based on what was previously reported by Dr. Nicholas Tappin and Dr. Emy André-Joyaux. Because of the moderate results obtained and of the willing to study the reactivity of α -boryl radicals in a more systematic way (see Chapter 3), the project was then continued by Dr. Yanis Lazib and Dr. Ruairí McCourt.

2.1 Initial results

Several points still needed to be clarified before performing a full scope of the 1,3-iodonorbornene boronic esters.

- Need of solvent switch because benzene is an harmful solvent.
- Improving purification conditions: a big amount of by-products coming from the thermal decomposition of DLP were always present in the crude mixture and they caused the isolation of the products to be difficult.
- Develop one-pot protocols for the synthesis of more stable post-functionalized compounds originated from a common 1,3-iodonorbornene boronic ester intermediate.

Initially, the previously reported results were tried to be reproduced. However, as shown in Scheme 2.1, the obtained yields are very far to what previously reported. This might be explained by differences in purifying the compounds or executing the reactions. Moreover, DLP initiation proved to give better results than DTBHN initiation.



Scheme 2.1: Initial results compared with what previously reported (yield of Dr.Tappin in brackets). Isolated yields.

In order to better understand the ATRA step, to improve the reaction conditions and to obtain better isolated yields, an extensive optimization of the reaction condition was then conducted.

2.2 Optimization of ATRA reaction

2.2.1 Solvent screening

It's well known that benzene is considered as an harmful solvent. In fact, prolonged exposure to benzene can lead to a decrease in red blood cells productions, causing thus anemia diseases.¹ Moreover, it can affect as well the good functioning of the immune system. It was thus necessary to find a better solvent for the atom-transfer reaction step. Table 2.1 summarizes the obtained results:

	OAc	DLP (1 eq) ICH ₂ Bnor (2.5 eq) Solvent (0.3 M), 90°C, 0.5 h		OAc
1a			2a	
	Entry	Solvent	Isolated yield	
	1	Benzene	59%	
	2	Heptane	53%	
	3	Cyclohexane	43%	
	4	Toluene	43%	
	5	Trifluorotoluene (TFT)	65%	
	6	Chlorobenzene	54%	
	7	Ethyl Acetate	55%	

Table 2.1: Solvent screening for the optimization of the ATRA reaction.

Initial experiments were conducted with non-polar solvents such as heptane, cyclohexane and toluene which gave similar results (*Entries 2-4*). Switching to TFT significantly increased the reaction efficiency and up to 65% isolated yield was obtained. Increasing the polarity of the solvent by using chlorobenzene or ethyl acetate as solvents (*Entries 6-7*) did not led to a further improvement in yield. TFT was then used for the round of optimization, which has the aim of looking for the optimum amount of DLP for the reaction.

2.2.2 Optimization of the equivalents of initiator (DLP)

Widely used in industry for his low cost and easiness to handle, Dilauroyl peroxide (DLP) (Luperox ©) is one the most used thermal initiators for radical reactions. As we sought to develop an easy and cheap protocol for ATRA reactions, this was our initiator of choice for initial investigations. It has, as already anticipated before, a major drawback: the crude mixtures are always contaminated by different by-products coming from radical-radical recombination or ionic decomposition which behave similarly to the desired product on column chromatography.² Previously, 1 equivalent of DLP was used to perform ATRA reactions. However, other reports present in the literature show that this amount can be reduced.³ We wanted then to find the optimum amount of initiator to facilitate the purification step, yet having a good yield of the desired

1,3-iodonorbornene boronic ester compound. For this optimization, NMR yields using internal standard were taken to speed up the process. Moreover, we changed substrate from hexenyl acetate to but-3-en-1-ylbenzene **1d** because the calculation of the NMR yield was easier. The reactions were performed like before at refluxing conditions, so, when TFT was used as solvent, the reaction temperature was raised to 110 °C. As shown in table 2.2, 1 eq of DLP gave the best NMR yield (*Entry 1*). However, it was decided to use 0.5 eq of initiator (*Entry 2*) because the yield was still acceptable and we expected less amount of by-products resulting from initiator decomposition and radical-radical recombination. Interestingly, after 0.5h of reaction, a yield of 54% was found, meaning that the ATRA process is very fast (*Entry 3*). Both using less equivalents of initiator (*Entry 4*) or adding it portionwise (*Entry 5 and 6*) did not result in an improved yield.

DLP (xxx eq) ICH ₂ Bnor (2 eq) TFT (0.3 M), 110°C, Reaction time				
	1d	2	d	
Entry	DLP (xxx eq)	Reaction time (h)	NMR yield ^a	Amount of 1d
1	1 eq	1h30	69%	9%
2	0.5 eq	1h30	64%	25%
3	0.5 eq	0.5 h	54%	16%
4	0.2 eq	0.5 h	26%	52%
5	0.2 eq x2 (second addition after 1h30)	2 h	35%	40%
6	0.5 eq (slow addition in three portions over 1h30)	1h30	60%	16%

Table 2.2: Table of optimization of the amount of the equivalents of initiator. ^{*a*}1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene used as internal standard

2.2.3 Optimization of other parameters (Radical precursor, temperature and concentration).

To finish with the optimization of the reaction, the following parameters were as well checked (Table 2.3):

	DLP (0.5 eq) ICH ₂ Bnor (xxx eq) TFT (xxx M), T (°C), 1.5h Bnor				
	1d		~	2d	
Entry	ICH ₂ Bnor (xxx eq)	TFT (xxx M)	T (°C)	NMR yield ^a	Amount of 1d
1	2.5	0.3 M	reflux (110 °C)	60%	34%
2	2	0.3 M	reflux (110 °C)	64%	25%
3	1.5	0.3 M	reflux (110 °C)	57%	14%
4	2	0.06 M	reflux (110 °C)	39%	41%
5	2	0.5 M	reflux (110 °C)	40%	30%
6	2	0.3 M	90 °C	61%	n/d
7	2	0.3 M	100 °C	59%	n/d

Table 2.3: Table of optimization of other reactions parameters. ^{*a*}1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene used as internal standard.

- 1. The equivalents of radical precursor ICH₂Bnor were reduced from 2.5 (*Entry 1*) to **2 equivalents** (*Entry 2*), the yield remaining always in the same range. When lower amounts of radical precursor were used (*Entry 3*), the yield of the desired ATRA compound was lower.
- The concentration of the reaction mixture was also checked and 0.3 M (*Entry 2*) proved to be the right one. Neither less concentrated (0.06 M) (*Entry 4*) nor more concentrated (0.5 M) (*Entry 5*) conditions improved the yield of the reaction.
- 3. **110** °C was found to be the best temperature, giving the highest yield. By lower temperatures (*Entry 6 and 7*), slightly decreased yields were found.

At the end of the optimization, the conditions displayed in Scheme 2.2 were found:



Scheme 2.2: Final optimized conditions for ATRA reaction.

Before starting with the scope, it was important to understand how these compounds can be purified rapidly to minimize yield losses during column chromatography. For this reason, the purification step was as well studied in details.

2.3 Development of a work-up/purification strategy to purify 1,3-iodonorbornene boronic esters

As already mentioned, Dr. Tappin and Dr. André-Joyaux decided to use iodomethylene norbornene boronic ester as radical precursor because the resulting ATRA compounds were more stable and, as a result, the isolated yield was closer to the NMR yield determined by using an internal standard. One of the initial observations made by them is that the choice of the right type of silica is very important. These compounds being unstable under acidic conditions, it is important to choose a silica-gel which is less acidic. Dr.Tappin tried different commercially available sources of SiO₂ and he found that the use of Macherey&Nagel silica led to a minimum yield loss during column chromatography. Sigma-Aldrich silica resulted in complete decomposition of the desired product and Silicycle silica resulted in great product loss. Moreover, he observed a greater yield loss when the purification step was taking too much time. For this reason, it is very important to use the right amount of silica for the column packing to keep the purification step time to 15 minutes, avoiding thus huge yield losses. Specifically, after having identified the right solvent for the flash column chromatography (Rf of the desired product ~0.3), the mass of the crude mixture to engage in the purification step was multiplied by a factor of 0.5 to 0.9, depending the easiness of the separation.

In addition to that, the ATRA compounds are secondary iodides and it is thus critical to perform all manipulations without light to prevent side-reactions such as elimination.

Another important feature to consider when purifying theses compounds is that the excess of the radical precursor ICH₂Bnor interacts badly with the silica, leading to a 'tailing spot' which can be visible on TLC. As a result, the final compounds are always contaminated by 10-20% and another round of purification will be then required. To solve this issue, it was thought to introduce a work-up strategy using a nucleophile with the aim of substituting the I atom of the radical precursor with a -OH or -NH₂ group. This compound is now supposed to be very polar and thus will not be recovered together with the desired apolar 1,3-iodonorbornene boronic esters. Two nucleophiles were then employed in the work-up, both as an aqueous solution which was used to wash the organic phase during the work-up: 1M NaOH and 25% NH₄OH solution. The results can be found in Table 2.4:



Table 2.4: Optimization of the work-up procedure to facilitate the purification step. ^{*a*} NMR yield using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene used as internal standard; ^{*b*} isolated yield

The work-up procedure has no impact on the yield of the desired compound and simplifies the purification part. In *Entry 1*, the isolated yield of 50% was found after three FCC purifications, while in *Entry 2 and 3* the purification proceeds smoothly and the desired compound is recovered pure after one round of column chromatography.

2.4 Substrate scope

Based on the previous discussed observations, a substrate scope with the optimized conditions was then performed (Scheme 2.3):



Scheme 2.3: Substrate scope of 1,3-iodonorbornene boronic esters using optimized conditions. Isolated yields. ^aBasic work-up with 1M NaOH performed.

In general, the yields range from moderate to low. Surprisingly, the highest yield was obtained when allyl malonate was used, despite the presence of a very acidic proton (**2j**). Together with yield losses during column chromatography, another possible reason for low yields are intramolecular HAT processes which might take place as in the case of **2e**. It is, however, hard to determine a general tendency of functional group tolerance, as it looks more that the method is very substrate dependant.

Unsatisfied with these results, we decided to go back to the initial strategy and develop two protocols for in-situ functionalization of the 1,3-iodonorbornene boronic esters intermediates. The advantage of this approach is that the new products will be much more stable on silica,

preventing thus a big loss in isolated yields.

2.5 One-pot ATRA and functionalizations protocols

2.5.1 One-pot ATRA + deiodination (Hydroborylmethylation)

The first post-functionalization that we wanted to implement was the removal of the iodine atom using Barton's deiodination conditions (H₃PO₂, Et₃N, AIBN).⁴ These conditions are known to be very mild and are a cheap and safe alternative to the more frequently employed Bu₃SnH/AIBN reduction protocol. In this case, hypophosphorous acid acts as a hydrogen atom donor and thus reducing agent while Et₃N is needed to counterbalance the acidity of the acid and to allow the reaction on acid labile substrates. 1,3-iodonorbornene boronic esters being sensitive to acidic conditions, this was our method of choice. We thus conducted a small scope of ATRA+deiodination reaction (Scheme 2.4). However, disappointing results were as well obtained for this transformation. For this reason, we decided to get rid of the boronic ester moiety via sodium borate oxidation and thus develop a one-pot protocol for iodohydroxymethylation.



Scheme 2.4: Substrate scope of hydroborylmethylation. Isolated yields.

2.5.2 One-pot ATRA + oxidation (lodohydroxymethylation)

We then turned our attention to optimize conditions for the synthesis of 1,3-iodoalcohols. We believed that the removal of the boronic ester part could lead to better results since the new products should not be unstable on silica. We thus first optimized the oxidation reaction using sodium perborate, NaBO₃. This reagent, dissolved in water, is known to be a source of peroxides which can be used to oxidize boronic esters. It is thus a mild and selective method which is tolerant towards all functional groups.⁵

First, the second step of the ATRA+oxidation sequence was optimized. As shown in Table 2.4, **6a** is not formed when the solvent is TFT:H₂O (*Entry 1*) and this is due to the fact that the mixture is completely heterogeneous. For this reason, it was attempted to add a phase-transfer catalyst to promote the reaction between the two phases. Although the addition of tetrabutylammonium iodide (TBAI) (*Entry 2-3*) or tetrabutylammonium periodate (TBAP) (*Entry 4*) slightly improved the yield of the reaction, we decided to try to perform a solvent switch from TFT to THF:H₂O, which is the solvent reported for this reaction. The yield improved to 47%, meaning that a watermiscible solvent is required for the reaction (*Entry 5*). In the end, we decided to add directly THF and H₂O after the ATRA reaction (which is performed in TFT) and then continue with the

oxidation step. Pleasingly, the reaction worked well, furnishing 53% of the desired compound (*Entry 6*). The yield of the ATRA intermediate being 50% (Section 2.4), the oxidation reaction works nearly quantitative.

1) DLP (0.5 eq) ICH ₂ Bnor (2.5 eq) TFT (0.3 M), 110°C, 1.5 h					
		2) NaBO ₃ * Solvent (x	4 H ₂ O (10 eq xx M), rt, 20h		
1d 4d				4d	
Entry	Solvent	Additives	Yield	Comments	
1	TFT:H ₂ O (1:1)	/	Traces	Acidic work-up performed	
	(0.04 M)	/			
2	TFT:H ₂ O (1:1)		23%	Acidia work up parformed	
	(0.04 M)	0.2 eq TDAI		Acidic work-up performed	
3	TFT:H ₂ O (1:1)		33%		
	(0.04 M)	0.5 eq TDAI			
4	TFT:H ₂ O (1:1)		17%		
	(0.04 M)	0.5 Eq TDAF			
5	THF:H ₂ O (1:1)	1	47%	Solvent switch from TET to THE:H.O	
	(0.04 M)	/			
6	TFT:THF:H ₂ O	1	53%	No evaporation of TET	
	(0.04 M)	1			

Table 2.5: Optimization of oxidation conditions for one-pot ATRA-oxidation protocol. Isolated yields.

A second example of iodohydroxymethylation was performed on 4-methyl-*N*-pent-4-enyl-benzenesulfonamide (Scheme 2.5). Notably, **4i** and **4i**' were recovered as a *mixture* after the ATRA+oxidation sequence. This pathway, which was not further explored, can represent an easy access to nitrogen containing heterocycles such as tosylpyrrolidines or tosylpiperidines. This core is present in a lot of natural compounds and can thus have an important synthetic interest.



Scheme 2.5: lodohydroxymethylation of 4-methyl-*N*-pent-4-enyl-benzenesulfonamide. Isolated yield.

At this point of the research, we decided to stop the Intermolecular Atom Transfer Radical Addition of α -boryl radicals project because we were interested in extensively studying the reactivity of these radicals and, in particular, the lodine Atom Transfer process when iodomethylenenorbornene boronic ester is used as a radical precursor. This work will represent the core of this PhD Thesis and will be described in Chapter 3.

2.6 Conclusion and Outlook

The attempt to perform intermolecular Atom-Transfer Radical Addition of α -boryl radicals on unactivated olefines was discussed. The work was started by two former PhD Students of the group and had as main goal to develop a new *anti*-Markvonikov hydromethylation (hydroalky-lation) strategy. The sequence consisted of two steps: the first one is the synthesis of 1,3-iodonorbornene boronic ester and the second is the complete reduction of this intermediate using Et₃B and TBC.

Due to unsuccessful reproducibility of previously reported results, the ATRA step was studied more carefully and new conditions for this reaction were found. However, the method did not give excellent yields. This might be due to the instability of the final compounds on silica, which leads to yield losses during purification. To facilitate this step, a work-up strategy using 1M NaOH was developed to remove the excess radical precursor in the crude mixture, enabling easier and faster purifications. Since the results were still unsatisfactory, two one-pot ATRA+post-functionalizations protocols were developed. However, the observed yields did not improve.

In order to better understand the ATRA reaction when iodomethylene boronic esters are used as radical precursors, we decided to study a new reaction on a diene. As shown in Scheme 2.6, this system will enable us to end-up with different radicals and thus study the lodine-Atom Transfer step. This topic will be discussed in Chapter 3.



Scheme 2.6: ATRAc strategy to form 1,5-iodoboronic esters and model to study the lodine-Atom Transfer Reaction.

The Intermolecular Atom-Transfer Radical Addition project was then continued by Dr. Yanis Lazib who found better reaction conditions using Dicumyl peroxide as radical initiator. He performed a small optimization of the method and a substrate scope of the reaction (including some post-functionalizations). Currently, Dr. Ruairí McCourt is carrying on further studies using photore-dox conditions to perform ATRA reaction using bromomethylene boronic esters. We speculated that 1,3-bromomethylene boronic esters are more stable than the corresponding iodides during purification, therefore better yields are expected.

References

- (1) Bailer, A. J.; Hoel, D. G. Environmental Health Perspectives **1989**, *82*, 177–184.
- (2) Fraind, A.; Turncliff, R.; Fox, T.; Sodano, J.; Ryzhkov, L. R. *Journal of Physical Organic Chemistry* **2011**, *24*, 809–820.
- (3) Huang, Q.; Michalland, J.; Zard, S. Z. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2019**, *58*, 16936–16942.
- (4) Barton, D. H. R.; Jang, D. O.; Jaszberenyi, J. C. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry* **1993**, *58*, 6838–6842.
- (5) McKillop, A.; Sanderson, W. R. *Tetrahedron* **1995**, *51*, 6145–6166.

2.7 Experimental Section

General Information

Techniques

All reactions requiring anhydrous conditions were performed in heat-gun, oven, or flame-dried glassware under an argon atmosphere. An ice bath was used to obtain a temperature of 0 °C. To obtain a temperature of -78 °C, a bath of acetone was cooled with dry ice. A liquid Nitrogen/ethanol bath was used to reach a temperature of -100 °C. Macherey-Nagel Silica 60, 0.04–0.063 mm silica gel was always used for flash column chromatography: it proved to be the best one compared to others because the iodoboronic esters were less prone to decomposition. In some specific cases, Macherey-Nagel Silica 60, 0.015-0.04 mm was used to achieve better purification and it will be indicated as **Finer silica**. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on Macherey-Nagel SIL G/UV254, 0.25 mm analytical plates. Visualization was done under UV light (254 nm) and/or by dipping in a solution of (NH₄)₂MoO₄ (15.0 g), Ce(SO₄)₂ (0.5 g), H₂O (90 mL), conc. H₂SO₄ (10 mL); or KMnO₄ (3 g), K₂CO₃ (20 g) and NaOH 5% (3 mL) in H₂O (300 mL); or H₃PMo₁₂O₄₀ dissolved in 100 mL ethanol and subsequent heating. Anhydrous sodium sulphate was used as drying reagent.

Materials

Commercial reagents were used without further purification unless otherwise stated. Dry solvents for reactions were filtered over columns of dried alumina under a positive pressure of argon and/or stored over 3Å molecular sieves. Solvents for extractions (Et_2O , *n*-pentane, CH_2Cl_2 , AcOEt, *n*-heptanes, TBME) and flash column chromatography were of technical grade and distilled prior to use. Commercially available Dilauroyl peroxide (Luperox © LP, Lauroyl peroxide, Sigma Aldrich – 290875) was used as initiator for the radical reactions. Commercial 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (0.5 eq) (Sigma-Aldrich, Standard for quantitative NMR, Trace **CERT**© - Art. 74599) was used as external standard for NMR yields.

Instrumentation

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance IIIHD-300 spectrometer operating at 300 MHz for ¹H and 75 MHz for ¹³C at room temperature (24-25 °C) unless otherwise stated. Some ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance IIIHD-400 or a Bruker Avance II-400 spectrometer 5 (¹H: 400 MHz; ¹³C: 101 MHz). Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in parts per million (ppm) using the residual solvent or Si(CH₃)₄ (δ = 0.00 for ¹H NMR spectra) as an internal standard. Multiplicities are given as s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quadruplet), m (multiplet), and br (broad). Coupling constants (*J*) are reported in Hz. In ¹³C-NMR spectra, the peak positions are reported on one decimal unless the difference in chemical shift between two signals is small and required two decimals. Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the carbons linked to boron atoms give a broad signal in ¹³C NMR, and are generally not detected.

Infrared spectra were recorded on a *Jasco* FT–IR–460 plus spectrometer equipped with a *Specac MKII Golden Gate Single Reflection Diamond ATR* system and are reported in wave numbers (cm⁻¹). At maximum, the ten most prominent peaks are reported.

Low resolution mass spectra were recorded on a *Waters Micromass Autospec Q* mass spectrometer in EI mode at 70 eV or were taken from GC-MS analyses performed on a *Finnigan Trace GC-MS* (quadrupole mass analyzer using EI mode at 70 eV) fitted with a Macherey-Nagel Optima delta-3-0.25 μ m capillary column (20 m, 0.25 mm); gas carrier: He 1.4 mL/min; injector: 220 °C split mode. GC analyses were performed on a *ThermoFisher Trace GC* ultra, fitted with a chiral Restek Rt®-bDEXm capillary column (20 m, 0.25 mm ID, 0.25 μ m), helium as carrier gas (1.2 mL/min), and FID (290 °C base temperature, 35 mL/min H₂, 350 mL/min air); temperature gradients are indicated for each compound.

HRMS analyses and accurate mass determinations were performed on a *Thermo Scientific LTQ Orbitrap XL* mass spectrometer using ESI mode (positive ion mode).

Synthesis

Preparation of radical traps

Hex-5-enoxymethylbenzene (SI_1_1)



To a suspension of NaH (3.96 g, 55.0 % dispersion in mineral oil, 94.8 mmol) in THF (100 mL) at 0 °C was added dropwise hex-5-en-1-ol (6.00 mL, 49.9 mmol). After 5 min, bromomethylbenzene (7.12 mL, 59.9 mmol) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture allowed to warm to RT. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 16h, then quenched by slow addition of MeOH (5 mL) at 0°C, followed by addition of saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (100 mL). The mixture turned from milky light orange to milky yellow (presence of a solid). After filtration over Celite®, the organics were extracted with Et₂O (3 x 50 mL), washed with H₂O (50 mL), brine (50 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography (0-2-5% EtOAc:heptane) provided the desired compound **SI_1_1** (5.32 g, 27.9 mmol, yield: 56 %).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.79 (9:1 heptane:EA); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.34–7.11 (m, 5H), 5.72 (ddt, *J* = 16.9, 10.2, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 4.98–4.80 (m, 2H), 4.41 (s, 2H), 3.39 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.02–1.94 (m, 2H), 1.61–1.49 (m, 2H), 1.46-1.33 (m, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 138.79, 138.75, 128.4 (2x CH), 127.6 (2x CH), 127.5 (CH), 114.6 (CH₂), 72.9 (CH₂), 70.3 (CH₂), 33.6 (CH₂), 29.3 (CH₂), 25.6 (CH₃).

The physical and spectral data are in accordance with literature data: Florence, G. J.; Fraser, A. L.; Gould, E. R.; King, E. F. B.; Menzies, S. K.; Morris, J. C.; Tulloch, L. B.; Smith, T. K. *ChemMedChem* **2014**, *9* (11), 2548–2556.

2-(Pent-4-en-1-yl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (SI_1_2)



A 100 mL flask was charged with isoindoline-1,3-dione (5.88 g, 40.0 mmol) and N,N-Dimethyl-formamide (23.5 mL, 1.7 M). K_2CO_3 (6.63 g, 48.0 mmol) was then added portion wise. The

colour of the initial white suspension turned from white to yellow. After 5 minutes, 5-bromopent-1-ene (5.69 mL, 48.0 mmol) was added dropwise and the suspension became more whitish. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 65h. It was partitioned between EtOAc (50 mL) and H₂O (50 mL). The phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 20mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (50 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a yellow oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (0-3-10% EtOAc: heptane) provided the desired product **SI_1_2** (7.98 g, 37.1 mmol, yield: 93 %).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.34 (9:1 heptane:EA); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.83-7.77 (m, 2H), 7.71-7.65 (m, 2H), 5.76 (ddt, *J* = 16.8, 10.1, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 5.021 (dq, *J* = 17.1, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 4.943 (dq, *J* = 10.1, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 3.69-3.34 (m, 2H), 2.12 – 2.01 (m, 2H), 1.81 – 1.65 (m, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 168.3 (2x Cq), 137.3 (2x Cq), 133.8 (2xCH), 132.1 (CH), 123.1 (2x CH), 115.3 (CH₂), 37.5 (CH₂), 31.0 (CH₂), 27.6 (CH₂).

The physical and spectral data are in accordance with literature data: Liu, R.; Wei, Z.; Wang, J.; Liu, Y.; Xue, H. *Chemical Communications* **2020**, *56* (37), 5038–5041.

4-Methyl-N-pent-4-enyl-benzenesulfonamide (SI_1_3)



To a solution of 5-bromopent-1-ene (1.42 mL, 12.0 mmol) in acetonitrile (40 mL, 0.3 M) were added K_2CO_3 (3.32 g, 24.0 mmol) and p-toluenesulfonamide (4.11 g, 24.0 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 4 hours. The reaction mixture was filtrated through Celite® and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a yellow oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (heptane: EA 9:1) provided the desired compound 4-methyl-N-pent-4-enyl-benzenesulfonamide (2.96 g, 12.4 mmol, quant).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.10 (9:1 heptane:EA); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.77–7.71 (m, 2H), 7.33–7.28 (m, 2H), 5.70 (ddt, *J* = 17.0, 10.2, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 5.03–4.89 (m, 2H), 4.62 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 2.94 (q, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 2.42 (s, 3H), 2.04 (dtt, *J* = 7.9, 6.7, 1.4 Hz, 2H), 1.56 (p, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 143.5 (Cq), 137.4 (CH), 137.1 (Cq), 129.7 (2x CH), 127.1 (2x CH), 115.7 (CH₂), 42.8 (CH₂), 30.8 (CH₂), 28.8 (CH₂), 21.6 (CH₃).

The physical and spectral data are in accordance with literature data:

Della-Felice, F.; Zanini, M.; Jie, X.; Tan, E.; Echavarren, A. M. *Angewandte Chemie* **2021**, *60* (11), 5693–5698.

2-Allylcyclohexanone (SI_1_4)



To a stirred solution of 1-(cyclohexen-1-yl)pyrrolidine (4.83 mL, 30.0 mmol) in anhydrous 1,4dioxane (18 mL) at 0 °C was added dropwise a mixture of 3-bromoprop-1-ene (2.60 mL, 30.0 mmol) in anhydrous 1,4-dioxane (18 mL, 0.825 M). The reaction mixture was refluxed for 4 h. Water (20 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at reflux for an additional 1 h reflux. The reaction mixture was then concentrated slowly under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc (40 mL) and treated with 4M HCl (40 mL). The phases were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted three times with EtOAc (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic phase was washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated to afford a green oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (Heptane:EtOAc 95:5) provided 2-allylcyclohexanone as desired compound (1.5 g, 10.8 mmol, yield: 36 %).

Colourless oil; Rf: 0.4 (9:1 heptane:EA); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.86 – 5.66 (m, 1H), 5.08 – 4.92 (m, 2H), 2.53 (dddt, J = 14.6, 6.6, 5.4, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 2.43 – 2.23 (m, 3H), 2.17 – 2.00 (m, 2H), 1.96 (ddt, J = 14.3, 7.7, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 1.85 (qdd, J = 6.5, 3.0, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 1.74 – 1.56 (m, 2H), 1.35 (dddd, J = 15.4, 13.1, 8.4, 3.6 Hz, 1H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.5 (Cq), 136.5 (CH), 116.2 (CH₂), 50.3 (CH), 42.1 (CH₂), 33.8 (CH₂), 33.4 (CH₂), 27.9 (CH₂), 25.0 (CH₂).

The physical and spectral data are in accordance with literature data: Özdemirhan, D.; Sarıçelik, Ö. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2017**, *28* (1), 118–124.

Preparation of radical precursors

(2R,3S)-norbornane-2,3-diol (SI_1_5)

SI_1_5 Chemical Formula: C₇H₁₂O₂ Exact Mass: 128.0837 A cold mixture of KMnO₄ (24.0 g, 0.15 mmol, 1.4 eq.) and NaOH (5.22 g, 0.13 mmol, 1.2 eq.) in water (500 mL) was added dropwise (over 45 min using a dropping funnel) to a solution of norbornene (10.0 g, 0.11 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in t-BuOH (400 mL) and water (100 mL) at -10 °C (ice-salt bath). After the addition, the reaction mixture was stirred for 1h at the same temperature. It was then quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of sodium metabisulphite (Na₂S₂0₅) (300 mL) until the solution turned colorless. The resulting mixture was filtered and t-BuOH was evaporated under reduced pressure. The remaining aqueous solution was extracted with EtOAc (6 × 250 mL). The organic layer was then washed with brine (200 mL), dried over anh. Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to give (2R,3S)-norbornane-2,3-diol (7.48 g, 58.4 mmol, yield: 55 %) which was used for the next step without further purification.

White solid; ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.69 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 2H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 2.14 (dq, J = 3.2, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 1.77 (dt, J = 10.4, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 1.55–1.35 (m, 2H), 1.17–0.95 (m, 3H).

The physical and spectral data are in accordance with literature data: Donohoe, T. J.; Jahanshahi, A.; Tucker, M. J.; Bhatti, F. L.; Roslan, I. A.; Kabeshov, M.; Wrigley, G. *Chemical Communications* **2011**, *47* (20), 5849.

(3aR,7aS)-2-(lodomethyl)hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborole (ICH₂Bnor) (SI_1_6)



A 250 mL two-neck round-bottom flask, equipped with a 100 mL dropping funnel and a low-temperature thermometer was charged with triisopropyl borate (23.1 mL, 100 mmol) and diiodomethane (8.07 mL, 100 mmol) and dissolved in THF (71 mL) with vigorous stirring. Methyllithium (62.5 mL, 100.0 mmol, 1.6 M) was loaded into the dropping funnel by syringe stepwise. The contents of the flask were cooled to -78 °C with a dry ice/acetone bath and the MeLi solution was added at a steady strip rate to maintain the temperature below -65 °C (addition over ca. 1h). Once the addition was complete, the reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 1 h and the cooling bath was removed. Dry HCI (52.5 mL, 105 mmol, 2.00 M in Et₂O) was added quickly. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at rt, and (2S,3R)-norbornane-2,3diol (14.7 g, 115 mmol) was added as a solid. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 16 h. Most of the volatiles were removed in vacuo (**caution**: MeI is formed as a product of the reaction – perform in a well-ventilated fume-hood). The remaining contents were partitioned between TBME (150 mL) and 0.5 M aq. HCI (150 mL) and stirred for 5 min. The organic phase
was washed with H₂O (150 mL), and the combined aqueous phases were back extracted with TBME (20 mL). The combined organic phases were successively washed with 10% (w/v) aq. Na₂S₂O₃ (150 mL), sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (2 x 150 mL) dried with sat. aq. NaCl (2 x 150 mL), then dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to afford an orange oil. Purification by vacuum distillation (2.10⁻² mbar) provided (3aR,7aS)-2-(lodomethyl)hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborole (ICH2Bnor) as desired product (13.7 g, 49.3 mmol, 49 %).

Light yellow oil; ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.30 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 2H), 2.33 (br dq, J = 3.2, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 2.19 (s, 2H), 1.71 (br dp, J = 11.1, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 1.54-1.44 (m, 2H), 1.27-1.20 (m, 1H), 1.07-0.98 (m, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 84.4 (2x CH), 41.0 (2x CH), 30.8 (CH₂), 23.4 (2x CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹**B NMR** (96 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 32.2. IR (thin film): ν_{max} = 2957, 2873, 1407, 1387, 1367, 1352, 1306, 1280, 1226, 1132, 1093, 1021, 1001 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₈H₁₃BlO₃ [M+OH]⁺: 295.008; found: 295.014. HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₈H₁₂BlO₂ [M]⁺: 277.9970; found: 277.9961.

The compound was stored at -20 °C in dark vials under an argon atmosphere. The color of the compound changes from light yellow to dark orange because of slow degradation, which occurs fast at rt and with exposure to light. The degradation product is iodine, and this explains the change in color of the compound. However, this does not seem to have an impact on the radical ATRA reaction yields.

ATRA with DLP: solvent screening



A flame-dried 10 mL one-neck round-bottom flask, equipped with a reflux condenser, was charged with hex-5-enyl acetate (71 mg, 0.5 mmol), lauroyl peroxide (199 mg, 0.5 mmol), ICH₂Bnor (347 mg, 1.25 mmol) and the contents dissolved in the desired solvent (1.7 mL) under a positive nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was heated at a 90 °C for 30 minutes in a pre-heated oil bath. The cooled reaction mixture was partitioned between TBME (15 mL) and H₂O (10 mL) and the organic phase was successively washed with sat. aq. Na₂S₂O₃ (5 mL) and water (10 mL). The combined aqueous phases were back extracted with TBME (5 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (15 mL), then over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered through a short pad of cotton and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by

flash column chromatography (Gradient EA:heptane 2-3-5-7-10 %) provided the desired 2a.

Colourless oil; Rf: 0.18 (heptane:EA 85:15); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.20 (d, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 2H), 4.16-4.07 (m, 1H), 4.07 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.29-2.22 (m, 2H), 2.05 (s, 3H), 1.98–1.41 (m, 12H), 1.19 (dp, *J* = 11.0, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 1.09-0.85 (m, 4H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.2 (Cq), 83.8 (2x CH), 64.2 (CH₂), 42.7 (CH), 40.9 (CH), 39.8 (CH₂), 35.2 (CH₂), 30.7 (CH₂), 27.8 (CH₂), 26.0 (CH₂), 23.3 (CH₂), 21.0 (CH₃); ¹¹**B NMR** (96 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 33.5; IR (thin film): ν_{max} = 2951, 2873, 1736, 1387, 1368, 1225, 1166, 1027 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₆H₂₇O₄BI [M+H]⁺: 421.1042; found: 421.1038.

General Procedure (NMR yields)

A flame-dried one-neck round bottom flask, equipped with a reflux condenser, was charged with but-3-enylbenzene (66 mg, 0.5 mmol) and the desired quantities of lauroyl peroxide and ICH₂Bnor. TFT (xxx mL, xxx M) was added under a positive nitrogen atmosphere and the reaction mixture was heated at a 110 °C for 1h30 in a pre-heated oil bath. The cooled reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo. Commercial 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (0.5 eq) was used as external standard for the determination of the NMR yields. It was weighted directly into the flask containing the crude mixture and the mixture was then dissolved in 5 mL of CDCl₃. To be sure that everything was solubilized, the flask was left \sim 30 minutes in a sonicator. 0.5 mL of the obtained solution was used for the NMR yield determination.

Substrate scope

Iodohydroborylation

GP1: A flame-dried 5 mL one-neck round bottom flask, equipped with a reflux condenser, was charged with the alkene (1 mmol), lauroyl peroxide (199 mg, 0.5 mmol), ICH₂Bnor (556 mg, 2 mmol) and the contents dissolved in TFT (3.4 mL) under a positive argon atmosphere. The mixture was heated at reflux (110°C) for 1.5 h. The cooled reaction mixture was partitioned between distilled water (15 mL) and TBME (10 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with TBME (3 x 10 mL). The organic layer was treated with 1M NaOH (to eliminate the remaining unreacted ICH₂Bnor), washed with brine, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and the solvents was concentrated in vacuo to afford a the crude mixture as an oil. Purification by flash column chromatography delivered the desired compound.

12-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)-10-iododode-can-1-ol (2c)



The title compound was prepared following **GP1** with 10-undecen-1-ol (170 mg, 1 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient 5-10-15-20 % EtOAc:heptane) provided the desired product **2c** (114 mg, 0.254 mmol, 25 %).

Light-orange oil; Rf: 0.17 (8:2 heptane:EA); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.17 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 2H), 4.11 (tt, J = 8.7, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (app t, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 2.28-2.18 (m, 2H), 1.97-0.80 (m, 27H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 83.8 (2x CH), 63.1 (CH₂), 43.8 (CH), 40.9 (CH), 40.4 (CH₂), 32.8 (CH₂), 30.8 (CH₂), 29.57 (CH₂), 29.52 (CH₂) 29.45 (CH₂), 29.37 (CH₂), 25.8 (CH₂), 23.4 (CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (96 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 33.7; IR (thin film): ν_{max} = 3352, 2924, 2853, 1456, 1387, 1371, 1321, 1283, 1224, 1169, 1132, 1027 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₉H₃₅O₃BI [M+H]⁺: 449.1718; found: 449.1703.

(3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-2-(3-lodo-5-phenylpentyl)hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxabo-role (2d)



The title compound was prepared following **GP1** with but-3-en-1-yl-benzene (132 mg, 1 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient 0-1-2 % EtOAc:heptane) provided the desired product **2d** (252 mg, 0.504 mmol, 50 %).

Yellow oil; Rf: 0.55 (9:1 heptane:EA); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.24–7.07 (m, 5H), 4.11 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 2H), 4.00 (tt, J = 8.8, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 2.81 (ddd, J = 14.0, 9.1, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 2.65 (ddd, J = 13.7, 9.0, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.24-2.02 (m, 3H), 1.98–1.70 (m, 3H), 1.60-1.14 (m, 5H), 1.12-1.06 (m, 1H), 1.04–0.81 (m, 4H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 140.9 (CqAr), 128.5 (2x CHAr), 128.4 (CHAr), 126.1 (2x CHAr), 83.76 (CH), 83.75 (CH), 42.5 (CH), 41.9 (CH₂), 40.9 (CH₂), 35.6 (CH₂), 35.3 (CH₂), 30.7 (CH₂), 23.3 (CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (96 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 33.9; IR (thin film): $\nu_{max} = 2950$, 2922, 2874, 1495, 1454, 1386, 1369, 1321, 1284, 1223, 1132, 1105, 1026, 1002 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₈H₂₅O₂BI [M+H]⁺: 411.0987; found: 411.0983.

((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-2-(7-(benzyloxy)-3-iodoheptyl)hexahydro-4,7methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dio-xaborole (2e)



The title compound was prepared following **GP1** with hex-5-enoxymethylbenzene (190 mg, 1 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient 0-2-4-5 % EtOAc:heptane) provided the desired product **2e** (102 mg, 0.218 mmol, 22 %).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.4 (9:1 heptane:EA); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.30-7.18 (m, 5H), 4.43 (s, 2H), 4.13 (d, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 2H), 4.06 (tt, *J* = 8.8, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 3.40 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.21-2.16 (m,

2H), 1.93-1.33 (m, 12H), 1.21-1.17 (m, 1H), 1.12 (dp, J = 11.0 Hz, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 1.00-0.92 (m, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 138.6 (Cq), 128.5 (2 × CHAr), 127.8 (2x CHAr), 127.7 (CHAr), 83.7 (2x CH), 72.9 (CH₂), 70.1 (CH₂), 43.2 (CH–I), 40.9 (2x CH), 40.1 (CH₂), 35.2 (CH₂), 30.7 (CH₂), 29.0 (CH₂), 26.4 (CH₂), 23.3 (2x CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (96 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 33.6; IR (thin film): $\nu_{max} = 3063$, 2941, 2870, 1452, 1387, 1369, 1223, 1099, 1026, 732, 697 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₂₁H₃₁O₃BI [M+H]⁺: 469.1405; found: 469.1422.

(3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-2-(3-lodododecyl)hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborole(2f)



The title compound was prepared following **GP1** with undec-1-ene (154 mg, 1 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient 0-0.5-1-2 % EtOAc:heptane) provided the desired product **2f** (220 mg, 0.508 mmol, 51 %).

Light-orange oil; Rf: 0.27 (92:8 heptane:EA); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.20 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 2H), 4.123 (tt, J = 8.7, 4.7, Hz, 1H), 2.30–2.18 (m, 2H), 2.00–0.95 (m, 28H), 0.92–0.84 (m, 3H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 83.8 (2x CH), 43.8 (CH), 41.0 (CH), 40.4 (CH₂), 35.4 (CH₂), 31.9 (CH₂), 30.8 (CH₂), 29.6 (CH₂), 29.4 (CH₂), 29.0 (CH₂), 23.4 (CH₂), 22.8 (CH₂), 14.2 (CH₃). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (96 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 33.9; IR (thin film): ν_{max} = 2954, 2922, 2873, 2852, 1386, 1370, 1321, 1310, 1283, 1224, 1166, 1132, 1028 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₉H₃₅O₂BI [M+H]⁺: 455.1589; found: 455.1583.

(3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-2-(4-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-3-iodobutyl)hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo-[d][1,3,2]dioxaborole (2g)



The title compound was prepared following **GP1** with safrole (162 mg, 1 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient 0-0.5-1-2 % EtOAc:heptane) provided the desired product **2g** (51 mg, 0.116 mmol, 12 %).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.09 (85:15 heptane:EA); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.74 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.70–6.60 (m, 2H), 5.94 (s, 2H), 4.25 (tdd, J = 8.1, 6.7, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 2H), 3.16 (dd, J = 14.5, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.08 (dd, J = 14.4, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 2.28–2.23 (m, 2H), 1.95–1.76 (m, 2H), 1.47–1.36 (m, 3H), 1.18 (dp, J = 11.2, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 1.28–0.84 (m, 4H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 147.6 (Cq), 146.3 (Cq), 133.8 (Cq), 122.1 (CHAr), 109.3 (CHAr), 108.1 (CHAr), 100.9 (CH₂), 83.7 (2x CH), 46.8 (CH₂), 42.5 (CH–I), 40.9 (2x CH), 34.3 (CH₂), 30.7 (CH₂), 23.3 (2x CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹**B NMR** (128 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 33.65; IR (thin film): ν_{max} = 2952, 2874, 1502, 1488, 1441, 1386, 1369.2, 1244, 1226, 1030, 1002, 926, 809, 765, 730 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₈H₂₅O₂BI [M+Na]⁺: 463.0550; found: 463.0538.

(3-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)-1-iodopropyl)dimethyl(phenyl)silane (2h)



The title compound was prepared following **GP1** with dimethyl-phenyl-vinyl-silane (162 mg, 1 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient 0-0.5 % EtOAc:heptane) provided the desired product **2h** (190 mg, 0.432 mmol, 43 %).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.29 (98:2 heptane:EA); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.43–7.30 (m, 3H), 4.16 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 2H), 3.36–3.33 (m, 1H), 2.25–2.21 (m, 2H), 1.78–1.63 (m, 2H), 1.52–1.43 (m, 3H), 1.31–1.24 (m, 2H), 1.18–1.12 (m, 2H), 1.06–0.97 (m, 2H), 0.93–0.78 (m, 1H), 0.46 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 136.7 (Cq), 134.3 (2x CHAr), 129.6 (CHAr), 128.0 (2x CHAr), 83.8 (2x CH), 41.0 (2x CH), 30.8 (CH₂), 28.7 (CH₂), 26.8 (CH), 23.5 (2x CH₂), -2.2 (CH₃), -4.1(CH₃). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 34.12; IR (thin film): ν_{max} = 3068, 2953, 2874, 2853, 1385, 1370, 1318, 1225, 1112, 1025, 813, 730, 698 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₈H₂₆O₂BISi [M+Na]⁺: 463.0740; found: 463.0717.

N-(6-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-Hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)-4-iodohexyl)-

4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (2i)



The title compound was prepared following **GP1** with 4-Methyl-N-pent-4-enyl-benzenesulfonamide (239 mg, 1 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient 10-15-20 % EtOAc:he-ptane) provided the desired product **2i** (239 mg, 0.462 mmol, 46 %).

Red oil; Rf: 0.18 (98:2 heptane:EA); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.76–7.71 (m, 2H), 7.33–7.24 (m, 2H), 4.50–4.40 (m, 1H), 4.19 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 2H), 4.02 (tt, J = 8.6, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 3.02–2.88 (m, 2H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 2.28–2.22 (m, 2H), 1.95–1.84 (m, 1H), 1.84–1.42 (m, 8H), 1.25 (dp, J = 11.0, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 1.08–0.77 (m, 4H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 143.5 (Cq), 137.0 (Cq), 129.8 (2x CHAr), 127.1 (2x CHAr), 83.8 (2x CH), 42.4 (CH₂), 41.5 (CH), 40.9 (2x CH), 37.0 (CH₂), 35.3 (CH₂), 30.7 (CH₂), 29.6 (CH₂), 23.3 (2x CH₂), 21.5 (CH₃). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹**B NMR** (128 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 33.72; IR (thin film): ν_{max} = 3274, 2951, 2874, 1321, 1155, 1092, 1025, 813, 730, 660, 571, 549 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₂₀H₂₉O₄BINS [M+Na]⁺: 540.0960; found: 540.0840.

Dimethyl 2-(4-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)-2-iodobutyl)malonate (2j)



The title compound was prepared following **GP1** with dimethyl 2-allyl malonate (172 mg, 1 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient 5-10 % EtOAc:heptane) provided the desired product **2j** (307 mg, 0.682 mmol, 68 %).

Light-yellow oil; Rf: 0.2 (9:1 heptane:EA); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.18 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 2H), 4.03 (tdd, J = 10.5, 7.3, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.78–3.74 (m, 1H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 2.34–2.29 (m, 2H), 2.26–2.21 (m, 2H), 2.00–1.80 (m, 2H), 1.53–1.43 (m, 3H), 1.17 (dp, J = 11.0, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 4.03 (m, 2H), 4.04 (m, 2H), 4.05 (m, 2

1H), 1.10– 0.85 (m, 4H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.3 (Cq), 169.1 (Cq), 83.9 (CH), 83.8 (CH), 52.9 CH₃), 52.8 (CH₃), 52.3 (CH), 41.0 (2x CH), 39.3 (CH₂), 38.6 (CH), 35.6 (CH₂), 30.8 (CH₂), 23.4 (2x CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 34.03; IR (thin film): ν_{max} = 2953, 2875, 1733, 1371, 1224, 1198, 1149, 1026, 916, 730 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₆H₂₄O₆BI [M+Na]⁺: 473.0710; found: 473.0596.

Hydroborylmethylation

GP2: A flame-dried 5 mL one neck round-bottom, equipped with a cold-finder condenser, was charged with the alkene (1.00 mmol), Lauroyl peroxide (199 mg, 0.500 mmol) and ICH₂Bnor (556 mg, 2.00 mmol) under a positive argon atmosphere and the contents were dissolved in TFT (3.4 mL). The mixture was immersed in a pre-heated oil bath and heated at 110 °C for 1h30. Upon completion (TLC monitoring), the cooled reaction flask was charged with hypophosphorous acid (50.0 %, 1.09 mL, 10.0 mmol), Et₃N (1.53 mL, 11.0 mmol, distilled prior to use), and AIBN (33 mg, 0.200 mmol) and the reaction mixture was stirred at 90 °C for 1h30. After partial cooling, a second portion of AIBN (33 mg, 0.200 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture heated at 90 °C for a further 1h30. The reaction mixture was cooled to rt, partitioned between TBME (25 mL) and 2 M aq. HCl (15 mL). The organic phase was washed with 1 M aq. HCl (15 mL), and the combined aqueous phases were back-extracted with TBME (5 mL). The combined organic phases were successively washed with 2 M aq. NaOH (15 mL) and 1 M aq. NaOH (15 mL), sat. aq. Na₂S₂O₃ (25 mL), sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (25 mL), and brine (25 mL), then dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo the crude mixture as an oil. Purification by flash column chromatography delivered the desired compound.

(3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-2-(5-Phenylpentyl)hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborole(3a)



The title compound was prepared following **GP2** with but-3-en-1-yl-benzene (134 mg, 1.02 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient 0-0.5-1 % EtOAc:heptane) provided the desired product **3a** (160 mg, 0.495 mmol, 50 %).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.57 (9:1 heptane:EA); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.23–7.16 (m, 2H), 7.12–7.06 (m, 3H), 4.11 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 2H), 2.64–2.51 (m, 2H), 2.20–2.16 (m, 2H), 1.58–1.51

(m, 2H), 1.48–1.14 (m, 7H), 1.10 (dp, J = 10.9, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 1.00–0.92 (m, 2H), 0.79 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 143.1 (Cq), 128.6 (2x CHAr), 128.3 (2x CHAr), 125.7 (CHAr), 83.7 (2x CH), 41.1 (2x CH), 36.0 (CH₂), 32.1 (CH₂), 31.2 (CH₂), 30.8 (CH₂), 24.1 (CH₂), 23.5 (2x CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹**B NMR** (128 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 33.51; IR (thin film): ν_{max} = 3027, 2929, 2873, 2854, 1734, 1371, 1320, 1223, 1199, 1162, 1029, 1004, 743, 732, 697 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₈H₂₅O₂B [M+Na]⁺: 307.1840; found: 307.1837.

12-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-Hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)dodecan-1-ol (3b)



The title compound was prepared following **GP2** with undec-10-en-1-ol (168 mg, 0.986 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient 5-10-15 % EtOAc:heptane) provided the desired product **3b** (126 mg, 0.391 mmol, 39 %).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.34 (9:1 heptane:EA); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.19 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 2H), 3.63 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.28–2.23 (m, 2H), 1.62–1.22 (m, 24H), 1.17 (dp, J = 10.9, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 1.08–0.99 (m, 2H), 0.80 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 83.7 (2x CH), 63.3 (CH₂), 41.0 (2x CH), 33.0 (CH₂), 32.6 (CH₂), 30.8 (CH₂), 29.77 (CH₂), 29.74 (CH₂), 29.71 (CH₂), 29.67 (CH₂), 29.57 (CH₂), 29.55 (CH₂), 25.9 (CH₂), 24.3 (CH₂), 23.5 (2x CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹**B NMR** (96 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 33.91; IR (thin film): ν_{max} = 3383.5, 2922.6, 2852.2, 1457.9, 1373.07, 1321.9, 1222.6, 1028.8, 1002.8, 721.2 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₉H₃₅O₃B [M+Na]⁺: 345.2500; found: 345.2568.

(3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-2-Dodecylhexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborole (3c)



The title compound was prepared following **GP2** with undec-10-en-1-ol (154 mg, 1.00 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography (15:85 DCM:pentane) provided the desired prod-uct **3c** (78 mg, 0.204 mmol, 20 %).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.4 (85:15 pentane:DCM); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.19 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 2H), 2.28–2.23 (m, 2H), 1.55–1.44 (m, 3H), 1.44–1.33 (m, 2H), 1.33–1.21 (m, 18H), 1.17 (dp, J = 10.9, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 1.08–0.98 (m, 2H), 0.92–0.84 (m, 3H), 0.80 (dd, J1 = J2 = 7.7 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 83.7 (2x CH), 41.0 (2x CH), 32.6 (CH₂), 32.1 (CH₂), 30.8 (CH₂), 29.83 (CH₂), 29.81 (CH₂), 29.7 (CH₂), 29.6 (CH₂), 29.5 (CH₂), 24.3 (CH₂), 23.5 (CH₂), 22.8 (CH₂), 14.3 (CH₃). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (96 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 34.2; IR (thin film): ν_{max} = 2995, 2922, 2874, 2852, 1408, 1383, 1372, 1321, 1220, 1031 cm⁻¹. Thermal Elemental Analysis: Calculated for C₁₉H₃₅BO₂: C, 74.51; H, 11.52, N, 0.00; found: C, 75.06; H, 11.48, N, 0.00.

lodohydroxymethylation

GP3: A flame-dried 5 mL one neck round-bottom, equipped with a cold-finder condenser, was charged with the alkene (1.00 mmol), Lauroyl peroxide (199 mg, 0.500 mmol) and ICH₂Bnor (556 mg, 2.00 mmol) under a positive argon atmosphere and the contents dissolved in TFT (3.4 mL). The reaction mixture was immersed in a pre-heated oil bath and heated at 110 °C for 1h30. The reaction mixture was transferred into a 50 mL flask, where THF (12.5 mL) and water (12.5 mL) were added. NaBO₃ · 4 H₂O (10 mmol) was then added portion wise. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 20h. The reaction mixture was partitioned between EtOAc (20 mL) and water (25 mL). The phases were separated and the aqueous layer phase was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with Na₂S₂O₃ 5% (25 mL) and sat. aq. NaCl (25 mL). The organic phase was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to afford the crude mixture as an oil. Purification by flash column chromatography delivered the desired compound.

3-lodo-5-phenyl-pentan-1-ol (4d)



The title compound was prepared following **GP3** with but-3-en-1-yl-benzene (132 mg, 1.00 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography (10-15 % EtOAc:heptane) afforded the desired product **4d** (154 mg, 0.531 mmol, 53 %).

Yellow oil; Rf: 0.27 (8:2 heptane:EA); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.36–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.24 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 4.25 (tt, J = 9.5, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 3.93–3.77 (m, 2H), 2.95 (ddd, J = 14.2, 9.3, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 2.77 (ddd, J = 13.7, 9.3, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 2.42–1.88 (m, 4H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 140.8 (Cq), 128.6 (2x CH), 128.5 (2x CH), 126.2 (CH), 62.5 (CH₂), 42.8 (CH), 42.5 (CH₂), 35.6 (CH₂), 34.7 (CH₂).

The physical and spectral data are in accordance with literature data: Träff, A. M.; Janjetovic, M.; Ta, L.; Hilmersson, G. *Angewandte Chemie* **2013**, *52* (46), 12073–12076.

3 Cyclisation reactions involving α-boryl radicals: Atom Transfer Radical Addition and Cyclisation (ATRAC) and Atom Transfer Radical Addition and Annulation (ATRAn)

This first part of this chapter will be dedicated to description of the ATRAC reaction. The optimization of the method, a substrate scope, some post-functionalizations of the obtained products and mechanistic investigations will be detailed. In the second part of the chapter, a new annulation strategy involving α -boryl radicals will be described. A substrate scope and some mechanistic investigations will be discussed. Personal contributions include everything except the scope using photoredox conditions which was part of a collaboration with the research group of Prof. Christoforos Kokotos (National and Kapodistrian University of Athens) and was performed by Ms. Charikleia Batsika.

3.1 Introduction and aim of the project

Radical cyclisation reactions have been increasingly used for the synthesis of complex natural products.¹ As a prove of concept, Curran and co. used a radical cyclisation strategy to synthesize the main core of *capnellene*.²

But what are the advantages of introducing such a step for the total synthesis of a natural compound? This step is highly sustainable and synthetically powerful, building several new σ -bonds from two or more π -systems. Moreover, these reactions are quite regioselective and can be introduced at the end of the synthesis of a natural compound. Last but not least, stereoselective versions can be achieved by controlling the substituents on the molecule, which have an impact on the different transition states of the reaction. Radical cyclisations can be performed using different conditions e.g reducing conditions, atom-transfer conditions, fragmentation method or persistent radical effect.³ Moreover, several cyclisation reactions were developed and can be employed for the construction of carbo- or heterocycles of different sizes. Baldwin and co. extensively studied radical cyclisation reactions and came up with different rules which regulate the feasibility of the process.⁴

Several reports in the literature showed that cyclisation reactions can be performed using atom transfer conditions^{5–7} and they are often based on a **5-exo-trig cyclisation** approach. There are different reasons for this choice:

• The 5-*exo*-trig cyclisation is faster and regioselective (Scheme 3.1) due to stereoelectronic effects which favours the formation of the five-membered ring. The 6-*endo*-trig chair-like transition state is indeed \approx 3 kcal mol⁻¹ higher in energy than the corresponding 5-*exo*-trig transition state.⁸⁻¹⁰



Scheme 3.1: 5-exo-trig vs 6-endo-trig cyclisations.

• This ratio can be further improved with the help of a *gem*-disubstituent effect.¹¹⁻¹⁴ As shown in Scheme 3.2, the addition of a *gem*-dimethyl group positively affects the cyclisation rate. This effect can be also explained both referring to the enthalpy and the entropy of the reaction. 3 is more destabilized than 1 due to the increased amount of *gauche* interactions after addition of the dimethyl group, therefore the cyclisation occurs faster because the cyclised product is more stable. Entropy also plays a huge role in the ring formation, since the cyclic product has less degree of freedom than the open chain and thus it will be increased after cyclisation. The addition of a *gem*-diester group accelerates even more the rate of the reaction via Thorpe-Ingold effect.^{13,15}



Scheme 3.2: Impact of gem-disubstituent effect on cyclisation rate.

- Apart from the case of the cyclisation of malonyl derivatives,¹⁶ the reaction is **irreversible**.
- The stereoselectivity of the reaction can be improved by the addition of substituents which causes the 1,3-allylic strains or by performing the reaction at low temperature.^{8,10,17}

In this work, we aimed to use the atom transfer radical addition and cyclisation reaction to synthesize a variety different substituted five-membered rings (Scheme 3.3). After addition of the α -boryl radical at position 7, the newly-formed secondary radical at position 6 will undergo fast 5-*exo*-trig radical cyclization to form a new radical at position 1. This radical will be then involved in iodine atom transfer with the radical precursor to form the desired product **3b** and a new α -boryl radical which will sustain the chain. This system will allow us to vary substituents at position 1 and thus study the iodine atom transfer of a primary, secondary and tertiary radical when iodomethylene boronic esters are used as radical precursors. In addition to that, we synthesized different dienes bearing various groups at position 6 to understand the philicity of α -boryl radicals. Last but not least, we attempted the synthesis of different heterocycles using this methodology (pyrrolidines and tetrahydrofurans rings).



Scheme 3.3: General scheme of ATRAC reaction.

3.2 Optimization of the reaction conditions

3.2.1 Initiator

The substrate of choice for the optimation of the reaction was Dimethyl 2,2-diallylmalonate: it is very easy to synthesize and it bears a *gem*-diester group which will ensure the cyclization to be fast and selective towards the five member ring formation. The results of the optimization are summarized in Table 3.1.

0 ⁻ 0:	O Solvent	Initiator (xxx ICH ₂ Bnor (2 Additive: t (xxx M), xx	$\begin{array}{c} (eq) \\ 2 eq) \\ s \\ (x \ ^{\circ}C, xxx \ h \end{array} \qquad O \end{array}$		Bnor	<u>tor</u> LP t₃B / Air TBHN t₃B / DTBHN
	6a, 1 mmol Bnor-7a					
Entry	Initiator (xxx eq)	T°	Solvent (xxx M)	Time	Additives	Isolated yield
1	A (0.5 eq)	110°C	TFT (0.3 M)	1h30	/	62% (dr 8:2)
2	B (0.5 eq) ^a	RT	DCM (0.1 M)	4 h	/	38% (dr 8:2)
3	B (1.3 eq) ^a	RT	DCM (0.1 M)	4 h	/	41% (dr 8:2)
4	C (0.4 eq)	60°C	TFT (0.25 M)	1h30	/	53% (dr 8:2)
5	C (0.4 eq)	80°C	TFT (0.25 M)	1h30	/	57% (dr 8:2)
6	C (0.4 eq)	80°C	TFT (2.3 M)	1h30	/	69% (dr 8:2)
7	C (0.4 eq)	80°C	TFT (2.3 M)	1h30	Cs ₂ CO ₃ (0.3 eq)	76% (dr 8:2)
8	D (0.5 eq/0.2 eq) ^a	55°C	TFT (0.25 M)	1h30	/	53% (dr 8:2)
9	D (1 eq/0.2 eq) ^a	55°C	TFT (1.15 M)	1h30	/	60% (dr 8:2)
10	D (1.3 eq/0.2 eq) ^a	55°C	TFT (0.25 M)	1h30	/	34% (dr 8:2)
11	D (1 eq/0.2 eq) ^a	70°C	TFT (1.15 M)	1h30	/	67% (dr 8:2)
12	D (1 eq/0.2 eq) ^b	70°C	TFT (1.15 M)	1h30	/	76% (dr 8:2)
13	D (0.5 eq/0.1 eq) ^b	70°C	TFT (2.3 M)	1h30	/	75% (dr 8:2)
14	D (0.5 eq/0.1 eq) ^b	70°C	TFT (2.3 M)	1h30	Cs ₂ CO ₃ (0.3 eq)	80% (dr 8:2)

Table 3.1: Optimization of the initiator for the ATRAC reaction on dimethyl 2,2-diallylmalonate **6a**. ^{*a*} 1.15 M Et₃B solution in PhH. ^{*b*} 1.15 M Et₃B solution in TFT. Isolated yields.

We first started to apply the optimized conditions for Intermolecular ATRA (see chapter 1) for the cyclization. Pleasingly, a yield of 62% was found (Table 3.1, *Entry 1*). However, due to presence of several DLP decomposition by-products which rendered the purification more difficult,¹⁸ we decided to explore other initiation systems.

Therefore, we moved to Et_3B/air as initiator since less by-products will be produced and the purification would then proceed smoother. As reported by Brown et all. in the early sixities,¹⁹ Et_3B can be used in presence of oxygen and utilized as initiation system. This method was exploited several times in our group for different purposes,^{20–22} but never in combination with Atom Transfer Radical Addition and Cyclisation reactions. However, the use of Et_3B/air did not deliver better results (Table 3.1, *Entry 2 and 3*). A big disadvantage of this initiator is the re-

producibility of the reactions because it is hard to measure exactly the amount of air (and thus oxygen) present in the reaction mixture.

Since our group previously reported the use of DTBHN ($t_{1/2}$ = 29 min at 65 °C, neat) in ATRA reactions,²³ it was then tried as well as initiator during the optimization of the ATRAC reaction. In this case, after thermal decomposition at high temperatures (refluxing conditions) of DTBHN, methyl radicals are generated, which can be engaged in the initial iodine atom abstraction process with a molecule of radical precursor. When the reaction was performed at 60°C, a moderate yield of 53% was obtained (Table 3.1, *Entry 4*). Increasing the temperature to 80°C did not have a positive impact on the yield (Table 3.1, *Entry 5*). Increase of the concentration of the reaction mixture to 2.3 M led to an improved yield of 69% (Table 3.1, *Entry 6*). The addition of an heterogeneous base had a positive outcome on the reaction and a yield of 76% was observed (Table 3.1, *Entry 7*). The base might act as HI scavenger and has thus a beneficial impact on the reaction outcome. This was as well observed in previously reported reactions of our group.²⁴

Due to the high amount of DTBHN used (0.4 eq) and because of the tedious synthesis of this initiator, we decided to turn our attention to the $Et_3B/DTBHN$ initiation system, where the quantity of DTBHN can be reduced. Initially, the yield of the reaction did not improve neither with substoichiometric (Table 3.1, *Entry 8*) nor with excess equivalents of Et_3B (Table 3.1, *Entry 10*). We then decided to check the yield of the reaction at increased reaction concentration and temperature. Pleasingly, the yield reached 60% (Table 3.1, *Entry 9*) and 67% respectively (Table 3.1, *Entry 11*). Changing the solvent of the Et_3B solution from benzene to trifluorotoluene (TFT) had a beneficial impact on the reaction yield which raised to 76% (Table 3.1, *Entry 12*). The reaction was working even when lower amounts of initiator were used (Table 3.1, *Entry 13*). It is worth to mention that from now on, no additional solvent is added to the reaction mixture but all the reactants are solubilized thanks to the very small amount of solvent coming from the Et_3B solution. We anticipate that very concentrated reaction mixture are needed to favour the iodine atom transfer reactions. Lastly, the yield increased even more with the addition of the heterogeneous base Cs_2CO_3 (Table 3.1, *Entry 14*).

Curran and coworkers²⁵ elucidated the mechanism of initiation with Et_3B/air : Et_3B reacts in presence of oxygen to form an ethyl radical and diethylborylperoxy radical **10** (Scheme 3.4). This intermediate can further react with another molecule of Et_3B to form **11** via homolytic substitution (Step 2): formally a displacement of an ethyl group occurs to form another ethyl radical. This can now react with a radical precursor to initiate the desired chain reaction or further react with oxygen to form ethylperoxyradical **14** (Step 6). **14** undergoes homolytic substitution with Et_3B (Step 7) providing a new ethyl radical and diethylboron peroxide **12**. It is important to note that step 1 is not fast, even in presence of high concentrations of oxygen, but, on the other hand, the **autoxidation** of Et_3B is a fast process which keeps producing new ethyl radicals which will eventually initiate the desired chain reaction. Interestingly, primary autoxidation product **12** reacts differently in presence of high or low oxygen concentrations. In the first case,

different highly oxidized boronate esters and ultimately borate esters can form through various boryl peroxides; in the second case, ionic oxidation can occur and ethyldiethylborinate **13** will be delivered as product (8). As shown in step (3) or (4), different reactions can led to EtO• which can further react as drawn in (5). Oxygen can be added to the reaction mixture in different ways: by using an amount of air by syringe (and then derive the amount of oxygen) or by opening the reaction mixture using a CaCl₂ guard tube, preventing moisture to interact with the reaction mixture and therefore Et₃B. The second method was employed to perform the reaction using this initiation system.

The use of the DTBHN allows the exact control of the amount of oxygen centered radicals reacting with Et_3B . In fact, upon partial thermal decomposition at 60°C, DTBHN delivers 2 molecules of alkoxy radical which will react with Et_3B analogously as shown in Scheme 3.4.

Initiation reactions

$Et_3B + O_2$	$\frac{k = 0.0007}{M^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}}$	Et ₂ BOO [.] + Et· 10	(1)
Et₂BOO [,] + Et₃B 10	<u> </u>	Et ₂ BOOBEt ₂ + Et· 11	(2)
EtOOBEt ₂ 12		$Et_2BO \cdot + EtO \cdot$	(3)

EtOOBEt ₂ + Et ₃ 12	₉ В,	$ \text{EtOBEt}_2 + \text{Et}_2\text{BO} + \text{Et} \cdot \\ 13$			(4)
	$k \sim 10^{7}$				

$$RO \cdot + Et_{3}B \xrightarrow{K \approx 10'} RBEt_{2} + Et$$

$$R = Et or Et_{2}B$$
(5)

Propagation reactions

$$Et^{\cdot} + O_{2} \xrightarrow{k = 2 \times 10^{9}} EtOO^{\cdot}$$
(6)

$$EtOO^{\cdot} + Et_{3}B \xrightarrow{k = 2 \times 10^{6}} EtOOBEt_{2} + Et^{\cdot}$$
(7)

$$14$$

$$Ionic reaction$$

 $\begin{array}{cccc} \mathsf{EtOOBEt}_2 + \mathsf{Et}_3 \mathsf{B} & \longrightarrow & 2 \ \mathsf{EtOBEt}_2 & & (8) \\ \mathbf{12} & & \mathbf{13} \end{array}$

Scheme 3.4: Elementary steps in reactions of Et₃B and oxygen.

3.2.2 Radical precursor

Before starting with the scope of the reaction, we were interested in assessing the stability of these new 1,5-iodoboronic esters compounds over silica and compare it with 1,3-iodoboronic esters. Since the relative position of iodine atom and the boronic ester moiety is different, we were speculating that the compounds were **more stable** and thus **easier to purify.** Together with Dr. Yanis Lazib, we repeated the ATRAC reaction using different radical precursors bearing 4 different types of boronic esters. As shown in Scheme 3.2, the same yields were found when iodomethylenepinacol boronic ester (*Entry 1*) and iodomethylenenorbornene boronic ester were used as radical precursors for the reactions (*Entry 2*). The yield of the reaction is slightly lower

when iodomethylenepinane boronic ester was employed (Table 3.2, *Entry 3*). The best yield was found when iodomethyleneethylpinacol boronic ester was used as radical precursor (Table 3.2, *Entry 5*). Intrigued by the results of Ikawa and co.,²⁶ we wanted to exploit the unique feature of ethyl pinacol boronic esters whose stability on silica is increased with regards to the commercial pinacol boronic esters analogues. According to their work, these molecules adopt a conformation in which the empty *p* orbital of the boron atom is partially occupied by the C-H bond electrons of the ethyl moiety. As a result, the interaction between boron and the free OH groups of silica is reduced, the compounds are less retained and can migrate better on TLC. At the same time, degradation and yield losses during purification are reduced, as shown as well by our own results. At this point, the stage was set to start the substrate scope of the reaction and our investigation concerning the reactivity of α -boryl radicals.

		3 (0.25 eq) x2 3 (0.25 eq) x2 1N (0.05 eq) x2 M, 70°C, 1.5 h	
Entry	6a, 1 mmol	Additivos	7a Sector
Entry		Additives	isolated yield
1		/	Bpin-7a - 76% (dr 8:2)
	$= ICH_2Bpin$		
2		/	Bnor-7a - 76% (dr 8:2)
3		/	Bpan-7a - 74% (dr 4:4:1:1)
4	0 I B	0.3 eq Cs ₂ CO ₃	Bpin-7a - 80% (dr 8:2)
	= ICH ₂ Bpin		
5	= ICH ₂ BEpin	0.3 eq Cs ₂ CO ₃	BEpin-7a - 86% (dr 8:2)

Table 3.2: Choice of the radical precursor for the ATRAC reaction on dimethyl 2,2diallylmalonate **6a**. Isolated yields.

3.3 Scope with ICH₂BEPin as radical precursor

To assess the feasibility of the reaction, we decided to apply the methodology for the synthesis of different carbo- and heterocycles (Scheme 3.5). If the synthesis of cyclopentane **BEPin-7a** proceeded with an excellent yield, the synthesis of tetrahydrofuran **BEPin-7b** or pyrrolidine **BEPin-7c** was more problematic. The main issue was that, besides the desired product, a side-product was as well isolated. We identified that these compounds are the bis-iodinated derivatives **8** (for pyrrolidine) and **9** (for tetrahydrofuran) because of 2 peaks in the 0 - 10 ppm ¹³C NMR region, accounted as primary iodinated carbon in a diastereomeric form. The synthesis of **8** via a multistep synthesis starting from **BEpin-7c** confirmed the result. It is not clear yet why this compound is generated during the reaction. Concerning **BEPin-7b**, an additional problem is the volatility of the starting diene at 70°C which lowers even more the yield of the reaction. The attempt in using a secondary radical percursor, delivered the desired product **BEPin-7c1** in a moderate yield of 36%.



Scheme 3.5: Substrate scope of ATRAC using ICH_22BEP in as radical precursor. Isolated yields.

Before understanding in more details the mechanism of generation of this side product, we had a look at possible post-functionalizations of the cyclized compounds bearing a BEPin group. Oxidation and deiodination worked smoothly, but, once we wanted to apply the published methodology to perform deboronative functionalization,²⁷ the ethyl pinacol boronic ester moiety proved to be too stable and less prone to transesterification with MeOBCat (Scheme 3.6). Very low yield (~5%) were obtained in comparaison to the reaction with pinacol boronic esters **12** (78%) (Scheme 3.6). It was interesting to discover this huge difference in reactivity of -BPin vs -BEPin in the context of the reaction with MeOBCat: if both moieties are present on the same molecule, one can envision the selective functionalization of the pinacol boronic ester in the presence of a ethyl pinacol boronic ester, which can undergo another type of functionalization in a successive step.



Scheme 3.6: Radical deboronative sulfuration on ethyl pinacol and pinacol boronic esters: comparison of results. Isolated yields.

The use of ICH₂BEPin did not prove to be broad and different problems were encountered. If it is as well taken into account that the synthesis of the radical precursor is quite tedious, it turned out not to be the best radical precursor for the substrate scope of ATRAC reaction. If we now look back at Table 3.2, the second best results were obtained when iodomethylenenorbornene boronic ester (ICH₂Bnor) and iodomethylenepinacol boronic ester (ICH₂Bpin) were used as radical precursors. In conclusion, we selected the ICH₂Bpin as radical precursor due to its easier preparation and availability of starting reagents.

3.4 Scope with ICH₂BPin as radical precursor

Cyclopentanes and pyrrolidines

A variety of different dienes were synthesized and then tested in ATRAC reaction using ICH₂BPin as radical precursor. Moderate to excellent results were obtained (Scheme 3.7). If compounds **7aa**, **7da** and **7ea** are considered, a substantial difference in yield can be observed and this is due to the fact that the final radical after cyclisation is, respectively, a primary, a secondary and a tertiary one. The results show a tendency where iodine atom transfer is faster and more efficient if performed with a primary radical with regards to a secondary and a tertiary one. Moreover, the yield of **7da** is in the same range with the observed yields of the intermolecular ATRA reaction (discussed in Chapter 2) meaning that this step has a huge impact in the outcome of the reaction. When a tertiary radical is formed at the end of the cyclisation, the iodine atom transfer did not take place efficiently or the desired compound is so unstable that it degrades after light exposure or during the work-up. We attempted to add a base to form the unsaturated compound from **7ea** but we did not recover the desired product after column chromatography purification.

In addition to that, we wanted to assess the philicity of the α -boryl radical, since this is still not fully understood.²⁸ Another series of dienes was thus synthesized, bearing an internal substituent on one of the double bonds (**7fa** to **7la**). The double bond being now more activated, oligomerization reaction was observed and it had an impact on the final yield. For this reason, 4 equivalents of radical precursor were used to favour the iodine atom transfer step.

In presence of methyl group bound to one of the two double bonds, the desired **7fa** was recovered with 88% yield. However, two regioisomers were identified because of the similar electron richness of both double bonds on the dienes. When more activating groups were employed, only one regioisomer was formed after cyclization reaction. When -EWG and -EDG groups (**7ga** and **7ha**) were introduced to the double bond, small differences in yield were observed. **7ia** has to be treated separately, since the desired compound was unstable on silica due to the acid labile -OTMS group. Lastly, different phenyl groups were introduced as well on one side of the diene and the ATRAC reaction was then performed. The same yield was found when phenyl (**7ja**) and paramethoxyphenyl groups (**7ka**) were used. However, in the case of paraestergroup (**7la**), the yield dropped to 39% because the competing oligomerization reaction occurs faster and thus led to a more important erosion in yield.

Our results show **a possible tendency of alpha-boryl radicals to be ambiphilic**, reacting well with electron rich and electron poor traps.



Scheme 3.7: ATRAC with ICH₂BPin - synthesis of substituted cyclopentane rings. **7fa** to **7la**: performed with 4 eq. of ICH₂BPin. Isolated yields.

The synthesis of pyrrolidines proceeded smoothly without any significant side-reaction observed (Scheme 3.8).



Scheme 3.8: ATRAC with ICH₂BPin - synthesis of substituted pyrrolidines rings. Isolated yields.

Tetrahydrofurans

The synthesis of tetrahydrofurans derivatives was unfortunately more difficult (Scheme 3.9). The yield of the simplest tetrahydrofuran ring **7ba** was low due to the volatility of the starting material. For this reason, the cyclisation was attempted on a diene bearing an alkyl chain. Unfortunately, not only the yield was low (**7na**) but two regioisomers were as well recovered.

We then introduced a substituent on one of the two double bonds of the dienes, as previously done with the cyclopentanes ring serie. However, also in this case, the observed yields were quite low (**7oa** and **7pa**). Besides the possible oligomerization reaction which can take place and erode the yields, other side reactions might impact the desired radical chain reaction. One important prove for that is the very high yield based on recovered starting material for compound **7na** (Scheme 3.9). This clearly speaks for a inefficient chain reaction or, in other words, that iodine atom transfer is slower than another concurrent process. As a result, the chain is killed and the starting diene is recovered after column chromatography.



Scheme 3.9: ATRAC with ICH₂BPin - synthesis of substituted tetrahydrofurans. **7oa-7pa** performed with 4 eq. of ICH₂BPin.

Before investigating what is the reaction which negatively affects the ATRAC process, we wanted to change initiation conditions: the idea is that by reducing the reaction temperature, the rate of any side reaction will be reduced as well and higher yields of the desired ATRAC product will be expected.

Scope using photoredox conditions

As discussed in the introduction part (Chapter 1, pag.17), Kokotos and Renaud et al. have recently published a method to synthesize 1,3-iodopinacol boronic esters using photoredox conditions.²⁹ This method appeared particularly interesting because we wanted to attempt the ATRAC reaction using these conditions, expecting that possible side reactions would be reduced or completely suppressed. Indeed, all the reactions were performed at 30°C instead of 70°C, so we were believing that this big difference in temperature might impact the rate of side reactions which interfere with the desired ATRAC process. A collaboration was therefore started and Ms. Charikleia Batsika repeated some reactions using photoredox conditions (Scheme 3.10).



Scheme 3.10: ATRAC with ICH₂BPin - scope using photoredox conditions. ^{*a*}30 minutes reaction. ^{*b*}0.05 M solution. Isolated yields.

The obtained results are very interesting: if **7aa**, **7da**, **7ea** and **7ja** are considered, the same yields as the Et₃B/DTBHN initiation method were observed. Also in this case, the iodine atom transfer from a primary radical works better than when a secondary or tertiary radical are formed after cyclisation. Concerning the heterocycles, the results were slightly different. The yields for the pyrrolidines **7ca** and **7ma** improved of about 15%-20%. For the tetrahydrofurans ring formation, we were pleased to observe that the desired compound **7ba** was obtained from diallyl ether with 64% yield. However, once substituents decorated the starting diene, either α to the oxygen atom (**7na**) or on one of the two double bonds (**7pa**), the yields dropped to what was previously observed. We concluded that possible side reactions might occur as well at this temperature and have thus an impact on the final yield of the desired ATRAC transformation.

3.5 Substrate scope using a secondary radical precursor

After having performed the scope using a primary radical precursor (ICH₂BPin), the radical cyclisation reactions were repeated using a new radical precursor which delivers a secondary α -boryl radical after the initiation step. The radical precursor can be easily synthesized by Matteson homologation approach starting from the commercial alkyl boronic ester **14**, followed by a Finkelstein reaction delivering the desired radical precursor **16** (Scheme 3.11).



Scheme 3.11: Synthesis of secondary radical precursor **16** for ATRAC reactions. Isolated yields.

The results of the substrate scope are highlighted in Scheme 3.12. Apart from example **7ab**, the yields are quite low. However, if examples **7db**, **7sb**, and **7cb** are considered, the yields based on recovered starting material are very high. The most interesting examples are, however, the tetrahydrofurans derivatives **7ob** and **7pb**. If **7ob** was synthesized in moderate yield, changing from ester to phenyl group leads to a completely different compound **7pb**.





Scheme 3.12: Substrate scope with secondary radical precursor. ^a4 equivalents of **16** used. Isolated yields.

At this point, we had a first evidence that the concurring reaction which takes place during the ATRAC process is the **intramolecular 1,5-hydrogen atom transfer (1,5-HAT)**. To obtain

7pb, we speculated that two 1,5-HAT processes take place (Scheme 3.13): intermediate **A** is subjected to the first intramolecular 1,5-HAT process to form a tertiary α -boryl radical **B**. This intermediate undergoes the second intramolecular 1,5-HAT reaction to form intermediate **C**, a tertiary alkoxy radical. This radical is then oxidised to the carbocation **D**, which after a endo-elimination process (under Cs₂CO₃ presence) delivers the **7pb**. is now oxidized to a carbocation **D** which is then eliminated during the reaction, presumibly helped by the presence of Cs₂CO₃. An alternative to this mechanism for the synthesis of **7pb** is HI elimination from the ATRAC product **E** and *endo*-isomerization of the resulting unsaturated compound **F** to the more stable tetrasubstituted double bond.



Scheme 3.13: Possible mechanisms for the formation of compound 7pb.

Going back to scope, when an ester group is introduced on one of the double bond of the diene, the desired ATRAC compound **7ob** was obtained, but not the unsaturated one. We speculated that the transition state which delivers compound **7pb** is more planar than the one delivering **7ob** enabling thus the crucial 1,5-HAT processes which give rise to compound **7pb**. In other words, the phenyl group will be exclusively in an equatorial position because of its high A value $(\sim 3 \text{ kcal/mol})$,³⁰ allowing the hydrogen atom abstraction process to take place.

3.6 Mechanistic investigations

At this point of the project, we were interested in performing a final experiment which would prove the presence of 1,5-HAT process during the ATRAC reaction. Our idea was to take two ATRAC products, **7aa** and **7ab** and submit them to SnBu₃D/AIBN deuteration conditions. Mechanistically, after iodine atom abstraction, the same final intermediate of ATRAC **17** is reformed and 1,5-HAT process can take place to deliver intermediate **19**. Depending at which position deuterium is integrated, we can now verify if the reaction takes place and evaluate its performance. To verify where deuterium was incorporated, ²H-NMR was measured. However, **18/20** was oxidized to the corresponding alcohol because we expected a different shift in the NMR spectra between the 2 deuterium positions 1 and 5.



Scheme 3.14: Aim of the deuteration experiment.

For compound **7aa**, the results of the experiment are shown in Scheme 3.15. The spectra shows a ratio of 60:40 between, the major being the compound where deuterium is α to the alcohol group (**21**').



Scheme 3.15: Deuteration experiment on ATRAC product 7aa.

The same experiment was performed using **7ab** and the results are shown in Scheme 3.16. In this case, the majority of the mixture contained compound **22**' which arise from 1,5-HAT and subsequent deuteration. This result explains why, when a secondary radical precursor is used for the substrate scope, the yield based on recovered starting material is high. Indeed, the radical chain has to be reinitiated to convert the starting diene into the desired ATRAC product because the 1,5-HAT process is faster and keeps killing the chain.



Scheme 3.16: Deuteration experiment on ATRAC product 7ab.

3.7 Post-functionalizations

To further show the value of the developed synthetic method and of the obtained compounds, we attempted to post-functionalize the ATRAC products using different reactions.

3.7.1 lodohydroxyalkylation

The first transformation attempted was the oxidation of the boronic ester moiety using sodium perborate. The reaction worked well in all the three selected ATRAC compounds, giving high isolated and overall yields (Scheme 3.17).



Scheme 3.17: Scope iodohydroxyalkylation. Isolated yields.

3.7.2 Hydroborylalkylation

Hydroborylalkylation was performed starting from alkenes **6** by submitting the ATRA products to Barton's deiodination³¹ conditions (Scheme 3.18). If the synthesis of **24aa** and **24ca** proceeded smoothly and with excellent yields, when the same reaction conditions were applied for the synthesis of **24ab**, side product **24ab**' was recovered together with the desired compound **24ab**, whose yield was ~50%. We suspected that this product was formed via a 1,5-HAT mechanism, but no additional studies were conducted to understand the pathway which led to the formation of this compound. To improve the yield of the transformation, the deiodination conditions were changed to TTMS/AIBN, reported by Chatgilialoglu et al.³² Pleasingly, by using this method, only the reduced compound **24ab** was obtained with 85% yield. These reaction conditions were as well applied to synthesize **24cb** from the corresponding ATRAC intermediate and a yield of 78% was obtained.



Scheme 3.18: Scope hydroborylalkylation. Isolated yields.

3.7.3 Hydroxymethylation

The hydroborylalkylated compounds **24aa** and **24ab** (Scheme 3.18) were submitted to sodium borate oxidation conditions to yield the corresponding oxidized compounds. Formally, it is an hydroxymethylation (or hydroxyalkylation) of starting olefin **6**. The synthesis of **25aa** and **25ab** proceeded smoothly and a yield of 70% and 77% respectively for the oxidation step were observed. It's important to note that every reaction is followed by a work-up and a purification step. However, we wanted to show that three reactions can be performed in one-pot to deliver the desired hydroxymethylated compound. Pleasingly, **25aa** and **25ab** can be synthesized in a one-pot ATRAC, oxidation and deiodination process with a yield of 47% and 43% over three steps respectively. In this case, just one purification was performed at the end of the third step, which is a huge advantage in terms of solvents wastes and time optimization.



Scheme 3.19: Scope hydroxymethylation. Isolated yields.

3.7.4 Hydromethylation and hydroalkylation

We wanted as well to valorise the pinacol boronic ester moiety by applying other functionalization reactions apart from oxidation. For this reason, we used the previously reported conditions on radical deboronative functionalization developed in our group²⁷ and we were particularly interested in the reduction of the boronic ester moiety using TBC/MeOBCat.

Initially, we attempted the direct reduction of **INT A** (Scheme 3.20) using MeOBCat and TBC in the presence of an iodide moiety in the molecule. The reaction worked only with a secondary pinacol boronic ester and delivered compound **26ab** in moderate yield. We suspected that the presence of the iodine moiety might interfere during the radical deboronative reduction step. For this reason, we reduced INT A using the previously described reaction conditions (Chapter 3 - Paragraph 3.7.2) and then submitted the resulting compounds to radical deboronative reduction. The reaction worked well this time but it is important to discuss each example separately. For the synthesis of **27aa**, the initial attempts were unsuccessful and poor yield were found using the before published protocol where 0.3 eq. MeOBCat and 1.5 eq TBC were employed. We speculated that the conformation of the five-membered ring might render the trans-esterification more complicated either due to steric hindrance or because of the coordination of the empty *p* orbital of boron with the oxygen atom of the malonate moiety. To push the transesterification reaction, the quantity of MeOBCat and TBC were increased to 1 eq. and 3 eq. respectively. Pleasingly, the reaction worked well with a yield of 63% and it was finished after 2 hours, instead of 16 hours.

For the synthesis of **27ab**, **27ca** and **27cb**, substoichiometric amounts of MeOBCat and reduced equivalents of TBC, as previously reported, were sufficient to achieve good yields. Notably, for

the synthesis of **27ca**, 0.3 eq. of MeOBCat were sufficient to perform the reaction, supporting our hypothesis that the malonate moiety might hinder the desired radical deboronative chain either because of steric hindrance or for complexation of the boron atom with one oxygen of the malonate group.



Scheme 3.20: Scope hydroxymethylation and hydroalkylation. Isolated yields. ^a0.3 eq. MeOB-Cat and 1.5 eq. TBC used; ^b1 eq MeOBCat and 3 eq. TBC used; ^cYield determined by using an internal standard (1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene) in impure fractions.

3.7.5 Hydrothiolation

Based on the same approach presented for the hydromethylation and hydroalkylation, we attempted the sulfurization reaction of the ATRAC intermediates via a radical deboronative process. Compound **28aa** (Scheme 3.21) was synthesized after ATRAC, reduction and radical deboronative sulfurization and a overall yield of 26% was obtained. Apart from the previously discussed issues concerning the transesterefication with MeOBCat, the sulfurization reaction is known to be quite slow, especially for a primary radical, meaning that possible side reactions can occur during the reaction and thus the impact on the yield is more important. **28ca** was obtained with moderated yield, showing that the malonate group might impact negatively the transterification step with MeOBCat. Concerning **28ab**, the yield of the reaction was quite low, although the sulfurisation of a secondary radical should work better.



Scheme 3.21: Scope Hydrothiolation. Isolated yields. ^aYield determinated by using an internal standard (1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene) in impure fractions.

3.7.6 Miscellaneous

A variety of different other reactions were tried on the ATRAC compounds bearing a *gem*-ester group for further post-functionalizations. The purpose was the synthesis of a second ring to access bicyclic structures which are main core of various natural compounds.

We first attempted to synthesize the octahydropentalene structure (**29**, Scheme 3.22) using TBAF elimination,³³ supposing that ionic 5-*exo*-tet cyclization could take place. Unfortunately, the reaction did not work but traces compound **29** were recovered after column chromatography. We speculated that the fluorine anion coming from TBAF acted as base instead of nucleophile, provoking the elimination reaction. However, **30** attracted our attention for possible derivatization products which might be synthesized: the *exo*-methylene group can in fact be functionalized using various reactions. We attempted the synthesis of **30** using potassium tert-butoxide and DBU as bases to perform the desired E2 elimination. However, the reaction was messy and did not have a positive outcome.



Scheme 3.22: Attempt for the synthesis of octahydropentalene scaffold.

Another interesting scaffold we wanted to access was the byclic 3-butyloctahydrocyclopenta[c]-

pyran (**31**, Scheme 3.23), main core of the iridolactones natural products, such as Scholarein A.³⁴ First, the deprotonation of alcohol **23ab** was attempted using sodium hydride as base. However, the reaction did not work. While performing the synthesis of **23ab** (Scheme 3.19) via one-pot three steps reaction, after deiodination of the 1,5-iodo alcohol intermediate using Barton's conditions ($H_3PO_2/Et_3N/AIBN$), **31** (Scheme 3.23) was recovered together with the desired compound. We decided then to try the reaction by using just a small excess of base and with the same solvent and temperature of the deiodination step. Pleasingly, the desired bicyclic compound **31** might be furnished with 64% yield.



Scheme 3.23: Attempt for the synthesis of butyloctahydrocyclopenta[c]pyran scaffold. Isolated yield.
3.8 On an annulation reaction involving α -boryl radicals: ATRAn (Atom Transfer Radical Addition and Annulation)

At this point of the project, we gained a lot of knowledge about the reactivity of α -boryl radicals, in particular in the understanding of the side-reactions which negatively impact the outcome of the desired ATRAC reaction. However, we still wanted to apply this chemistry to develop new annulation reactions which gave access to interesting building blocks for natural product synthesis.

Previously, our group reported the first [3+2]-annulation reaction involving α -boryl radicals.^{24,35} In this case, the addition of a radical was done on a vinyl pinacol boronic ester, thus generating the intermediate α -boryl radicals in-situ. This radical could then undergo annulation and formation of the desired borylated cyclopentane. The reaction was extended to 1,1-diborylethene and the desired γ -iodo-*gem*-diborylated cyclopentanes, issued from the addition of α -boryl radicals on this radical trap, was recovered.

For this annulation reaction, the approach was opposite. Our idea was to add a secondary α boryl radical bearing a double bond **34** (Scheme 3.24) to different commercial linear olefins **32**. The radical can be generated from **33** after initiation with Et₃B/DTBHN. **34** will then add on the olefin to form intermediate **35** which will undergo [3+2]-annulation to deliver **36**. It is important to point out that **37** can theoretically form as well. However, the 6-member ring formation is disfavoured, mainly because the reaction is slower and the transition state is more energetic. **36** will then react with a new molecule of **33** in the deciding iodine-atom transfer reaction step: compound **38** will be formed as desired product and a new radical **34** will sustain the chain. The final products of this annulation reaction will be **1,4-iodo pinacol boronic esters**: the position of iodine and boronic ester moleties being different, we were hoping that particularly the **1**,5-HAT reaction would be disfavoured, leading to better yields and a more efficient radical chain process.



Scheme 3.24: Mechanism of the ATRAn reaction.

First of all, we attempted to use the optimized ATRAC conditions for the neww ATRAn reaction (Scheme 3.25). For this reason, we screened 5 commercial olefins with different characteristics. At this stage, the radical traps were used as such without preliminary purification via distillation or filtration over silica. When an inactivated olefin was employed, desired compound **38a** was recovered with very low yield. When a 1,1-disubstituted olefin was submitted to the reaction conditions, the desired compound **38b** was not formed. We tried activated olefins with strong EWG or EDG groups but, also in this case, the results were not satisfactory: **38c** was recovered with 22% and **38d** was not formed after the reaction. The best yield was found when the synthesis of styrene derivative **38e** was attempted. Beside the low yields, another common point between these examples is the presence of side-product **39** which was always recovered after column chromatography. But why this compound is formed? A plausible mechanism is described in the Scheme 3.26.



Scheme 3.25: Initial screening of different olefins - ATRAC conditions. Isolated yields.

For the synthesis of the desired 1,4-iodo pinacol boronic ester, α -boryl radical **34** (Scheme 3.26) has to add the olefin to undergo the desired annulation reaction. However, if the addition on the olefin is disfavoured due to polar effects or steric hindrance, radical **34** will add on **33** to form intermediate **A**. This will undergo 1,3-homolytic substitution to deliver compound **39** as a side product of ATRAn reaction.



Scheme 3.26: Mechanism of formation of borylcyclopropane side product 39.

At this point of the project, we tried to optimize the reaction and repeated the examples in Scheme 3.27 under diluted conditions (0.25 M). We speculated that concentrated conditions were not required in this case due to the fact that the oligomerization side-reaction, which can lower the yield of the final compound, is slower. When applying these conditions on a styrene derivative, an increase of yield was observed and compound **OX-38e** was obtained in 71% after oxidation of the boronic ester moiety. The reaction proved to work well on different styrene derivatives (**38f**, **38g**) including 1,1-disubstituted α -methyl styrene **38h**. However, when the substituent was changed from a methyl to a silyl ether, product **38i** was not formed. Other successful examples include epoxynaphathalene **38j** and phenyl vinyl thioether **38k**. Low yields of **38I**, **38m**, **38a** and **38n** or no conversion (**38o-38v**) to desired product were obtained when other activated olefins were employed for the annulation reaction.



Scheme 3.27: Substrate scope for ATRAn reaction. Isolated yields. ^{*a*}Yield after oxidation of the pinacol boronic ester moiety; ^{*b*}Performed in a sealed tube.

Some of the poor observed results can be explained due to possible HAT process taking place intramolecularly which might kill the desired radical chain (1,6-HAT or 1,5-HAT - Scheme 3.28) or instability of the 1,4-iodo boronic ester (**38u** and **38v**). For other examples, we suspect that the addition of the radical with the desired radical trap cannot take place due to polar effects. The general trend of the scope let us hypothesize that the reaction works well when the olefin is neither too electron rich nor electron poor and this might be linked to the ambiphilicity of the generated α -boryl radical. In other words, the radical is neither electrophilic nor nucleophilic enough to add on activated olefins. These results are in accordance with what reported in the ATRAC part (Chapter 3 - Paragraph 3.4).



Scheme 3.28: Possible HAT processes which can occur during the annulation reaction.

Another 1,5-HAT side reaction which might occur during the cyclisation is showed in Scheme 3.29. In particular, the reaction should be favoured because the new formed radical at position 5 (**47**, Scheme 3.29) is a tertiary α -boryl radical, which is more stabilized than a primary alkyl radical.



Scheme 3.29: 1,5-HAT leading to a tertiary α -boryl radical.

We then decided to perform a simple experiment using **32I** (Scheme 3.30) as radical trap. This trap was chosen because the yield of the annulated compound **38I** was low (17%). The same reaction was repeated using a deuterated radical precursor **48**: we expected that, being the rate of 1,5-HAT faster than 1,5-DAT due isotopic effect,³⁶ the yield of the reaction would have been higher. However, **38I** and **49** were isolated with similar yields. This proves that the intramolecular 1,5-Hydrogen Atom Transfer reaction showed in Scheme 3.29 is not occuring during the annulation. Moreover, it sustains our hypothesis that polar effects might greatly affect the positive outcome of the ATRAn reaction.



Scheme 3.30: Substrate scope for ATRAn reaction.

3.9 Conclusion

This chapter illustrated our efforts to study the reactivity of α -boryl radicals in a new reaction which combines atom transfer radical addition and cyclisation. The optimization of the method, particularly in the choice of the initiator and radical precursor, was presented. Et₃B/DTBHN initiation proved to be the best initiation system for the reaction, however DTBHN can be used as well as an alternative.

Despite the initial good results with ICH₂BEPin as radical precursor, the more readily available pinacol boronic ester derivative (ICH₂BPin) was used for the substrate scope. It indeed proved to be the cheapest and most accessible option, delivering good yields and compatibility with further post-functionalization reactions we wanted to perform on the ATRAC products.

A scope of the reaction using a secondary radical precursor was as well presented. The limitations of the reaction were discussed, together with our efforts to explain the obtained results. In particular, intramolecular 1,5-HAT side reaction takes places after the cyclisation reactions which kills the desired radical chain. Indeed, iodine atom transfer, the final step of ATRAC reactions, is slower than 1,5-HAT. For this reason, particularly for the examples using the secondary radical precursor, the yield based on recovered starting material are quite high.

Some ATRAC products were submitted to different post-functionalization reactions to show their synthetic utility. 3 different reactions (oxidation, deiodination and radical deboronative functionalization) were attempted to synthesize new derivatized products. Worth to mention is the effort we made to understand and optimize the radical deboronative reduction of a primary pinacol boronic ester using MeOBCat and TBC, which allowed the synthesis of the fully reduced ATRAC compound in good yield.

Lastly, a new annulation reaction involving α -boryl radicals was described. The scope of the method was presented, although the obtained results were unsatisfactory and good yields were obtained particularly on styrene derivatives. We proved that 1,5-HAT α to the boron is not responsible for the low yields. However, other intramolecular HAT-process can take place on the side chain with many of the examples presented. The unsatifactory results might be explained as well by polar effects influencing the desired radical annulation process.

References

- (1) Jasperse, C. P.; Curran, D. P.; Fevig, T. L. Chemical Reviews 1991, 91, 1237–1286.
- (2) Curran, D. P.; Chen, M.-H. Tetrahedron Letters 1985, 26, 4991–4994.
- (3) Liao, J.; Yang, X.; Ouyang, L.; Lai, Y.; Huang, J.; Luo, R. Org. Chem. Front. 2021, 8, 1345–1363.
- (4) Baldwin, J. E. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1976, 734–736.
- (5) Chuang, C.-P. Synthetic Communications **1992**, *22*, 3151–3158.
- (6) Chuang, C.-P.; Tsai, A.-I.; Tsai, M.-Y. *Tetrahedron* **2013**, *69*, 3293–3301.
- (7) Mantrand, N.; Renaud, P. *Tetrahedron* **2008**, *64*, 11860–11864.
- (8) Beckwith, A. L. J. Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 3073–3100.
- (9) Beckwith, A. L. J.; Schiesser, C. H. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 3925–3941.
- (10) Spellmeyer, D. C.; Houk, K. N. The Journal of Organic Chemistry 1987, 52, 959–974.
- (11) Beesley, R. M.; Ingold, C. K.; Thorpe, J. F. J. Chem. Soc., Trans. 1915, 107, 1080–1106.
- (12) Jung, M. E. *Tetrahedron* **1976**, *32*, 3–31.
- (13) Jung, M. E.; Piizzi, G. Chemical Reviews 2005, 105, 1735–1766.
- (14) Karaman, R. Tetrahedron Letters 2009, 50, 6083–6087.
- (15) Bachrach, S. M. The Journal of Organic Chemistry 2008, 73, 2466–2468.
- (16) Julia, M. Accounts of Chemical Research 1971, 4, 386–392.
- (17) Hoffmann, R. W. Chemical Reviews 1989, 89, 1841–1860.
- (18) Fraind, A.; Turncliff, R.; Fox, T.; Sodano, J.; Ryzhkov, L. R. *Journal of Physical Organic Chemistry* **2011**, *24*, 809–820.
- (19) Brown, H. C.; Rogic, M. M.; Rathke, M. W.; Kabalka, G. W. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1967**, *89*, 5709–5710.
- (20) Brucelle, F.; Renaud, P. Organic Letters 2012, 14, 3048–3051.
- (21) Povie, G.; Ford, L.; Pozzi, D.; Soulard, V.; Villa, G.; Renaud, P. Angewandte Chemie International Edition **2016**, *55*, 11221–11225.
- (22) Huang, Q.; Suravarapu, S. R.; Renaud, P. Chemical Science 2021, 12, 2225–2230.
- (23) Tappin, N. D. C.; Renaud, P. Advanced Synthesis & Catalysis 2021, 363, 275–282.
- (24) Cirule, D.; Dénès, F.; Renaud, P. Advanced Synthesis & Catalysis 2024, adsc.202400340.
- (25) Curran, D. P.; McFadden, T. R. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2016**, *138*, 7741–7752.
- (26) Oka, N.; Yamada, T.; Sajiki, H.; Akai, S.; Ikawa, T. Organic Letters 2022, 24, 3510–3514.
- (27) André-Joyaux, E.; Kuzovlev, A.; Tappin, N. D. C.; Renaud, P. Angewandte Chemie International Edition **2020**, *59*, 13859–13864.

- (28) Batey, R. A.; Pedram, B.; Yong, K.; Baquer, G. Tetrahedron Letters 1996, 37, 6847–6850.
- (29) Rrapi, M.; Batsika, C. S.; Nikitas, N. F.; Tappin, N. D. C.; Triandafillidi, I.; Renaud, P.; Kokotos, C. G. *Chemistry – A European Journal* **2024**, *30*, e202400253.
- (30) Hirsch, J. A. In *Topics in Stereochemistry*; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd: 1967, pp 199–222.
- (31) Barton, D. H. R.; Jang, D. O.; Jaszberenyi, J. C. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry* **1993**, *58*, 6838–6842.
- (32) Chatgilialoglu, C.; Griller, D.; Lesage, M. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry* **1988**, *53*, 3641–3642.
- (33) Tappin, N. D. C.; Michalska, W.; Rohrbach, S.; Renaud, P. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2019**, *58*, 14240–14244.
- (34) Salam, A.; Ray, S.; Zaid, M. A.; Kumar, D.; Khan, T. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2019**, *17*, Publisher: The Royal Society of Chemistry, 6831–6842.
- (35) Cirule, D.; Dénès, F.; Gnägi-Lux, M.; Rohrbach, S.; Renaud, P. Advanced Synthesis & Catalysis **2024**, *366*, 1366–1374.
- (36) Blanc, A.; Bochet, C. G. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2004**, *126*, 7174–7175.

3.10 Experimental Section

General Information

Techniques

All reactions requiring anhydrous conditions were performed in heat-gun, oven, or flame-dried glassware under an argon atmosphere. An ice bath was used to obtain a temperature of 0°C. To obtain a temperature of -78 °C, a bath of acetone was cooled with dry ice. A liquid Nitrogen/ethanol bath was used to reach a temperature of - 100°C. Unless otherwise stated, Macherey-Nagel Silica 60, 0.04–0.063 mm Silica gel was always used for flash column chromatography: it proved to be the best one compared to others because the iodoboronic esters were less prone to decomposition. In some specific cases, Macherey-Nagel Silica 60, 0.015–0.04 mm was used to achieve better separation. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on Macherey-Nagel SIL G/UV254, 0.25 mm analytical plates. Visualization was done under UV light (254 nm) and/or by dipping into a solution of (NH₄)₂MoO₄ (15.0 g), Ce(SO₄)₂ (0.5 g), H₂O (90 mL), conc. H₂SO₄ (10 mL); or KMnO₄ (3 g), K₂CO₃ (20 g) and NaOH 5% (3 mL) in H₂O (300 mL); or H₃PMo₁₂O₄₀ dissolved in 100 mL ethanol and subsequent heating. Anhydrous sodium sulphate was used as drying reagent.

Materials

Commercial reagents were used without further purification unless otherwise stated. Dry solvents for reactions were filtered over columns of dried alumina under a positive pressure of argon and/or stored over 3Å molecular sieves. Solvents for extractions (Et₂O, *n*-pentane, CH₂Cl₂, AcOEt, heptanes, TBME) and for flash column chromatography were of technical grade and distilled prior to use. Commercially available Dilauroyl peroxide (Luperox © LP, Lauroyl peroxide, Sigma Aldrich – 290875) was used as initiator for the radical reactions. Commercial 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (0.5 eq) (Sigma-Aldrich, Standard for quantitative NMR, Trace **CERT**© - Art. 74599) was used as external standard for NMR yields.

Instrumentation

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance IIIHD-300 spectrometer operating at 300 MHz for ¹H and 75 MHz for ¹³C at room temperature (24-25°C) unless otherwise stated. Some ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance IIIHD-400 or a Bruker Avance II-400 spectrometer 5 (¹H: 400 MHz; ¹³C: 101 MHz). Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in parts per million (ppm) using the residual solvent or Si(CH₃)₄ (δ = 0.00 for ¹H NMR spectra) for calibration. Multiplicities are given as s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quadruplet), m (multiplet), and br (broad). Coupling constants (*J*) are reported in Hz. In ¹³C-NMR spectra, the peak positions are reported on one decimal unless the difference in chemical shift between two signals is small and required two decimals. Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the carbons linked to boron atoms give a broad signal in ¹³C NMR, and are generally not detected.

Infrared spectra were recorded on a *Jasco* FT–IR–460 plus spectrometer equipped with a *Specac MKII Golden Gate Single Reflection Diamond ATR* system and are reported in wave numbers (cm⁻¹). At maximum, the ten most prominent peaks are reported.

Low resolution mass spectra were recorded on a *Waters Micromass Autospec Q* mass spectrometer in EI mode at 70 eV or were taken from GC-MS analyses performed on a *Finnigan Trace GC-MS* (quadrupole mass analyzer using EI mode at 70 eV) fitted with a Macherey-Nagel Optima delta-3-0.25 μ m capillary column (20 m, 0.25 mm); gas carrier: He 1.4 mL/min; injector: 220°C split mode. GC analyses were performed on a *ThermoFisher Trace GC* ultra, fitted with a chiral Restek Rt®-bDEXm capillary column (20 m, 0.25 mm ID, 0.25 μ m), helium as carrier gas (1.2 mL/min), and FID (290 °C base temperature, 35 mL/min H2, 350 mL/min air); temperature Gradients are indicated for each compound.

HRMS analyses and accurate mass determinations were performed on a *Thermo Scientific LTQ Orbitrap XL* mass spectrometer using ESI mode (positive ion mode).

3.10.1 Initiators

Di-tert-butylhyponitrite (DTBHN) (SI_2_1)

HO
$$N^{1/2}N$$
 OH $\underbrace{\begin{array}{c} 8.0 \text{ eq. t-BuBr}\\ 1.2 \text{ eq. } ZnCl_2 \text{ (1M in } Et_2O)\\ \hline Et_2O \text{ (1.8 M), -5°C to rt, 1.5 h} \end{array}}_{\text{Et_2O} N^{1/2}N^{1/2}} O_{N^{1/2}N^{1/2}} O_{N^{1/2}N$

In a flame-dried 250 mL two-neck round bottom flask was added a solution of the dry [(E)-sodiooxyazo]oxysodium (5.95 g, 56.1 mmol) in dry Et₂O (32 mL). Tert-butylbromide (50.4 mL, 449 mmol) was then added and the resulting milky white mixture was cooled to $-10 \degree$ C (internal temperature) using an ice/NaCl/water bath. Then, zinc chloride (67.4 mL, 67.4 mmol, 1.00 mol/L,) was added dropwise using a dropping funnel <u>at such a rate that the internal temperature did not</u> <u>exceed $-5 \degree$ C</u>. After complete addition, the mixture was allowed to warm up to rt and stirred for another 1.5 h at rt. The orange solution was filtered <u>carefully</u> and the remaining solid was washed with Et₂O (3 × 20 mL). The resulting yellow solution was transferred into a separatory funnel and water was added. The aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (50 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and the volatiles were partially removed under reduced pressure (<u>IMPORTANT</u>: bath temperature 20°C, <u>400 mbar</u>) to afford a yellow solution. Recrystallization from pentane (3 times) at –20 °C afforded di-tert-butylhyponitrite (DTBHN) (2.07 g, 11.9 mmol, 21 %).

In a dry-flame 250 mL two-neck round bottom flask was added a solution of the dry [(E)-sodiooxyazo]oxysodium (5.95 g, 56.1 mmol) in dry Et₂O (32 mL). Tert-butylbromide (50.4 mL, 449 mmol, 8 eq.) was then added and the resulting milky white mixture was cooled to -10 °C (internal temperature) using an ice/NaCl/water bath. Then, the zinc chloride solution (1.00 mol/L, 67.4 mL, 67.4 mmol, 1.2 eq) was added dropwise using a dropping funnel at such a rate that the internal temperature did not exceed -5 °C. After complete addition, the mixture was allowed to warm up to rt and stirred for another 1.5 h at this temperature. The orange solution was filtered and the remaining solid was washed with Et₂O (3 x20 mL). The resulting yellow solution was transferred into a separatory funnel and water was added. The aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3 x20 mL) and the combined organic layers were washed with brine (50 mL), dried over anh. Na₂SO₄ and the volatiles were partly removed under reduced pressure (bath temperature 20°C, 400 mbar) to afford a yellow solution. Recrystallization from pentane (3 times) at -20°C afforded the desired compound di-tert-butylhyponitrite (DTBHN) (2.07 g, 11.9 mmol, 21 %).

White solid; ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.39 (s, 18H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 81.2 (Cq), 27.8 (CH₃).

The physical and spectral data are in accordance with literature data:

Boukouvalas, J.; Cren, S.; Renaud, P.; Gnägi, L.; Renaud, P. Di- tert -Butyl hyponitrite. Encyclopedia of Reagents for Organic Synthesis 2021, 1–8.

Triethylborane (Et₃B)

1.15 M Et₃B solutions were prepared from pure Et₃B using dry solvents (benzene from SPSS line or Alox © -dried trifluorotoluene). The solvents were degassed through argon bubbling 30 minutes prior to the use for the solution preparation. Et₃B solutions were stored at rt in dessicators and used within periods of 1 to 2 months.

Radical precursors

Synthesis of diols

(1R*,2S*,3R*,4S*)-bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-2,3-diol (SI_2_2)



A cold solution of KMnO₄ (24.0 g, 0.15 mmol) and NaOH (5.22 g, 0.13 mmol) in water (500 mL) was slowly added dropwise (over 45 min, using a dropping funnel) to a solution of norbornene (10.0 g, 0.11 mmol) in t-BuOH/H₂O (500 mL, 4:1) at -10 °C (ice-NaCl bath). After the end of addition, the reaction mixture was stirred for 1h at -10 °C, then quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of sodium metabisulphite (Na₂S₂0₅) (300 mL) until the solution turned colourless. The resulting reaction mixture was filtered and t-BuOH was evaporated under reduced pressure. The remaining aqueous solution was extracted with EtOAc (6 × 250 mL). The combined organic phases were then washed with brine (200 mL), dried over anhydrousy-drous Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to give (1R*,2S*,3R*,4S*)-bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-2,3-diol (7.48 g, 58.4 mmol, 55 %), which was used for the next step without further purification.

White solid; ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.69 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 2H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 2.14 (dq, J = 3.2, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 1.77 (dt, J = 10.4, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 1.55–1.35 (m, 2H), 1.17–0.95 (m, 3H).

The physical and spectral data are in accordance with literature data: Donohoe, T. J.; Jahanshahi, A.; Tucker, M. J.; Bhatti, F. L.; Roslan, I. A.; Kabeshov, M.; Wrigley, G. *Chemical Communications* **2011**, *47* (20), 5849.

3,4-diethylhexane-3,4-diol (SI_2_3)



A flame-dried 250 mL 3-neck round-bottom flask, equipped with a reflux condenser, was charged with pentan-3-one (8 mL, 75.5 mmol) and anhydrous THF (164 mL), under an inert argon atmosphere. The resulting solution was cooled to $-60 \,^{\circ}$ C using an acetone/dry ice bath. TiCl₄ (13 mL, 119 mmol) was then added slowly via syringe and the mixture was stirred for 30 min at $-60 \,^{\circ}$ C. Zn dust (14.8 g, 227 mmol) was added and the obtained suspension was gently heated up to rt, then refluxed (80 $\,^{\circ}$ C bath temperature, internal temperature 69 $\,^{\circ}$ C) for 3 h. The reaction mixture was then cooled to 0 $\,^{\circ}$ C and saturated aqueous K₂CO₃ solution (200 mL) was added slowly. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min, then filtered through a pad of Celite, which was washed with EtOAc. The filtrate was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (100 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to give 3,4-diethylhexane-3,4-diol (3.85 g, 22.1 mmol, 59 %). The latter was used for the next step without further purification.

Colorless oil; ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.89 (s, 2H), 1.55 (qd, *J* = 7.5, 2.0 Hz, 8H), 0.88 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 12H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 79.0 (2 x Cq), 27.5 (4 x CH₂), 9.2 (4 x CH₃).

The physical and spectral data are in accordance with literature data: Rubio-Presa, R.; Suárez-Pantiga, S.; Pedrosa, M. R.; Sanz, R. *Advanced Synthesis & Catalysis* **2018**, *360* (11), 2216–2220.

Synthesis of radical precursors

2-(iodomethyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (ICH₂BPin) (SI_2_4)



2-(bromomethyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (10 g, 45.3 mmol) was dissolved in acetone (75 mL, HPLC grade). Then, sodium iodide (20.4 g, 136 mmol) was added, the flask was covered with an aluminium foil to protect the mixture from light, and the mixture was stirred

at rt for 14 h. The crude mixture was partitioned between H_2O (100 mL) and CH_2Cl_2 (50 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 x 30 mL). The organic layers were washed with 5% $Na_2S_2O_3$ (100 mL) and brine (100 mL), dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 , filtered and the solvent concentrated under reduced pressure (flask covered with aluminium foil to protect it from light) to afford 2-(iodomethyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl- 1,3,2-dioxaborolane (10.2 g, 38.1 mmol, 84 %). The latter was used for the next step without further purification.

Light-yellow oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.16 (s, 2H), 1.26 (s, 12H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 84.3 (2 x Cq), 24.5 (4 x CH₃); ¹¹B NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 31.7.

The physical and spectral data are in accordance with literature data: Tappin, N. D. C.; Michalska, W.; Rohrbach, S.; Renaud, P. *Angewandte Chemie* **2019**, *58* (40), 14240–14244.





GP1: A two-neck 250 mL round-bottom flask, equipped with a 100 mL dropping funnel and a low-temperature thermometer was charged with triisopropyl borate (23.1 mL, 100 mmol), diiodomethane (8.07 mL, 100 mmol) and THF (71 mL). Methyllithium (62.5 mL, 100.0 mmol, 1.6 M) was loaded into the dropping funnel The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred and cooled to -78 °C, then MeLi was added via the dropping funnel at a such a rate that the temperature did not exceed -65 °C (over ca. 1h). After the end of the addition, the reaction mixture was stirred at -65 °C for 1h and the cold bath was removed. Dry HCI (52.5 mL, 105 mmol, 2.0 M in Et_2O_1 was added quickly and the reaction mixture was stirred for additional 1h at rt before (1R*,2S*,3R*,4S*)-bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-2,3-diol (14.7 g, 115 mmol) was added as a solid. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 16 h. Most of the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure (caution: Mel is generated during this reaction. Perform in a well-ventilated fume-hood). The residue was partitioned between TBME (150 mL) and 0.5 M ag. HCI (150 mL) and stirred for 5 min. The organic layer was washed with H_2O (150 mL), and the combined agueous phases were back extracted with TBME (20 mL). The combined organic phases were successively washed with 10% (w/v) aq. Na₂S₂O₃ (150 mL), sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (2 x 150 mL), brine (2 x 150 mL), then dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford an orange oil. Purification by distillation (bp = 85° C; $2^{10^{-2}}$ mbar) provided ($3aR^{*}, 4S^{*}, 7R^{*}, 7aS^{*}$)-

2-(iodomethyl)hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborole as desired product (13.7 g, 49.3 mmol, 49%).

Light yellow oil; ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.30 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 2H), 2.33 (dq, J = 3.2, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 2.19 (s, 2H), 1.71 (dp, J = 11.1, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 1.54–1.44 (m, 2H), 1.27–1.20 (m, 1H), 1.07-0.98 (m, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 84.4 (2 x Cq), 41.0 (2 x CH), 30.8 (CH₂), 23.4 (2 x CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹**B NMR** (96 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 32.2; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2957, 2873, 1407, 1387, 1367, 1352, 1306, 1280, 1226, 1132, 1093, 1021, 1001 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₈H₁₃BIO₃ [M+OH]⁺: 295.008; found: 295.014; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₈H₁₂BIO₂ [M]⁺: 277.9970; found: 277.9961.

The compound is stored at -20°C in dark vials under an argon atmosphere. The colour of the compound changes from light yellow to dark orange because of slow degradation, which occurs fast at rt and with exposure to light. The degradation product is iodine, and this explains the change in colour of the compound. However, this does not seem to have an impact on the radical ATRA reaction yields.

$(3aR,4R,6R,7aS)-2-(Iodomethyl)-3a,5,5-trimethylhexahydro-4,6-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dio-xaborole (ICH₂BPan) (SI_2_6)$



The title compound was prepared following General Procedure **GP1** with commercial α -(–)-pinanediol (6.13 g, 36 mmol). Purification by column chromatography provided (3aR,4R,6R,7aS)-2-(Iodomethyl)-3a,5,5-trimethylhexahydro-4,6-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]- dioxaborole as desired product (7.57 g, 23.6 mmol, 79 %).

Orange oil; Rf: 0.65 (8:2 cyclohexane:EtOAc); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.37 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 2.41-2.23 (m, 2H), 2.21 (s, 2H), 2.08 (app br t, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 1.97–1.83 (m, 2H), 1.40 (s, 3H), 1.30 (s, 3H), 1.28 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 0.85 (s, 3H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 86.5 (2 x Cq), 78.5 (CH), 51.4 (CH), 39.3 (CH), 38.4 (Cq), 35.3 (CH₂), 28.3 (CH₃), 27.0 (CH₃), 26.3 (CH₂), 24.0 (CH₃). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹**B NMR** (96 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 31.3; HRMS (ESI): Calculated

for $C_{11}H_{19}BIO_3$ [M+OH]⁻: 337.0477; found: 337.0483.



4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-2-(iodomethyl)-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (ICH₂BEPin) (SI_2_7)

The title compound was prepared following General Procedure **GP1** with commercial 3,4-diethylhexane-3,4-diol (1.58 g, 9.06 mmol). Purification by column chromatography provided 4,4,5,5tetraethyl-2-(iodomethyl)-1,3,2-dioxaborolane as desired product (2.10 g, 6.48 mmol, 79 %).

Dark-yellow oil; Rf: 0.78 (heptanes:EtOAc 8:2); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.17 (s, 2H), 1.82–1.56 (m, 8H), 0.92 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 12H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 89.5 (2 x Cq), 26.1 (4 x CH₂), 8.8 (4 x CH₃). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (96 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 31.2; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2971.8, 2943, 2883, 1405, 1355, 1322, 1283, 1091, 926, 859 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁1H₂₂BIO₂ [M+H]⁺: 324.0758; found: 324.0752.

2-(1-chloropentyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (15)



To an oven dried 250 mL two-neck round bottom flask equipped with a stirring bar, CH_2CI_2 (4.2 mL, 65.6 mmol) and THF (82 mL) were added. The mixture was cooled down to -100 °C using an ethanol/liquid nitrogen bath. Then n-butyllithium (21 mL, 52.5 mmol) was added dropwise at such a rate that the temperature stayed below –90 °C. 2-butyl-4,4,5,5- tetramethyl-1,3,2- dioxaborolane (10 mL, 47.0 mmol) was finally added in one portion by syringe. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18h under inert atmosphere. Then the reaction mixture was allowed to slowly warm to room temperature overnight. After the reaction was complete, CH_2CI_2 (500 mL) was added to precipitate LiCl and the solution was filtered through a pad of Celite (eluted with CH_2CI_2) and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a light brown turbid solution.

This solution was again filtered over a small pad of SiO_2 and the flask washed with Et_2O . After concentration under reduced pressure of the obtained solution, 2-(1-chloropentyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane was recovered as desired product (9.74 g, 41.9 mmol, 89 %) and was used without further purification.

Light-brown oil; ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.41 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 1.92–1.72 (m, 1H), 1.28 (s, 17H), 0.90 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 84.5 (2 x Cq), 33.9 (CH₂), 29.6 (CH₂), 24.7 (4 x CH₃), 22.4 (CH₂), 14.1 (CH₃). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹**B NMR** (96 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 31.4 (s).

The physical and spectral data are in accordance with literature data: Lou, Y.; Qiu, J.; Yang, K.; Zhang, F.; Wang, C.; Song, Q. *Org. Lett.* **2021**, *23* (12), 4564-4569.

2-(1-iodopentyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (16)



A 100 mL round bottom flask, was charged with 2-(1-chloropentyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2dioxaborolane (9.7 g, 41.7 mmol) and acetone (69 mL; HPLC grade). Nal (18.8 g, 125 mmol) was then added and the mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature, protected from light using aluminium foil. The mixture was then partitioned between water (50 mL) and EtOAc (30 mL). The water phase was back-extracted with EtOAc (3×20 mL). The organic phase was washed with 5% Na₂S₂O₃ (50 mL), brine (50 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford 2-(1-iodopentyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (11.1 g, 34.2 mmol, 84 %). It was used without further purification.

Orange oil; Rf: 0.78 (heptanes:EtOAc 8:2); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.21 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 1.94-1.74 (m, 2H), 1.48-1.20 (m, 4H), 1.274 (s, 6H), 1.268 (s, 6H), 0.89 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 84.1 (2 x Cq), 34.7 (CH₂), 33.6 (CH₂), 24.5 (2 x CH₃), 24.4 (2 x CH₃), 22.1 (CH₂), 14.1 (CH₃). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹**B NMR** (96 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 31.8 (s); IR (neat): $\nu_{max} = 2977, 2928, 2870, 1371, 1331, 1142, 1120, 966, 845, 672 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

The compound was stored at -20 °C in dark vials under an argon atmosphere. The colour of the compound changes from light yellow to dark orange because of slow degradation, which occurs fast at rt and with exposure to light. The degradation product is iodine, and this explains the change in colour of the compound. However, this does not seem to have an impact on the

radical ATRA reaction yields.

2-butyl-4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (SI 2 8)



In a 250 mL round-bottom flask, n-butylboronic acid (3.036 g, 28.9 mmol) was dissolved dry DCM (75 mL). Magnesium sulfate (3.669 g, 30.5 mmol) and 3,4-diethylhexane-3,4-diol (5.78 g, 33.2 mmol) were then added. The mixture was stirred for 5 days at room temperature. The mixture was filtered over Celite and eluted with EtOAc. After evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure, an orange oil was recovered. Purification by flash column chromatography (2% EtOAc:heptanes) provided the desired 2-butyl-4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (4.63 g, 19.3 mmol, 65%).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.55 (95:5 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.63 (qd, J = 7.5, 3.9 Hz, 8H), 1.43–1.21 (m, 4H), 0.87 (dt, J = 9.2, 7.3 Hz, 14H), 0.78–0.72 (m, 3H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 87.9 (2 x Cq), 26.5 (4 x CH₂), 26.5 (CH₂), 25.6 (CH₂), 14.0 (CH₃), 8.9 (4 x CH₃). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹**B NMR** (96 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 33.5 (s); IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2969, 2953, 2930, 2883, 2860, 1373, 1347, 1284, 930, 923 cm⁻¹.

2-(1-chloropentyl)-4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (SI_2_9)



To an oven-dried 100 mL two-neck round bottom flask, equipped with a stirring bar, CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL, 15.6 mmol) and THF (14 mL) were added by syringe, and the solution was cooled to -100 °C using an ethanol/liquid nitrogen bath. Then n-butyllithium (1.6 M solution in hexane, 4.6 mL, 11.6 mmol) was added dropwise so that the temperature stayed at max. -90 °C. After stirring for 45 min, a solution of corresponding 2-butyl-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (2.3 mL, 10.8 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was directly added dropwise via syringe. Then the reaction mixture was allowed to slowly warm to room temperature overnight. After the reaction

was completed, DCM (200 mL) was added to precipitate LiCl. The solution was directly filtered through a short pad of Celite (eluted with DCM) and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a light brown turbid solution, due to the presence of residual LiCl. Purification by flash column chromatography (5% EtOAc:heptanes) provided the desired 2-(1-chloropentyl)-4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (1.78 g, 6.18 mmol, 74%).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.78 (9:1 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.41 (t, J = 8.2, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 1.81 (dddd, J = 12.5, 9.2, 5.2, 2.7 Hz, 2H), 1.76–1.59 (m, 8H), 1.51-1.23 (m, 4H), 0.98–0.86 (m, 15H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 89.4 (2 x Cq), 33.9 (2 x CH₂), 29.5 (2 x CH₂), 26.2 (4 x CH₂), 22.2 (CH₂), 14.0 (CH₃), 8.7 (CH₃). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹**B NMR** (96 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 30.7 (s); IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2955, 2934, 2884, 1458, 1386, 1359, 1112, 921 cm⁻¹.

2-(1-iodopentyl)-4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (SI_2_10)



In a 25 mL one-neck round bottom flask, 2-(1-chloropentyl)-4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (1.58 g, 5.49 mmol) was dissolved in acetone (9 mL, HPLC grade). Nal (2.47 g, 16.5 mmol) was then added (mixture turned yellow) and the mixture was stirred for 14 h at room temperature, protected from light using aluminium foil. The mixture was partitioned between water (50 mL) and EtOAc (30 mL). The water phase was back-extracted EtOAc (3 x 20 mL). The combined organic phases were successively washed with 5% Na₂S₂O₃ (50 mL) and brine (50 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to deliver 2-(1-iodopentyl)-4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (1.94 g, 5.11 mmol, 93%), which was used without further purification.

Light yellow oil; ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.41 (t, J = 8.2, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 1.81 (dddd, J = 12.5, 9.2, 5.2, 2.7 Hz, 2H), 1.76–1.59 (m, 8H), 1.51–1.23 (m, 4H), 0.98–0.86 (m, 15H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 89.1 (2 x Cq), 34.8 (CH₂), 33.6 (CH₂), 26.0 (4 x CH₂), 22.1 (CH₂), 14.1 (CH₃), 8.9 (4 x CH₃). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹**B NMR** (96 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 31.3 (s); IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2965, 2931, 2882, 1457, 1374, 1352, 1287, 1112, 972 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₅H₃₀BIO₂ [M+H]⁺: 380.1384; found: 380.1384.

The compound is stored at -20 °C in dark vials under an argon atmosphere. The colour of

the compound changes from light yellow to dark orange because of slow degradation, which occurs fast at rt and with exposure to light. The degradation product is iodine, and this explains the change in colour of the compound. However, this does not seem to have an impact on the radical ATRA reaction yields.





A flame dried two-neck round bottom flask was charged with DCM (5 mL, 78.3 mmol) and THF (24 mL) and cooled down to -100 °C using an ethanol/liquid nitrogen slush bath. n-butyllithium (11.2 mL, 28 mmol, 2.5 M in hexanes,) was added dropwise, at such a rate that the internal temperature never exceeded -90 °C. After the addition, 2-allyl-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl- 1,3,2-dioxaborolane (5 mL, 26.7 mmol) was added in one portion to the mixture and the contents were allowed to warm up to room temperature and stirring was continued for 16 hours. DCM (500 mL) was added to precipitate LiCl and the solution was filtered over a pad of SiO₂ and eluted with DCM. After concentration under reduced pressure, an orange oil was recovered. Vacuum distillation (bp = 110 °C; 7.3×10^{-10} mbar) provided the desired 2-(1-chlorobut-3-enyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (4.66 g, 21.5 mmol, 81%).

Orange oil; ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.84 (ddt, J = 17.0, 10.2, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 5.20–5.06 (m, 2H), 3.44 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.59 (dtt, J = 7.9, 6.6, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 1.28 (s, 12H); ¹¹**B NMR** (96 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 31.2.

The physical and spectral data are in accordance with literature data: Sun, S.; Martin, R. *Angewandte Chemie* **2018**, *57* (14), 3622–3625.

2-(1-iodobut-3-enyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (33)



2-(1-chlorobut-3-enyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (4.44 g, 20.5 mmol) was dissolved in acetone (32 mL, HPLC grade). Then, sodium iodide (9.23 g, 61.6 mmol) was added and the

mixture was stirred at rt for 16 h. During the reaction time, the flask was covered with an aluminium foil to protect the reaction mixture from light. The crude reaction mixture was partitioned between H₂O (100 mL) and DCM (50 mL). The water phase was extracted DCM (3 x 30 mL). The organic layers were washed with 5% Na₂S₂O₃ (100 mL) and brine (100 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and the solvent concentrated under reduced pressure (flask covered with alu foil to protect it from light) to afford 2-(1-iodobut-3-enyl)-4,4,5,5- tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (5.52 g, 17.9 mmol, 87%) which was not further purified.

Light brown oil; ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.75 (ddt, *J* = 17.0, 10.2, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 5.15–5.04 (m, 2H), 3.20 (t, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 2.64 (dddd, *J* = 9.2, 6.6, 3.9, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 1.26 (d, *J* = 2.7 Hz, 12H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 137.4 (CH), 116.9 (CH₂), 84.0 (2 x Cq), 39.0 (CH₂), 24.2 (4 x CH₃). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹**B NMR** (96 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 31.6.

The physical and spectral data are in accordance with literature data: Sun, S.; Martin, R. *Angewandte Chemie* **2018**, *57* (14), 3622–3625.

The compound is stored at -20 °C in dark vials under an argon atmosphere. The colour of the compound changes from light yellow to dark orange because of slow degradation, which occurs fast at rt and with exposure to light. The degradation product is iodine, and this explains the change in colour of the compound. However, this does not seem to have an impact on the radical ATRA reaction yields.

2-(1-deuterio-1-iodo-but-3-enyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (48)



INT A: A flame dried two-neck round bottom flask was charged with dry CD_2Cl_2 (3.5 mL, 56 mmol) and THF (36 mL) and cooled to -100 °C using an ethanol/liquid nitrogen slush bath. n-Butyllithium (7.9 mL, 19.8 mmol, 2.5 M in hexanes) was added dropwise, at such a rate that the internal temperature never exceeded –90 °C. After the addition, 2-allyl-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2- dioxaborolane (3.5 mL, 18.7 mmol) was added in one portion to the mixture and the contents were allowed to warm up to room temperature and stirring was continued for 72 hours. DCM (500 mL) was added to precipitate LiCl and the solution was filtered over a pas of SiO₂ and eluted with DCM. After concentration under reduced pressure, an orange oil was recovered. Vacuum distillation (bp = 80 °C, 7.3 × 10⁻¹ mbar) provided the desired 2-(1-chloro-1-deuterio-but-3-enyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (**INT A**) (1.47 g, 6.76 mmol, 36%).

Colorless oil; ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.84 (ddt, J = 17.0, 10.2, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 5.19–5.06 (m, 2H), 2.58 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 1.28 (s, 12H); ²**H NMR** (61 MHz, Lock_Off, CHCl₃) 3.42; ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 134.9 (CH), 117.8 (CH₂), 84.6 (2 x Cq), 38.4 (CH₂), 24.8 (4 x CH₃). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹**B NMR** (96 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 31.2; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2080, 2931, 1365, 1337, 1138, 969, 856, 672, 647 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): Calculated for C₁₀H₁₇DBClO₂ [M-CH₂H₃ClD]⁺: 153.1165; found: 153.1084.

48: INT A (1.45 g, 6.67 mmol) was dissolved in acetone (11 mL, HPLC grade), then sodium iodide (3 g, 20 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred at rt for 16 h. During the reaction time, the flask was covered with an aluminium foil to protect the mixture from light. The crude mixture was partitioned between H_2O (100 mL) and DCM (50 mL). The water phase was extracted DCM (3 x 20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with 5% Na₂S₂O₃ (100 mL) and brine (100 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and the solvent concentrated under reduced pressure (flask covered with aluminium foil to protect it from light) to afford **48** (2.08 g, 6.76 mmol, quant.) which was used without further purification.

Colorless oil; ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.75 (ddt, J = 17.0, 10.3, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 5.16–5.04 (m, 2H), 2.64 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 2H), 1.27 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 12H); ²**H NMR** (61 MHz, Lock_Off, CHCl₃) 3.2; ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 137.5 (CH), 117.0 (CH₂), 84.2 (2 x Cq), 39.0 (CH₂), 24.4 (4 x CH₃). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹**B NMR** (96 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 31.6; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2977, 2929, 1380, 1354, 1328, 1133, 965, 917, 847, 671 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): Calculated for C₁₀H₁₇DBIO₂ [M-CH₃]⁺: 294.0351; found: 294.0272.

The compound is stored at -20 °C in dark vials under an argon atmosphere. The colour of the compound changes from light yellow to dark orange because of slow degradation, which occurs fast at rt and with exposure to light. The degradation product is iodine, and this explains the change in colour of the compound. However, this does not seem to have an impact on the radical ATRA reaction yields.

3.10.2 Synthesis of radical traps

Synthesis of the alkylating chains

1-(bromomethyl)vinylbenzene (SI_2_12)



To a solution of isopropenylbenzene (5.20 mL, 40.0 mmol) in CHCl₃ (24 mL) was added *N*-Bromosuccinimide (8.57 g, 48.1 mmol). The mixture was stirred and heated under reflux for 16 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and Et₂O (100 mL) was then added. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous phase extracted with Et₂O (3 x 50mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (50 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄ and then filtered. After concentration under reduced pressure (max 100 mbar for 5 min), a light yellow oil containing some remaining succinimide was recovered. Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient 0-2% Et₂O:pentane) delivered 1-(bromomethyl)vinylbenzene (3.46 g, 17.5 mmol, 44

Light brown oil; Rf: 0.46 (100% heptanes); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.55–7.45 (m, 2H), 7.43–7.30 (m, 3H), 5.56 (d, *J* = 0.7 Hz, 1H), 5.50 (q, *J* = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, *J* = 0.8 Hz, 2H).

The physical and spectral data are in accordance with literature data: Levin, M. D.; Toste, F. D. *Angewandte Chemie* **2014**, *53* (24), 6211–6215.

1-[1-(bromomethyl)vinyl]-4-methoxy-benzene (SI_2_13)



An oven dried 100 mL one-neck round-bottom flask was charged with 1-isopropenyl-4-methoxybenzene (1.48 g, 10.0 mmol) and THF (31 mL). *N*-Bromosuccinimide (1.87 g, 10.5 mmol) and TsOH (80 mg, 0.419 mmol) were then added and the reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 16h. The reaction mixture was cooled down to rt and partitioned between petroleum ether (60 mL) and 100 mL water. The organic layer was washed with H_2O (3 x 30 mL), dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 , concentrated under reduced pressure to obtain a yellow oil. The compound was used without further purification due to his instability on silica. ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.44 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.91 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.48 (s, 1H), 5.40 (s, 1H), 4.37 (s, 2H), 3.83 (s, 3H).

The physical and spectral data are in accordance with literature data: Farid, U.; Wirth, T. *Angewandte Chemie* **2012**, *51* (14), 3462–3465.





To a mixture of methyl 4-isopropenylbenzoatederivative (650 mg, 3.69 mmol) and TMSOTf (0.07 mL, 0.387 mmol in dry CH_2Cl_2/THF (4:1, 15 mL) under an nitrogen atmosphere were added NBS (788 mg, 4.43 mmol) and Yb(OTf)₃ (114 mg, 0.184 mmol) in one portion. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3h at rt, then concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was filtered three times with Et_2O , and the combined filtrates were dried over Na_2SO_4 and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting the desired methyl 4-[1-(bromomethyl)vinyl]benzoate (60%, 946 mg, 2.2 mmol, 60%) was used as such for the next step due to the instability of the molecule on silica.

¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.06 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 5.70–5.57 (m, 2H), 4.40 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 2H), 3.94 (s, 3H).

The physical and spectral data are in accordance with literature data: Häfliger, J.; Livingstone, K.; Daniliuc, C. G.; Gilmour, R. *Chemical Science* **2021**, *12* (17), 6148–6152.







mmol) and water (55 mL) and cooled down to 0 °C. Sodium hydroxide (2.35 g, 58.8 mmol) was then added portionwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 10 minutes before allyl bromide (2.8 mL, 32.4 mmol) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 14 h. The reaction mixture was poured in a separatory funnel and EtOAc (50 mL) was added. The phase were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (100 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to deliver phenyl thioether **(SI_2_15)** (4.5 g, 30 mmol, quant) which was used for the next step without further purification.

Light yellow oil; ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.41–7.07 (m, 5H), 5.91 (ddt, *J* = 16.9, 10.0, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 5.23–5.05 (m, 2H), 3.58 (dt, *J* = 6.8, 1.2 Hz, 2H).



Second step: To a solution of allyl phenyl thioether (3 g, 20 mmol) in dry CH_2CI_2 (30 mL) at -78 °C was added dropwise a solution of bromine (1.2 mL, 23.4 mmol) in CH_2CI_2 (15 mL), at such a rate that the temperature did not exceed -78 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at -78 °C and then warmed to rt. The reaction mixture was successively washed with a solution of sat. NaHSO₃ (100 mL), 5% Na₂S₂O₃ (100 mL) and brine (100 mL). The organic layer was separated and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. After concentration under reduced pressure, [2-bromo-1-(bromomethyl)ethyl]sulfanylbenzene **(SI_2_16)** (5.05 g, 16.3 mmol, 82%) was obtained and used for the next step without further purification.

Colorless oil; ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.56–7.42 (m, 3H), 7.45–7.27 (m, 2H), 3.77 (d, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 4H), 3.60–3.47 (m, 1H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 133.4 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 132.3 (2 x CH_{*Ar*}), 129.6 (2 x CH_{*Ar*}), 128.6 (CH_{*Ar*}), 51.1 (CH), 34.1 (2 x CH₂).



Third step: To a slurry of NaOH (824 mg, 20.6 mmol) in ethanol (8 mL) was added [2-bromo-1-(bromomethyl)ethyl]sulfanylbenzene (4.26 g, 13.27 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h, then diluted with hexane (100 mL), filtered over a pad of Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a colorless oil. Purification by flash column chromatogra-

phy (Gradient 0-2 % Et₂O:pentane) delivered 1-(bromomethyl)vinylsulfanylbenzene **(SI_2_17)** (2.71 g, 11.8 mmol, 86%) (contaminated with DCM).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.62 (100% pentane); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.50–7.44 (m, 2H), 7.40–7.29 (m, 3H), 5.30 (bs, 1H), 5.26 (bs, 1H), 4.03 (d, *J* = 1.0 Hz, 2H).

The physical and spectral data for the three compounds are in accordance with literature data: Chen, W.; Zhao, X.; Lu, L.; Cohen, T. *Organic Letters* **2006**, *8* (10), 2087–2090.

Radical traps

Dimethyl 2,2-diallylpropanedioate (6a)



To a suspension of NaH (55.0 %, 3.76 g, 90.0 mmol) in THF (40 mL) was slowly added a solution of dimethyl propanedioate (3.96 g, 30.0 mmol) in THF (20 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction was allowed to reach rt and stirred at rt for 30 min. The reaction mixture was then cooled down to 0 °C and allylbromide (7.7 mL, 89.2 mmol) was slowly added. The resulting mixture was allowed to reach rt and stirred at rt for additional 3h. The reaction mixture was carefully quenched with MeOH (5 mL) and a saturated solution of NH₄Cl was then added until complete dissolution of the suspended solid. The solution was transferred in a separatory funnel and phases were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (100 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography (5% EtOAc:heptane) delivered dimethyl 2,2-diallylpropanedioate (4.91 g, 23.1 mmol, 79%).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.34 (95:5 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.64 (ddt, J = 17.1, 9.5, 7.4 Hz, 2H), 5.17–5.04 (m, 4H), 3.71 (s, 6H), 2.64 (dt, J = 7.4, 1.1 Hz, 4H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.3 (2 x Cq), 132.4 (2 x CH), 119.4 (2 x CH₂), 57.8 (Cq), 52.5 (2 x CH₃), 37.1 (2 x CH₂).

The physical and spectral data for the three compounds are in accordance with literature data: Tappin, N. D. C.; Renaud, P. *Advanced Synthesis & Catalysis* **2020**, *363* (1), 275–282.

Dimethyl 2-allyl-2-(but-2-en-1-yl)malonate (6d)



To a suspension of sodium hydride (2.53 g, 60.4 mmol, 55.0 % in mineral oil) in THF (55 mL) at 0 °C was slowly added a solution of dimethyl 2-allylpropanedioate (4.50 mL, 30.2 mmol) in THF (20 mL). The reaction was allowed to reach rt and stirred for 1h at rt. The reaction mixture was then cooled down to 0°C and crotyl bromide (14.6 mL, 121 mmol) (E:Z = 85:15) was slowly added. The resulting reaction mixture was allowed to reach rt and stirred at this temperature for additional 4h. The reaction mixture was quenched with MeOH (5 mL). Then, saturated solution of NH₄Cl (50 mL) was added and the solution was poured into a separatory funnel. The phases were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (100 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography (10% EtOAc:heptanes) delivered dimethyl 2-allyl-2-(but-2-en-1-yl)malonate (5.11 g, 22.6 mmol, 75%).

Yellow oil; Rf: 0.43 (9:1 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.74–5.41 (m, 2H), 5.31–5.14 (m, 1H), 5.11–5.03 (m, 2H), 3.69 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 6H), 2.68–2.50 (m, 4H), 1.63–1.57 (m, 3H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) (E-Isomer) δ 171.5 (2 x Cq), 132.6 (CH), 130.1 (CH), 124.6 (CH), 119.1 (CH₂), 58.0 (Cq), 52.4 (2 x CH₃), 37.0 (CH₂), 35.8 (CH₂), 18.1 (CH₃); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) (Z-Isomer) δ 171.5 (2 x Cq), 132.6 (CH), 128.1 (CH), 119.2 (CH₂), 57.8 (Cq), 52.5 (2 x CH₃), 37.0 (CH₂), 30.0 (CH₂), 13.1 (CH₃).

The physical and spectral data are in accordance with literature data: Perch, N. S.; Pei, T.; Widenhoefer, R. A. *Journal of Organic Chemistry* **2000**, *65* (12), 3836–3845.

Dimethyl 2-allyl-2-(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)malonate (6e)



To a suspension of sodium hydride (2.53 g, 60.4 mmol, 55.0 % in mineral oil) in THF (55 mL) at 0 °C was slowly added a solution of dimethyl 2-allylpropanedioate (4.50 mL, 30.2 mmol) in THF (20 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to reach rt and stirred at rt for 1h. The reaction mixture was cooled down to 0°C and 1-bromo-3-methyl-but-2-ene (18.0 g, 121 mmol) was slowly added. The resulting reaction mixture was allowed to reach rt and stirred at this temperature for additional 4h. The reaction mixture was quenched with MeOH (5 mL). Then, saturated solution of NH₄Cl (50 mL) was added and the solution was poured into a separatory funnel. The phases were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (100 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography (6% EtOAc:heptane) delivered dimethyl 2-allyl-2-(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)malonate (4.55 g, 18.9 mmol, 63%).

Yellow oil; Rf: 0.37 (9:1 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.72–5.52 (m, 1H), 5.11–4.98 (m, 2H), 4.92 (tp, *J* = 7.5, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (s, 6H), 2.60-2.55 (m, 4H), 1.66 (s, 3H), 1.57 (s, 3H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.6 (2 x Cq), 135.8 (Cq), 132.7 (CH), 119.0 (CH), 117.6 (CH₂), 58.0 (Cq), 52.4 (2 x CH₃), 37.0 (CH₂), 31.2 (CH₂), 26.1 (CH₃), 18.0 (CH₃).

The physical and spectral data for the three compounds are in accordance with literature data: Kerber, W. D.; Gagné, M. R. *Organic Letters* **2005**, *7* (15), 3379–3381.

Dimethyl 2-allyl-2-(2-methylallyl)propanedioate (6f)



First step: A 250 mL two-neck round bottom flask was charged with dipotassium carbonate (8.22 g, 59.5 mmol) and sodium iodide (297 mg, 1.98 mmol) and THF (60 mL). 3-Bromo-2-methyl-prop-1-ene (2.00 mL, 19.8 mmol) and dimethyl propanedioate (3.00 mL, 26.2 mmol) were then added and the mixture was heated under reflux (75 °C) for 14 h. Sat. NH₄Cl (150 mL) and DCM (70 mL) were added to the reaction mixture, which was then poured into a separatory funnel. The phases were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (150 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure (100 mbar, bath temperature at 30 °C) to afford a light yellow oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (6% EtOAc:heptane) de-livered dimethyl 2-(2-methylallyl)malonate **INT A** (3.18 g, 17.1 mmol, 86%).

Colorless oil; ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.79 (ddp, J = 2.3, 1.5, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 4.72 (dq, J = 2.1, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.73 (s, 6H), 2.67–2.58 (m, 2H), 1.78–1.71 (m, 3H). Contain traces solvents (EtOAc).

Second step: Dimethyl 2-(2-methylallyl)propanedioate (1.52 g, 8.52 mmol) and 3-bromo- prop-1-ene (0.760 mL, 8.78 mmol) were added to a suspension of potassium carbonate (3.34 g, 24.2 mmol) and NaI (122 mg, 0.815 mmol) in THF (25 mL). The reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 24 h. The reaction mixture was then quenched with saturated NH₄Cl (100 mL). The phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (1 x 50 mL), dried over Na₂O₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a light brown oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (10% EtOAc:heptanes) delivered dimethyl 2-allyl-2-(2-methylallyl)propanedioate (1.36 g, 5.99 mmol, 50%).

Light yellow oil; Rf: 0.6 (8:2 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.68 (ddt, *J* = 17.0, 9.4, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 5.15–5.03 (m, 2H), 4.91–4.84 (m, 1H), 4.75 (s, 1H), 3.71 (s, 6H), 2.73–2.62 (m, 4H), 1.65 (s, 3H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.7 (2 x Cq), 140.6 (Cq), 132.8 (CH), 119.2 (CH₂), 116.0 (CH₂), 57.5 (Cq), 52.5 (2 x CH₃), 40.5 (CH₂), 37.1 (CH₂), 23.3 (CH₃).

The physical and spectral data for the two compounds are in accordance with literature data: Verendel, J. J.; Li, J.; Quan, X.; Peters, B.; Zhou, T.; Gautun, O. R.; Govender, T.; Andersson, P. G. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2012**, *18* (21), 6507–6513.

Trimethyl hepta-1,6-diene-2,4,4-tricarboxylate (6g)



Dimethyl 2-allylpropanedioate (1.6 mL, 9.95 mmol) and methyl 2-(bromomethyl)prop-2- enoate (1.3 mL, 10.8 mmol) were added to a suspension of cesium carbonate (9.73 g, 29.9 mmol) and NaI (149 mg, 0.995 mmol) in THF (30 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred under reflux for 16h. It was then quenched with saturated NH₄Cl (100 mL). DCM (50 mL) was added, and the phases were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with DCM (3 x 50 mL). The com-

bined organic phases were washed with brine (1 x 50 mL), dried over Na_2SO_4 , filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a light brown oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient: 10-20% EtOAc:heptane) delivered trimethyl hepta-1,6-diene-2,4,4-tricarboxylate (2.28 g, 8.43 mmol, 85%).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.3 (heptanes:EtOAc 9:1); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.27 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.80–5.61 (m, 2H), 5.17–5.02 (m, 2H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.69 (s, 6H), 2.97 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 2H), 2.59 (dt, J = 7.3, 1.2 Hz, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.1 (2 x Cq), 167.5 (Cq), 136.0 (Cq), 132.6 (CH), 129.4 (CH₂), 119.4 (CH₂), 58.0 (Cq), 52.5 (CH₃), 52.1 (2 x CH₃), 37.5 (CH₂), 34.1 (CH₂); IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2953, 1724, 1437, 1285, 1250, 1196, 1150, 963, 924, 817 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₃H₁₉O₆ [M+H]⁺: 271.1184; found: 271.1103.

Dimethyl 2-allyl-2-(2-phenylsulfanylallyl)propanedioate (6h)



To a suspension of sodium hydride (293 mg, 7 mmol, 55–65% dispersion in mineral oil) in dry THF (11 mL) at 0° C was added dropwise a solution of dimethyl 2-allylpropanedioate (0.9 mL, 5.6 mmol) in THF (5 mL). The reaction mixture was then heated at 50 °C and stirred for 1 hour. The reaction mixture ws cooled down to 0 °C and a solution of 1-(bromomethyl)vinylsulfanylbenzene (1.668 g, 7.28 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was then slowly added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 h at 0 °C, then carefully quenched with MeOH (2 mL). Saturated NH₄Cl (30 mL) and Et₂O (30 mL) were added. The phases were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et_2O (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (1 x 100 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient 10% EtOAc:heptane) delivered dimethyl 2-allyl-2-(2-phenylsulfanylallyl)propanedioate (1.59 g, 4.96 mmol, 88%).

Yellow oil; Rf: 0.25 (Heptane:EtOAc 9:1); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.43–7.29 (m, 4H), 5.67 (ddt, *J* = 17.4, 10.2, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (d, *J* = 0.9 Hz, 1H), 5.15–5.04 (m, 2H), 4.91 (s, 1H), 3.71 (s, 6H), 2.93 (d, *J* = 0.8 Hz, 2H), 2.81 (dt, *J* = 7.3, 1.2 Hz, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.1 (2 x Cq), 140.6 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 133.3 (2 x CH_{*Ar*}), 133.0 (Cq), 132.6 (CH_{*Ar*}), 129.4 (2 x CH_{*Ar*}), 128.3 (CH), 119.5 (CH₂), 117.5 (CH₂), 57.8 (Cq), 52.6 (2 x CH₃), 38.5 (CH₂), 36.6 (CH₂); IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2950, 1727, 1440.6, 1291, 1207, 1156, 921, 861, 750, 689 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₇H₂₀O₄S [M+Na]⁺: 343.1082; found: 343.0968.



Dimethyl 2-allyl-2-(2-((trimethylsilyl)oxy)allyl)malonate (6i)

First step: To a suspension of caesium carbonate (16.2 g, 49.7 mmol) and sodium iodide (447 mg, 2.98 mmol) in THF (90 mL) were added 1-chloropropan-2-one (4.5 mL, 54.6 mmol) and dimethyl 2-allylpropanediodate (4.8 mL, 29.8 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred under reflux (72°C) for 48 h. The reaction mixture was then quenched with saturated NH₄Cl (150 mL) and EtOAc (70 mL) was added. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (1 x 100 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a brown oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (20% EtOAc:heptane) delivered dimethyl 2-allyl-2-(2-oxopropyl)malonate **INT A** (4.36 g, 19.1 mmol, 61%), contaminated by an unknown compound. The compound was used as such for the next step.

Yellow oil; Rf: 0.27 (heptanes:EtOAc 8:2); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.63 (ddt, J = 16.7, 10.3, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 5.15–4.99 (m, 2H), 3.73 (s, 6H), 3.11 (s, 2H), 2.77 (dt, J = 7.5, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 2.14 (s, 3H).

The physical and spectral data are in accordance with literature data: Mello, R.; Alcalde-Aragonés, A.; González-Núñez, M. E. *Tetrahedron Letters* **2010**, *51* (32), 4281–4283.

Second step: To a solution of diisopropylamine (0.23 mL, 1.64 mmol) in THF (3 mL) at -78 °C was added dropwise n-BuLi (0.69 mL, 1.79 mmol, 2.6 M in hexanes). The reaction mixture stirred 1 min at -78 °C, then a solution of dimethyl 2-acetonyl-2-allyl-propanedioate (340 mg, 1.49 mmol) in THF (2 mL) was added at -78 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred 15 min at -78 °C and a solution of chloro(trimethyl)silane (0.3 mL, 2.39 mmol) in THF (2 mL) was then added dropwise at -78 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min at -78°C, then the cooling bath was removed, and it was stirred overnight to rt. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated NH₄Cl (50 mL) and Et₂O (50 mL) was added. The aqueous layer was extracted Et₂O (3 x 20 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (1 x 100 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to deliver an orange oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (Macherey-Nagel Silica 60, 0.015–0.04 mm, 5% Et₂O:pentane) delivered dimethyl 2-allyl-2-(2-((trimethylsilyl)oxy)allyl)malonate (176 mg, 0.586 mmol, 39%).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.51 (Pentane:Et₂O 9:1); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 5.71 (ddt, J = 17.4, 10.1, 7.4 Hz, 1H); 5.85 (ddt, J = 17.4, 10.1, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 5.05-4.84 (m, 2H), 3.96 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.94 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 1H), 3.23 (s, 6H), 2.95 – 2.85 (m, 4H), -0.00 (s, 9H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 171.1 (2 x Cq), 155.4 (Cq), 133.5 (CH), 119.0 (CH₂), 92.9 (CH₂), 56.7 (Cq), 51.9 (2 x CH₃), 39.8 (CH₂), 37.0 (CH₂), -0.2 (3 x CH₃); IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2954, 1736, 1629, 1436, 1211, 1196, 1178, 1011, 693, 529 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₄H₂₄O₅Si [M+H]⁺: 300.1393; found: 300.1387.

Dimethyl 2-allyl-2-(2-phenylallyl)malonate (6j)



To a solution of 1-(bromomethyl)vinylbenzene (1.48 g, 7.53 mmol) in THF (22 mL) were added successively dimethyl 2-allylpropanedioate (1.1 mL, 6.84 mmol), cesium carbonate (6.69 g, 20.5 mmol) and Nal (103 mg, 0.684 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred under reflux for 16h. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated NH₄Cl (50 mL) and DCM (30 mL) was added. The phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM (3 x 20 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (1 x 100 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a light brown oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (10% EtOAc:heptane) delivered dimethyl 2-allyl-2-(2-phenylallyl)malonate (1.90 g, 6.59 mmol, 96%).

Yellow oil; Rf: 0.38 (heptanes:EtOAc 9:1); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.37–7.20 (m, 5H), 5.63 (ddt, *J* = 16.8, 10.2, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 5.28 (d, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (dt, *J* = 1.8, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 5.09–4.96 (m, 2H), 3.45 (s, 6H), 3.19 (d, *J* = 0.9 Hz, 2H), 2.61 (dt, *J* = 7.3, 1.2 Hz, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.1 (2 x Cq), 144.6 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 141.7 (Cq), 132.6 (CH_{*Ar*}), 128.2 (2 x CH_{*Ar*}), 127.6 (CH), 127.1 (2 x CH_{*Ar*}), 119.3 (CH), 118.8 (CH₂), 57.6 (Cq), 52.2 (2 x CH₃), 37.6 (CH₂), 36.4 (CH₂).

The physical and spectral data are in accordance with literature data: Verendel, J. J.; Li, J.; Quan, X.; Peters, B.; Zhou, T.; Gautun, O. R.; Govender, T.; Andersson, P. G. Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 18 (21), 6507-6513.



Dimethyl 2-allyl-2-[2-(4-methoxyphenyl)allyl]propanedioate (6k)

To a suspension of sodium hydride (228 mg, 5.44 mmol, 55–65% in mineral oil) in THF (4 mL) was slowly added a solution of dimethyl 2-allylpropanedioate (0.730 mL, 4.54 mmol) in THF (5 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to reach rt and stirred for 1h at rt. The reaction mixture was then cooled down to 0 °C and a solution of 1-[1-(bromomethyl)vinyl]-4-methoxybenzene **SI_2_13** (2.15 g, 5.67 mmol, 60% purity) in THF (5 mL) was added dropwise. The resulting reaction mixture was allowed to reach rt and stirred at rt for 24 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with MeOH (2 mL), then saturated NH₄Cl (30 mL) and Et₂O (30 mL) were added. The phases were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (1 x 100 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford an orange oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient 15-30% Et₂O:pentane) delivered dimethyl 2-allyl-2-[2-(4-methoxyphenyl)allyl]propanedioate (707 mg, 2.22 mmol, 49%).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.33 (Pentane:Et₂O 8:2); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.28–7.17 (m, 2H), 6.87–6.76 (m, 2H), 5.62 (ddt, *J* = 17.4, 10.3, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (d, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 5.11–4.95 (m, 3H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.45 (s, 5H), 3.13 (s, 2H), 2.58 (dt, *J* = 7.3, 1.3 Hz, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.0 (2 x Cq), 159.1 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 143.8 (Cq), 133.9 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 132.6 (2 x CH_{*Ar*}), 128.0 (2 x CH_{*Ar*}), 119.1 (CH₂), 117.4 (CH₂), 113.4 (CH), 57.5 (Cq), 55.2 (CH₃), 52.1 (2 x CH₃), 37.5 (CH₂), 36.3 (CH₂); IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2951, 1731, 1606, 1510, 1435, 1287, 1247, 1209, 1198, 1178, 1032, 836 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₈H₂₃O₅ [M+H]⁺: 319.1467; found: 319.1534.



Dimethyl 2-allyl-2-[2-(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)allyl]propanedioate (6l)

To a suspension of sodium hydride (103 mg, 2.46 mmol, 55–65% dispersion in mineral oil) in THF (4 mL) was slowly added dimethyl 2-allylpropanedioate (0.330 mL, 2.05 mmol) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to reach rt and stirred for 1h at rt. The reaction mixture was cooled down to 0 °C and a solution of methyl 4-[1- (bromomethyl)vinyl]benzoate **SI_2_14** (964 mg, 2.27 mmol, 60% purity) in THF (5 mL) was added dropwise. The resulting reaction mixture was allowed to reach rt and stirred at rt for 24h. The reaction mixture was quenched with MeOH (2 mL), then saturated NH₄Cl (30 mL) and Et₂O (30 mL) were added. The phases were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (1 x 100 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford an orange oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient 15-30% Et₂O:pentane) delivered the desired Dimethyl 2-allyl-2-[2-(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)allyl]propanedioate (593 mg, 1.54 mmol, 75%).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.23 (Pentane:Et₂O 8:2); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.99–7.92 (m, 2H), 7.42–7.33 (m, 2H), 5.59 (ddt, *J* = 17.4, 10.2, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 5.34 (d, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (d, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.12–4.93 (m, 2H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.44 (s, 6H), 3.18 (d, *J* = 0.9 Hz, 2H), 2.61–2.47 (m, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.0 (2 x Cq), 167.0 (Cq), 146.3 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 143.9 (Cq), 132.4 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 129.6 (2 x CH_{*Ar*}), 129.3 (CH), 127.1 (2 x CH_{*Ar*}), 120.5 (CH₂), 119.6 (CH₂), 57.6 (Cq), 52.29 (2 x CH₃), 52.25 (CH₃), 37.4 (CH₂), 36.5 (CH₂); HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₉H₂₂O₆ [M+H]⁺: 346.1416; found: 346.1416.

N,N-Diallyl-p-toluenesulfonamide (6c)



To a solution of 4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (3.44 g, 20.1 mmol) and potassium carbon-

ate (6.94 g, 50.2 mmol) in acetonitrile (220 mL) was added 3-bromoprop-1-ene (4.34 mL, 50.2 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 4 h. The reaction mixture was then filtered through a pad of Celite and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford an orange solution. Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient 10% EtOAc:heptanes) delivered the desired *N*,*N*-diallyl-p-toluenesulfonamide (4.28 g, 17 mmol, 85%).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.4 (Heptane:EtOAc 9:1); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.78–7.68 (m, 2H), 7.38–7.26 (m, 2H), 5.64 (ddt, *J* = 17.4, 9.8, 6.3 Hz, 2H), 5.23–5.10 (m, 4H), 3.83 (dt, *J* = 6.2, 1.2 Hz, 4H), 2.45 (s, 3H).

The physical and spectral data are in accordance with literature data: Terada, Y.; Arisawa, M.; Nishida, A. *Angewandte Chemie* **2004**, *43* (31), 4063–4067.

Benzyl N,N-diallylcarbamate (6m)



To a solution of *N*-allylprop-2-en-1-amine (1.90 mL, 15.4 mmol) and triethylamine (3.89 mL, 28.0 mmol) in DCM (24.0 mL) at 0°C was added dropwise benzyl carbonochloridate (1.99 mL, 14.0 mmol). The reaction mixture was then allowed to reach rt and stirred for 1h at rt. H₂O (25 mL) was added and the phases were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted DCM (3 x 20 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (20 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford an orange oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient 10% EtOAc:heptanes) delivered the desired benzyl *N*,*N*-diallylcarbamate (1.22 g, 5.27 mmol, 38%).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.39 (Heptane:EtOAc 9:1); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.39–7.28 (m, 5H), 5.77 (d, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 5.14 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 6H), 3.89 (s, 4H).

The physical and spectral data are in accordance with literature data: Connolly, T.; Wang, Z.; Walker, M. A.; McDonald, I. M.; Peese, K. M. *Organic Letters* **2014**, *16* (17), 4444–4447.
3-allyloxyoct-1-ene (6n)



To a suspension of NaH (1.12 g, 29.3 mmol, 55–65% dispersion in mineral oil) in THF (50 mL) et 0° C was added dropwise a solution of oct-1-en-3-ol (3 mL, 19.5 mmol) in THF (10 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at rt, then a solution of 3-bromoprop-1-ene (2.5 mL, 28.9 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred for 4h at rt. The reaction mixture was quenched with MeOH (2 mL), then saturated NH₄Cl (30 mL) and Et₂O (30 mL) were added. The phases were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (1 x 100 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography (2% Et₂O:pentane) delivered the desired 3-allyloxyoct-1-ene (3.29 g, 19.6 mmol, quant).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.76 (Pentane:Et₂O 96:4); ¹**H NMR** δ 5.91 (dddd, J = 17.2, 10.3, 6.0, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 5.76–5.58 (m, 1H), 5.31–5.10 (m, 4H), 4.04 (ddt, J = 12.8, 5.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (ddt, J = 12.8, 6.0, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 1.67–1.16 (m, 8H), 0.93–0.83 (m, 3H); ¹³**C NMR** δ 139.4 (CH), 135.4 (CH), 116.9 (CH₂), 116.7 (CH₂), 80.9 (CH), 69.3 (CH₂), 35.6 (CH₂), 32.0 (CH₂), 25.2 (CH₂), 22.8 (CH₂), 14.2 (CH₃).

The physical and spectral data are in accordance with literature data: Schmidt, B. and Wildemann, H. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2000**, *18*, 3145–3163.

1-(1-vinylhexoxymethyl)vinylbenzene (60)



To a suspension of NaH (524 mg, 12.5 mmol, 55–65% dispersion in mineral oil) in THF (11 mL) at 0°C was added dropwise a solution of oct-1-en-3-ol (1.60 mL, 10.4 mmol, 1 eq) in THF (11 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 1h and a solution of 1-(bromomethyl)vinylbenzene (3.08 g, 15.7 mmol) in THF (11 mL) was then slowly added at 0°C. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 72h. The reaction mixture was quenched with MeOH (2 mL), then saturated

 NH_4CI (30 mL) and Et_2O (30 mL) were added. The phases were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et_2O (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (1 x 100 mL), dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 , filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography (Macherey-Nagel Silica 60, 0.015–0.04 mm, 100% pentane) delivered 1-(1-vinylhexoxymethyl)vinylbenzene (2.57 g, 10.5 mmol, quant).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.64 (Pentane:Et₂O 9:1); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.49–7.43 (m, 2H), 7.26 (m, 3H), 5.79–5.62 (m, 1H), 5.50 (dt, *J* = 1.5, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (q, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.25–5.14 (m, 2H), 4.46 (ddd, *J* = 13.0, 1.5, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (ddd, *J* = 13.0, 1.3, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (ddd, *J* = 7.9, 6.9, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 1.55 (ddd, *J* = 13.0, 9.2, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 1.44 (ddd, *J* = 13.0, 9.2, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 1.34 – 1.14 (m, 6H), 0.89–0.78 (m, 3H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 144.9 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 139.3 (Cq), 128.4 (2 x CH_{*Ar*}), 127.8 (CH), 126.3 (2 x CH_{*Ar*}), 117.1 (CH₂), 114.1 (CH₂), 80.7 (CH), 70.0 (CH₂), 35.6 (CH₂), 31.9 (CH₂), 25.2 (CH₂), 22.7 (CH₂), 14.2 (CH₃); IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2954, 2928, 2857, 1495, 1444, 1420, 1071, 903, 777, 706 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₇H₂₅O [M+H]⁺: 245.1827; found: 245.1909.

Methyl 2-((oct-1-en-3-yloxy)methyl)acrylate (6p)



To a suspension of NaH (262 mg, 6.27 mmol, 55–65% dispersion in mineral oil) in THF (5mL) at 0 °C was added dropwise a solution of oct-1-en-3-ol (0.800 mL, 5.22 mmol) in THF (5mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 1h, then a solution of methyl 2-(bromomethyl)prop-2-enoate (0.900 mL, 7.49 mmol) in THF (8 mL) was slowly added to the reaction mixture at 0°C. The mixture was stirred for 14 h at rt. The reaction mixture was quenched with MeOH (2 mL), then saturated NH₄Cl (30 mL) and Et₂O (30 mL) were added. The phases were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (1 x 100 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography (5% Et2O:pentane) delivered methyl 2-((oct-1-en-3-yloxy)methyl)acrylate (0.522 g, 2.31 mmol, 44%).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.64 (Pentane:Et₂O 9:1); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.34-6.27 (m, 1H), 5.97-5.83 (m, 1H), 5.78–5.60 (m, 1H), 5.26–5.12 (m, 2H), 4.30–4.15 (m, 1H), 4.04 (dt, *J* = 14.3, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 1.70–1.20 (m, 9H), 0.93–0.83 (m, 3H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.6 (Cq), 139.1 (CH), 137.8 (Cq), 125.8 (CH₂), 117.1 (CH₂), 81.6 (CH), 66.5 (CH₂),

51.9 (CH₃), 35.5 (CH₂), 31.9 (CH₂), 25.1 (CH₂), 22.8 (CH₂), 14.2 (CH₃); IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2953, 2930, 2856, 1720, 1438, 1278, 1196, 1158, 1088, 925 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₃H₂₂O [M+Na]⁺: 249.1569; found: 249.1460.

Dimethyl 2-allyl-2-but-2-ynyl-propanedioate (6s)



A 100 mL two-neck round bottom flask was charged with dicesium carbonate (9.91 g, 30.4 mmol), dimethyl allyl malonate (3.47 g, 20.2 mmol), 1-bromobut-2-yne (2.30 mL, 30.4 mmol) and acetone (50mL, HPLC grade). The mixture was stirred and heated under reflux for 5h. Upon completion, the suspension was filtered over Celite and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a yellow oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (10% EtOAc:heptane) delivered dimethyl 2-allyl- 2-but-2-ynyl-propanedioate (4.42 g, 19.7 mmol, 98%).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.5 (8:2 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.62 (ddt, J = 17.4, 10.1, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 5.21–5.04 (m, 2H), 3.71 (s, 6H), 2.81–2.67 (m, 4H), 1.74 (t, J = 2.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.6 (2 x Cq), 132.1 (CH), 119.7 (CH₂), 79.0 (Cq), 73.3 (Cq), 57.4 (Cq), 52.7 (2 x CH₃), 36.7 (CH₂), 23.2 (CH₂), 3.6 (CH₃).

The physical and spectral data are in accordance with literature data: Zheng, J.; Peters, B. B. C.; Jiang, W.; Suàrez, L. A.; Ahlquist, M. S. G.; Singh, T.; Andersson, P. G. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2024**, *30* (13).

3.10.3 ATRAC products

GP1: A flame-dried 10 mL two neck round-bottom flask, equipped with a cold-finger reflux condenser, was charged with the diene (1.00 mmol), the radical precursor (ICH₂Bxxx) (2.00 mmol) and Cs_2CO_3 (98 mg, 0.3 mmol). Triethylborane (0.217 mL, 0.25 mmol, 1.15 M in TFT) was then added, with the needle immersed in the solution. Next, DTBHN (9 mg, 0.05 mmol) was added, and the contents heated at 70 °C for 45 minutes in a pre-heated oil bath. After having cooled down the reaction mixture, an additional portion of triethylborane (0.217 mL, 0.25 mmol, 1.15 M in TFT) and DTBHN (9 mg, 0.05 mmol) were then added, and the mixture was heated for additional 45 minutes at 70°C. The crude product was filtered over a small pad of neutral

aluminum oxide (2.0 cm) and eluted with EtOAc. After concentration under reduced pressure, the crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel.

GP2: A flame-dried 10 mL two neck round-bottom flask, equipped with a cold-finger reflux condenser, was charged with the diene (1.00 mmol), **ICH₂BPin** (4.00 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (98 mg, 0.3 mmol). Triethylborane (0.217 mL, 0.25 mmol, 1.15 M in TFT) was then added, with the needle immersed in the solution. Next, DTBHN (9 mg, 0.05 mmol) was added, and the contents heated at 70 °C for 45 minutes in a pre-heated oil bath. After having cooled down the reaction mixture, an additional portion of triethylborane (0.217 mL, 0.25 mmol, 1.15 M in TFT) and DTBHN (9 mg, 0.05 mmol) were then added, and the mixture was heated for additional 45 minutes at 70°C. The crude product was filtered over a small pad of neutral aluminum oxide (2.0 cm) and eluted with EtOAc. After concentration under reduced pressure, the crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel.

Dimethyl 3-[2-[(6S,7R)-3,5-dioxa-4-boratricyclo[5.2.1.02,6]decan-4-yl]ethyl]-4-(iodomethyl)-cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (Bnor-7a)



Using Followed **GP1** using **6a** (1 mmol) as radical trap and ICH₂Bnor as radical precursor. Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient 5-10% EtOAc:heptanes) provided the desired **Bnor-7a** (392 mg, 0.8 mmol, 80% - dr 8:2).

Light yellow oil; Rf: 0.17 (9:1 heptanes:EtOAc);

Major diastereomer (Characteristic signals): ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.19 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 2H), 3.720 (s, 3H), 3.716 (s, 3H), 3.26 (dd, J = 9.7, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.02 (t, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 2.58–2.44 (m, 2H), 2.38 (dd, J = 13.6, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.27–2.23 (m, 2H), 2.23–2.15 (m, 1H), 2.09 (dd, J = 13.5, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 2.04–1.95 (m, 1H), 1.53–1.44 (m, 3H), 1.18 (dp, J = 11.0, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 1.08–0.98 (m, 2H), 0.91–0.69 (m, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.2 (Cq), 173.1 (Cq), 83.86 (CH), 83.85 (CH), 58.6 (Cq), 53.0 (2 × CH₃), 45.5 (CH), 45.0 (CH), 41.0 (2 × CH), 40.3 (CH₂), 38.2 (CH₂), 30.8 (CH₂), 23.5 (2 × CH₂), 23.2 (CH₂), 8.0 (CH₂–I). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected.

Minor diastereomer (Characteristic signals): ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.38 (dd, J = 9.9, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 3.10 (dd, J = 9.9, 8.2 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (dd, J = 13.5, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.567 (dd, J = 13.8, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 1.89 (dd, J = 13.5, 10.1 Hz, 1H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.0 (Cq), 172.9 (Cq), 84.4 (CH), 83.8 (CH), 57.7 (Cq), 52.91 (CH₃), 52.90 (CH₃), 47.1 (CH), 46.8 (CH), 41.8 (CH₂), 41.0 (2 × CH), 40.5 (CH₂), 27.8 (CH₂), 11.1 (CH₂–I). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected.

Mixture of isomers: ¹¹**B NMR** (128 MHz, CDCl₃) 34.0; IR (neat): $\nu_{max} = 2951, 2875, 1730, 1455, 1434, 1379, 1251, 1061, 1001, 690 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₉H₂₈BlO₆ [M+Na]⁺: 513.1024; found: 513.0908.$

Dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-(2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl)cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (Bpin-7a)



Followed **GP1** using **6a** (210 mg, 1 mmol) as radical trap and ICH₂BPin as radical precursor. Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient 5-10% EtOAc:heptanes) provided the desired **Bpin-7a** (381 mg, 0.794 mmol, 80%, dr = 8:2).

Light yellow oil; Rf: 0.46 (8:2 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.68 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 6H), 3.38–3.19 (m, 1H), 3.12–2.89 (m, 1H), 2.63–2.39 (m, 2H), 2.34 (dd, *J* = 13.1, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.22–2.10 (m, 1H), 2.09–1.80 (m, 1H), 1.74–1.37 (m, 1H), 1.20 (s, 12H), 0.85–0.60 (m, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.0 (Cq), 173.0 (Cq), 172.8 (Cq), 83.1 (Cq), 58.4 (Cq), 57.5 (Cq), 52. (CH₃), 47.0 (CH), 46.7 (CH), 45.3 (CH), 44.9 (CH), 41.7 (CH₂), 40.4 (CH₃), 24.9 (CH₃), 24.9 (CH₃), 23.0 (CH₂), 11.1 (CH₂), 8.2 (CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹**B NMR** (96 MHz, CDCl₃) 33.9; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2977, 1731, 1378, 1318, 1251, 1143, 1095, 966, 847, 733 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₈H₃₀BlO₆ [M+H]⁺: 481.1180 ; found: 481.1250.

Dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-[(1R,2R,6S)-2,9,9-trimethyl-3,5-dioxa-4-boratricyclo[6.1.1.02,6]-decan-4-yl]ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (BPan-7a)



Followed **GP1** using **6a** (208 mg, 0.987 mmol) as radical trap and ICH₂BPan as radical precursor. Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient 5-10% EtOAc:heptanes) provided the desired **BPan-7a** (402 mg, 0.755 mmol, 73%, dr = 4:4:1:1).

Light yellow oil; Rf: 0.44 (8:2 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.22 (dt, J = 8.6, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 6H), 3.39–3.21 (m, 1H), 3.12–2.94 (m, 1H), 2.66–2.42 (m, 2H), 2.40–2.25 (m, 2H), 2.23–2.13 (m, 2H), 2.11–1.94 (m, 3H), 1.89 (dp, J = 8.7, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 1.80 (ddt, J = 14.6, 3.6, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 1.56–1.46 (m, 1H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.24 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 5H), 1.10–1.00 (m, 1H), 0.92–0.66 (m, 5H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.1 (Cq), 173.0 (Cq), 172.9 (Cq), 172.8 (Cq), 85.6 (Cq), 77.8 (CH), 60.4 (Cq), 58.48 (Cq), 58.47 (Cq), 52.9 (CH₃), 52.8 (CH), 51.4 (CH), 47.1 (CH), 47.1 (CH), 46.8 (CH), 45.4 (CH), 45.4 (CH), 45.0 (CH), 45.0 (CH), 41.7 (CH₂), 40.5 (CH₂), 40.5 (CH₂), 40.4 (CH₂), 40.3 (CH₂), 39.6 (CH), 38.2 (CH₂), 38.1 (CH₂), 35.6 (CH₂), 23.2 (CH₂), 21.1 (CH₃), 27.2 (CH₃), 27.2 (CH₃), 26.6 (CH₂), 24.1 (CH₃), 24.0 (CH₃), 23.3 (CH₂), 23.2 (CH₂), 21.1 (CH₃), 11.1 (CH₂), 8.2 (CH₂), 8.1 (CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃) 33.4; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2917, 2870, 1731, 1434, 1376, 1250, 1197, 1165, 1077, 1030 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₂₂H₃₄BlO₆ [M+Na]⁺: 555.1391 ; found: 555.1423.

Dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (BEPin-7a)



Followed **GP1** using **6a** (215 mg, 1.01 mmol) as radical trap and ICH₂BEPin as radical precursor. Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient 5-10% EtOAc:heptanes) provided the desired **BEpin-7a** (470 mg, 0.876 mmol, 87%, dr = 8:2).

Light yellow oil; Rf: 0.46 (8:2 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.74–3.61 (m, 6H), 3.24 (dd, *J* = 9.6, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 3.09–2.92 (m, 1H), 2.62–2.41 (m, 2H), 2.39–2.28 (m, 1H), 2.24–2.12 (m, 1H), 2.09–1.89 (m, 2H), 1.86 (dd, *J* = 13.4, 10.1 Hz, 1H), 1.60 (qd, *J* = 7.5, 4.5 Hz, 8H), 1.51–1.43 (m, 1H), 1.29–1.14 (m, 1H), 0.86 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 15H), 0.82–0.75 (m, 1H), 0.72–0.64 (m, 1H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.1 (Cq), 173.0 (Cq), 88.1 (Cq), 88.0 (Cq), 58.4 (Cq), 57.6 (Cq), 52.8 (Cq), 52.8 (2 x CH₃), 47.0 (CH), 46.9 (CH), 45.3 (CH), 44.9 (CH), 41.8 (CH₂), 40.5 (CH₂), 40.4 (CH₂), 38.2 (CH₂), 27.8 (CH₂), 26.5 (CH₂), 26.4 (CH₂), 23.2 (CH₂), 11.1 (CH₂), 8.9(CH₃), 8.8 (CH₃), 8.2 (CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃) 30.9; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2973, 2948, 2883, 1732, 1384, 1349, 1250, 1161, 927, 854 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₂₂H₃₈BIO₆ [M+H]⁺: 537.1806; found: 537.1879.

4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-2-[2-[4-(iodomethyl)tetrahydrofuran-3-yl]ethyl]-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (BEpin-7b)



Followed **GP1** using commercial diallyl ether (101 mg, 1.03 mmol) as radical trap and ICH₂BEPin as radical precursor. Purification by flash column chromatography (10% EtOAc:heptanes) pro-

vided the desired **BEpin-7b** (63 mg, 0.149 mmol, 14%, dr = 6:4).

Light yellow oil; Rf: 0.55 (8:2 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.07–3.89 (m, 2H), 3.71 (dd, J = 8.8, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.59–3.42 (m, 1H), 3.30 (ddt, J = 9.7, 5.1, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 3.17–3.02 (m, 1H), 2.68 (dtt, J = 11.4, 6.6, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 2.22 (dddd, J = 14.5, 9.6, 7.1, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 1.89–1.78 (m, 1H), 1.76–1.50 (m, 9H), 1.50–1.31 (m, 1H), 0.90 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 12H), 0.85–0.69 (m, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 88.4 (Cq), 88.3 (Cq), 74.7 (CH₂), 74.4 (CH₂), 74.1 (CH₂), 72.3 (CH₂), 48.7 (CH), 48.1 (CH), 45.7 (CH), 45.7 (CH), 27.6 (CH₂), 26.5 (CH₂), 21.4 (CH₂), 9.3 (CH₂), 9.0 (CH₃), 5.4 (CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃) 33.1; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2972, 2933, 2882, 2860, 1372, 1349, 1112, 1044, 918, 730 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₇H₃₃BIO₃ [M+H]⁺: 423.1489; found: 423.1562.

3-(iodomethyl)-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]pyr-rolidine (BEpin-7c)



Followed **GP1** using **6c** (259 mg, 1.03 mmol) as radical trap and ICH₂BEPin as radical precursor. Purification by flash column chromatography (10% EtOAc:heptanes) provided the desired **BEpin-7c** (257 mg, 0.447 mmol, 44%, dr = 7:3).

Yellow thick oil; Rf: 0.55 (8:2 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.76–7.66 (m, 2H), 7.32 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.59–3.29 (m, 3H), 3.22–3.08 (m, 1H), 3.07–2.82 (m, 2H), 2.57 (dd, *J* = 11.2, 9.6 Hz, 1H), 2.52–2.39 (m, 4H), 2.07 (dddd, *J* = 13.5, 9.3, 7.6, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 1.93 (dddd, *J* = 10.8, 7.7, 4.6, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 1.80–1.70 (m, 1H), 1.70–1.50 (m, 8H), 1.40 (ddt, *J* = 13.1, 9.5, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 1.20 (qdd, *J* = 15.5, 7.9, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 0.88 (td, *J* = 7.5, 1.3 Hz, 12H), 0.79–0.59 (m, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 143.6 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 134.0 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 129.9 (2 x CH_{*Ar*}), 127.8 (CH_{*Ar*}), 127.6 (CH_{*Ar*}), 88.4 (Cq), 54.6 (CH₂), 53.6 (CH₂), 51.1 (CH₂), 49.5 (CH₂), 46.7 (CH), 46.4 (CH), 45.0 (CH), 44.7 (CH), 26.9 (CH₂), 26.5 (CH₂), 21.72 (CH₂), 21.67 (CH₃), 8.9 (CH₃), 7.4 (CH₂), 4.3 (CH₂); ¹¹**B NMR** (128 MHz, CDCl₃) 33.2. Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; IR (neat): $\nu_{max} = 2977$, 2939, 2881, 1344, 1157, 924, 661, 585, 546 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₂₄H₃₉BINO₄S [M+H]⁺: 576.1738; found: 576.1810.

3-(iodomethyl)-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]pyr-rolidine (BEpin-7c1)



Followed **GP1** using **6c** (250 mg, 0.995 mmol) as radical trap and **SI_2_10** as radical precursor. Purification by flash column chromatography (5% EtOAc:heptanes) provided the desired **BEpin-7c1** (226 mg, 0.358 mmol, 36%, dr = 39:21:21:19).

Yellow thick oil; Rf: 0.45 (9:1 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹**H** NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.72 (td, *J* = 8.2, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 7.37–7.29 (m, 2H), 3.61–3.42 (m, 1H), 3.37 (ddd, *J* = 9.9, 7.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.28–3.19 (m, 1H), 3.14–2.64 (m, 3H), 2.51–2.40 (m, 4H), 2.13 (tt, *J* = 6.7, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 1.93–1.74 (m, 1H), 1.60 (dtt, *J* = 13.5, 8.4, 2.7 Hz, 8H), 1.46–1.12 (m, 8H), 0.99–0.74 (m, 17H); ¹³**C** NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 143.6 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 143.6 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 143.5 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 143.5 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 134.1 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 133.7 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 133.5 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 129.9 (4 x CH_{*Ar*}), 127.8 (2 x CH_{*Ar*}), 127.6 (2 x CH_{*Ar*}), 88.4 (Cq), 54.5 (CH₂), 54.0 (CH₂), 53.6 (CH₂), 53.3 (CH₂), 51.5 (CH₂), 51.3 (CH₂), 47.1 (CH), 46.6 (CH), 45.6 (CH), 44.7 (CH), 42.1 (CH), 41.9 (CH), 31.9 (CH₂), 31.3 (CH₂), 31.3 (CH₂), 26.2 (CH₂), 26.2 (CH₂), 23.1 (CH₂), 23.1 (CH₂), 23.1 (CH₂), 21.7 (CH₃), 21.7 (CH₃), 14.2 (CH₃), 14.2 (CH₃), 8.9 (CH₃), 8.9 (CH₃), 8.9 (CH₃), 8.9 (CH₃), 8.8 (CH₃), 8.8 (CH₃), 8.8 (CH₃), 8.8 (CH₃), 8.9 (CH₃), 8.8 (CH₃), 8.8 (CH₃), 32.2; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2924, 2882, 2856, 1346, 1160, 921, 813, 661, 586, 546 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₂₈H₄₇BINO₄S [M+Na]⁺: 654.2364; found: 654.2229.

3-(2-iodoethyl)-4-(iodomethyl)-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)pyrrolidine (8)



Light yellow oil; Rf: 0.72 (1:1 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.72 (ddd, J =

8.3, 4.5, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 3.56–3.31 (m, 2H), 3.22–3.06 (m, 3H), 3.04–2.88 (m, 3H), 2.74 (t, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 2.59–2.48 (m, 1H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 2.26 (dtd, J = 16.9, 6.2, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 2.06–1.89 (m, 1H), 1.86–1.75 (m, 1H), 1.65 (ttd, J = 13.3, 5.3, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 1.50–1.40 (m, 1H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 144.0 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 143.9 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 133.7 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 132.3 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 130.0 (CH_{*Ar*}), 128.7 (CH_{*Ar*}), 127.7 (CH_{*Ar*}), 127.5 (CH_{*Ar*}), 54.1 (CH₂), 52.8 (CH₂), 52.5 (CH₂), 50.4 (CH₂), 45.9 (CH), 45.2 (CH), 44.6 (CH), 42.9 (CH), 36.4 (CH₂), 30.6 (CH₂), 21.7 (CH₃), 6.6 (CH₂), 2.7 (2 x CH₂), 2.5 (CH₂); IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2923, 1596, 1339, 1154, 1090, 812, 729, 660, 584, 546 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₄H₁₉I₂NO₂S [M+H]⁺: 519.9226; found: 519.9314.

Dimethyl 3-(1-iodoethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (7da)



Followed **GP1** using **6d** (228 mg, 1.01 mmol) as radical trap and ICH₂BPin as radical precursor. Purification by flash column chromatography (10% EtOAc:heptanes) provided the desired **7da** (250 mg, 0.506 mmol, 50%, dr = 37:37:16:8 - 5% contaminated by radical precursor).

Yellow thick oil; Rf: 0.38 (8:2 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.51–3.98 (m, 1H), 3.77–3.66 (m, 6H), 2.63 (td, J = 12.8, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.57–2.39 (m, 1H), 2.39–2.23 (m, 1H), 2.21-2.12 (m, 1H), 2.11-2.00 (m, 1H), 1.99-1.80 (m, 3H), 1.79-1.51 (m, 1H), 1.38 (dtd, J = 11.8, 8.3, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 1.31–1.16 (m, 13H), 1.13–0.57 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.7 (Cq), 173.4 (Cq), 173.3 (Cq), 173.2 (Cq), 173.2 (Cq), 173.0 (Cq), 172.9 (Cq), 172.8 (Cq), 172.5 (Cq), 172.3 (Cq), 171.8 (Cq), 84.3 (Cq), 84.0 (Cq), 83.2 (Cq), 83.1 (Cq), 83.1 (Cq), 83.0 (Cq), 65.9 (Cq), 59.6 (Cq), 58.9 (Cq), 57.8 (Cq), 57.7 (Cq), 57.5 (Cq), 57.4 (Cq), 55.1 (CH), 54.3 (CH), 53.9 (CH), 53.6 (CH), 53.0 (CH₃), 52.9 (CH₃), 52.9 (CH₃), 52.8 (CH₃), 52.8 (CH₃), 52.8 (CH₃), 52.6 (CH₃), 52.5 (CH₃), 47.6 (CH), 46.2 (CH), 44.1 (CH), 42.0 (CH₂), 41.9 (CH), 40.1 (CH₂), 39.5 (CH₂), 38.7 (CH₂), 38.2 (CH₂), 38.1 (CH₂), 36.8 (CH₂), 36.4 (CH₂), 35.5 (CH₂), 30.5 (CH₂), 30.0 (CH), 29.0 (CH), 28.4 (CH), 28.4 (CH), 28.2 (CH), 28.2 (CH), 27.5 (CH₂), 25.1 (CH₃), 25.0 (CH₃), 25.0 (CH₃), 25.0 (CH₃), 24.9 (CH₃), 24.9 (CH₃), 23.5 (CH₂), 20.8 (CH₂), 20.3 (CH₂) (CH₂), 16.9 (CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹**B NMR** (128 MHz, CDCl₃) 33.8; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2977, 2951, 1732, 1371, 1315, 1249, 966, 846, 732 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₉H₃₃BIO₄ [M+Na]⁺: 517.1337; found: 517.1223.

Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-methyl-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (7fa)



Followed **GP2** using **6f** (224 mg, 0.990 mmol) as radical trap. Purification by flash column chromatography (10% EtOAc:heptane) provided the desired **7fa** (430 mg, 0.870 mmol, 88%, dr = 8:2 (presence of two regionsomer).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.41 (8:2 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.75–3.65 (m, 6H), 3.32–3.10 (m, 1H), 3.04–2.69 (m, 1H), 2.58–2.37 (m, 1H), 2.33–2.06 (m, 3H), 2.01 (d, *J* = 14.1 Hz, 1H), 1.67–1.47 (m, 1H), 1.34 (d, *J* = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 1.28–1.15 (m, 12H), 1.14–0.62 (m, 5H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.3 (Cq), 173.3 (Cq), 173.2 (Cq), 173.1 (Cq), 173.0 (Cq), 83.3 (Cq), 83.2 (Cq), 83.2 (Cq), 57.1 (Cq), 56.8 (Cq), 56.1 (Cq), 53.7 (Cq), 53.6 (Cq), 53.0 (2 x CH), 51.5 (CH₃), 50.6 (CH₃), 47.6 (CH₂), 47.1 (CH₂), 46.1 (Cq), 46.0 (Cq), 45.5 (Cq), 44.9 (CH₂), 41.0 (CH₂), 40.4 (CH₂), 19.0 (CH₃), 6.5 (CH₂), 27.4 (CH₂), 27.3 (CH₃), 25.0 (CH₃), 24.9 (CH₃),23.2 (CH₂), 19.8 (CH₂), 19.0 (CH₃), 6.5 (CH₂), 5.9 (CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹**B NMR** (128 MHz, CDCl₃) 34.3; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2976, 2952, 1731, 1371, 1254, 1199, 1142, 967, 847 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₉H₃₂BIO₆ [M+H]⁺: 495.1337; found: 495.1409.

Trimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1,3-tricarboxylate (7ga)



Followed **GP2** using **6g** (276 mg, 1.02 mmol) as radical trap. Purification by flash column chromatography (10% EtOAc:heptanes) provided the desired **7ga** (312 mg, 0.580 mmol, 56%, dr = 6:4 - 15% contaminated by radical precursor). Colorless oil; Rf: 0.29 (8:2 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.77–3.59 (m, 9H), 3.41 (dd, *J* = 9.8, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 3.07–2.89 (m, 1H), 2.87–2.74 (m, 1H), 2.52 (d, *J* = 14.6 Hz, 1H), 2.42–2.20 (m, 2H), 2.17–2.04 (m, 1H), 1.62 (dd, *J* = 14.0, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 1.49–1.35 (m, 1H), 1.22 (d, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 12H), 1.00–0.80 (m, 1H), 0.69 (dddd, *J* = 22.7, 17.4, 12.5, 4.8 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 175.3 (Cq), 174.3 (Cq), 173.3 (Cq), 172.5 (Cq), 172.3 (Cq), 172.2 (Cq), 83.4 (Cq), 83.3 (Cq), 58.4 (Cq), 57.2 (Cq), 56.9 (Cq), 56.7 (Cq), 53.2 (CH₃), 53.1 (CH₃), 53.0 (CH₃), 52.3 (CH₃), 52.1 (CH₃), 51.9 (CH₃), 49.3 (CH₃), 42.5 (CH₂), 40.8 (CH₂), 40.7 (CH₂), 40.4 (CH₂), 35.5 (CH₂), 32.0 (CH₂), 31.7 (CH₂), 26.6 (CH₂), 26.4 (CH₂), 26.2 (CH₂), 25.0 (CH₃), 6.1 (CH₂), 5.0 (CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃) 34.2; IR (neat): $\nu_{max} = 2977, 2951, 1731, 1372, 1324, 1256, 1142, 967, 846, 736 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₂₀H₃₃BIO₈ [M+H]⁺: 539.1235; found: 539.1311.$

Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-phenylsulfanyl-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (7ha)



Followed **GP2** using **6h** (328 mg, 1.02 mmol) as radical trap. Purification by flash column chromatography (20% Et_2O :pentane) provided the desired **7ha** (295 mg, 0.501 mmol, 49%, dr = 9:1).

Light yellow oil; Rf: 0.29 (8:2 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.52–7.27 (m, 5H), 3.75–3.58 (m, 6H), 3.51–3.33 (m, 1H), 3.00–2.79 (m, 2H), 2.72–2.53 (m, 1H), 2.46–2.30 (m, 2H), 2.17 (dd, *J* = 13.9, 9.4 Hz, 1H), 1.61–1.41 (m, 2H), 1.23 (d, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 12H), 1.19–0.88 (m, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.6 (Cq), 172.1 (Cq), 172.1 (Cq), 137.6 (2 x CH_{Ar}), 130.7 (Cq_{Ar}), 129.4 (CH_{Ar}), 129.0 (2 x CH_{Ar}), 83.2 (Cq), 63.2 (Cq), 56.2 (Cq), 53.1 (CH₃), 52.9 (CH₃), 51.0 (CH), 42.4 (CH₂), 38.9 (CH₂), 26.9 (CH₂), 24.9 (CH₃), 24.8 (CH₃), 5.7 (CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃) 34.0; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2976, 2950, 1733, 1370, 1320, 1252, 1143, 847, 750, 694 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₂₄H₃₄BlO₆S [M+Na]⁺: 611.1214; found: 611.1091.

Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]-3-trimethyl-

silyloxy-cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (7ia)



Followed **GP2** using **6i** (223 mg, 0.742 mmol) as radical trap. Purification by flash column chromatography (15% EtOAc:heptane) provided the desired **7ia** (178 mg, 0.232 mmol, 31%, dr = 8:2).

Light yellow oil; Rf: 0.36 (8:2 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, d-Acetone) δ 3.63–3.51 (m, 6H), 3.41–3.20 (m, 1H), 2.98–2.71 (m, 1H), 2.53–2.25 (m, 3H), 1.92 (d, *J* = 21.3 Hz, 2H), 1.70–1.30 (m, 2H), 1.10 (d, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 12H), 0.82–0.51 (m, 2H), 0.12–0.06 (m, 9H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, d-Acetone) δ 173.5 (Cq), 173.3 (Cq), 173.2 (Cq), 173.0 (Cq), 86.8 (Cq), 84.6 (Cq), 84.1 (Cq), 83.9 (Cq), 83.8 (Cq), 57.2 (Cq), 56.9 (Cq), 55.0 (CH), 53.4 (CH), 53.4, 53.3 (CH₃), 51.4 (CH₃), 48.0 (CH₂), 45.4 (CH₂), 39.7 (CH₂), 37.4 (CH₂), 30.1 (CH₂), 25.5 (CH₃) 8.0 (CH₂), 2.7 (CH₃), 2.6 (CH₃), 2.4 (CH₃). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, d-Acetone) 34.0; IR (neat): $\nu_{max} = 2977$, 2952, 1733, 1372, 1321, 1250, 1143, 967, 869, 753 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₂₁H₃₈BIO₇Si [M+Na]⁺: 591.1525; found: 591.1417.

Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-phenyl-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (7ja)



Followed **GP2** using **6j** (286 mg, 0.992 mmol) as radical trap. Purification by flash column chromatography (15% EtOAc:heptanes) provided the desired **7ja** (337 mg, 0.606 mmol, 61%, dr = 6:4).

White opaque oil; Rf: 0.45 (8:2 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CD_2CI_2) δ 1H NMR 7.37–7.27 (m, 3H), 7.27–7.12 (m, 2H), 3.81–3.64 (m, 6H), 3.45–2.91 (m, 2H), 2.90–2.72 (m,

2H), 2.68–2.51 (m, 1H), 2.41–2.29 (m, 1H), 1.90 (td, J = 13.4, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 1.66–1.46 (m, 1H), 1.19 (dd, J = 10.9, 2.2 Hz, 12H), 0.61–0.13 (m, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ 173.1 (Cq), 172.8 (Cq), 172.7 (Cq), 172.6 (Cq), 144.3 (Cq_{Ar}), 142.5 (Cq_{Ar}), 128.4 (2 × CH_{Ar}), 127.7 (CH_{Ar}), 126.6 (CH_{Ar}), 126.2 (CH_{Ar}), 83.0 (Cq), 82.9 (Cq), 57.5 (Cq), 56.8 (Cq), 56.0 (Cq), 54.3 (Cq), 54.0 (Cq), 53.73 (Cq), 53.66 (CH), 53.45 (Cq), 53.19 (Cq), 53.15 (CH), 53.0 (CH₃), 52.94 (CH₃), 52.92 (CH₃), 52.89 (CH₃), 52.8 (CH₃), 43.5 (CH₂), 40.1 (CH₂), 40.0 (CH₂), 38.9 (CH₂), 34.9 (CH₂), 26.9 (CH₂), 24.7 (CH₃), 24.7 (CH₃), 24.6 (CH₃), 10.1 (CH₂), 7.0 (CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) 34.0; IR (neat): $\nu_{max} = 2977$, 2950, 2928, 1731, 1370, 1257, 1142, 967, 847, 701 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₂₄H₃₄BlO₆ [M+H]⁺: 557.1493; found: 557.1561.

Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (7ka)



Followed **GP2** using **6k** (173 mg, 0.543 mmol) as radical trap. Purification by flash column chromatography (20% Et_2O :pentane) provided the desired **7ka** (216 mg, 0.328 mmol, 56%, dr = 1:1 - 11% contaminated by radical precursor).

Light orange opaque oil; Rf: 0.45 (8:2 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.23–7.16 (m, 1H), 7.09–7.01 (m, 1H), 6.87–6.77 (m, 2H), 3.81–3.60 (m, 9H), 3.41–2.90 (m, 2H), 2.89–2.66 (m, 3H), 2.61-2.57 (m, 1H), 2.55–2.48 (m, 1H), 2.40–2.23 (m, 1H), 1.96–1.77 (m, 1H), 1.66–1.44 (m, 1H), 1.19 (dd, *J* = 7.4, 2.1 Hz, 12H), 0.64–0.20 (m, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.4 (Cq), 173.1 (Cq), 173.1 (Cq), 173.0 (Cq), 158.0 (Cq), 136.0 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 134.2 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 128.8 (CH_{*Ar*}), 127.7 (CH_{*Ar*}), 113.8 (2 x CH_{*Ar*}), 83.2 (Cq), 83.1 (Cq), 57.5 (Cq), 57.0 (Cq), 55.3 (CH₃), 53.89 (Cq), 53.78 (CH), 53.55 (Cq), 53.4 (CH), 53.2 (CH₃), 53.1 (CH₃), 53.1 (CH₃), 53.1 (CH₂), 25.0 (CH₃), 40.9 (CH₂), 40.2 (CH₂), 39.4 (CH₂), 35.0 (CH₂), 29.8 (CH₂), 27.1 (CH₂), 25.0 (CH₃), 24.9 (CH₃), 10.1 (CH₂), 7.4 (CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹**B NMR** (128 MHz, CDCl₃) 33.9; IR (neat): $\nu_{max} = 2977$, 2951, 2930, 1731, 1371, 1324, 1251, 1142, 830, 736 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₂₅H₃₆BIO₇ [M+H]⁺: 586.1599; found: 587.1670.

Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dio-xaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (7la)



Followed **GP2** using **6I** (164 mg, 0.473 mmol) as radical trap. Purification by flash column chromatography (30% Et_2O :pentane) provided the desired **7Ia** (143 mg, 0.163 mmol, 56%, dr = 6:4 - 30% contaminated by radical precursor).

Light orange opaque oil; Rf: 0.33 (7:3 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.00–7.91 (m, 2H), 7.37-7.32 (m, 1H), 7.31–7.17 (m, 1H), 3.88 (d, J = 0.9 Hz, 3H), 3.78–3.63 (m, 6H), 3.40–2.94 (m, 2H), 2.91–2.70 (m, 2H), 2.61 (dqd, J = 14.8, 6.8, 4.6 Hz, 3H), 2.54–2.30 (m, 1H), 1.89 (td, J = 12.9, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 1.68–1.45 (m, 1H), 1.17 (dd, J = 10.7, 2.3 Hz, 12H), 0.61–0.08 (m, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.2 (Cq), 172.9 (Cq), 172.8 (Cq), 172.7 (Cq), 166.9 (Cq), 149.8 (Cq_{Ar}), 148.0 (Cq_{Ar}), 129.8 (CH_{Ar}), 128.3 (CH_{Ar}), 127.8 (CH_{Ar}), 126.7 (CH_{Ar}), 83.2 (Cq), 83.1 (Cq), 57.4 (Cq), 56.9 (Cq), 56.4 (Cq), 54.7 (Cq), 53.30 (CH), 53.12 (CH₃), 52.93 (CH), 52.93 (CH₃), 52.01 (CH₃), 43.5 (CH₂), 40.2 (CH₂), 40.0 (CH₂), 39.1 (CH₂), 34.8 (CH₂), 27.2 (CH₂), 24.94 (CH₃), 24.86 (CH₃), 9.2 (CH₂), 6.4 (CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃) 33.6; IR (neat): $\nu_{max} = 2977$, 1720, 1371, 1322, 1272, 1254, 1141, 1108, 967, 846 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₂₆H₃₆BIO₈ [M+H]⁺: 615.1548; found: 615.1632.

3-(iodomethyl)-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]pyrrolidine (7ca)



Followed **GP1** using **6c** (252 mg, 1 mmol) as radical trap and ICH₂BPin as radical precursor. Purification by flash column chromatography (10% EtOAc:heptane) provided the desired **7ca** (357 mg, 0.620 mmol, 62%, dr = 6:4).

Light yellow sticky oil; Rf: 0.49 (7:3 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.71 (t, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.32 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 3.58–3.40 (m, 1H), 3.40–3.29 (m, 1H), 3.22–3.08 (m, 1H), 3.07–2.81 (m, 2H), 2.56 (dd, J = 11.2, 9.5 Hz, 1H), 2.48 (ddd, J = 10.9, 6.0, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 2.43 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 3H), 2.09–1.99 (m, 1H), 1.95–1.66 (m, 1H), 1.57–1.35 (m, 1H), 1.22 (s, 12H), 0.77–0.61 (m, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 143.7 (Cq_{Ar}), 143.6 (Cq_{Ar}), 134.0 (Cq_{Ar}), 133.5 (Cq_{Ar}), 129.9 (CH_{Ar}), 129.8 (CH_{Ar}), 127.8 (CH_{Ar}), 127.6 (CH_{Ar}), 83.4 (2 x Cq), 54.6 (CH₂), 53.6 (CH₂), 53.5 (CH₂), 51.1 (CH₂), 46.7 (CH), 46.3 (CH), 45.0 (CH), 44.7 (CH), 26.7 (CH₂), 25.0 (CH₃), 21.7 (CH₃), 21.5 (CH₂), 7.4 (CH₂), 4.3 (CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹**B NMR** (128 MHz, CDCl₃) 33.5; IR (neat): $\nu_{max} = 2976$, 2929, 2880, 1341, 1158, 1142, 966, 813, 661, 546 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₂₀H₃₁BINO₄S [M+H]⁺: 520.1112; found: 520.1179.

Benzyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (7ma)



Followed **GP1** using **6m** (231 mg, 1 mmol) as radical trap and ICH₂BPin as radical precursor. Purification by flash column chromatography (20% EtOAc:heptane) provided the desired **7ma** (338 mg, 0.677 mmol, 68% (80% brsm), dr = 6:4).

Light yellow oil; Rf: 0.31 (8:2 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.41–7.25 (m, 5H), 5.20–5.04 (m, 2H), 3.83–3.67 (m, 1H), 3.61–3.39 (m, 2H), 3.37–2.99 (m, 3H), 2.62 (dt, *J* = 10.8, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 2.15 (dq, *J* = 11.6, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 2.05–1.79 (m, 1H), 1.74–1.46 (m, 1H), 1.41–1.15 (m, 14H), 0.93–0.68 (m, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 154.8 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 137.0 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 137.0 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 128.6 (CH_{*Ar*}), 128.1 (CH_{*Ar*}), 128.0 (CH_{*Ar*}), 128.0 (CH_{*Ar*}), 128.0 (CH_{*Ar*}), 83.3 (2 x Cq), 66.9 (CH₂), 53.3 (CH₂), 52.9 (CH₂), 52.0 (CH₂), 51.8 (CH₂), 51.6 (CH₂), 49.7 (CH), 49.4 (CH), 46.6 (CH), 46.2 (CH), 45.8 (CH), 45.3 (CH), 45.0 (CH), 44.7 (CH), 44.4 (CH), 44.0 (CH), 26.3 (CH₂), 25.0 (CH₃), 7.6 (CH₂), 7.4 (CH₂), 4.9 (CH₂), 4.6 (CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B **NMR** (128 MHz, CDCl₃) 33.8; IR (neat): $\nu_{max} = 2976$, 2932, 2872, 1699, 1414, 1358, 1319, 1142, 1109, 966, 696 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₂₁H₃₁BINO₄ [M+H]⁺: 500.1391; found: 500.1454.

2-[2-[4-(iodomethyl)tetrahydrofuran-3-yl]ethyl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (7ba)



Followed **GP1** using commercial dially ether (97 mg, 0.988 mmol) as radical trap and ICH₂BPin as radical precursor. Purification by flash column chromatography (10% EtOAc:heptane) provided the desired **7ba** (92 mg, 0.251 mmol, 25%, dr = 6:4 - 30% contaminated by radical precursor).

Light yellow oil; Rf: 0.41 (8:2 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.07–3.90 (m, 2H), 3.73–3.43 (m, 2H), 3.35–3.25 (m, 1H), 3.17–3.03 (m, 1H), 2.68 (dtt, *J* = 11.4, 6.5, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 2.25–2.14 (m, 2H), 1.83 (dddd, *J* = 13.0, 8.6, 7.1, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 1.68-1.50 (m, 1H), 1.50–1.33 (m, 1H), 1.30–1.22 (m, 12H), 0.87–0.68 (m, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 83.3 (Cq), 83.3 (Cq), 74.6 (CH₂), 74.3 (CH₂), 74.1 (CH₂), 72.2 (CH₂), 48.7 (CH), 48.1 (CH), 45.7 (CH), 45.7 (CH), 27.4 (CH₂), 25.0 (CH₃), 25.0 (CH₃), 21.2 (CH₂), 9.3 (CH₂), 5.4 (CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃) 33.6.

2-[2-[4-(iodomethyl)-2-pentyl-tetrahydrofuran-3-yl]ethyl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (7na) and 2-[2-[4-(iodomethyl)-5-pentyl-tetrahydrofuran-3-yl]ethyl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (7na')



Followed **GP1** using **6n** (168 mg, 1 mmol) as radical trap and ICH₂BPin as radical precursor. Purification by flash column chromatography (Macherey-Nagel Silica 60, 0.015–0.04 mm) (10% Et₂O:pentane) provided the desired **7na** (132 mg, 0.303 mmol, 30%, dr = 6:4) and **7na**' (74 mg, 0.136 mmol, 80% contaminated by radical precursor, dr = 85:15). Ratio regioisomers: 65:45. **11d**: Yellow oil; Rf: 0.35 (9:1 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.87 (ddd, J = 13.1, 8.7, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (dt, J = 7.5, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.52 (ddd, J = 13.3, 8.6, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.30–3.20 (m, 1H), 3.05 (t, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 2.33–1.84 (m, 2H), 1.66–1.38 (m, 8H), 1.27–1.14 (m, 12H), 0.90–0.82 (m, 3H), 0.81–0.67 (m, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 85.4 (Cq), 84.3 (CH), 83.2 (CH), 83.2 (CH), 71.8 (CH₂), 71.0 (CH₂), 51.7 (CH), 49.9 (CH), 49.0 (CH), 45.5 (CH), 35.8 (CH₂), 35.0 (CH₂), 32.0 (CH₂), 31.9 (CH₂), 27.7 (CH₂), 26.0 (CH₂), 25.8 (CH₂), 25.0 (CH₃), 22.7 (CH₂), 20.7 (CH₂), 14.2 (CH₃), 10.1 (CH₂), 6.2 (CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃) 33.8; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2976, 2926, 1406, 1370, 1319, 1143, 966, 846, 672, 578 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): Calculated for C₁₈H₃₄BIO₃ [M-CH₃]⁺: 421.1486; found: 421.1406.

11d': Yellow oil; Rf: 0.44 (9:1 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.08–3.84 (m, 1H), 3.81–3.65 (m, 1H), 3.53 (dtd, J = 53.6, 7.4, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 3.35–3.21 (m, 1H), 3.19–3.02 (m, 1H), 2.73–2.60 (m, 1H), 1.89–1.66 (m, 1H), 1.63–1.34 (m, 6H), 1.25 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 12H), 0.91–0.83 (m, 3H), 0.78 (ddd, J = 9.0, 7.1, 1.6 Hz, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 86.2 (CH), 84.3 (Cq), 83.3 (Cq), 83.3 (CH), 72.9 (CH₂), 53.8 (CH), 50.6 (CH), 48.9 (CH), 45.5 (CH), 35.7 (CH₂), 32.1 (CH₂), 32.0 (CH₂), 31.9 (CH₂), 30.9 (CH₂), 26.1 (CH₂), 25.0 (CH₃), 22.8 (CH₂), 21.4 (CH₂), 14.2 (CH₃), 11.0 (CH₂), 5.8 (CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃) 33.9.

Methyl 4-(iodomethyl)-5-pentyl-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]tetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate (70a)



Followed **GP2** using **60** (225 mg, 0.994 mmol) as radical trap. Purification by flash column chromatography (Macherey-Nagel Silica 60, 0.015-0.04 mm) (15% Et₂O:pentane) provided the desired **70a** (128 mg, 0.194 mmol, 20%, single diastereomer, 25% contaminated by ICH₂BPin).

Yellow oil; Rf: 0.43 (8:2 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.01 (dd, J = 9.4, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 3.60 (ddd, J = 9.5, 6.7, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 3.30 (dd, J = 10.0, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 3.10 (dd, J = 10.0, 8.9 Hz, 1H), 2.02–1.86 (m, 2H), 1.80–1.62 (m, 2H), 1.61–1.43 (m, 2H), 1.23 (d, J = 14.6 Hz, 20H), 0.94–0.81 (m, 3H), 0.76–0.52 (m, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.2 (Cq), 86.0 (CH), 83.3 (Cq), 71.5 (CH₂), 60.2 (Cq), 54.3 (CH), 52.0 (CH₃), 36.0 (CH₂), 31.9 (CH₂), 31.8 (CH₂), 26.0 (CH₂), 24.9 (CH₃), 22.7 (CH₂), 14.1 (CH₃), 5.3 (CH₂). Due

to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃) 33.8; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2977, 2952, 2929, 1772, 1729, 1371, 1324, 1143, 967, 845 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₂₀H₃₆BIO₅ [M+H]⁺: 495.1700; found: 495.1787.

2-[2-[4-(iodomethyl)-5-pentyl-3-phenyl-tetrahydrofuran-3-yl]ethyl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (7pa)



Chemical Formula: C₂₄H₃₈BIO₃ Exact Mass: 512.1959

Followed **GP2** using **6p** (246 mg, 1.01 mmol) as radical trap. Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient 5-10-20% Et₂O:pentane) provided the desired **7pa**. Out of 4 possible diastereoisomers, 2 were collected and analyzed: **DIA1** (115 mg, 0.130 mmol, 13%, 42% contaminated by ICH₂BPin); **DIA2** (176 mg, 0.220 mmol, 22%, 36% contaminated by ICH₂BPin).

DIA1: Yellow oil; Rf: 0.60 (85:15 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.40–7.12 (m, 5H), 4.16 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (ddd, J = 9.5, 6.9, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 3.52–3.39 (m, 0H), 2.97 (dd, J = 10.0, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.44 (t, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 2.30 – 2.18 (m, 1H), 1.82 (dddd, J = 14.0, 13.0, 10.0, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 1.74–1.53 (m, 1H), 1.50–1.30 (m, 5H), 1.28–1.19 (m, 12H), 1.00–0.82 (m, 5H), 0.58–0.39 (m, 1H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 140.1 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 128.6 (2 x CH_{*Ar*}), 128.1 (2 x CH_{*Ar*}), 126.6 (CH_{*Ar*}), 86.2 (CH), 83.2 (2 x Cq), 75.0 (CH₂), 56.6 (Cq), 56.1 (CH), 36.6 (CH₂), 33.2 (CH₂), 32.0 (CH₂), 29.8 (CH₂), 26.2 (CH₂), 24.6 (CH₃), 22.8 (CH₂), 14.2 (CH₃), 7.1 (CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹**B NMR** (128 MHz, CDCl₃) 31.8; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2976, 2954, 2926, 2855, 1371, 1324, 1143, 967, 845, 701 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₂₄H₃₈BIO₃ [M+H]⁺: 513.1959; found: 513.2031.

DIA2: Yellow oil; Rf: 0.46 (85:15 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.29 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (ddd, J = 8.6, 5.9, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 3.71–3.58 (m, 1H), 3.54–3.46 (m, 1H), 3.27 (dd, J = 11.1, 9.8 Hz, 1H), 2.50 (ddd, J = 11.1, 6.1, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 2.07–1.91 (m, 1H), 1.77 (ddd, J = 13.7, 11.4, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 1.69–1.56 (m, 1H), 1.26 (d, J = 30.3 Hz, 20H), 1.03 – 0.78 (m, 5H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 144.9 (Cq_{Ar}), 128.5 (2 x CH_{Ar}), 126.8 (2 x CH_{Ar}), 126.3 (CH_A), 86.9 (CH), 84.4 (2 x Cq), 74.1 (CH₂), 57.7 (CH), 55.6 (Cq), 36.3 (CH₂), 31.8 (CH₂), 29.8 (CH₂), 27.4 (CH₂), 26.1 (CH₂), 24.6 (CH₃), 22.7 (CH₂), 14.2

(CH₃), 6.4 (CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃) 31.9; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2977, 2955, 2926, 2855, 1371, 1324, 1143, 967, 845, 733 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₂₄H₃₈BIO₃ [M+H]⁺: 513.1959; found: 513.2029.

Dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (7ab)



Followed **GP1** using **6a** (222 mg, 1.05 mmol) as radical trap and **16** as radical precursor. Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient 5-10% EtOAc:heptane) provided the desired **7ab** (393 mg, 0.733 mmol, 73%, dr = 4:4:1:1).

Light yellow oil; Rf: 0.49 (8:2 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.76–3.65 (m, 6H), 3.42–3.22 (m, 1H), 3.13–2.94 (m, 1H), 2.67–2.43 (m, 2H), 2.43–2.23 (m, 1H), 2.21–1.95 (m, 2H), 1.76–1.57 (m, 1H), 1.55–1.09 (m, 22H), 0.96 (ddt, *J* = 13.0, 11.3, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 0.87 (td, *J* = 7.0, 1.9 Hz, 3H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.1 (Cq), 173.1 (Cq), 172.9, (Cq) 83.1 (Cq), 58.6 (Cq), 58.4 (Cq), 57.7 (Cq), 52.9 (CH₃), 52.5 (CH₃), 47.6 (CH), 47.2 (CH), 45.8 (CH), 44.9 (CH), 44.1 (CH), 42.2 (CH), 42.1 (CH), 41.6 (CH₂), 41.0 (CH₂), 40.6 (CH₂), 40.3 (CH₂), 38.7 (CH₂), 38.4 (CH₂), 37.0 (CH₂), 36.0 (CH₂), 34.6 (CH₂), 32.0 (CH₂), 31.5 (CH₂), 31.5 (CH₂), 31.4 (CH₂), 31.3 (CH₂), 30.9 (CH₂), 30.6 (CH₂), 30.3 (CH₂), 25.0 (CH₃), 24.9 (CH₃), 24.9 (CH₃), 23.1 (CH₂), 23.0 (CH₂), 14.2 (CH₃), 11.4 (CH₂), 11.1 (CH₂), 8.7 (CH₂), 8.2 (CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃) 33.9; IR (neat): $\nu_{max} = 2976$, 2923, 1731, 1434, 1387, 1315, 1252, 1142, 952, 939 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₂₂H₃₈BlO₆ [M+H]⁺: 537.1391; found: 555.1879.

Dimethyl 3-(1-iodoethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (7db)



Followed **GP1** using **6d** (223 mg, 0.984 mmol) as radical trap and **16** as radical precursor. Purification by flash column chromatography (15% Et_2O :pentane) provided the desired **7db** (137 mg, 0.249 mmol, 25% (81% brsm)).

Light yellow oil; Rf: 0.51 (8:2 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.52–3.95 (m, 1H), 3.76–3.64 (m, 6H), 2.69–2.55 (m, 1H), 2.53–2.36 (m, 2H), 2.35–2.19 (m, 2H), 2.15 (d, *J* = 12.8 Hz, 1H), 2.00–1.72 (m, 4H), 1.53–1.11 (m, 21H), 1.06–0.83 (m, 6H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.7, 173.7, 173.6, 173.5, 173.5, 173.5, 173.4, 173.4, 173.3, 173.2, 173.1, 173.1, 173.0, 172.9, 172.8, 172.8, 172.6, 172.5, 83.2, 83.1, 83.1, 83.1, 83.1, 83.0, 83.0, 83.0, 59.7, 59.6, 59.0, 58.9, 58.0, 57.8, 57.7, 57.6, 57.5, 54.6, 54.5, 54.1, 54.0, 54.0, 53.1, 53.0, 53.0, 53.0, 52.9, 52.8, 52.8, 52.7, 52.7, 52.7, 45.5, 44.9, 43.8, 43.2, 42.4, 42.1, 42.0, 41.7, 41.2, 40.0, 40.0, 39.9, 39.3, 38.9, 38.8, 38.6, 38.5, 38.4, 37.1, 37.0, 36.8, 36.6, 36.3, 35.7, 35.5, 34.2, 32.5, 32.2, 32.1, 31.7, 31.6, 31.5, 31.4, 31.3, 31.2, 30.8, 30.2, 30.1, 30.1, 29.8, 29.7, 29.4, 29.2, 29.0, 28.6, 28.6, 28.5, 28.4, 28.2, 28.1, 27.0, 26.6, 25.6, 25.6, 25.3, 25.2, 25.0, 25.0, 25.0, 24.9, 24.9, 24.9, 24.7, 23.7, 23.4, 23.2, 23.1, 23.1, 23.1, 23.0, 23.0, 22.1, 21.3, 21.1, 14.2, 14.3, 13.7, 1249, 1142, 856, 732 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): Calculated for C₂₃H₄₀BIO₆ [M-CH₅O₂]⁺: 375.2914; found: 375.2708.

Dimethyl (3E)-3-(1-iodoethylidene)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (7sb)



Followed **GP1** using **6s** (226 mg, 1.01 mmol) as radical trap and **16** as radical precursor. Purification by flash column chromatography (10% Et_2O :pentane) provided the desired **7sb** (125 mg, 0.228 mmol, 23% (48% brsm), dr = 42:37:15:13).

Light yellow oil; Rf: 0.51 (8:2 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.76–3.62 (m, 6H), 3.13–2.84 (m, 2H), 2.82–2.52 (m, 2H), 2.52–2.39 (m, 3H), 2.14 (ddd, *J* = 17.6, 8.3, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 1.72–1.32 (m, 4H), 1.32–1.09 (m, 18H), 1.01–0.80 (m, 4H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.7 (Cq), 172.2 (Cq), 172.1 (Cq), 172.1 (Cq), 172.1 (Cq), 172.0 (Cq), 172.0 (Cq), 172.0 (Cq), 172.0 (Cq), 60.0 (Cq), 60.0 (Cq), 58.5 (Cq), 58.5 (Cq), 58.3 (Cq), 53.0 (2 × CH₃), 48.8 (CH), 48.2 (CH₂), 47.4 (CH), 42.1 (CH), 41.5 (CH₂), 40.4 (CH₂), 40.1 (CH₂), 40.0 (CH₂), 39.7 (CH), 38.0 (CH₂), 38.0 (CH₂), 37.5 (CH₂), 37.4 (CH₂), 36.7 (CH₂), 36.7 (CH₂), 35.4 (CH₂), 33.9 (CH₂), 32.2 (CH₂), 32.1 (CH₂), 31.9 (CH₂), 21.7 (CH₂), 21.6 (CH₂), 21.0 (CH₃), 29.7 (CH₂), 29.4 (CH₂), 29.0 (CH₂), 25.3 (CH₃), 25.0 (CH₃), 24.9 (CH₃), 23.1 (CH₂), 23.0 (CH₂), 14.6 (CH₃), 14.2 (CH₃), 14.2 (CH₃), 14.1 (CH₃). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃) 34.4; IR (neat): $\nu_{max} = 2976$, 2953, 2925, 1735, 1379, 1315, 1248, 1142, 965, 859 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): Calculated for C₂₃H₃₈BIO₆ [M+H]*: 549.1806; found: 549.1871.

3-(iodomethyl)-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)-4-[1-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)pentyl]pyrrolidine (7cb)



Followed **GP1** using **6c** (251 mg, 0.997 mmol) as radical trap and **16** as radical precursor. Purification by flash column chromatography (2% Et_2O :pentane) provided the desired **7cb** (310 mg, 0.552 mmol, 55% (81% brsm), dr = 3:3:2:2).

Colorless sticky oil; Rf: 0.27 (8:2 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ 7.73–7.62 (m, 2H), 7.38-7.29 (m, 2H), 3.57–3.29 (m, 2H), 3.28–3.08 (m, 1H), 3.04–2.69 (m, 2H), 2.57–2.44 (m, 1H), 2.42 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 3H), 2.13–2.03 (m, 1H), 1.93–1.68 (m, 1H), 1.41–1.06 (m, 20H), 0.93–0.74 (m, 5H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ 144.2 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 144.1 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 144.0 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 134.2 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 134.2 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 133.8 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 133.7 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 130.2 (CH_{*Ar*}), 130.1 (CH_{*Ar*}), 128.0 (CH_{*Ar*}), 127.8 (CH_{*Ar*}), 84.3 (2 x Cq), 83.5 (2 x Cq), 54.76 (CH₂), 54.74 (CH₂), 54.3 (CH₂), 54.2 (CH₂), 54.1 (CH₂), 54.0 (CH₂), 53.8 (CH₂), 53.4 (CH₂), 51.7 (CH₂), 51.6 (CH₂), 47.0 (CH), 46.7 (CH), 45.8 (CH), 45.1 (CH), 44.6 (CH), 44.1 (CH), 42.2 (CH), 42.1 (CH), 34.8 (CH₂), 33.7 (CH₂), 32.1 (CH₂), 25.1 (CH₃), 25.0 (CH₃), 23.4 (CH₂), 23.3 (CH₂), 21.7 (CH₃), 14.2 (CH₃), 8.2 (CH₂), 7.8 (CH₂), 5.1 (CH₂), 4.5 (CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) 33.7; IR (neat): $\nu_{max} = 2975$, 2955, 2923, 2855, 1318, 1141, 1109, 662, 586, 546 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): Calculated for C₂₄H₃₉BINO₄S [M+H]⁺: 576.1738; found: 576.1805.

Benzyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (7mb)



Chemical Formula: C₂₅H₃₉BINO₄ Exact Mass: 555.2017

Followed **GP1** using **6m** (235 mg, 1.02 mmol) as radical trap and **16** as radical precursor. Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient: 15-40% Et₂O:pentane) provided the desired **7mb** (231 mg, 0.416 mmol, 41% (83% brsm), dr = 3:3:2:2).

Colorless sticky oil; Rf: 0.27 (7:3 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, DMSO) δ 7.41 – 7.26 (m, 5H), 5.10 – 4.98 (m, 2H), 3.71 – 3.08 (m, 6H), 2.99 (ddt, *J* = 22.3, 18.9, 8.3 Hz, 1H), 2.61 – 2.51 (m, 1H), 2.20 (tt, *J* = 10.1, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 1.85 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 1.62 – 1.10 (m, 21H), 0.85 (tq, *J* = 7.2, 3.6 Hz, 4H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, DMSO) δ 154.0 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 154.0 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 153.9 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 153.7 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 137.1 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 136.9 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 128.4 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 128.3 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 127.8 (CH_{*Ar*}), 127.7 (CH_{*Ar*}), 127.6 (CH_{*Ar*}), 127.5 (CH_{*Ar*}), 127.4 (CH_{*Ar*}), 82.8 (4 x Cq), 65.9 (CH₂), 65.8 (CH₂), 65.7 (CH₂), 54.9 (CH₂), 52.5 (CH₂), 52.1 (CH₂), 52.0 (CH₂), 51.5 (CH₂), 51.2 (CH₂), 50.8 (CH₂), 50.5 (CH₂), 49.5 (CH₂), 49.4 (CH₂), 49.0 (CH₂), 48.9 (CH₂), 45.2 (CH), 44.4 (CH), 44.3 (CH), 44.0 (CH), 43.6 (CH), 43.5 (CH), 43.3 (CH), 42.6 (CH), 42.4 (CH), 41.5 (CH₂), 24.7 (CH₃), 24.6 (CH₃), 24.6 (CH₃), 24.5 (CH₃), 22.4 (CH₂), 22.3 (CH₂), 13.9 (CH₃), 10.1 (CH₂), 7.2 (CH₂), 6.9 (CH₂), 6.6 (CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, DMSO) 36.6; IR (neat): $\nu_{max} = 2975$, 2953, 2924, 1702, 1414, 1358, 1315, 1141, 1112, 695 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): Calculated for C₂₅H₃₉BINO₄ [M+H]⁺: 555.2017; found: 555.2088.

Methyl 4-(iodomethyl)-5-pentyl-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]tetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate (7ob)



Followed **GP1** using **60** (87.6 mg, 0.387 mmol) as radical trap and 4 eq. of **16** as radical precursor. Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient: 4-6% Et_2O :pentane) provided the desired **7ob** (88 mg, 0.160 mmol, 41%, dr = 42:37:13:3:3:2).

Colorless sticky oil; Rf: 0.31 (94:6 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ 3.75 (dd, *J* = 9.3, 7.9 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (t, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 3.62–3.48 (m, 3H), 3.34 (dt, *J* = 10.1, 4.3 Hz, 1H),

3.17-3.03 (m, 1H), 2.18-1.94 (m, 1H), 1.89-1.62 (m, 1H), 1.62-1.44 (m, 3H), 1.41-1.18 (m, 25H), 0.88 (dt, J = 11.0, 6.7 Hz, 6H), 0.82–0.67 (m, 1H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ 181.2 (Cq), 175.3 (Cq), 175.1 (Cq), 173.7 (Cq), 173.7 (Cq), 87.5 (Cq), 86.0 (CH), 85.9 (CH), 85.9 (CH), 84.3 (Cq), 83.8 (Cq), 83.7 (Cq), 83.6 (Cq), 83.5 (Cq), 83.4 (Cq), 76.4 (CH₂), 72.5 (CH₂), 72.5 (CH₂), 72.0 (CH₂), 70.4 (CH₂), 70.1 (CH₂), 60.1 (Cq), 59.9 (Cq), 58.9 (Cq), 58.8 (Cq), 57.8 (Cq), 57.4 (Cq), 55.2 (CH), 54.7 (CH), 54.3 (CH), 54.0 (CH), 53.8 (CH), 53.4 (CH), 52.3 (CH₃), 52.1 (CH₃), 52.0 (CH₃), 50.8 (CH₃), 39.0 (CH₂), 38.9 (CH₂), 36.4 (CH₂), 36.3 (CH₂), 36.1 (CH₂), 36.0 (CH₂), 35.1 (CH₂), 34.4 (CH₂), 33.8 (CH₂), 33.3 (CH₂), 32.9 (CH₂), 32.9 (CH₂), 32.6 (CH₂), 32.2 (CH₂), 32.1 (CH₂), 31.8 (CH₂), 31.6 (CH₂), 31.4 (CH₂), 31.4 (CH₂), 31.3 (CH₂), 31.1 (CH₂), 26.3 (CH₂), 26.3 (CH₂), 26.2 (CH₂), 26.1 (CH₂), 26.0 (CH₂), 25.2 (CH₃), 25.2 (CH₃), 25.1 (CH₃), 25.1 (CH₃), 25.1 (CH₃), 25.0 (CH₃), 23.3 (CH₂), 23.3 (CH₂), 23.2 (CH₂), 23.2 (CH₂), 23.0 (CH₂), 22.4 (CH₂), 20.6 (CH₂), 14.3 (CH₃), 14.2 (CH₃), 14.1 (CH₃), 5.8 (CH₂), 5.7 (CH₂), 5.2 (CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹**B NMR** (128 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) 34.0; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2954, 2926, 2857, 1772, 1729, 1371, 1316, 1142, 967, 840 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): Calculated for C₂₄H₄₄BIO₅ [M+H]⁺: 551.2326; found: 551.2394.

(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-[1-[(4-methyl-5-pentyl-3-phenyl-2H-furan-3-yl)methyl]pentyl]-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (7pb)



Followed **GP1** using **6p** (125 mg, 0.510 mmol) as radical trap and 4 eq. of **16** as radical precursor. Purification by flash column chromatography (2% Et_2O :pentane) provided the **7pb** (77 mg, 0.159 mmol, 31%, dr = 57:43) as final compound.

Colorless sticky oil; Rf: 0.35 (98:2 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.31–7.06 (m, 5H), 4.33–4.04 (m, 2H), 2.27–1.99 (m, 3H), 1.92–1.62 (m, 1H), 1.51–1.10 (m, 29H), 0.97 (ddtd, J = 20.2, 10.1, 6.4, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 0.82 (dtd, J = 10.6, 7.1, 3.8 Hz, 6H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 152.8 (Cq_{Ar}), 151.9 (Cq_{Ar}), 147.8 (Cq_{Ar}), 147.0 (Cq_{Ar}), 128.2 (2 x CH_{Ar}), 126.8 (2 x CH_{Ar}), 126.0 (CH_{Ar}), 109.6 (Cq), 108.1 (Cq), 84.0 (Cq), 83.1 (Cq), 80.8 (CH₂), 80.2 (CH₂), 57.3 (Cq), 57.1 (Cq), 36.5 (CH₂), 36.0 (CH₂), 34.7 (CH₂), 33.6 (CH₂), 33.4 (CH₂), 31.7 (CH₂), 31.4 (CH₂), 31.3 (CH₂), 27.0 (CH₂), 26.9 (CH₂), 26.3 (CH₂), 26.3 (CH₂), 25.3

 (CH_3) , 25.1 (CH_3) , 24.9 (CH_3) , 24.5 (CH_3) , 24.3 (CH_3) , 23.2 (CH_2) , 23.1 (CH_2) , 22.6 (CH_2) , 22.1 (CH_2) , 14.2 (CH_3) , 14.1 (CH_3) , 8.5 (CH_3) , 8.4 (CH_3) . Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃) 33.7; HRMS (EI): Calculated for $C_{28}H_{45}BO_3$ [M+H]⁺: 441.3462; found: 441.3532.

3.10.4 Deuteration experiments

Preparation of TributyItin deuteride (SnBu₃D)

All glassware was oven/flame dried and cooled under argon. The reaction was performed under Argon atmosphere.



A flame-dried and cooled under Argon 25 mL round bottom flask was filled with dry and degassed Et₂O (20 mL). The solvent was cooled to 0 °C using an ice bath and LiAlD₄ (860 mg, 20.0 mmol) was added portion wise over 10 min while stirring. Once the addition finished, the solution was let to stir at room temperature for 20 min for full solubilization. Afterwards, it was let without stirring to decantate the solid for around 10 min. The obtained solution was taken up with a syringe (no filter), portion-wise and transferred to the reaction mixture. Another two-neck round bottom flask equipped with a condenser was charged with dry degassed Et₂O (20 mL). Bu₃SnCl (2.8 mL, 10.0 mmol) was added and the solution was cooled to 0 °C using an ice bath. The previously prepared LiAID₄ (20.0 mL, 20.0 mmol, 1.0M in Et₂O) solution was added via syringe dropwise at 0 °C over 15 min and let to stir for another 15 min at this temperature. Afterwards, the reaction mixture was let to warm up to 40 °C and let to stir until full conversion (2 - 5h, monitoring by ¹³C NMR in C₆D₆). Upon completion, the reaction mixture cooled down to rt and then to 0 °C using an ice bath. D₂O (2 mL) was slowly added to quench the active species, until no more gas evolution was observed (stir for around 1h). Then dry Na₂SO₄ was added as a solid in the reaction mixture. The mixture was stirred for 1-2 minutes and then was let to sediment. The solution was taken up with a syringe and filtered through dry Na₂SO₄/Celite pad (30 mL volume) under small vacuum using a 50 mL syringe as a drying installation and 100 mL conic flask with a stirring bar. More dry Et₂O (15 mL) was added to wash off all the product in the collecting flask. After concentration under reduced pressure (no more than 15 minutes), the obtained Et₂O solution was concentrated under high vacuum while stirring and heating using an oil bath at 30 °C. The obtained colorless oil (2.15 g, 7.36 mmol, 74%) corresponded to the desired product and was used as such without further purification.

Colorless oil; ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.71–1.44 (m, 6H), 1.42–1.27 (m, 6H), 1.07–0.82 (m, 15H); ²**H NMR** (61 MHz, Lock_Off, CHCl₃) 5.09; ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 30.3 (CH₂), 27.5 (CH₂), 13.9 (CH₂), 8.3 (CH₃); ¹¹⁹**Sn NMR** (149 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -90.43 (t, *J* = 242.7, 241.7 Hz).

The physical and spectral data are in accordance with literature data: Lu, Y.; Yamago, S. *Angewandte Chemie* **2019**, *131* (12), 3992–3996.

A) Deuteration experiment + Oxidation of Dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (21+21')



A 100 mL two-neck round-bottom flask under nitrogen was charged with dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (150 mg, 0.312 mmol) and tributyl tin deuteride (137 mg, 0.467 mmol) and dry benzene (30 mL). AIBN (10 mg, 0.06 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at 90 °C for 3h. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aq. solution of K₂CO₃ (50 mL). The phases were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (50 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to deliver the crude a colorless oil. The crude was transferred into a 10 mL one-neck round bottom flask, and dissolved in H₂O/THF (1:1, 8 mL). Sodium perborate (481 mg, 3.12 mmol) was then added in one portion and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt under N₂ atmosphere for 16h. The reaction mixture was partitioned between EtOAc (20 mL) and water (20 mL). The phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted EtOAc (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (25 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a colorless oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (60% Et2O:pentane) delivered the deuterated alcohols 21 and 21' in a 4:6 ratio (58 mg, 0.237 mmol, 76% (over two steps)).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.4 (1:1 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.69 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 6H), 3.67–3.58 (m, 2H), 2.58–2.30 (m, 2H), 2.22–2.02 (m, 2H), 2.01–1.94 (m, 1H), 1.90–1.67 (m, 2H), 1.67–1.48 (m, 1H), 1.48–1.20 (m, 1H), 1.00–0.79 (m, 3H); ²**H NMR** (61 MHz, Lock_Off, CHCl₃) 3.6, 1.0, 0.8.; ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.6 (Cq), 173.5 (Cq), 173.4 (Cq), 62.0 (CH₂), 61.9 - 61.4 (CH-D), 58.9 (Cq), 58.4 (Cq), 52.8 (CH₃), 43.7 (CH), 42.7 (CH₂), 42.6 (CH₂), 41.6 (CH₂), 41.6 (CH), 40.7 (CH₂), 40.4 (CH), 40.3 (CH), 39.2 (CH), 38.5 (CH₂), 36.5 (CH₂),

36.4 (CH₂), 36.0 (CH), 35.9 (CH), 32.9 (CH₂), 32.7 (CH₂), 17.8 (CH₃), 17.6 - 17.5 (CH₂-D), 15.0 (CH₃), 14.7-13.7 (CH₂-D); IR (neat): ν_{max} = 3373, 2953, 2931, 2875, 1727, 1434, 1249, 1198, 1167, 1056 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): Calculated for C₁₂H₁₉DO₅ [M-CH₄O]⁺: 214.1274; found: 214.1187.

B) Deuteration experiment + Oxidation of Dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (22+22')



Step 1: A 100 mL two-neck round-botton flask under Argon was charged with dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (161 mg, 0.3 mmol), tributyl tin deuteride (131 mg, 0.450 mmol) and dry benzene (30 mL). AIBN (9 mg, 0.06 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at 90 °C for 3h. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aq. solution of K_2CO_3 (50 mL). The phases were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (50 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to deliver the crude (100 mg, 0.243 mmol, 81% crude) as a colorless oil.

Step 2: A fraction of the crude product (77 mg, 0.186 mg) was transferred into a 10 mL oneneck round bottom flask and dissolved in H₂O/THF (1:1, 4.4 mL). Sodium perborate (287 mg, 1.86 mmol, 10 eq) was then added in one portion and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt under N₂ atmosphere for 16h. The reaction mixture was partitioned between EtOAc (20 mL) and water (20 mL). The phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted EtOAc (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (25 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the crude product. Purification by flash column chromatography (30% Et2O:pentane) delivered the desired alcohols **22** and **22**' in a 9:95 ratio (45 mg, 0.150 mmol, 80%; 65% over the two steps).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.4 (1:1 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.69 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 6H), 2.64–2.30 (m, 2H), 2.24–2.10 (m, 2H), 2.06–1.94 (m, 2H), 1.82–1.19 (m, 10H), 1.00–0.78 (m, 6H); ²**H NMR** (61 MHz, Lock_Off, CHCl₃) 3.58; ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.6 (Cq), 173.6 (Cq), 173.5 (Cq), 173.4 (Cq), 173.4 (Cq), 70.9 (Cq-D), 70.7 (Cq-D), 70.5 (Cq-D), 70.5 (Cq-D), 70.3 (Cq-D), 70.1 (Cq-D), 69.9 (Cq-D), 58.9 (Cq), 58.6 (Cq), 58.4 (Cq), 52.9 (CH₃), 52.8 (CH₃), 52.8 (CH₃), 44.5 (CH), 43.1 (CH), 42.8 (CH₂), 42.5 (CH₂), 41.7 (CH₂), 41.6 (CH₂), 41.5 (CH₂), 41.4 (CH₂), 41.2 (CH₂), 40.7 (CH), 40.6 (CH₂), 40.5 (CH), 39.7 (CH), 39.0 (CH), 38.8 (CH₂), 38.4 (CH₂), 38.1 (CH₂), 38.0 (CH₂), 37.8 (CH₂), 37.7 (CH₂), 37.6 (CH₂), 37.5 (CH₂),

37.4 (CH₂), 37.1 (CH₂), 36.5 (CH), 35.7 (CH), 28.0 (CH₂), 27.9 (CH₂), 27.8 (CH₂), 22.9 (CH₂), 22.8 (CH₂), 18.0 (CH₃), 17.6 (CH₃), 15.2 (CH₃), 15.1 (CH₃), 14.2 (CH₃); IR (neat): ν_{max} = 3466, 2954, 2929, 2872, 1730, 1434, 1251, 1251, 1146, 1058 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): Calculated for C₁₆H₂₇DO₅ [M-C₄H₉]⁺: 244.2000; found: 244.1295.

3.10.5 Post-functionalized products

Difference of reactivity between alkyl pinacol boronic esters and alkyl ethylpinacol boronic esters

4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-2-octyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (10)



Boron trichloride (10.2 mL, 10.2 mmol, 1.0 M in DCM) was added to a flask containing a stirring bar under argon atmosphere. A solution of oct-1-ene (1.6 mL, 10.2 mmol) and triethylsilane (1.65 mL, 10.2 mmol) in DCM (20 mL) was then added dropwise to the BCl₃ solution at room temperature. After 1 h, 3,4-diethylhexane-3,4-diol (2.67 g, 15.3 mmol) was added portionwise (vigorous evolution of HCl gas) at room temperature and the reaction mixture was stirred for another 16 h. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give the crude boronic ester as an orange oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (5% EtOAc:heptanes) delivered the desired **10** (2.67 g, 9.03 mmol, 89%).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.35 (96:4 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.65 (qd, J = 7.4, 3.8 Hz, 8H), 1.40 (d, J = 14.8 Hz, 2H), 1.25 (s, 10H), 0.90 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 15H), 0.76 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 0.58–0.46 (m, 1H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 88.0 (Cq), 32.6 (CH₂), 32.1 (CH₂), 29.6 (CH₂), 29.4 (CH₂), 26.5 (4 x CH₂), 24.4 (CH₂), 22.8 (CH₂), 14.3 (CH₃), 9.0 (4 x CH₃). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (96 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 33.5; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2923, 2884, 2854, 1458, 1376, 1348, 1285, 1115, 931, 740 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₈H₃₇BO₂ [M+H]⁺: 297.2887; found: 297.2959.

The product was prepared according to a procedure reported in the literature: Clausen, F.; Kischkewitz, M.; Bergander, K.; Studer, A. *Chemical Science* **2019**, *10* (24), 6210–6214.

Dodecyl(phenyl)sulfane (13)



A flame-dried two neck round-bottom flask, equipped with a reflux condenser, was charged with 2- dodecyl-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (302 mg, 1.02 mmol) and PhSO₂SPh (376 mg, 1.53 mmol), benzene (5 mL, 0.2 M), and MeOBcat (0.3 mL, 0.3 mmol, 1.0 ML in benzene), TMSOTf (0.2 mL, 0.01 mmol, 0.05 M in DCM,), MeOH (5 μ l, 0.122 mmol) and DTBHN (5 mg, 0.029 mmol). The contents were heated up to 70 °C in a pre-heated oil bath and stirred overnight. The mixture was partitioned between Et₂O (30 mL) and water (30 mL). The water phase was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (50 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a dark-brown oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (5% EtOAc:heptanes) delivered the desired **13** (215 mg, 0.772 mmol, 78

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.58 (100% pentane); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.39–7.12 (m, 5H), 2.94 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 6.8 Hz, 2H), 1.67 (tt, *J* = 8.3, 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.43 (q, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 1.28 (s, 16H), 0.94–0.85 (m, 3H); ¹3**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 137.2 (Cq), 129.0 (CH), 128.9 (CH), 125.8 (CH), 117.6 (CH), 33.7 (CH₂), 32.1 (CH₂), 29.8 (CH₂), 29.7 (CH₂), 29.7 (CH₂), 29.5 (CH₂), 29.3 (CH₂), 29.3 (CH₂), 29.0 (CH₂), 22.8 (CH₂), 14.3 (CH₃).

lodohydroxyborylation



Dimethyl 3-(2-hydroxyethyl)-4-(iodomethyl)cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (23aa)

To a solution of dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-(2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl)cyclopentane-1,1- dicarboxylate (664 mg, 1.35 mmol, 1 eq) in THF/H₂O (1:1, 23 mL) was added sodium perborate (2.08 g, 13.5 mmol) in one portion. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 14h. The reaction mixture was then partitioned between Et_2O (20 mL) and water (25 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with Et_2O (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (25 mL), dried over Na_2SO_4 , filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a colorless oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (40% EtOAc:heptanes) delivered the desired **23aa** (456 mg, 1.23 mmol, 91%, dr = 8:2).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.35 (1:1 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.79–3.63 (m, 8H), 3.21 (dd, J = 9.7, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.08 (t, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 2.64–2.48 (m, 2H), 2.44–2.35 (m, 1H), 2.32–2.10 (m, 3H), 1.87–1.64 (m, 2H), 1.52–1.30 (m, 3H).

The physical and spectral data are in accordance with literature data: Kitagawa, O.; Inoue, T.; Hirano, K.; Taguchi, T. *Journal of Organic Chemistry* **1993**, *58* (11), 3106–3112.

Dimethyl 3-(2-hydroxyhexyl)-4-(iodomethyl)cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (23ab)



To a solution of dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl- 1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (233 mg, 0.415 mmol) in THF/H₂O (1:1, 10.4 mL) was added sodium perborate (639 mg, 4.15 mmol) in one portion. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 16h. The reaction mixture was then partitioned between EtOAc (20 mL) and water (25 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (25 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the crude product as a colorless oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (30% EtOAc:heptanes) delivered the desired **23ab** (146 mg, 0.342 mmol, 83%, dr = 4:4:1:1).

Orange oil; Rf: 0.4 (7:3 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.73–3.66 (m, 6H), 3.59 (dddt, *J* = 14.8, 7.0, 5.1, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 3.39–3.13 (m, 1H), 3.02 (td, *J* = 9.5, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.70–2.44 (m, 2H), 2.43–2.31 (m, 1H), 2.23–2.08 (m, 2H), 1.57–1.21 (m, 8H), 0.88 (tt, *J* = 7.3, 2.3 Hz, 3H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.6 (Cq), 173.5 (Cq), 173.4 (Cq), 173.2 (Cq), 173.1 (Cq), 173.0 (Cq), 172.9 (Cq), 172.8 (Cq), 172.8 (Cq), 172.8 (Cq), 70.9 (Cq), 70.8 (CH), 70.4 (CH), 70.2 (CH), 70.0 (CH), 58.8 (Cq), 58.5 (Cq), 57.9 (Cq), 57.7 (Cq), 53.0 (CH₃), 52.9 (CH₃), 52.8 (CH₃), 52.8 (CH₃), 52.8 (CH₃), 47.2 (CH), 46.9 (CH), 45.7 (CH), 45.6 (CH), 42.5 (CH), 41.5 (CH₂), 41.3 (CH₂), 41.3 (CH₂), 41.2 (CH₂), 41.0 (CH₂), 40.7 (CH₂), 40.1 (CH₂), 40.0 (CH), 39.9 (CH₂), 39.0 (CH), 38.7 (CH₂), 38.3 (CH₂), 38.2 (CH₂), 38.2 (CH₂), 38.1

 $\begin{aligned} ({\rm CH}_2),\,37.4\,({\rm CH}_2),\,37.3\,({\rm CH}_2),\,36.3\,({\rm CH}_2),\,36.0\,({\rm CH}_2),\,27.9\,({\rm CH}_2),\,27.9\,({\rm CH}_2),\,27.8\,({\rm CH}_2),\,27.7\\ ({\rm CH}_2),\,27.6\,({\rm CH}_2),\,22.8\,({\rm CH}_2),\,22.7\,({\rm CH}_2),\,22.7\,({\rm CH}_2),\,14.1\,({\rm CH}_3),\,11.2\,({\rm CH}_2),\,10.4\,({\rm CH}_2),\,7.7\\ ({\rm CH}_2),\,7.6\,({\rm CH}_2);\,{\rm IR}\,({\rm neat}):\,\nu_{max}\,=\,3459,\,2952,\,2927,\,2858,\,1727,\,1434,\,1253,\,1197,\,1164,\,731\,{\rm cm}^{-1};\,{\rm HRMS}\,({\rm ESI}):\,{\rm Calculated}\,\,{\rm for}\,\,{\rm C}_{16}{\rm H}_{27}{\rm IO}_5\,[{\rm M}+{\rm Na}]^+:\,449.0903;\,{\rm found}:\,449.0785. \end{aligned}$

2-(4-(iodomethyl)-1-tosylpyrrolidin-3-yl)ethan-1-ol (23ca)



To a solution of 3-(iodomethyl)-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetraethyl- 1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2yl)ethyl]pyrrolidine (173 mg, 0.301 mg) in THF/H₂O (1:1, 7.4 mL) was added sodium perborate (463 mg, 3.01 mmol) in one portion. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 16h. The reaction mixture was then partitioned between EtOAc (50 mL) and water (50 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (25 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the crude product as a colorless oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (60% EtOAc:heptanes) delivered the desired **23ca** (108 mg, 0.259 mmol, 86%, dr = 6:4).

Light yellow oil; Rf: 0.2 (1:1 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.69 (dd, J = 8.1, 5.2 Hz, 2H), 7.32 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 3.59 (qd, J = 6.9, 3.4 Hz, 2H), 3.54 – 3.17 (m, 3H), 3.14–2.89 (m, 2H), 2.64 (t, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 2.49 (dt, J = 10.7, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 2.42 (s, 3H), 2.35–2.22 (m, 1H), 1.71 – 1.49 (m, 2H), 1.43–1.20 (m, 1H); ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 143.8 (Cq_{Ar}), 133.7 (Cq_{Ar}), 133.2 (Cq_{Ar}), 129.9 (2 x CH_{Ar}), 127.7 (CH_{Ar}), 127.5 (CH_{Ar}), 60.9 (CH₂), 60.8 (CH₂), 54.1 (CH₂), 53.6 (CH₂), 53.1 (CH₂), 51.2 (CH₂), 46.3 (CH), 45.0 (CH), 41.7 (CH), 39.2 (CH), 34.9 (CH₂), 29.8 (CH₂), 26.3 (CH₂), 8.8 (CH₃), 7.0 (CH₂), 3.9 (CH₂); IR (neat): ν_{max} = 3525, 2935, 2879, 1596, 1336, 1289, 1155, 1015, 812, 584 cm⁻¹ ; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₄H₂₁O₃NIS [M+H]⁺: 410.0209; found: 410.0283.

Hydroborylalkylation

Dimethyl 3-methyl-4-(2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl)cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (24aa)



An oven-dried 10 mL two-neck round bottom flask was charged with dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (250 mg, 0.521 mmol), TFT (1.5 mL), hypophosphorous acid (0.550 mL, 10.1 mmol, 50 % in H₂O₂), Et₃N (0.770 mL, 11.0 mmol) and AIBN (16 mg, 0.2 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated at 90 °C for 1.5 h. After partial cooling, another portion of AIBN (16 mg, 0.2 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture heated at 90 °C for an additional 1.5 h. The reaction mixture was then cooled down to rt, partitioned between TBME (25 mL) and 2 M aq. HCl (15 mL). The organic phase was washed with 1 M aq. HCl (15 mL), and the combined aqueous phases were back-extracted with TBME (1 x 10 mL). The combined organic phases were successively washed with 2 M aq. NaOH (15 mL), 1 M aq. NaOH (15 mL), sat. aq. Na₂S₂O₃ (25 mL), sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (25 mL), and brine (25 mL), then dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Filtration over a SiO₂ pad, using Et₂O as eluent (200 mL), delivered the desired **24aa** (159 mg, 0.449 mmol, 86%, dr = 8:2).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.33 (8:2 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.70 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 6H), 2.60–2.30 (m, 2H), 2.16 (qd, *J* = 7.0, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 2.03–1.84 (m, 3H), 1.55 (d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 1.44 (ddt, *J* = 14.9, 11.8, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 1.32 (ddt, *J* = 11.9, 8.1, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 1.24 (s, 12H), 0.82 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.80–0.66 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.8 (Cq), 173.6 (Cq), 83.1 (Cq), 59.0 (Cq), 58.3 (Cq), 52.8 (CH₃), 52.7 (CH₃), 49.2 (CH), 45.2 (CH), 43.1 (CH₂), 41.9 (CH₂), 40.5 (CH₂), 40.0 (CH), 38.4 (CH₂), 35.6 (CH), 29.9 (CH₂), 27.6 (CH₂), 25.0 (CH₃), 24.0 (CH₂), 18.0 (CH₃), 14.9 (CH₃). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃) 34.0; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2976, 2953, 2930, 1732, 1371, 1315, 1246, 1143, 966, 846 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₈H₃₁BO₆ [M+H]⁺: 355.2214; found: 355.2287.

3-methyl-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]pyrrolidine (24ca)



An oven-dried 10 mL one-neck round bottom flask was charged withwith 3-(iodomethyl)-1-(p-tolylsulfo-nyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]pyrrolidine (342 mg, 0.659 mmol), TFT (2 mL), hypophosphorous acid (0.730 mL, 6.66 mmol, 50 % in H₂O), Et₃N (1 mL, 7.25 mmol) and AIBN (21.6 mg, 0.132 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated at 90 °C for 1.5 h. After partial cooling, another portion of AIBN (21.6 mg, 0.132 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture heated at 90 °C for an additional 1.5 h. The mixture was then cooled to rt, partitioned between TBME (25 mL) and 2 M aq. HCl (15 mL). The organic phase was washed with 1 M aq. HCl (15 mL), and the combined aqueous phases were back-extracted with TBME (1 x 10 mL). The combined organic phases were successively washed with 2 M aq. NaOH (15 mL), 1 M aq. NaOH (15 mL), sat. aq. Na₂S₂O₃ (25 mL), sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (25 mL), and brine (25 mL), then dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography (20% EtOAc:heptanes) delivered the desired **24ca** (194 mg, 0.493 mmol, 75%, dr = 6:4).

Sticky colorless oil; Rf: 0.34 (8:2 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.72–7.68 (m, 2H), 7.32–7.28 (m, 2H), 3.54–3.31 (m, 2H), 3.06–2.74 (m, 2H), 2.42 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 3H), 2.15 (ddq, *J* = 10.2, 7.0, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 1.97–1.85 (m, 1H), 1.69–1.45 (m, 3H), 1.41–1.30 (m, 1H), 1.21 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 14H), 0.93–0.62 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 13C NMR 143.3 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 143.2 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 134.4 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 134.1 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 129.7 (CH_{*Ar*}), 129.7 (CH_{*Ar*}), 127.7 (CH_{*Ar*}), 127.6 (CH_{*Ar*}), 83.3 (Cq), 83.2 (Cq), 55.3 (CH₂), 55.2 (CH₂), 53.5 (CH₂), 51.0 (CH₂), 48.2 (CH), 44.5 (CH), 38.8 (CH), 35.0 (CH₂), 26.2 (CH₃), 25.3 (CH₃), 25.0 (CH₃), 24.9 (CH₃), 23.6 (CH₃), 22.0 (CH₂), 21.7 (CH₃), 16.6 (CH₃), 13.1 (CH₃). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methylene adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃) 33.6; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2974.6, 2933.2, 2871.5, 1301.7, 1250.6, 1157.1, 1090.5, 1027.9, 836.00, 663.39 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): Calculated for C₂₀H₃₂O₄NBS [M+Na]⁺: 416.2145; found: 416.2040.

Dimethyl 3-methyl-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]cyclopentane--1,1-dicarboxylate (24ab)



To a solution of dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2- dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (585 mg, 1.09 mmol) in dry benzene (4 mL) were added AIBN (35.8 mg, 0.218 mmol) and TTMS (0.374 mL, 1.21 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated under reflux (90°C) for 90 minutes. After cooling down to rt, the reaction mixture was partitioned between NH₄Cl (30 mL) and Et₂O (30 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic phases were then washed with brine (50 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a yellow oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (10% Et2O:pentane) delivered the desired **24ab** (378 mg, 0.921 mmol, 85%, dr = 4:4:1:1).

Orange oil; Rf: 0.39 (8:2 pentane: Et_2O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.68 (gt, J = 4.0, 2.2 Hz, 6H), 2.55-2.24 (m, 2H), 2.19–2.04 (m, 1H), 2.04-1.85 (m, 2H), 1.52–1.14 (m, 20H), 0.99–0.74 (m, 6H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.7 (Cq), 173.6 (Cq), 173.6 (Cq), 173.5 (Cq), 173.5 (Cq), 173.5 (Cq), 173.4 (Cq), 173.1 (Cq), 173.1 (Cq), 173.0 (Cq), 172.9 (Cq), 172.8 (Cq), 172.4 (Cq), 83.1 (Cq), 83.1 (Cq), 83.0 (Cq), 83.0 (Cq), 83.0 (Cq), 59.1 (Cq), 58.9 (Cq), 58.8 (Cq), 58.6 (Cq), 58.4 (Cq), 58.4 (Cq), 58.3 (Cq), 52.9 (CH₃), 52.8 (CH₃), 52.7 (CH₃), 52.7 (CH₃), 46.9 (CH), 46.0 (CH), 45.8 (CH), 45.0 (CH), 42.9 (CH₂), 42.7 (CH₂), 42.3 (CH), 42.2 (CH), 42.1 (CH), 42.0 (CH), 41.9 (CH₂), 41.7 (CH₂), 41.0 (CH₂), 40.7 (CH₂), 40.6 (CH), 40.3 (CH₂), 38.8 (CH₂), 38.7 (CH₂), 38.5 (CH₂), 38.4 (CH₂), 36.3 (CH₂), 36.0 (CH₂), 35.2 (CH₂), 34.7 (CH₂), 32.2 (CH₂), 32.0 (CH₂), 31.9 (CH₂), 31.7 (CH₂), 31.6 (CH₂), 31.6 (CH₂), 31.5 (CH₂), 31.4 (CH₂), 30.9 (CH₂), 30.6 (CH₂), 30.6 (CH₂), 30.3 (CH₂), 29.7 (CH₂), 25.0 (CH₃), 24.9 (CH₃), 24.8 (CH₃), 23.1 (CH₂), 23.0 (CH₂), 18.1 (CH₃), 17.9 (CH₃), 15.1 (CH₃), 14.8 (CH₃), 14.2 (CH₃), 14.2 (CH₃). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹**B NMR** (128 MHz, CDCl₃) 34.5; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2954, 2922, 1732, 1371, 1314, 1250, 1196, 1142, 965, 732 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): Calculated for C₂₂H₃₉BO₆ [M-CH₃]⁺: 395.2804; found: 395.2606.

Dimethyl 3-methyl-4-(2-oxohexyl)cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (24ab')



Orange oil; Rf: 0.38 (8:2 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.70 (d, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 6H), 2.62–2.42 (m, 2H), 2.42–2.20 (m, 5H), 1.99–1.75 (m, 2H), 1.53 (p, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.42–1.19 (m, 4H), 0.89 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H), 0.81 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 210.5 (Cq), 173.4 (Cq), 173.2 (Cq), 58.9 (Cq), 52.9 (CH₃), 52.8 (CH₃), 43.0 (CH₂), 41.5 (CH₂), 39.0 (CH₂), 37.9 (CH), 35.7 (CH), 26.0 (CH₂), 22.5 (CH₂), 15.3 (CH₃), 14.0 (CH₃); IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2955, 2930, 2870, 1731, 1715, 1435, 1250, 1196, 1144, 1050 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₆H₂₆O₅ [M+H]⁺: 299.1780; found: 299.1856.

3-methyl-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]pyrrolidine (24cb)



To a solution of 3-(iodomethyl)-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetrame-thyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]pyrrolidine (255 mg, 0.443 mmol) in dry benzene (2 mL) were added AIBN (15 mg, 0.0913 mmol) and TTMS (0.150 mL, 0.488 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated under reflux (90°C) for 1h30. After cooling down to rt, the reaction mixture was partitioned between NH₄Cl (30 mL) and Et₂O (30 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic phases were then washed with brine (50 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a yellow oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (Macherey-Nagel Silica 60, 0.015–0.04 mm) (15% Et₂O:pentane) delivered the desired **24cb** (156 mg, 0.346 mmol, 78%, dr = 4:3:2:1).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.31 (8:2 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.70 (ddd, J = 7.7, 4.4, 3.2 Hz, 2H), 7.32–7.28 (m, 2H), 3.57–3.28 (m, 2H), 3.09–2.70 (m, 2H), 2.42 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 3H), 2.14 (dddd, J = 13.4, 9.5, 6.3, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 1.97 (m, 1H), 1.69–1.52 (m, 1H), 1.51–1.12 (m, 20H), 1.09–0.94 (m, 1H), 0.93–0.75 (m, 5H), 0.67 (dd, J = 37.9, 7.1 Hz, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 143.3 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 143.2 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 143.1 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 134.5 (CH_{*Ar*}), 134.4 (CH_{*Ar*}), 134.3
(CH_{*Ar*}), 134.2 (CH_{*Ar*}), 129.7 (CH_{*Ar*}), 129.7 (CH_{*Ar*}), 129.6 (CH_{*Ar*}), 127.7 (CH_{*Ar*}), 127.6 (CH_{*Ar*}), 83.4 (Cq), 83.2 (Cq), 83.2 (Cq), 83.1 (Cq), 55.6 (CH₂), 55.0 (CH₂), 54.9 (CH₂), 54.0 (CH₂), 53.9 (CH₂), 51.3 (CH₂), 51.3 (CH₂), 46.0 (CH), 45.3 (CH), 41.8 (CH), 41.6 (CH), 39.5 (CH), 39.2 (CH), 35.8 (CH), 34.8 (CH), 34.3 (CH), 33.2 (CH₂), 31.9 (CH₂), 31.7 (CH₂), 31.5 (CH₂), 31.5 (CH₂), 31.4 (CH₂), 31.3 (CH₂), 30.8 (CH₂), 29.5 (CH₂), 29.3 (CH₂), 25.0 (CH₃), 24.9 (CH₃), 24.8 (CH₃), 23.1 (CH₂), 23.0 (CH₂), 22.9 (CH₂), 22.8 (CH₂), 21.6 (CH₃), 16.7 (CH₃), 16.3 (CH₃), 14.1 (CH₃), 13.2 (CH₃), 13.0 (CH₃); ¹¹**B NMR** (128 MHz, CDCl₃) 33.6. Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; IR (neat): $\nu_{max} = 2957$, 2923, 2871, 2856, 1343, 1161, 1142, 662, 588 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): Calculated for C₂₄H₄₀O₄NBS [M+H]⁺: 450.2771; found: 450.2840.

Hydroxymethylation





To a solution of dimethyl 3-methyl-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2- dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (157 mg, 0.443 mmol) in THF/H₂O (1:1, 11 mL) was added sodium perborate (682 mg, 4.43 mmol) in one portion. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt under N₂ atmosphere for 16h. The reaction mixture was partitioned between Et₂O (30 mL) and water (30 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (50 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a colorless oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (Macherey-Nagel Silica 60, 0.015–0.04 mm) (40% Et₂O:pentane) delivered the desired **25aa** (76 mg, 0.311 mmol, 70%, dr = 8:2).

Mix of dias: Colorless oil; Rf: 0.18 (6:4 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.69 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 6H), 3.63 (dqt, J = 10.5, 6.9, 3.6 Hz, 2H), 2.58–2.30 (m, 2H), 2.17 (qd, J = 6.9, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 2.11–1.94 (m, 3H), 1.88–1.57 (m, 2H), 1.56–1.31 (m, 2H), 1.26–1.16 (m, 1H), 0.91 (dd, J = 56.7, 6.7 Hz, 4H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.6 (Cq), 173.5 (Cq), 65.9 (CH₂), 62.0 (CH₂), 61.9 (CH₂), 58.9 (Cq), 58.4 (Cq), 52.9 (CH₃), 52.8 (CH₃), 43.7 (CH), 42.7 (CH₂), 41.6 (CH₂), 40.7 (CH₂), 40.4 (CH), 39.3 (CH), 38.5 (CH₂), 36.5 (CH₂), 36.0 (CH), 32.9 (CH₂), 17.8 (CH₃), 15.0 (CH₃); IR (neat): $\nu_{max} = 3398$, 2954, 2929, 2891, 1727, 1434, 1252, 1198,

1167, 1141 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for $C_{12}H_{20}O_5$ [M+H]⁺: 245.1311; found: 245.1392.

Major dia: Colorless oil; Rf: 0.18 (6:4 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.70 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 6H), 3.69–3.61 (m, 2H), 2.39 (ddd, *J* = 31.4, 13.1, 6.5 Hz, 2H), 2.18 (qd, *J* = 7.0, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 2.12–1.94 (m, 3H), 1.75 (s, 2H), 1.45 (ddt, *J* = 13.4, 8.5, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 0.85 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.6 (Cq), 173.5 (Cq), 62.0 (CH₂), 58.9 (Cq), 52.9 (2 x CH₃), 41.6 (CH₂), 39.3 (CH), 38.5 (CH₂), 36.0 (CH), 32.9 (CH₂), 15.0 (CH₃); IR (neat): ν_{max} = 3362, 2953, 2934, 2875, 1727, 1434, 1252, 1197, 1140, 1045 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₂H₂₀O₅ [M+H]⁺: 245.1311; found: 245.1385.



THF:H₂O (1:1) (0.04 M), rt, o/n

ÓH **25ab** Chemical Formula: C₁₆H₂₈O₅ Exact Mass: 300.1937



To a solution of dimethyl 3-methyl-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2- dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (86.6 mg, 0.211 mmol) in THF/H₂O (1:1, 5 mL) was added sodium perborate (325 mg, 2.11 mmol) in one portion. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt under N₂ atmosphere for 16h. The reaction mixture was partitioned between EtOAc (20 mL) and water (20 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (25 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the crude product as a colorless oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (40% Et₂O:pentane) delivered the desired **25ab** (48.8 mg, 0.162 mmol, 77%, dr = 37:32:17:14).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.43 (1:1 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.69 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 6H), 3.59 (q, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.63–2.30 (m, 2H), 2.25–2.08 (m, 2H), 2.06–1.94 (m, 2H), 1.86–1.22 (m, 11H), 1.00–0.78 (m, 7H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.6 (Cq), 173.6 (Cq), 173.5 (Cq), 173.4 (Cq), 173.4 (Cq), 173.4 (CH), 71.1 (CH), 70.5 (CH), 58.9 (Cq), 52.9 (CH₃), 52.8 (CH₃), 52.8 (CH₃), 52.8 (CH₃), 44.5 (CH), 43.1 (CH), 42.7 (CH₂), 42.5 (CH₂), 41.7 (CH₂), 41.6 (CH₂), 41.4 (CH₂), 41.3 (CH₂), 40.7 (CH), 40.6 (CH₂), 40.5 (CH), 39.7 (CH), 39.0 (CH), 38.8 (CH₂), 38.4 (CH₂), 38.2 (CH₂), 38.1 (CH₂), 37.8 (CH₂), 37.6 (CH₂), 37.5 (CH₂), 37.2 (CH₂), 36.5 (CH), 35.7 (CH), 29.8 (CH₂), 28.0 (CH₂), 27.8 (CH₂), 27.7 (CH₂), 22.8 (CH₂), 18.0 (CH₃), 17.5 (CH₃), 15.1 (CH₃), 15.0 (CH₃), 14.2 (CH₃), 14.2 (CH₃); IR (neat): ν_{max} = 3446, 2954, 2929, 2872, 1730, 1435, 1251, 1197, 1144, 1032 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): Calculated for C₁₆H₂₈O₅

Hydromethylation and hydroalkylation

Dimethyl 3-hexyl-4-(iodomethyl)cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (26ab)



A flame-dried 10 mL round-bottom flask equipped with a reflux condenser was charged with dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (550 mg, 1.03 mmol), 4-tert-butylcatechol (256 mg, 1.54 mmol), benzene (3 mL), 2-methoxy-1,3,2-benzodioxaborole (0.310 mL, 0.308 mmol, 1 M in benzene), TMSOTf (0.205 mL, 0.05 M in DCM, 0.01 mmol), MeOH (0.005 mL, 0.123 mmol) and DTBHN (5.4 mg, 0.038 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated at 70 °C for 14 h. After cooling down to rt, the reaction mixture was partitioned between TBME (100 mL) and water (100 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted TBME (3 x 40 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (2 x 60 mL), brine (100 mL), then dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered over Celite and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the crude as a brown oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (7% Et₂O:pentane) delivered the desired **26ab** (166 mg, 0.405 mmol, 40%, dr 8:2).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.42 (9:1 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.73–3.65 (m, 6H), 3.36–3.16 (m, 1H), 3.11–2.98 (m, 1H), 2.64–2.41 (m, 2H), 2.39–2.30 (m, 1H), 2.20–2.02 (m, 2H), 2.02–1.79 (m, 1H), 1.38–1.07 (m, 10H), 0.87–0.81 (m, 3H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.1 (Cq), 173.0 (Cq), 172.9 (Cq), 172.8 (Cq), 172.5 (Cq), 172.4 (Cq), 172.3 (Cq), 64.1 (Cq), 62.1 (Cq), 58.6 (Cq), 57.6 (Cq), 52.9 (CH3₃), 52.8 (CH3₃), 52.6 (CH3₃), 52.5 (CH3₃), 52.5 (CH3₃), 46.9 (CH), 45.5 (CH), 45.1 (CH), 44.9 (CH), 43.4 (CH), 42.6 (CH), 41.9 (CH3₂), 41.6 (CH), 41.5 (CH3₂), 41.0 (CH3₂), 40.8 (CH3₂), 40.6 (CH3₂), 40.1 (CH3₂), 39.3 (CH3₂), 38.4 (CH3₂), 37.3 (CH3₂), 35.3 (CH3₂), 34.3 (CH3₂), 33.5 (CH3₂), 31.8 (CH3₂), 31.6 (CH3₂), 31.1 (CH3₂), 30.9 (CH3₂), 29.5 (CH3₂), 29.4 (CH3₂), 28.6 (CH3₂), 28.0 (CH3₂), 27.9 (CH3₂), 23.0 (CH3₂), 22.7 (CH3₂), 22.6 (CH3₂), 14.2 (CH3₃), 14.2 (CH3₃), 14.1 (CH3₃), 11.0 (CH3₃), 7.8 (CH3₃); IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2952, 2924, 2854, 1731, 1433, 1249, 1195, 1164, 1141 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₆H₂₇IO₄ [M+Na]⁺: 423.0954; found: 423.1024.

Dimethyl 3-ethyl-4-methyl-cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (27aa)



A flame-dried round-bottom flask equipped with a reflux condenser was chareged with dimethyl 3- methyl-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (90.2 mg, 0.255 mmol), 4-tert-butylcatechol (127 mg, 0.764 mmol), benzene (0.8 mL), 2-methoxy-1,3,2-benzodioxaborole (0.255 mL, 0.255 mmol, 1 M in benzene), TMSOTf (0.055 mL, 0.0027 mmol, 0.05 M in DCM), MeOH (1.2 μ l, 0.031 mmol) and DTBHN (1.3 mg, 0.00764 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated at 70 °C for 1 h. After partial cooling, another portion of DTBHN (1.3 mg, 0.00764 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture heated for an additionnal 1 h at 70°C. After cooling down to rt, the reaction mixture was partitioned between TBME (50 mL) and water (50 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted TBME (3 x 20 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (2 x 30 mL), brine (50 mL), then dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered over Celite and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the crude as a brown oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient 0-5% EtOAc:heptanes) delivered the desired **27aa** (36.8 mg, 0.161 mmol, 63%, dr = 8:2).

Yellow oil; Rf: 0.64 (8:2 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.70 (s, 6H), 2.58–2.30 (m, 2H), 2.16 (qd, J = 7.0, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 2.02–1.91 (m, 2H), 1.91–1.70 (m, 1H), 1.42–1.29 (m, 1H), 1.27–1.14 (m, 2H), 1.02–0.79 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.8 (Cq), 173.6 (Cq), 173.2 (Cq), 59.0 (Cq), 58.3 (Cq), 52.8 (CH), 52.7 (CH), 48.7 (CH), 46.1 (CH), 44.7 (CH₂), 43.3 (CH₂), 43.0 (CH₂), 41.8 (CH₂), 40.4 (CH₂), 40.0 (CH), 38.4 (CH₂), 36.2 (CH), 35.7 (CH), 34.7 (CH), 34.2 (CH), 31.1 (CH₂), 27.6 (CH), 26.1 (CH₂), 24.7 (CH), 22.7 (CH₂), 16.8 (CH₃), 13.2 (CH₃), 12.9 (CH₃), 12.6 (CH₃).

The physical and spectral data are in accordance with literature data: Kuang, Y.; Anthony, D.; Katigbak, J.; Marrucci, F.; Humagain, S.; Diao, T. *Chem* **2017**, *3* (2), 268–280.

Dimethyl 3-hexyl-4-methyl-cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (27ab)



A flame-dried 5 mL one neck round-bottom flask, equipped with a cold-finger condenser, was charged with dimethyl 3-methyl-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]cyclopen-tane-1,1-dicarboxylate (198 mg, 0.483 mmol), tert-butyl catechol (120 mg, 0.724 mmol), ben-zene (1.4 mL), 2-methoxy-1,3,2-benzodioxaborole (MeOBcat) (0.145 mL, 0.145 mmol, 1 M in benzene), TMSOTf (0.1 mL, 0.005 mmol, 0.05 M in DCM), MeOH (2.5 μ l, 0.0579 mmol) and DTBHN (2.5 mg, 0.0145 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated at 70 °C for 14 h. After cooling down to rt, the reaction mixture was partitioned between TBME (100 mL) and water (100 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted TBME (3 x 40 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (2 x 60 mL), brine (100 mL), then dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered over Celite and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the crude as a brown oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (10% Et₂O:pentane) delivered the desired **27ab** (110 mg, 0.387 mmol, 80% (yield determined by using an internal standard in impure fractions), dr = 8:2).

Light yellow oil; Rf: 0.47 (9:1 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.70 (s, 6H), 2.44–2.29 (m, 2H), 2.14 (qd, J = 7.0, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 2.03–1.89 (m, 3H), 1.33–1.13 (m, 10H), 0.90–0.78 (m, 6H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.8 (Cq), 173.6 (Cq), 59.0 (Cq), 52.8 (CH₃), 42.8 (CH), 41.8 (CH₂), 38.7 (CH₂), 35.8 (CH), 32.0 (CH₂), 29.9 (CH₂), 29.7 (CH₂), 28.5 (CH₂), 22.8 (CH₂), 14.9 (CH₃), 14.2 (CH₃); IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2954, 2924, 2855, 1732, 1434, 1246, 1196, 1168, 1144 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₆H₂₈O₄ [M+Na]⁺: 307.1988; found: 307.1873.

3-Ethyl-4-methyl-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)pyrrolidine (27ca)



A flame-dried two neck round-bottom flask, equipped with a reflux condenser, was added 3methyl-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]pyrrolidine (84.3 mg, 0.214 mmol), 4-tert-butylcatechol (TBC) (53.4 mg, 0.321 mmol), benzene (1 mL), 2-methoxy-1,3,2-benzodioxaborole (MeOBcat) (0.06 mL, 0.06 mmol, 1.0 M in benzene), TMSOTf (0.04 mL, 0.002 mmol, 0.05 M in DCM), MeOH (1 μ l, 0.0257 mmol) and DTBHN (1 mg, 0.006 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated at 70 °C for 14 h. After cooling down to rt, the reaction mixture was partitioned between TBME (100 mL) and water (100 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted TBME (3 x 40 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (2 x 60 mL), brine (100 mL), then dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered over Celite and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the crude as a black oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (10% EtOAc:heptanes) delivered the desired **27ca** (31.9 mg, 0.119 mmol, 56%, dr = 6:4).

Yellow oil; Rf: 0.64 (7:3 heptanes:EtOAc); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.70 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.3 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (dd, J = 8.3, 2.4 Hz, 2H), 3.42 (dddd, J = 52.6, 14.7, 9.8, 6.8 Hz, 2H), 3.05–2.73 (m, 2H), 2.42 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 3H), 2.16 (ddq, J = 9.9, 6.5, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 1.93–1.82 (m, 1H), 1.73–1.62 (m, 1H), 1.47 (ddq, J = 13.5, 7.7, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 1.34 – 1.21 (m, 1H), 1.17–0.98 (m, 1H), 0.90 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 0.82 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.68 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 143.3 (Cq_{Ar}), 143.3 (Cq_{Ar}), 134.4 (Cq_{Ar}), 134.1 (Cq_{Ar}), 129.7 (CH_{Ar}), 127.6 (CH_{Ar}), 127.5 (CH_{Ar}), 55.1 (CH₂), 53.3 (CH₂), 51.0 (CH₂), 47.6 (CH), 43.9 (CH), 38.8 (CH), 35.1 (CH), 31.7 (CH), 29.7 (CH), 24.8 (CH₂), 21.6 (CH₃), 20.7 (CH₂), 16.4 (CH₃), 13.0 (CH₃), 12.6 (CH₃), 12.4 (CH₃); IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2960, 2931, 2875, 1598, 1457, 1340, 1152, 1092, 661, 585 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₄H₂₁NO₂S [M+Na]⁺: 290.1293; found: 290.1181.

3-Hexyl-4-methyl-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)pyrrolidine (27cb)



A flame-dried one neck 5 mL round-bottom flask, equipped with a reflux condenser, was charged with 3-methyl-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]pyrrolidine (118.2 mg, 0.263 mmol), TBC (65.6 mg, 0.394 mmo), benzene (1 mL), 2-methoxy-1,3,2-benzodioxaborole (MeOBcat) (0.08 mL, 0.08 mmol, 1.0 M in benzene), TMSOTf (0.05 mL, 0.00263 mmol, 0.05 M in DCM), MeOH (1.3 μ l, 0.0316 mmol) and DTBHN (1.4 mg, 0.008 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated at 70 °C for 14 h. After cooling down to rt, the reaction mixture was partitioned between TBME (100 mL) and water (100 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted TBME (3 x 40 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (2 x 60 mL), brine (100 mL), then dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered over Celite and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the crude as a black oil. Purification by flash column

chromatography (10% Et_2O :pentane) delivered the desired **27cb** (45.3 mg, 0.140 mmol, 53%, dr 6:4).

Yellow oil; Rf: 0.58 (7:3 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.71 (dd, J = 8.3, 2.5 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (dd, J = 8.3, 2.4 Hz, 2H), 3.42 (dddd, J = 48.5, 11.3, 9.7, 6.8 Hz, 2H), 3.04–2.73 (m, 2H), 2.43 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 3H), 2.14 (ddp, J = 10.5, 7.0, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 2.00–1.88 (m, 1H), 1.30–0.98 (m, 10H), 0.93–0.83 (m, 4H), 0.69 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 143.3 (Cq_{Ar}), 143.3 (Cq_{Ar}), 134.4 (CH_{Ar}), 134.2 (CH_{Ar}), 129.7 (CH_{Ar}), 127.6 (CH_{Ar}), 127.5 (CH_{Ar}), 55.1 (CH₂), 55.0 (CH₂), 53.6 (CH₂), 51.3 (CH₂), 46.0 (CH), 42.2 (CH), 39.2 (CH), 35.3 (CH), 32.1 (CH₂), 31.9 (CH₂), 31.8 (CH₂), 29.5 (CH₂), 28.2 (CH₂), 28.1 (CH₂), 27.7 (CH₂), 22.7 (CH₂), 21.7 (CH₃), 16.4 (CH₃), 14.2 (CH₃), 13.1 (CH₃); IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2955, 2924, 2855, 1342, 1153, 1092, 1042, 814, 662, 587 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₈H₂₁NO₂S [M+Na]⁺: 346.1919; found: 346.1811.

Hydrothiolation

Dimethyl 3-methyl-4-(2-phenylsulfanylethyl)cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (28aa)



A flame-dried round-bottom flask equipped with a reflux condenser was added dimethyl 3methyl-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (116 mg, 0.327 mmol), 4-tert-butylcatechol (TBC) (130 mg, 0.779 mmol), benzene (1.5 mL), 2methoxy-1,3,2-benzodioxaborole (MeOBcat) (0.1 mL, 0.09 mmol, 1.0 M in benzene, 0.3 eq), TMSOTf (0.104 mL, 0.003 mmol, 0.05 M in DCM), MeOH (0.120 mL, 0.035 mmol, 0.5 M in DCM) and DTBHN (1.7 mg, 0.01 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated at 70 °C for 14 h. After cooling down to rt, the reaction mixture was partitioned between TBME (50 mL) and water (50 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted TBME (3 x 20 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (2 x 30 mL), brine (50 mL), then dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered over celite and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the crude as a brown oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (15% Et₂O:pentane) delivered the desired **28aa** (93 mg, 0.116 mmol, 35%, dr = 8:2, 58% contaminated by PhSO₂SPh).

Light yellow oil; Rf: 0.4 (8:2 pentane:Et₂O); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.61–7.15 (m, 19H),

3.73 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 6H), 3.05–2.82 (m, 2H), 2.49 (dddd, J = 52.6, 29.9, 13.3, 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.27–2.09 (m, 2H), 2.07–1.97 (m, 2H), 1.58 (dddd, J = 13.8, 9.4, 8.2, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 1.01–0.81 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.4 (Cq_{Ar}), 173.3 (Cq_{Ar}), 173.2 (Cq_{Ar}), 173.2 (Cq_{Ar}), 173.2 (Cq_{Ar}), 136.7 (CH_{Ar}), 136.6 (CH_{Ar}), 133.7 (CH_{Ar}), 131.5 (CH_{Ar}), 129.5 (CH_{Ar}), 129.1 (CH_{Ar}), 129.1 (CH_{Ar}), 128.9 (CH_{Ar}), 128.9 (CH_{Ar}), 127.9 (CH_{Ar}), 127.6 (CH_{Ar}), 125.9 (CH_{Ar}), 125.9 (CH_{Ar}), 58.8 (Cq), 58.3 (Cq), 52.8 (2 x CH₃), 46.1 (CH), 42.7 (CH₂), 42.0 (CH), 41.5 (CH₂), 40.3 (CH₂), 40.1 (CH), 38.2 (CH₂), 35.8 (CH), 33.0 (CH₂), 32.5 (CH₂), 32.4 (CH₂), 29.5 (CH₂), 17.8 (CH₃), 14.9 (CH₃); IR (neat): $\nu_{max} = 2951$, 1729, 1439, 1325, 1252, 1143, 1076, 748, 685, 537 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₈H₂₄O₄S [M+H]⁺: 336.1395; found: 336.1393.

3-methyl-4-(2-phenylsulfanylethyl)-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)pyrrolidine (28ca)



A flame-dried 5 mL one-neck round-bottom flask, equipped with a cold-finger condenser, was charged with 3- methyl-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]pyrrolidine (65.5 mg, 0.167 mmol) S-benzyl benzenesulfonothioate (62.2 mg, 0.250 mmol), benzene (0.8 mL), 2-methoxy-1,3,2-benzodioxaborole (MeOBcat) (0.05 mL, 0.05 mmol, 1.0 M in benzene), TMSOTf (0.03 mL, 0.01 mmol, 0.05 M in DCM), MeOH (0.8 μ l, 0.02 mmol) and DTBHN (0.8 mg, 0.005 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated at 70 °C for 14 h. After cooling down to rt, the reaction mixture was partitioned between TBME (50 mL) and water (50 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted TBME (3 x 20 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (2 x 30 mL), brine (50 mL), then dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered over Celite and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the crude as a black oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient: 0-10-15% Et₂O:pentane) delivered the desired **28ca** (33.6 mg, 0.0895 mmol, 54% (yield determined by using an internal standard in impure fractions), dr = 6:4) as an inseparable mixture of diastereomers.

Light yellow oil; Rf: 0.35 (7:3 pentane:Et₂O).

Major diastereomer: ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.80–7.75 (m, 2H), 7.42–7.32 (m, 6H), 7.30–7.23 (m, 1H), 3.48 (dd, J = 9.8, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 3.42 (dd, J = 9.8, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 3.11 (dd, J = 9.8, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 3.04 (dd, J = 9.8, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.92–2.82 (m, 2H), 2.51 (s, 3H), 2.33–2.17 (m, 2H), 1.72–1.62 (m, 1H), 1.56–1.45 (m, 1H), 0.77 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz,

CDCl₃) δ 143.45 (Cq), 136.12 (Cq), 134.24 (Cq), 129.76 (2 × CH_{Ar}), 129.52 (2 × CH_{Ar}), 129.09 (2 × CH_{Ar}), 127.50 (2 × CH_{Ar}), 126.34 (CH_{Ar}), 54.88 (CH₂), 50.85 (CH₂), 41.04 (CH), 35.15 (CH), 32.40 (CH₂), 27.36 (CH₂), 21.66 (CH₃), 13.20 (CH₃).

Minor diastereomer: ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.80–7.75 (m, 2H), 7.42–7.32 (m, 6H), 7.30–7.23 (m, 1H), 3.63 (dd, J = 9.8, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.56 (dd, J = 9.8, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.00–2.79 (m, 4H), 2.52 (s, 3H), 1.89–1.74 (m, 3H), 1.49–1.44 (m, 1H), 0.98 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 143.50 (Cq), 136.02 (Cq), 133.96 (Cq), 129.78 (2 × CH_{Ar}), 129.59 (2 × CH_{Ar}), 129.09 (2 × CH_{Ar}), 127.61 (2 × CH_{Ar}), 126.37 (CH_{Ar}), 54.80 (CH₂), 53.15 (CH₂), 44.96 (CH), 38.95 (CH), 32.36 (CH₂), 31.51 (CH₂), 21.66 (CH₃), 16.41 (CH₃).

Mixture of isomers: IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2961, 2925, 2875, 1479, 1439, 1339, 1157, 1091, 730, 586 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₂₀H₂₅NO₂S₂ [M+Na]⁺: 398.1327; found: 398.1212.



Chemical Formula: C₂₂H₃₂O₄S Exact Mass: 392.2021

Dimethyl 3-methyl-4-(2-phenylsulfanylhexyl)cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (28ab)

A flame-dried two neck round-bottom flask, equipped with a reflux condenser, was charged with dimethyl 3-methyl-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]cyclopentane-1,1-di-carboxylate (156 mg, 0.380 mmol), S-benzyl benzenesulfonothioate (143 mg, 0.570 mmol), benzene (1.3 mL), 2-methoxy-1,3,2-benzodioxaborole (MeOBcat) (0.115 mL, 0.150 mmol, 1.0 M in benzene), TMSOTf (0.08 mL, 0.004 mmol, 0.05 M in DCM), MeOH (1.8 μ l, 0.063 mmol) and DTBHN (2 mg, 0.0115 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated at 70 °C for 14 h. After cooling down to rt, the reaction mixture was partitioned between TBME (50 mL) and water (50 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted TBME (3 x 20 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (2 x 30 mL), brine (50 mL), then dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered over Celite and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the crude as a black oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (Macherey-Nagel Silica 60, 0.015–0.04 mm) (Gradient: 0-10-15% Et₂O:pentane) delivered the desired **28ab** (38 mg, 0.097 mmol, 26% (yield determined by using an internal standard in impure fractions), dr = 6:4).

Light yellow oil; Rf: 0.25 (9:1 pentane:Et₂O).

Other post-functionalizations

Dimethyl 3-butyl-3,4,4a,5,7,7a-hexahydro-1H-cyclopenta[c]pyran-6,6-dicarboxylate (31)



An 5 mL one-neck round bottom flask was charged with dimethyl 3-(2-hydroxyhexyl)-4-(iodomethyl)cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (98.8 mg, 0.232 mmol), TFT (0.9 mL) and Et₃N (0.035 mL, 0.251 mmol, 1.1 eq, distilled over CaH₂ prior to use) and the rection mixture was heated at 90 °C for 16h. The reaction mixture was partitioned between TBME (50 mL) and 2M HCI (50 mL). The organic layer was washed with 1M HCI (50 mL). The combined aqueous phases were back-extracted with TBME (3 x 20 mL). The combined organic phases were then successively washed with saturated aq. NaHCO₃ (2 x 50 mL), Na₂S₂O₃ (50 mL), and brine (50 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and the solvents removed under reduced pressure to afford the crude product as a light yellow solid. Purification by flash column chromatography (Gradient: 0-5-10% EtOAc:heptanes) delivered the desired **31** (44.2 mg, 0.148 mmol, 64%, dr = 54:46).

Light yellow oil; Rf: 0.74 (1:1 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.88 (d, *J* = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 3.73–3.68 (m, 6H), 3.68–3.61 (m, 1H), 3.18–3.07 (m, 1H), 2.41–2.10 (m, 5H), 2.07–1.85 (m, 1H), 1.57–1.39 (m, 3H), 1.39–1.05 (m, 6H), 0.87 (td, *J* = 7.1, 1.9 Hz, 3H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.8 (Cq), 173.4 (Cq), 173.4 (Cq), 173.3 (Cq), 173.2 (Cq), 173.1 (Cq), 77.3 (CH), 72.3 (CH), 72.0 (CH₂), 67.9 (CH₂), 67.3 (CH₂), 59.2 (Cq), 59.0 (Cq), 58.1 (Cq), 52.9 (CH₃), 52.8 (CH₃), 44.3 (CH₂), 44.2 (CH), 40.4 (CH), 40.2 (CH), 39.3 (CH), 37.2 (CH), 37.0 (CH), 36.9 (CH), 36.5 (CH), 36.1 (CH₂), 36.0 (CH₂), 35.9 (CH₂), 35.6 (CH₂), 35.0 (CH₂), 33.9 (CH₂), 31.4 (CH₂), 28.0 (CH₂), 27.9 (CH₂), 27.8 (CH₂), 22.9 (CH₂), 22.9 (CH₂), 14.1 (CH₃); IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2951, 2931, 2858, 1731, 1434, 1246, 1195, 1145, 1090, 843 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₆H₂₆O₅ [M+Na]⁺: 321.1780; found: 321.1667.

3.10.6 ATRAn products

GP1: All alkenes used for the substrate scope are either previously purified by distillation or by filtration over SiO_2 . A flame-dried 10 mL two neck round-bottom flask, equipped with a cold-finger reflux condenser, was charged with alkene (0.5 mmol), 2-(1-iodobut-3-enyl)-4,4,5-trimethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (304 mg, 1.00 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (50 mg, 0.155 mmol). The contents were dissolved in dry TFT (1.8 mL). Triethylborane (0.110 mL, 0.108 mmol, 1.15 M in TFT) was then added, with the needle immersed in the solution, followed by DTBHN (4 mg, 0.025

mmol). The rection mixture was heated at 70 °C for 45 minutes in a pre-heated oil bath. Two other portions of triethylborane (0.110 mL, 0.108 mmol, 1.15 M in TFT) and DTBHN (4 mg, 0.025 mmol) were added every 45 minutes. The crude product was filtered over a small pad of neutral aluminum oxide (2.0 cm) and eluted with EtOAc. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure, and the crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel. Together with the desired ATRAn products, cyclopropane derivative **39** (4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-[1-[[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)cyclopropyl]methyl]- but-3-enyl]-1,3,2-dioxaborolane) was also isolated in 15-30% yield.

2-[3-(iodomethyl)-4-(2-phenylethyl)cyclopentyl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (38a)



Followed **GP1** with but-3-en-1-ylbenzene (67,4 mg, 0.510 mmol). Purification by column chromatography (5% Et_2O :pentane) provided the desired **38a** (20 mg, 0.0454 mmol, 9%, dr = 50:25:17:8).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.41 (95:5 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.24–7.05 (m, 5H), 3.44–2.96 (m, 2H), 2.69–2.55 (m, 1H), 2.46 (ddt, *J* = 13.7, 9.9, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.31 (ddt, *J* = 16.3, 9.7, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.12–1.83 (m, 2H), 1.83–1.53 (m, 2H), 1.51–1.26 (m, 3H), 1.26–1.09 (m, 12H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 142.6 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 128.5 (CH_{*Ar*}), 128.5 (CH_{*Ar*}), 128.5 (CH_{*Ar*}), 128.5 (CH_{*Ar*}), 125.9 (CH_{*Ar*}), 125.9 (CH_{*Ar*}), 128.5 (CH_{*Ar*}), 83.2 (Cq), 83.2 (Cq), 83.2 (Cq), 83.0 (Cq), 50.2 (CH), 48.2 (CH), 47.8 (CH), 47.1 (CH), 47.0 (CH), 45.8 (CH), 43.6 (CH₂), 43.5 (CH₂), 37.1 (CH₂), 37.1 (CH₂), 36.8 (CH₂), 36.2 (CH₂), 35.3 (CH₂), 34.9 (CH₂), 34.8 (CH₂), 34.7 (CH₂), 34.5 (CH₂), 33.7 (CH₂), 32.7 (CH₂), 31.9 (CH₂), 31.4 (CH₂), 30.4 (CH₂), 24.9 (CH₃), 14.5 (CH₂-I), 13.0 (CH₂-I), 10.1 (CH₂-I), 8.8 (CH₂-I). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹**B NMR** (128 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 34.4; IR (neat): $\nu_{max} = 2976$, 2928, 2856, 1378, 1312, 1212, 970, 858, 746, 697 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): Calculated for C₂₀H₃₀BIO₂ [M-CH₃]⁺: 425.1384; found: 425.1143.

Major Diastereomer (*50***:25:17:8) (characteristic signals):** ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.32–7.25 (m, 2H), 7.22–7.15 (m, 3H), 3.27 (dd, J = 9.4, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.10 (t, J = 9.7, 9.7 Hz, 1H), 2.79–2.63 (m, 1H), 2.62–2.48 (m, 1H), 2.49–2.31 (m, 1H), 2.14–1.92 (m, 3H), 1.79–1.68 (m, 1H), 1.57–1.36 (m, 6H), 1.26 (s, 12H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 142.6 (Cq), 128.50,

128.49, 128.45, 128.42, 128.40, 125.85, 83.2 (2 × Cq), 47.1 (CH), 43.6 (CH), 34.9 (CH₂), 34.7 (CH₂), 32.7 (CH₂), 31.4 (CH₂), 24.92, 24.91, 10.1 (CH₂–I); Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected.

4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-[1-[[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)cyclopropyl]methyl]-but-3-enyl]-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (39)



Colorless oil; Rf: 0.32 (98:2 pentane:Et₂O), Four diastereomers (dr = ca: 8:8:1:1).

Two Major diastereomers (dr = ca. 1:1): ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.85–5.69 (m, 1H, 2 dia), 5.03–4.92 (m, 1H, 2 dia), 4.94–4.85 (m, 1H, 2 dia), 2.22–2.09 (m, 2H, 2 dia), 1.47–1.13 (m, 3H, 2 dia), 1.21 (s, 12H), 1.18 (s, 6H), 1.17 (s, 6H), 0.99–0.85 (m, 1H, 2 dia), 0.70–0.58 (m, 1H, 2 dia), 0.46–0.33 (m, 1H, 2 dia), -0.37– -0.48 (m, 1H, 2 dia); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 138.83 (=CH), 138.75 (=CH), 114.90 (=CH₂), 114.89 (=CH₂), 83.08 (Cq), 83.04 (Cq), 82.80 (Cq), 82.77 (Cq), 36.70 (CH₂), 36.20 (CH₂), 35.78 (CH₂), 35.31 (CH₂), 25.05 (2 × CH₃), 25.03 (2 × CH₃), 24.97 (4 × CH₃), 24.86 (2 × CH₃), 24.82 (2 × CH₃), 24.77 (2 × CH₃), 24.72 (2 × CH₃), 18.16 (CH), 18.01 (CH), 12.11 (CH₂), 12.07 (CH₂). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 33.9; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2977, 2925, 1419, 1370, 1313, 1216, 1142, 967, 855, 670 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): Calculated for C₂₀H₃₆B₂O₄ [M-CH₃]⁺: 347.2800; found: 347.2564.

Minor diastereomer (dr = ca. 1:1, characteristic signals): ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.78–0.70 (m, 1H, 2 dia), -0.13 (tdd, J = 9.3, 9.3, 6.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H, 2 dia). ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 114.77 (=CH₂), 114.68 (=CH₂), 83.00 (Cq), 82.98 (Cq), 82.97 (Cq), 82.90 (Cq), 36.23 (CH₂), 35.36 (CH₂), 33.05 (CH₂), 32.25 (CH₂), 18.08 (CH), 17.62 (CH), 11.79 (CH₂), 11.52 (CH₂).

Ethyl 2-(iodomethyl)-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)cyclopentanecarboxylate (38c)



Followed **GP1** with ethyl acrylate (52.2 mg, 0.521 mmol). Purification by column chromatography (5% Et₂O:pentane) provided the desired **38c** (35.9 mg, 0.088 mmol, 17%, dr = 3:3:2:2).

Two major diastereomers (dr = 1:1) Dia 1+2: Colorless oil; Rf: 0.46 (9:1 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.131 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 4.128 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.313 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 1H, dia 1), 3.289 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 1H, dia 2), 3.137 (t, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 1H, dia 2), 3.126 (t, *J* = 9.4 Hz, 1H, dia 1), 2.98 (td, *J* = 7.5, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 2.91 (q, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.66–2.51 (m, 2H), 2.18–1.76 (m, 7H, dia 1 + dia 2), 1.76–1.66 (m, 1H), 1.63–1.52 (m, 1H), 1.45–1.33 (m, 1H), 1.274 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H, 1 dia), 1.270 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H, 1 dia), 1.245 (s, 6H), 1.241 (s, 6H), 1.23 (s, 12H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.4 (Cq), 174.1 (Cq), 83.39 (2 × Cq), 83.36 (2 × Cq), 60.4 (2 × CH₂), 48.7 (CH), 48.4 (CH), 47.6 (CH), 47.0 (CH), 35.4 (CH₂), 34.3 (CH₂), 31.7 (CH₂), 31.2 (CH₂), 24.93 (2 × CH₃), 24.90 (2 × CH₃), 24.86 (4 × CH₃), 14.5 (2 × CH₃), 7.7 (CH₂–I), 7.4 (CH₂–I). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 34.1; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2976, 2933, 1725, 1371, 1315, 1187, 1165, 1141, 969, 856 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₅H₂₆BlO₄ [M+Na]⁺: 431.0969; found: 431.0856.

Two minor diastereomers (dr = ca. 1:1) Dia 3+4: Colorless oil; Rf: 0.38 (9:1 pentane:Et₂O); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.13 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.40 (dd, *J* = 9.7, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 3.23 (dd, *J* = 9.8, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.50 (ddd, *J* = 9.8, 8.4, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 2.44–2.33 (m, 1H), 2.19–2.09 (m, 2H), 2.05–1.99 (m, 1H), 1.53–1.41 (m, 1H), 1.38–1.27 (m, 1H), 1.27–1.21 (s, 15H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 175.4 (Cq), 83.4 (2 × Cq), 60.7 (CH₂), 50.4 (CH), 47.3 (CH), 37.1 (CH₂), 32.7 (CH₂), 24.9 (4 × CH₃), 14.4 (CH₃), 11.9 (CH₂–I). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 33.5; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2977, 2933, 1727, 1370, 1317, 1184, 1163, 1141, 971, 859 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₅H₂₆BlO₄ [M+Na]⁺: 431.0969; found: 431.0854. 2-[3-(4-tert-butylphenyl)-4-(iodomethyl)cyclopentyl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (38e)



Followed **GP1** with 1-(tert-butyl)-4-vinylbenzene (83 mg, 0.518 mmol). Purification by column chromatography (Macherey-Nagel Silica 60, 0.015-0.04 mm) (3% Et₂O:pentane) provided the desired **38e** (67 mg, 0.163 mmol, 17%, dr = 38:29:22:11).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.47 (95:5 pentane:Et₂O).

Major diastereomer (*38***:29:22:11):** ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.36–7.30 (m, 2H), 7.18–7.14 (m, 2H), 3.32 (dd, J = 9.6, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 3.08 (dd, J = 9.5, 8.6 Hz, 1H), 2.73–2.63 (m, 1H), 2.14–2.02 (m, 1H), 2.33–2.21 (m, 2H), 2.11–2.01 (m, 1H), 1.74–1.61 (m, 1H), 1.56–1.45 (m, 1H), 1.35 (s, 9H), 1.30 (bs, 12H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 149.15 (Cq), 141.1 (Cq), 127.1 (2 × CH_{*Ar*}), 125.49 (2 × CH_{*Ar*}), 83.2 (Cq), 51.9 (CH), 51.8 (CH), 37.4 (CH₂), 36.9 (CH₂), 34.5 (2 × Cq), 31.5 (3 × CH₃), 24.9 (4 × CH₃), 12.4 (CH₂–I). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected.

Two diastereomers (38:29:22:11) (characteristic signals): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.36–7.30 3.37–3.32 (m, 1H, dia 1), 3.34–3.26 (m, 1H), 3.12 (dd, J = 9.7, 7.2 Hz, 1H, dia 1), 2.85 (dd, J = 9.4, 4.8 Hz, 1H, dia 2), 2.78 (dd, J = 10.7, 9.4 Hz, 1H, dia 2), 2.71–2.61 (m, 2H), 2.32–2.20 (m, 3H, 2 dia), 2.14–1.96 (m, 3H, 2 dia), 1.92–1.83 (m, 1H), 1.81–1.63 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 149.2 (Cq), 149.1 (Cq), 140.2 (Cq), 138.7 (Cq), 128.3 (2 × CH_{Ar}), 127.2 (2 × CH_{Ar}), 125.45 (2 × CH_{Ar}), 125.1 (2 × CH_{Ar}), 83.3 (2 × Cq), 83.2 (2 × Cq), 53.7 (CH), 49.6 (CH), 49.4 (CH), 48.5 (CH), 38.6 (CH₂), 35.5 (CH₂), 35.0 (CH₂), 34.5 (2 × Cq), 33.0 (CH₂), 31.5 (6 × CH₃), 24.91 (8 × CH₃), 14.4 (CH₂–I), 11.9 (CH₂–I). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected.

Minor diastereomer (38:29:22:11) (characteristic signals): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.88–2.83 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.3 (CH₂–I).

Mixture of isomers: ¹¹**B NMR** (128 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 34.3; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2960, 2903, 2868, 1411, 1378, 1371, 1315, 1142, 828, 732 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): Calculated for C₂₂H₃₄BlO₂ [M-CH₃]⁺: 453.1697; found: 453.1463.

$\begin{array}{c} 1) & \downarrow \\ &$

3-(4-tert-butylphenyl)-4-(iodomethyl)cyclopentanol (OX-38e)

Followed **GP1** with 1-(tert-butyl)-4-vinylbenzene (82.5 mg, 0.515 mmol). After filtration over neutral Alox and concentration under reduced pressure, the crude mixture was dissolved in THF/H₂O (1:1)(10 mL) and sodium perborate (769 mg, 5 mmol) was added in one portion. The reaction mixture was stirred for 14 h at room temperature, then partitioned between EtOAc (20 mL) and water (20 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted EtOAc (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with sat. aq. NaCl (25 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a colorless oil. Purification by column chromatography (30% Et₂O:pentane) provided the desired **OX-38e** (131 mg, 0.366 mmol, 71%, dr = 40:25:24:11).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.24 (7:3 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.39–7.09 (m, 4H, 4 dia), 4.68–4.44 (m, 1H, 4 dia), 3.67–1.53 (m, 8H, 4 dia), 1.35–1.32 (m, 9H, 4 dia); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 149.56 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 149.50 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 149.49 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 149.46 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 139.8 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 139.4 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 137.41 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 137.39 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 128.3 (2 × CH_{*Ar*}), 128.2 (2 × CH_{*Ar*}), 127.4 (2 × CH_{*Ar*}), 127.1 (2 × CH_{*Ar*}), 125.62 (2 × CH_{*Ar*}), 125.61 (2 × CH_{*Ar*}), 125.3 (4 × CH_{*Ar*}), 71.72 (CH), 71.70 (CH), 71.5 (CH), 71.2 (CH), 50.6 (CH), 49.2 (CH), 48.8 (CH), 47.4 (CH), 46.7 (CH), 46.3 (CH), 45.9 (CH), 45.4 (CH₂), 45.2 (CH), 45.0 (CH₂), 43.5 (CH₂), 43.26 (CH₂), 43.25 (CH₂), 42.6 (CH₂), 41.2 (CH₂), 39.7 (CH₂), 34.5 (4 × Cq), 31.5 (12 × CH₃), 13.4 (CH₂–I), 13.3 (CH₂–I), 11.8 (CH₂–I), 11.2 (CH₂–I); IR (neat): ν_{max} = 3322, 2956, 2901, 2866, 1508, 1460, 1360, 1268, 1017, 826 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₆H₂₃IO [M+Na]⁺: 381.0794; found: 381.0677.

2-[3-(iodomethyl)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclopentyl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (38f)



Followed **GP1** with 1-methoxy-4-vinylbenzene (67 mg, 0.499 mmol). Purification by column chromatography (7% Et_2O :pentane) provided the desired **38f** (160 mg, 0.362 mmol, 73%, dr = 36:30:22:12).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.22 (9:1 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.18–7.09 (m, 2H, 4 dia), 6.88–6.80 (m, 2H, 4 dia), 3.80–3.78 (m, 3H, 4 dia), 3.33–3.20 (m, 1H (2 dia) + 1H (2 dia)), 3.12–3.01 (m, 1H, 2 dia), 2.86–2.70 (m, 2H, 2 dia), 2.69–2.56 (m, 1H, 4 dia), 2.30–1.38 (m, 4H (4 dia) + 1H (2 dia) + 1H (4 dia)), 1.32–1.16 (m, 12H, 4 dia); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 158.2 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 158.2 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 158.1 (2 × Cq_{*Ar*}), 136.2 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 135.2 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 133.9 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 133.6 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 129.5 (2 × CH_{*Ar*}), 129.3 (2 × CH_{*Ar*}), 128.5 (2 × CH_{*Ar*}), 128.4 (2 × CH_{*Ar*}), 113.99 (2 × CH_{*Ar*}), 113.97 (2 × CH_{*Ar*}), 113.7 (2 × CH_{*Ar*}), 113.6 (2 × CH_{*Ar*}), 83.25 (Cq), 83.23 (Cq), 83.18 (Cq), 83.15 (Cq), 55.31 (CH₃), 55.29 (CH₃), 53.4 (CH), 51.9 (CH), 51.5 (CH), 49.4 (CH), 49.0 (CH), 48.7 (CH), 48.6 (CH), 48.4 (CH), 38.5 (CH₂), 37.4 (CH₂), 36.6 (CH₂), 35.5 (CH₂), 34.8 (CH₂), 34.2 (CH₂), 33.4 (CH₂), 32.2 (CH₂), 24.93 (CH₃), 24.89 (CH₃), 24.87 (CH₃), 24.85 (CH₃), 24.83 (CH₃), 14.2 (CH₂–I), 12.1 (CH₂–I), 11.4 (CH₂–I), 9.9 (CH₂–I). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar 11B and 10B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹**B NMR** (128 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 34.3; IR (neat): $\nu_{max} = 2976$, 2933, 1512, 1378, 1371, 1314, 1244, 1141, 1036, 827 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₆H₂₃IO [M+H]⁺: 465.1176; found: 465.1062.

Synthesis of 4-[2-(iodomethyl)-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)cyclopentyl]-benzonitrile (38g)



Followed **GP1** with 4-vinylbenzonitrile (63.9 mg, 0.495 mmol). Purification by column chromatography (5% Et_2O :pentane) provided the desired **38g** (118 mg, 0.269 mmol, 54% dr = 35:34:29:12).

Mixture of four diastereomers (contaminated with unknown impurity): Colorless oil; Rf: 0.26 (9:1 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.61–7.54 (m, 2H, 4 dia), 7.40–7.25 (m, 2H, 4 dia), 3.45–3.32 (m, 1H, 2 dia), 3.28–3.21 (m, 1H, 2 dia), 3.10–3.00 (m, 1H, 2 dia), 2.88–2.60 (m, 2H (2 dia) + 1H (4 dia)), 2.35–2.41 (m, 1H (2 dia) + 5H (4 dia)), 1.29–1.22 (m, 12H, 4 dia); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 150.7 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 150.3 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 149.4 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 148.0 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 132.5 (CH_{*Ar*}), 132.4 (CH_{*Ar*}), 132.1 (CH_{*Ar*}), 132.0 (CH_{*Ar*}), 129.5 (2 × CH_{*Ar*}), 129.2 (2 × CH_{*Ar*}), 128.5 (2 × CH_{*Ar*}), 128.4 (2 × CH_{*Ar*}), 119.06 (Cq), 119.04 (Cq), 119.01 (Cq), 118.99 (Cq), 110.30 (Cq), 110.28 (Cq), 110.26 (Cq), 110.24 (Cq), 83.47 (Cq), 83.44 (Cq), 83.39 (Cq), 83.37 (Cq), 54.1 (CH), 52.3 (CH), 51.7 (CH), 49.8 (CH), 49.4 (CH), 49.3 (CH), 48.8 (CH), 48.6 (CH), 38.4 (CH₂), 37.3 (CH₂), 36.7 (CH₂), 35.5 (CH₂), 35.1 (CH₂), 34.2 (CH₂), 33.2 (CH₂), 31.9 (CH₂), 24.92 (CH₃), 24.89 (CH₃), 24.86 (CH₃), 24.83 (CH₃), 13.0 (CH₂–I), 10.9 (CH₂–I), 9.2 (CH₂–I), 8.0 (CH₂–I). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 34.0; IR (neat): *ν*_{max} = 2976, 2932, 2871, 2226, 1378, 1372, 1316, 1141, 833, 730 cm1; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₉H₂₅BINO₂ [M+H]⁺: 438.1023; found: 438.1093.

2-[4-(iodomethyl)-3-methyl-3-phenyl-cyclopentyl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (38h)



Followed **GP1** with prop-1-en-2-ylbenzene (59.1 mg, 0.500 mmol) of starting alkene. Purification by column chromatography (Macherey-Nagel Silica 60, 0.015–0.04 mm) (2% EtOAc:heptanes) provided the desired **38h** as an unseparable mixture of diastereomers (89.3 mg, 0.210 mmol, 42%, dr = 53:21:19:7).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.26 (9:1 heptanes:EtOAc).

Major diastereomer (53:21:19:7): ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.43–7.27 (m, 4H), 7.27–7.17 (m, 1H), 3.23 (dd, J = 9.4, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 3.06 (dd, J = 11.5, 9.3 Hz, 1H), 2.70–2.60 (m, 1H), 2.51–2.42 (m, 1H), 2.41–2.31 (m, 1H), 2.00 (dd, J = 13.0, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 1.71–1.56 (m, 2H), 1.30 (s, 12H), 1.23 (s, 3H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 148.64 (Cq), 128.39 (2 × CH_{Ar}), 125.92 (CH_{Ar}), 125.87 (2 × CH_{Ar}), 83.32 (2 × Cq), 54.92 (CH), 49.34 (Cq), 46.21 (CH₂), 34.77 (CH₂), 24.90 (2 × CH₃), 24.85 (2 × CH₃), 20.87 (CH₃), 7.97 (CH₂–I). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 34.5; IR (neat): $\nu_{max} = 2974$, 2928, 2874, 1370, 1312, 1142, 970, 858, 760, 698 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₉H₂₈BIO₂ [M+Na]⁺: 449.1227; found: 449.1120.

Mixture of two diastereomers (characteristic signals, dr = ca. 1:1)(53:21:19:7): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.27–3.23 (m, 1H, 1 dia), 3.02–2.97 (m, 1H, 1 dia), 2.77–2.67 (m, 1H, 1 dia), 2.52–2.23(m, 4H, 2 dia); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 147.59 (Cq), 146.84 (Cq), 83.35 (Cq), 83.26 (Cq), 54.14 (CH), 52.52 (CH), 51.85 (Cq), 50.29 (Cq), 46.50 (CH₂), 38.55 (CH₂), 34.08 (CH₂), 33.82 (CH₂), 30.01 (CH₃), 24.88 (4 × CH₃), 18.85 (CH₃), 12.65 (CH₂–I), 8.40 (CH₂–I). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected.

Minor diastereomer (characteristic signals) (53:21:19:7): ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 146.50 (Cq), 83.37 (Cq), 54.03 (CH), 51.41 (Cq), 38.41 (CH₂), 32.89 (CH₂), 30.70 (CH₃), 11.02

(CH₂–I). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar 11 B and 10 B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected.

2-[12-(iodomethyl)-14-oxatetracyclo[6.5.1.02,7.09,13]tetradeca-2,4,6-trien-10-yl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (38j)



Followed **GP1** with 11-oxatricyclo[6.2.1.02,7]undeca-2,4,6,9-tetraene (69.9 mg, 0.485 mmol). Purification by column chromatography (Gradient 5-10% Et_2O :pentane) provided the desired **38j** (89.3 mg, 0.210 mmol, 71% dr = 34:46:16:4).

Major diastereomer (34:*46***:16:4) (contains traces of solvents)**: Colorless oil; Rf: 0.48 (85:15 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.37–7.30 (m, 2H), 7.24–7.18 (m, 2H), 5.48 (bs, 1H), 5.42 (bs, 1H), 3.60 (dd, *J* = 9.6, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 3.40 (t, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 2.54 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 2.52–2.42 (m, 1H), 2.42 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 2.04 (dt, *J* = 11.3, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 1.80 (dt, *J* = 13.6, 11.6 Hz, 1H), 1.64 (ddd, *J* = 13.7, 8.6, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 1.423 (s, 6H), 1.416 (s, 6H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 146.8 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 146.3 (Cq_{*Ar*}), 126.5 (2 x CH_{*Ar*}), 119.1 (CH_{*Ar*}), 119.0 (CH_{*Ar*}), 84.2 (CH), 83.5 (2 x Cq), 79.6 (CH), 50.3 (CH), 48.9 (CH), 47.0 (CH), 36.9 (CH₂), 27.2 (bs, CH-B), 25.2 (2 x CH₃), 25.0 (2 x CH₃), 7.5 (CH₂–I). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹**B NMR** (128 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 33.9; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2994, 2975, 2924, 1371, 1318, 1138, 851, 842, 756, 673 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₂₀H₂₆BIO₃ [M+Na]⁺: 475.1020; found: 475.0900.

Second Major diastereomer (*34***:46:16:4)**: Colorless oil; Rf: 0.39 (85:15 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.30–7.24 (m, 2H), 7.18–7.12 (m, 2H), 5.35 (s, 1H), 5.13 (s, 1H), 3.53 (dd, *J* = 9.7, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.32 (t, *J* = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 2.51–2.39 (m, 1H), 2.42 (dd, *J* = 6.9, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 2.37–2.32 (m, 1H), 1.97–1.90 (m, 2H), 1.73–1.68 (m, 1H), 1.23 (s, 12H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 146.5 (Cq_{*A*r}), 146.1 (Cq_{*A*r}), 126.53 (CH_{*A*r}), 126.45 (CH_{*A*r}), 119.2 (CH_{*A*r}), 119.1 (CH_{*A*r}), 87.2 (CH), 83.5 (2 x Cq), 79.7 (CH), 50.3 (CH), 48.8 (CH), 45.4 (CH), 36.4 (CH₂), 27.3 (bs, CH-B), 24.8 (4 x CH₃), 8.0 (CH₂–I); ¹¹**B NMR** (128 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 34.2. Due to coupling to

the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 34.2; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2977, 2952, 2933, 2869, 1370, 1316, 1214, 1141, 753, 680 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₉H₂₈BIO₃ [M+Na]⁺: 475.1020; found: 475.0901.

Third diastereomer (34:46:16:4): Colorless oil; Rf: 0.33 (85:15 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.20–7.13 (m, 2H), 7.08–7.02 (m, 2H), 5.15 (s, 1H), 4.91 (s, 1H), 3.24 (dd, J = 9.6, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.18 (dd, J = 9.7, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.28–2.24 (m, 1H), 2.13–2.03 (m, 2H), 1.85–1.78 (m, 1H), 1.30–1.26 (m, 2H), 1.18 (s, 12H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 145.88 (Cq), 145.69 (Cq), 126.61 (CH_{Ar}), 126.59 (CH_{Ar}), 119.38 (CH_{Ar}), 119.31 (CH_{Ar}), 83.37 (2 × Cq), 83.31 (CH), 83.04 (CH), 55.40 (CH), 51.60 (CH), 47.32 (CH), 39.89 (CH₂), 24.97 (2 × CH₃), 24.85 (2 × CH₃), 11.24 (CH₂–I). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹**B NMR** (128 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 34.0; IR (neat): $\nu_{max} = 2976, 2931, 1415, 1379, 1315, 1140, 848, 751, 731, 654$ cm¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₉H₂₈BIO₃ [M+Na]⁺: 475.1020; found: 475.0867.

Minor Diastereomer (34:46:16:4): ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.22–7.11 (m, 2H), 7.09–7.00 (m, 2H), 5.25 (s, 1H), 5.04 (s, 1H), 3.44 (dd, J = 9.7, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.25–3.22 (m, 1H), 2.42–2.33 (m, 1H), 2.32 (dd, J = 6.9, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 2.28–2.24 (m, 1H), 1.85–1.78 (m, 2H), 1.65–1.58 (m, 1H), 1.14 (s, 12H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 146.52 (Cq), 146.15 (Cq), 126.56 (CH_{Ar}), 126.49 (CH_{Ar}), 119.25 (CH_{Ar}), 119.11 (CH_{Ar}), 87.30 (CH), 83.51 (2 × Cq), 79.71 (CH), 50.38 (CH), 48.82 (CH), 45.46 (CH), 36.43 (CH₂), 24.85 (4 × CH₃), 8.05 (CH₂–I). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected.

2-[3-(iodomethyl)-4-phenylsulfanyl-cyclopentyl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (38k)



Followed **GP1** with phenylvinylsulfide (67.8 mg, 0.498 mmol). Purification by column chromatography (Macherey-Nagel Silica 60, 0.015-0.04 mm) (5% Et₂O:pentane) provided the desired **38k** (92.2 mg, 0.208 mmol, 42% - dr = 38:31:17:14).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.55 (9:1 pentane:Et₂O).

Two major diastereomers (dr = ca. 1:1) ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.47–7.38 (m, 4H, dia 1 + dia 2), 7.35–7.25 (m, 4H, dia 1 + dia 2), 7.26–7.19 (m, 2H, dia 1 + dia 2), 3.69 (q, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H, 1 dia), 3.51–3.41 (m, 2H, dia 1 + dia 2), 3.34–3.21 (m, 3H, dia 1 + dia 2), 2.66–2.54 (m, 1H, 1 dia), 2.31–2.14 (m, 4H, dia 1 + dia 2), 2.08–1.93 (m, 3H, dia 1 + dia 2), 1.73–1.63 (m, 1H, 1 dia), 1.38 (ddd, J = 12.6, 11.0, 9.3 Hz, 1H, 1 dia), 1.25 (s, 24H, dia 1 + dia 2), 1.16–1.07 (m, 1H, 1 dia), 1.09–1.02 (m, 1H, 1 dia); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 136.15 (Cq), 135.97 (Cq), 131.05 (2 × CH_{Ar}), 130.92 (2 × CH_{Ar}), 129.02 (2 × CH_{Ar}), 128.97 (2 × CH_{Ar}), 126.63 (CH_{Ar}), 126.52 (CH_{Ar}), 83.45 (2 × Cq), 83.34 (2 × Cq), 53.72 (CH), 52.42 (CH), 49.46 (CH), 48.11 (CH), 36.55 (CH₂), 36.03 (CH₂), 35.48 (CH₂), 33.87 (CH₂), 24.87 (4 × CH₃), 24.85 (4 × CH₃), 11.72 (CH₂–I), 9.20 (CH₂–I). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected.

Two minor diastereomers (dr = ca. 1:1; characteristic signals): ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.46–7.39 (m, 4H, dia 3 + dia 4), 7.35–7.25 (m, 4H, dia 3 + dia 4), 7.26–7.20 (m, 2H, dia 3 + dia 4), 3.83–3.78 (m, 1H, 1 dia), 3.47–3.42 (m, 2H, dia 3 + dia 4), 3.33–3.23 (m, 2H, dia 3 + dia 4), 3.17 (ddd, J = 9.3, 8.0, 6.5 Hz, 1H, 1 dia), 2.39 (dt, J = 12.6, 7.0, 7.0 Hz, 1H, 1 dia), 1.86–1.68 (m, 2H, dia 3 + dia 4), 1.29 (s, 12H), 1.28 (s, 12H), 1.16–1.07 (m, 1H, 1 dia), 1.09–1.02 (m, 1H, 1 dia). ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 135.88 (Cq), 135.63 (Cq), 131.78 (2 × CH_{Ar}), 131.12 (2 × CH_{Ar}), 129.02 (2 × CH_{Ar}), 128.97 (2 × CH_{Ar}), 126.91 (CH_{Ar}), 126.55 (CH_{Ar}), 83.36 (2 × Cq), 83.33 (2 × Cq), 54.29 (CH), 53.92 (CH), 48.18, (CH) 47.27 (CH), 37.72 (CH₂), 35.20 (CH₂), 33.99 (CH₂), 32.88 (CH₂), 24.97 (4 × CH₃), 24.83 (4 × CH₃), 13.37 (CH₂–I), 8.01 (CH₂–I). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected.

Mixture of 4 diastereomers: ¹¹**B NMR** (128 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 34.2; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2975, 2923, 2868, 1479, 1370, 1314, 1214, 1141, 856, 737, 689 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₈H₂₆BISO₂ [M+Na]⁺: 467.0791; found: 467.0665.





Followed **GP1** with vinyl acetate (52.2 mg, 0.521 mmol). Purification by column chromatography (Macherey-Nagel Silica 60, 0.015–0.04 mm) (5% Et_2O :pentane) provided the desired **38I** (40 mg, 0.102 mmol, 18% dr = 44:28:21:6).

Colorless oil; Rf: 0.21 (9:1 pentane:Et₂O); ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.26 – 4.74 (m, 1H), 3.38 – 3.10 (m, 2H), 2.48 – 2.19 (m, 1H), 2.19 – 2.06 (m, 1H), 2.02 (d, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 3H), 1.99 – 1.91 (m, 1H), 1.83 (dddd, *J* = 19.3, 9.6, 7.2, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 1.59 – 1.37 (m, 1H), 1.23 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 17H); ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.8 (Cq), 170.5 (Cq), 170.5 (Cq), 83.3 (Cq), 83.3 (Cq), 83.2 (Cq), 82.9 (Cq), 81.0 (CH), 78.2 (CH), 77.6 (CH), 49.8 (CH), 48.6 (CH), 47.3 (CH), 35.1 (CH₂), 35.0 (CH₂), 34.9 (CH₂), 34.8 (CH₂), 34.5 (CH₂), 33.3 (CH₂), 32.7 (CH₂), 32.5 (CH₂), 24.8 (CH₃), 24.8 (CH₃), 24.7 (CH₃), 24.7 (CH₃), 21.3 (CH₃), 21.2 (CH₃), 21.2 (CH₃), 21.2 (CH₃), 10.0 (CH₂-I), 9.5 (CH₂-I), 4.6 (CH₂-I), 4.3 (CH₂-I). Due to coupling to the quadrupolar ¹¹B and ¹⁰B nuclei, the methine adjacent to boron was not detected; ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 34.1; IR (neat): ν_{max} = 2975, 2933, 1725, 1371, 1315, 1187, 1165, 1141, 969, 856 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Calculated for C₁₄H₂₄BIO₄ [M+Na]⁺: 417.0812; found: 417.0695.

A Appendix

NMR Spectra

A.1 NMR Spectra Chapter 1

Synthesis and Isolation of 1,3-iodopinacol boronic esters via intermolecular Atom Transfer Radical Addition of α -boryl radicals



Hex-5-enoxymethylbenzene



¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃)



-77.16 CDCI3

140 135 85 80 f1 (ppm) 130 125 115 110



2-(Pent-4-en-1-yl)isoindoline-1,3-dione



¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃)

175 170 165 160 155 150 145 140 135 130 125 120 115 110 105 100 95 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 11 (ppm)







-77.16 CDCl3

4-Methyl-N-pent-4-enyl-benzenesulfonamide

/

¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃)



¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃)



2-Allylcyclohexanone



¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃)



120 110 f1 (ppm)



exo,exo-2-(lodomethyl)hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborole





exo,exo-2-(lodomethyl)hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborole



7-(((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-Hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)-5-iodoheptyl acetate

¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃)





7-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-Hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)-5-iodoheptyl acetate

FT-IR, ATR-diamond



18

477.296

83

12-(((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-Hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)-10-iodododecan-1-ol

605.539

79

17

16

748.245

79





12 - ((3aR, 4S, 7R, 7aS) - Hexahydro - 4, 7 - methanobenzo[d] [1, 3, 2] dioxaborol - 2 - yl) - 10 - iodododecan - 1 - ol and a standard standard

¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃)





12-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-Hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)-10-iodododecan-1-ol

FT-IR, ATR-diamond

¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃)








(3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-2-(3-iodo-5-phenylpentyl)Hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborole

FT-IR, ATR-diamond











130 125 120 115 110 105 100 95 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 20 f1 (ppm)







((3aR, 4S, 7R, 7aS) - 2 - (7 - (benzyloxy) - 3 - iodoheptyl) Hexahydro-4, 7 - methanobenzo[d] [1, 3, 2] dioxaborole and a standard stand

FT-IR, ATR-diamond



(3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-2-(3-lodododecyl)hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborole

¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃)



 $(3aR,\!4S,\!7R,\!7aS)\!-\!2\!-\!(3\text{-lodododecyl}) hexahydro-\!4,\!7\text{-methanobenzo}[d][1,\!3,\!2] dioxaborole$

¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃)





(3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-2-(3-lodododecyl)hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborole

FT-IR, ATR-diamond



(3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-2-(4-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-3-iodobutyl)hexahydro-4,7 methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborole

¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃)













FT-IR, ATR-diamond



(3-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-Hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)-1-iodopropyl) dimethyl (phenyl) silane (3-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-Hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)-1-iodopropyl) dimethyl (phenyl) silane (3-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-Hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)-1-iodopropyl) dimethyl (phenyl) silane (3-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-Hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)-1-iodopropyl) dimethyl (phenyl) silane (3-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-Hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl]-1-iodopropyl) dimethyl (phenyl) silane (3-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-Hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl]-1-iodopropyl] dimethyl (phenyl) silane (3-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-Hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl]-1-iodopropyl] dimethyl (3-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-Hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl]-1-iodopropyl] dimethyl (phenyl) silane (3-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-Hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl]-1-iodopropyl] dimethyl (phenyl) silane (3-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-Hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl]-1-iodopropyl] dimethyl (phenyl) silane (3-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-4,7-methanobenzo[d]1,3,2] dioxaborol-2-yl]

¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃)





135 130 125 120 115 110 105 100 95 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 20 15 10 5 0 -5 f1 (ppm)



(3-((3aR, 4S, 7R, 7aS)-Hexahydro-4, 7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)-1-iodopropyl) dimethyl (phenyl) silane (3-((3aR, 4S, 7R, 7aS)-4, 7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2](1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2](1,3,2](1,3,2](1,3,2)(1,3,2](1,3,2)(1,3,2)(1,3,2)(1,3,2)(1,3,2)(1,3,2)(1,3,2)(1,3,2)(1,3,2)

¹¹B-NMR (96 MHz, CDCl₃)

FT-IR, ATR-diamond



25

698.105

43.6029

26

646.036

83.878



-34.

(3-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-Hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)-1-iodopropyl)dimethyl(phenyl)silane

Peak Find - Memory-2 100 5 6 20 21 ¥ 3 80 26 7% 8 16 15 19 %R 17 60 14 9 10 24 18 40 22 4000 3000 2000 1000 600 Wavenumber [cm-1] [Result of Peak Picking] Position Intensity Position No. Intensity Position No. Intensity No. 2 5 1 3068.19 94.0642 2953.45 74.0175 3 2874.38 82.7465 90.4744 90.8979 4 2853.17 86.3971 1730.8 6 1455.03 8 56.939 7 1427.07 81.1364 1408.75 78.3099 9 1384.64 10 1370.18 54.1849 11 1318.11 62.7553 12 1282.43 80.5598 13 1248.68 65.7972 14 1224.58 58.8585 15 1163.83 75.0547 16 1131.05 77.9197 17 1111.76 66.1137 18 1024.98 47.4703 19 1001.84 76.9684 20 943.02 90.5228 21 916.022 87.8183 22 812.849 41.5337 23 775.244 59.8092 729.925 50.158 24



N-(6-((3aR, 4S, 7R, 7aS)-Hexahydro-4, 7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2] dioxaborol-2-yl)-4-iodohexyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide

N-(6-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-Hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)-4-iodohexyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide Dept-135 (101 MHz, CDCl₃)









N-(6-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-Hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)-4-iodohexyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide 1H, 1H-COSY (400 MHz, CDCl_s)



N-(6-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-Hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)-4-iodohexyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide ¹H, ¹³C-HSQC (400 MHz, CDCl₃)

N-(6-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-Hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)-4-iodohexyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide 1H, 13C-HMBC (400 MHz, CDCl₃)



N-(6-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-Hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)-4-iodohexyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide





33,81

N-(6-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-Hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)-4-iodohexyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide FT-IR, ATR-diamond





170 165 160 155 150 145 140 135 130 125 120 115 110 105 100 95 f1 (ppm) 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 Dimethyl 2-(4-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)-2-iodobutyl)malonate

Dept-135 (101 MHz, CDCl₃)





88 86 84 82 80 78 76 74 72 70 68 66 64 62 60 58 56 54 52 50 48 46 44 42 40 38 36 34 32 30 28 26 24 22 f1 (ppm)



Dimethyl 2-(4-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)-2-iodobutyl)malonate



Dimethyl 2-(4-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)-2-iodobutyl)malonate







Dimethyl 2-(4-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)-2-iodobutyl)malonate

FT-IR, ATR-diamond





(3aR, 4S, 7R, 7aS) - 2 - (5 - Phenyl pentyl) hexahydro-4, 7 - methanobenzo [d] [1,3,2] dioxaborole

Dept-135 (101 MHz, CDCl₃)











.

7.0

6.5

6.0

5.5

5.0

4.5

4.0 f2 (ppm)

3.5

3.0

¹H, ¹³C-HSQC (400 MHz, CDCI₃)

-140

.

2.5

2.0

•••

1.5

1.0

(3aR, 4S, 7R, 7aS) - 2 - (5 - Phenyl pentyl) hexahydro-4, 7 - methanobenzo [d] [1, 3, 2] dioxaborole

¹¹B-NMR (96 MHz, CDCl₃)





(3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-2-(5-Phenylpentyl)hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborole

FT-IR, ATR-diamond







ti (ppm



12-((3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-Hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)dodecan-1-ol

C HO Η̈́ Peak Find - Memory-3 100 -m 20 1 90 80 6 %Т 3 70 60 11 50∟ 4000 3000 2000 1000 600 Wavenumber [cm-1] [Result of Peak Picking] No. Position Intensity No. Position Intensity Position No. Intensity 1 3383.5 1457.92 94 2 5 8 11 14 17 2922.59 1373.07 2852.2 1321.96 74 78 89 66 67 75 56 93 92 3 6 9 4 7 88 1284.36 87 1222.65 1132.97 96 94 92 12 15 18 1002.8 868.774 80 96 91 10 1106.94 1028.84 13 16 943.02 916.986 827.312 816.706 764.637 19 721.247 89 20 651.822 95

(3aR,4S,7R,7aS)-2-Dodecylhexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborole

¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃)

FT-IR, ATR-diamond



¹³C-NMR (96 MHz, CDCl₃)

 $(3aR,\!4S,\!7R,\!7aS)\!-\!2\text{-}Dodecylhexahydro-\!4,\!7\text{-}methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborole$







¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃)



3-lodo-5-phenyl-pentan-1-ol



¹³C-NMR (96 MHz, CDCl₃)

ม	and a second second and a second s	ኯጚኯጜቔኇጜዿፙዿኯኯጟኇዿዿዸኯቔዹዿኯኯኯጟኇፙፙቝ ^ኯ ጟኯፙዿፙኇኯ፟ኇጞዄፙዿኯ፟ኯጞዄ	 Philipping	

----77,16 CDCl3

A.2 NMR Spectra Chapter 2

Cyclisation reactions involving α -boryl radicals: Atom Transfer Radical Addition and Cyclisation (ATRAC) and Atom Transfer Radical Addition and Annulation (ATRAn)

¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃)











45 40 f1 (ppm)


3,4-Diethylhexane-3,4-diol

¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃)



¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃)







 $\label{eq:constraint} \texttt{2-} (iodomethyl) \textbf{-4}, 4, 5, 5 \textbf{-tetramethyl-1}, 3, 2 \textbf{-} dioxaborolane$

I∕B-O

.26 CDCl3

250000 -250000 -200000 -150000

¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃)





 $\label{eq:constraint} \texttt{2-} (iodomethyl) \textbf{-4}, 4, 5, 5 \textbf{-tetramethyl-1}, 3, 2 \textbf{-} dioxaborolane$

в_О 0-/

f1 (ppm) ¹¹B-NMR (96 MHz, CDCl₃)





(3aR*,4S*,7R*,7aS*)-2-(iodomethyl)hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborole





Peak Find - Memory-2





88 86 84 82 80 78 76 74 72 70 68 66 64 62 60 58 56 54 52 50 48 46 44 42 40 38 36 34 32 30 28 26 24 11 (ppm)





4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-2-(iodomethyl)-1,3,2-dioxaborolane

¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃)



4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-2-(iodomethyl)-1,3,2-dioxaborolane

FT-IR, ATR-diamond



 $\label{eq:loss} \ensuremath{\text{2-(1-chloropentyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane} \\$

¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃)



 $\label{eq:linear} \ensuremath{\text{2-(1-chloropentyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane} \\$

¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃)





2-(1-iodopentyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane



 $\label{eq:linear} \ensuremath{\text{2-(1-iodopentyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane} \\$

¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃)

B-O



85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 20 15 f1 (opm) 2-(1-iodopentyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane





2-(1-iodopentyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane

FT-IR, ATR-diamond



2-butyl-4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane

¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃)

¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃)



2-butyl-4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane



2-butyl-4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane







2-butyl-4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane



FT-IR, ATR-diamond

¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃)





2-(1-chloropentyl)-4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane





¹¹B-NMR (96 MHz, CDCl₃)





2-(1-chloropentyl)-4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane





2-(1-iodopentyl)-4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane

¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃)



2-(1-iodopentyl)-4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane





2-(1-iodopentyl)-4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane





 $\label{eq:constraint} \texttt{2-(1-chlorobut-3-enyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane}$

¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃)



¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃)

2-(1-iodobut-3-enyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane





อไม่เป็นประการการการการการการการการการการการการการก	n nanaratan kaladin	 างที่ได้รู้หู้ใจหม่มูกเหาะกับการการเหล่างเป็นประม	l









 $\label{eq:constraint} 2\mbox{-}(1\mbox{-}chloro\mbox{-}1\mbox{-}duterio\mbox{-}but\mbox{-}3\mbox{-}enyl\mbox{)-}4\mbox{,}4\mbox{,}5\mbox{,}5\mbox{-}tetramethyl\mbox{-}1\mbox{,}3\mbox{,}2\mbox{-}dioxaborolane$

¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃)







100 95 90 85 80 75 f1 (ppm) 130 125 70 65 60 $\label{eq:constraint} 2\mbox{-}(1\mbox{-}chloro\mbox{-}1\mbox{-}duterio\mbox{-}but\mbox{-}3\mbox{-}enyl\mbox{)-}4\mbox{,}4\mbox{,}5\mbox{,}5\mbox{-}tetramethyl\mbox{-}1\mbox{,}3\mbox{,}2\mbox{-}dioxaborolane$

DEPT-135 NMR (300 MHz, $CDCI_3$)





2-(1-chloro-1-deuterio-but-3-enyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane

FT-IR, ATR-diamond



2-(1-deuterio-1-iodo-but-3-enyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane







2-(1-deuterio-1-iodo-but-3-enyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane

DEPT-135 NMR (300 MHz, $CDCI_3$)





2-(1-deuterio-1-iodo-but-3-enyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane

FT-IR, ATR-diamond







[2-bromo-1-(bromomethyl)ethyl]sulfanylbenzene Br -65000 -60000 -55000 -50000 -45000 -40000 -35000 -30000 -25000 -20000 -15000 -10000 -5000 -0 1.93-[2.89-3.99-1.00--5000 7.6 7.4 7.2 7.0 6.6 6.4 6.2 6.0 5.8 5.6 5.4 f1 (ppm) 5.2 5.0 4.8 4.4 4.2 4.0 3.8 3.6 3.4 6.8 4.6

¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃)





¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃)



Dimethyl 2,2-diallylpropanedioate

MeO OMe

¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃)

-77.16 CD03





170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 f1 (ppm)

20





f1 (ppm) Ó



¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃)

OMe

dimethyl 2-allyl-2-(2-methylallyl)malonate













170 165 160 155 150 145 140 135 130 125 120 115 110 105 100 95 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 f1 (ppm)

Trimethyl hepta-1,6-diene-2,4,4-tricarboxylate

OMe

MeO MeO₂C DEPT-135 NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃)




Trimethyl hepta-1,6-diene-2,4,4-tricarboxylate

FT-IR, ATR-diamond













¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃)







Dimethyl 2-allyl-2-(2-((trimethylsilyl)oxy)allyl)malonate

¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, C₆D₆)



170	160	150	140	130	120	110	100	90 f1 (p	80 pm)	70	60	50	40	30	20	10	0

Dimethyl 2-allyl-2-(2-((trimethylsilyl)oxy)allyl)malonate









Dimethyl 2-allyl-2-(2-((trimethylsilyl)oxy)allyl)malonate

FT-IR, ATR-diamond





Dimethyl 2-allyl-2-[2-(4-methoxyphenyl)allyl]propanedioate









Dimethyl 2-allyl-2-[2-(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)allyl]propanedioate

DEPT-135 NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃)

-0

--5000



 T.6
 T.4
 T.2
 T.0
 6.8
 6.6
 6.4
 6.2
 6.0
 5.8
 5.6
 5.4
 5.2
 5.0
 4.8
 4.6
 4.4
 4.2
 4.0
 3.8
 3.6
 3.4
 3.2
 3.0
 2.8
 2.6
 2.4

m



Dimethyl 2-allyl-2-(2-phenylsulfanylallyl)propanedioate

DEPT-135 NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃)





Dimethyl 2-allyl-2-(2-phenylsulfanylallyl)propanedioate







3-allyloxyoct-1-ene

¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃)









140 135 130 125 120 115 110 105 100 95 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 20 15 10 f1 (ppm) 1-(1-vinylhexoxymethyl)vinylbenzene







methyl 2-((oct-1-en-3-yloxy)methyl)acrylate

FT-IR, ATR-diamond









64 62 60 58 56 54 52 50 48 46 44 42 40 38 36 34 32 30 28 26 24 22 20 18 16 14 12 10 8 6 4 2 0 -2 f1 (ppm)

Dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-(2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl)cyclopentane-1,1-



Dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-(2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl)cyclopentane-1,1dicarboxylate

FT-IR, ATR-diamond





90 f1 (ppm)





Dimethyl 3-(2-((3aR*,4S*,7R*,7aS*)-hexahydro-4,7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)ethyl)-4-¹H, ¹³C-HSQC (400 MHz, CDCl₃) (iodomethyl)cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

 $\label{eq:linear} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Dimethyl } 3-(2-((3aR^*, 4S^*, 7R^*, 7aS^*)-hexahydro-4, 7-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)ethyl)-4-(iodomethyl)cyclopentane-1, 1-dicarboxylate \end{array}$ ¹H, ¹³C-HMBC (400 MHz, CDCl₃)











2.6 2.4 f2 (ppm)

2.2

4.2

4.0

3.8 3.6

3.4 3.2

3.0 2.8 2.0 1.8 1.6

1.4

1.2

1.0 0.8 0.6 f1 (ppm)



 $\label{eq:linear} Dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-(2-((3aR,4R,6R,7aS)-3a,5,5-trimethylhexahydro-4,6-methanobenzo[d][1,3,2]dioxaborol-2-yl)ethyl)cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate$

FT-IR, ATR-diamond





f1 (ppm)





$\label{eq:linear} Dimethyl \ 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl] cyclopentane-1, 1-dicarboxylate$

¹H, ¹³C-HMBC (400 MHz, CDCl₃)





23 854.311 79.1699

24

422.334

88.8442

22 25 926.628 409.799 55.6796 86.6245



0 В ò 23.468 2.468 2.468 2.441 -3.143 -3.143 -3.096 -3.096 -3.075 -3.077 0.34 62 0.62 0.99 0.39 0.95 8 4.10 4.05 3.85 3.70 3.60 3.55 f1 (ppm) 3.30 3.25 3.20 3.15 3.10 3.05 3.0 4.00 3.95 3.90 3.80 3.75 3.65 3.50 3.45 3.40 3.35 2284 2284 22246 22246 22246 22246 22246 22246 22288 22288 22186 22186 22186 22186 22186 , MM4 V WW. 0.63 8 0.47 2.80 2.75 2.70 2.60 2.35 2.30 2.25 f1 (ppm) 2.10 2.05 2.65 2.55 2.50 2.45 2.40 2.20 2.15 2.00 1.95 1.90 1.85 1.80 -1.6970.902 -0.883 -1.697 0.921 L.MM 13.19-9.32 1.98 2.22 1.75 1.65 1.45 0.90

1.35 1.30

1.40

1.25 1.20 f1 (ppm)

1.15 1.10 1.05 1.00 0.95 0.85 0.80 0.75 0.7

4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-2-[2-[4-(iodomethyl)tetrahydrofuran-3-yl]ethyl]-1,3,2-dioxaborolane

1.70

1.60 1.55 1.50

¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃)

4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-2-[2-[4-(iodomethyl)tetrahydrofuran-3-yl]ethyl]-1,3,2-dioxaborolane

¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCI₃)

www





4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-2-[2-[4-(iodomethyl)tetrahydrofuran-3-yl]ethyl]-1,3,2-dioxaborolane







4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-2-[2-[4-(iodomethyl)tetrahydrofuran-3-yl]ethyl]-1,3,2-dioxaborolane

Ó





4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-2-[2-[4-(iodomethyl)tetrahydrofuran-3-yl]ethyl]-1,3,2-dioxaborolane

FT-IR, ATR-diamond





145 140 135 130 125 120 115 110 105 100 95 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 20 15 10 5 0 f1 (ppm)






¹H, ¹³C-HSQC (400 MHz, CDCI₃)



 $\label{eq:linear} 3-(iodomethyl)-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl] pyrrolidine and the second seco$

¹H, ¹³C-HMBC(400 MHz, CDCl₃)



3-(iodomethyl)-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]pyrrolidine







-33.12

3-(iodomethyl)-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]pyrrolidine

FT-IR, ATR-diamond





145 140 135 130 125 120 115 110 105 100 95 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 20 15 10 5 f1 (ppm)

3-(iodomethyl)-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]pyrrolidine

¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCI₃)



Ts

. в-О О-Х





່ 14.0 13.5 13.0 12.5 12.0 11.5 11.0 10.5 10.0 9.5 90 ຮູ້ຮູ້ຮູ້ ຮູ້ 7.5 7.0 ຮູ້ຮູ້ຮູ້ ຮູ້ ຮູ້ ຮູ້ ຊີວ ຊີຮູ້



55 54 53 52 51 50 49 46 47 46 45 44 43 42 41 40 99 36 37 36 35 34 33 52 31 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 11 (opm)

3-(iodomethyl)-1-(p-tolylsulf	onyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-te	traethyl-1,3,2-dioxaboro	olan-2-yl)hexyl]pyrrolidine
-------------------------------	------------------------	--------------------------	-----------------------------

DEPT-135 NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃)







Ts-N











135 130 125 120 115 110 105 100 95 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 20 15 10 5 0 -5 f1 (ppm)



2

7.5

7.0

6.5

6.0

5.5

5.0

4.5 f2 (ppm) 4.0

لنامل

3.5 3.0

-110 --120

-130

1.5

2.0

2.5



3-(2-iodoethyl)-4-(iodomethyl)-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)pyrrolidine

FT-IR, ATR-diamond



Dimethyl 3-(1-iodoethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1dicarboxylate 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃)



MeO₂C MeO₂C B-O



f1 (ppm)





3.2 3.0 2.8

4.2

4.0 3.8 3.6 3.4

4.4

00

2.0 1.8

1.6

1.4 1.2

1.0 0.8 0.6

2.6 2.4 f2 (ppm) 2.2

-3.0

-3.5

-4.0

-4.5



Dimethyl 3-(1-iodoethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1dicarboxylate



Dimethyl 3-(1-iodoethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1dicarboxylate

¹H, ¹³C-HSQC (400 MHz, CDCI₃)

¹H, ¹³C-HMBC(400 MHz, CDCl₃)

f1 (ppm)



Dimethyl 3-(1-iodoethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1dicarboxylate FT-IR, ATR-diamond



Trimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1,3tricarboxylate



Trimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1,3tricarboxylate

uncarboxylate	<u>8</u>
170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 f1 (ppm)	70 60 50 40 30 20 10

Trimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1,3tricarboxylate DEPT-135 NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃)



Trimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1,3tricarboxylate





Trimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1,3tricarboxylate



Trimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1,3tricarboxylate



Trimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1,3-tricarboxylate



Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-phenyl-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂)



Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-phenyl-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, CD₂Cl₂)







$\label{eq:linear} Dimethyl \ 4-(iodomethyl)-3-phenyl-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl] cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate$



¹H, ¹³C-HMBC(400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂)

Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-phenyl-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

¹¹B-NMR (128 MHz, CD₂Cl₂)



Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-phenyl-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

FT-IR, ATR-diamond





¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃)



Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate DEPT-135 NI CDCl₃)

DEPT-135 NMR (101 MHz, $CDCI_3$)





Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

ÓΜε

¹H, ¹³C-HSQC (400 MHz, CDCl₃)



Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

FT-IR, ATR-diamond



Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate





75 70 f1 (ppm) 135 130 125 120 115 110 105 100 95



Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate







Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate





f1 (ppm)





Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-phenylsulfanyl-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

¹¹B-NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃)



Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-phenylsulfanyl-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

FT-IR, ATR-diamond





Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]-3-trimethylsilyloxy-cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO)



110 100 f1 (ppm) Ó

Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]-3-trimethylsilyloxycyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate DEPT-(CD₃)₂/

DEPT-135 NMR (101 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO)




Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]-3-trimethylsilyloxycyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

¹¹B-NMR (128 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO)

MeO₂C TMSO 0



Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]-3-trimethylsilyloxycyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

FT-IR, ATR-diamond

¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃)



Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-methyl-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate





30 28 f1 (ppm)

Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-methyl-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate



Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-methyl-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

 $^1\text{H},\,^{13}\text{C-HSQC}$ (400 MHz, CDCl_3)



 $\label{eq:linear} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-methyl-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]} cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate \end{array}$



Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-methyl-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

¹¹B-NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃)





Dimethyl 4-(iodomethyl)-3-methyl-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

FT-IR, ATR-diamond



Peak Find - Memory-3



 $\label{eq:constraint} 3-(iodomethyl)-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl] pyrrolidine and the second second$

¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃)





135 130 125 120 115 110 105 100 95 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 20 15 10 5 f1 (ppm)





 $\label{eq:constraint} 3-(iodomethyl)-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl] pyrrolidine and the second second$



70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 20 15 10 5 11 (ppm)



FT-IR, ATR-diamond



Benzyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃)





75 70 65 f1 (ppm) 135 130 125 120 115 110 105 100 95 90 85



Benzyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate ¹H, ¹H-COSY (400 MHz, CDCl₃)







Benzyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate ¹H, ¹³C-HMBC(400 MHz, CDCl₃)

Benzyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate ¹¹B-NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃)

33.79

Ph 0 N I B 0



















 $2\label{eq:lasticity} 2\label{eq:lasticity} 2\label{eq:lasticity$

¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃)





f1 (ppm)



 $2\label{eq:lasticity} 2\label{eq:lasticity} 2\label{eq:lasticity$















Methyl 4-(iodomethyl)-5-pentyl-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]tetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate





Methyl 4-(iodomethyl)-5-pentyl-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]tetrahydrofuran-

3-carboxylate	\sim
MeO ₂ C	B.
	0.



f1 (ppm)





Methyl 4-(iodomethyl)-5-pentyl-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]tetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate





Methyl 4-(iodomethyl)-5-pentyl-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]tetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate







7.0

6.5

6.0

5.5

5.0

4.5

4.0 f2 (ppm) 3.5

3.0

2.5

120

0.5

2.0

1.5

1.0

2-[2-[4-(iodomethyl)-5-pentyl-3-phenyl-tetrahydrofuran-3-yl]ethyl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2dioxaborolane (DIA 1)

¹¹B-NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃)





2-[2-[4-(iodomethyl)-5-pentyl-3-phenyl-tetrahydrofuran-3-yl]ethyl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (DIA 1)

FT-IR, ATR-diamond





145 140 135 130 125 120 115 110 105 100 95 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 f1 (ppm)





2-[2-[4-(iodomethyl)-5-pentyl-3-phenyl-tetrahydrofuran-3-yl]ethyl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (DIA 2)

FT-IR, ATR-diamond



Dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃)







Dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]cyclopentane-1,1dicarboxylate



¹H, ¹³C-HSQC (400 MHz, CDCI₃)


Dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]cyclopentane-1,1-



Dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]cyclopentane-1,1dicarboxylate

¹¹B-NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃)



Dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]cyclopentane-1,1dicarboxylate









Dimethyl 3-(1-iodoethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]cyclopentane-1,1dicarboxylate







Dimethyl 3-(1-iodoethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]cyclopentane-1,1dicarboxylate

 $\label{eq:linear} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Dimethyl} \ (3E) \mbox{-}3-(1-iodoethylidene) \mbox{-}4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl) \mbox{heyyl}] \mbox{cyclopentane-}1,1-dicarboxylate \end{array}$



¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃)





 $\label{eq:linear} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Dimethyl} \ (3E)-3-(1-iodoethylidene)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate \end{array}$

¹H, ¹³C-HSQC (400 MHz, CDCl₃)



MeO₂C MeO₂C ò -20 ų, . -30 38 22 .:: -40 ••, -50 • -60 -70 -80 -90 f1 (ppm) 100 -110 •• -120 -130 -140 -150 -160 -170 3.8 3.7 3.6 3.5 3.4 3.3 3.2 3.1 3.0 2.9 2.8 2.7 2.6 2.5 2.4 2.3 2.2 2.1 2.0 1.9 1.8 1.7 1.6 1.5 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.1 1.0 0.9 0.8 f2 (ppm)

 $\label{eq:linear} Dimethyl~(3E)-3-(1-iodoethylidene)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate$

¹H, ¹³C-HMBC (400 MHz, CDCI₃)

Dimethyl (3E)-3-(1-iodoethylidene)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2yl)hexyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

MeO₂C

ò

¹¹B-NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃)







Dimethyl (3E)-3-(1-iodoethylidene)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate









60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 20 15 10 5 f1 (ppm)



Benzyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]pyrrolidine-1-¹H-NMR (400 MHz, (CD₃)₂ÇO) carboxylate 9000 -8500 -8000 Ρh -7500 7000 -6500 -6000 5500 -5000 4500 4000 3500 -3000 2500 2000 1500 1000 500 0 4 Ч ٣ H щ щ -500 25 2.00 6 6.0 3.0 5.05 6.23 0.58 0.67 4.38 2 2.0 7.5 7.0 6.5 4.0 f1 (ppm) 1.5 1.0 0.5 6.0 5.5 5.0 4.5 3.5

3-(iodomethyl)-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)-4-[1-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)pentyl]pyrrolidine FT-IR, ATR-diamond





 $\label{eq:Benzyl} Benzyl \ 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl] pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate$

¹H, ¹³C-HSQC (400 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO)





 $\label{eq:berger} Benzyl \ \ 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl] pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate$

¹¹B-NMR (128 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO)



 $\label{eq:Benzyl-3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl] pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate$

FT-IR, ATR-diamond



Methyl 4-(iodomethyl)-5-pentyl-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]tetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate

MeO₂C

¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂)







Methyl 4-(iodomethyl)-5-pentyl-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]tetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate





Methyl 4-(iodomethyl)-5-pentyl-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2yl)hexyl]tetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate

¹¹B-NMR (128 MHz, CD₂Cl₂)

MeO₂C 0



Methyl 4-(iodomethyl)-5-pentyl-3-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]tetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate



4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-[1-[(4-methyl-5-pentyl-3-phenyl-2H-furan-3-yl)methyl]pentyl]-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃)







4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-[1-[(4-methyl-5-pentyl-3-phenyl-2H-furan-3-yl)methyl]pentyl]-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ¹H, ¹³C-HSQC (400 MHz, CDCl₃)

4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-[1-[(4-methyl-5-pentyl-3-phenyl-2H-furan-3-yl)methyl]pentyl]-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ¹¹B-NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃)

- 33.6















Deuteration experiment + Oxidation of Dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate



Deuteration experiment + Oxidation of Dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

¹H, ¹³C-HSQC (400 MHz, CDCl₃)



Deuteration experiment + Oxidation of Dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate



 $\label{eq:local_bound} Deuteration experiment + Oxidation of Dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl] cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate$





¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃)

650

-600

550

500

450

-400 -350 -300

250

200

100

-50 --0

-**-**50





Deuteration experiment + Oxidation of Dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate



Deuteration experiment + Oxidation of Dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

¹H, ¹³C-HSQC (400 MHz, CDCl₃)



Deuteration experiment + Oxidation of Dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate



 $\label{eq:loss} Deuteration experiment + Oxidation of Dimethyl 3-(iodomethyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl] cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate$



¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃)



4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-2-octyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane -0

-77.16 CDC

ò.

¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃)








4,4,5,5-tetraethyl-2-octyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane

FT-IR, ATR-diamond















Dimethyl 3-(2-hydroxyhexyl)-4-(iodomethyl)cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate









130 125 120 115 110 105 100 95 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 20 15 10 5 f1 (ppm) 2-(4-(iodomethyl)-1-tosylpyrrolidin-3-yl)ethan-1-ol



 $\label{eq:linear} Dimethyl \ 3-methyl-4-(2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl) cyclopentane-1, 1-dicarboxylate$

MeO₂C MeO₂C

¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃)







Dimethyl 3-methyl-4-(2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl)cyclopentane-1,1dicarboxylate





Dimethyl 3-methyl-4-(2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl)cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

FT-IR, ATR-diamond





145 140 135 130 125 120 115 110 105 100 95 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 20 15 f1 (ppm)

 $\label{eq:linear} 3-methyl-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl] pyrrolidine and the second se$

DEPT-135 NMR (101 MHz, $CDCI_3$)













33,65



















Dimethyl 3-methyl-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]cyclopentane-1,1dicarboxylate





Dimethyl 3-methyl-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

FT-IR, ATR-diamond



Dimethyl 3-methyl-4-(2-oxohexyl)cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃)



Dimethyl 3-methyl-4-(2-oxohexyl)cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

DEPT-135 NMR (101 MHz, CDCI₃)







Dimethyl 3-methyl-4-(2-oxohexyl)cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate



Dimethyl 3-methyl-4-(2-oxohexyl)cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate





$\label{eq:limit} Dimethyl \ 3-methyl-4-(2-oxohexyl) cyclopentane-1, 1-dicarboxylate$

¹H, ¹³C-HMBC (400 MHz, CDCl₃)



Dimethyl 3-(2-hydroxyethyl)-4-methyl-cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

FT-IR, ATR-diamond



3-methyl-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)-4-[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)hexyl]pyrrolidine

¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃)















Dimethyl 3-(2-hydroxyethyl)-4-methyl-cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (mix of dias)

¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃)







Dimethyl 3-(2-hydroxyethyl)-4-methyl-cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (mix of dias)







Dimethyl 3-(2-hydroxyethyl)-4-methyl-cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (mix of dias)

FT-IR, ATR-diamond





Dimethyl 3-(2-hydroxyethyl)-4-methyl-cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (major dia)





Dimethyl 3-(2-hydroxyhexyl)-4-methyl-cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃)





Dimethyl 3-(2-hydroxyhexyl)-4-methyl-cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

¹H, ¹H-COSY (400 MHz, CDCI₃)



Dimethyl 3-(2-hydroxyhexyl)-4-methyl-cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

¹H, ¹³C-HSQC (400 MHz, CDCl₃)



Dimethyl 3-(2-hydroxyhexyl)-4-methyl-cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

¹H, ¹³C-HMBC (400 MHz, CDCI₃)



Dimethyl 3-(2-hydroxyhexyl)-4-methyl-cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

FT-IR, ATR-diamond




f1 (ppm)

Dimethyl 3-ethyl-4-methyl-cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

DEPT-135 NMR (101 MHz, $CDCI_3$)





Dimethyl 3-ethyl-4-methyl-cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

¹H, ¹³C-HSQC (400 MHz, CDCl₃)



Dimethyl 3-ethyl-4-methyl-cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

¹H, ¹³C-HMBC (400 MHz, CDCl₃)



Dimethyl 3-hexyl-4-(iodomethyl)cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃)



Dimethyl 3-hexyl-4-(iodomethyl)cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate





Dimethyl 3-hexyl-4-(iodomethyl)cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate









Dimethyl 3-hexyl-4-(iodomethyl)cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate



Dimethyl 3-hexyl-4-methyl-cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃)





¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCI₃)







· · · ·				· · · ·	· · · · ·	· · · ·		· · · ·	
55	50	45	40	35	30	25	20	15	
55	50	40	40	00	00	20	20	10	
				f1 (nom)					
				ri (ppin)					







Dimethyl 3-hexyl-4-methyl-cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate







145 140 135 130 125 120 115 110 105 100 95 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 20 15 10 5 f1 (ppm)















3-Ethyl-4-methyl-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)pyrrolidine

FT-IR, ATR-diamond



Peak Find - Memory-14







130 125 120 115 110 105 100 95 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 20 15 10 f1 (ppm)



3-Hexyl-4-methyl-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)pyrrolidine

FT-IR, ATR-diamond











Dimethyl 3-methyl-4-(2-phenylsulfanylethyl)cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate

FT-IR, ATR-diamond





145 140 135 130 125 120 115 110 105 100 95 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 20 15 f1 (ppm)





3-methyl-4-(2-phenylsulfanylethyl)-1-(p-tolylsulfonyl)pyrrolidine



Dimethyl 3-butyl-3,4,4a,5,7,7a-hexahydro-1H-cyclopenta[c]pyran-6,6-dicarboxylate ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) MeO₂C MeO₂C -30000 -7.26 CDCI -28000 -26000 -24000 -22000 -20000 -18000 -16000 -14000 12000 10000 -8000 -6000 4000 -2000 M -0 0.56-J 6.38 0.77 J 0.45. 1.03 3.00-I 2.64-1.03-4.99-5.77---2000 5.5 4.0 f1 (ppm) 3.0 2.0 1.0 7.0 6.5 6.0 5.0 4.5 3.5 2.5 1.5





Dimethyl 3-butyl-3,4,4a,5,7,7a-hexahydro-1H-cyclopenta[c]pyran-6,6-dicarboxylate



¹H, ¹H-COSY (400 MHz, CDCI₃)



Dimethyl 3-butyl-3,4,4a,5,7,7a-hexahydro-1H-cyclopenta[c]pyran-6,6-dicarboxylate

FT-IR, ATR-diamond





145 140 135 130 125 120 115 110 105 100 95 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 20 15 10 5 f1 (ppm)







$2\-[3-(iodomethyl)-4-(2-phenylethyl)cyclopentyl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane$







145 140 135 130 125 120 115 110 105 100 95 85 80 f1 (ppm)







 $\label{eq:2.1} 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-[1-[[2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)cyclopropyl]methyl]but-3-envll-1.3.2-dioxaborolane$

FT-IR, ATR-diamond





Ethyl 2-(iodomethyl)-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)cyclopentanecarboxylate (Dia 1+2) ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃)

Ethy l 2-(i	odome	thyl)-4-(4,4,5,5	-tetrame	ethyl-1,3	3,2-diox	aborola	an-2-yl)	cycloper	ntaneca	rboxyla	te (Dia [·]	1+2) ¹³ (C-NMF	R (101 N	1Hz, CE	DCI ₃)
O B- O	$\langle \downarrow \rangle$	∕_I CO₂Et															
	lh					······		· · ·			· · · ·	h				· · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	170	160	150	140	130	120	110	1Ó0	90 f1 (ppm)	80	70	60	50	40	30	20	10




Ethyl 2-(iodomethyl)-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)cyclopentanecarboxylate (Dia 1+2) ¹H, ¹³C-HSQC (400 MHz, CDCl₃)







Ethyl 2-(iodomethyl)-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)cyclopentanecarboxylate (Dia 1+2) FT-IR, ATR-diamond





Ethyl 2-(iodomethyl)-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)cyclopentanecarboxylate (Dia 3+4) ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃)

Ethyl 2-(iodomethyl)-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)cyclopentanecarboxylate (Dia 3+4) ¹³ C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl ₃)	
$ \downarrow \stackrel{O}{\underset{O'}{B}}_{B} - \overbrace{CO_2 Et}^{I} $	77.16 ODCI3

f1 (ppm)





Ethyl 2-(iodomethyl)-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)cyclopentanecarboxylate (Dia 3+4) ¹H, ¹³C-HSQC (400 MHz, CDCl₃)







Ethyl 2-(iodomethyl)-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)cyclopentanecarboxylate (Dia 3+4) FT-IR, ATR-diamond



















3-(4-tert-butylphenyl)-4-(iodomethyl)cyclopentanol

¹H, ¹³C-HSQC (400 MHz, CDCl₃)



3-(4-tert-butylphenyl)-4-(iodomethyl)cyclopentanol

FT-IR, ATR-diamond



 $2\-[3-(iodomethyl)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclopentyl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane$

¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃)









2-[3-(iodomethyl)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclopentyl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane

FT-IR, ATR-diamond











4-[2-(iodomethyl)-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)cyclopentyl]benzonitrile

FT-IR, ATR-diamond



 $2\-[4-(iodomethyl)-3-methyl-3-phenyl-cyclopentyl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane$

¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃)





115 110 70 65 f1 (ppm)





2-[4-(iodomethyl)-3-methyl-3-phenyl-cyclopentyl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane

FT-IR, ATR-diamond



2-[12-(iodomethyl)-14-oxatetracyclo[6.5.1.02,7.09,13]tetradeca-2,4,6-trien-10-yl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane – DIa1





70 65 f1 (ppm) 120 115 110 105 100 95



2-[12-(iodomethyl)-14-oxatetracyclo[6.5.1.02,7.09,13]tetradeca-2,4,6-trien-10-yl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane - Dla1 ¹H, ¹³C-HSQC (400 MHz, CDCl₃)



 $\label{eq:listication} 2-[12-(iodomethyl)-14-oxatetracyclo[6.5.1.02,7.09,13] tetradeca-2,4,6-trien-10-yl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane - Dla1$ ¹H, ¹H-COSY (400 MHz, CDCI₃)







2-[12-(iodomethyl)-14-oxatetracyclo[6.5.1.02,7.09,13]tetradeca-2,4,6-trien-10-yl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1,3,2-dioxaborolane - Dla2 50000 45000 40000 -35000 -30000 -25000 -20000 15000 10000 -5000 Mh 0 ተ ተ Ħ Ч ٣ ٣ ¥ ٣ H-L-aLi 2.13 2.21 8 8 1.08 0.99 0.97 .97 4.5 f1 (ppm) 7.0 6.5 1.5 6.0 5.5 5.0 3.5 2.5 2.0 4.0 3.0

2-[12-(iodomethyl)-14-oxatetracyclo[6.5.1.02,7.09,13]tetradeca-2,4,6-trien-10-yl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane – DIa1



70 65 f1 (ppm) 120 115 110 105



2-[12-(iodomethyl)-14-oxatetracyclo[6.5.1.02,7.09,13]tetradeca-2,4,6-trien-10-yl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane – Dla2





2-[12-(iodomethyl)-14-oxatetracyclo[6.5.1.02,7.09,13]tetradeca-2,4,6-trien-10-yl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane – Dla2

¹¹B-NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃)





2-[12-(iodomethyl)-14-oxatetracyclo[6.5.1.02,7.09,13]tetradeca-2,4,6-trien-10-yl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane – Mix Dia3 & Dia4

¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCI₃)








2-[12-(iodomethyl)-14-oxatetracyclo[6.5.1.02,7.09,13]tetradeca-2,4,6-trien-10-yl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane – Mix Dia3 & Dia4

2-[12-(iodomethyl)-14-oxatetracyclo[6.5.1.02,7.09,13]tetradeca-2,4,6-trien-10-yl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane – Mix Dia3 & Dia4





2-[12-(iodomethyl)-14-oxatetracyclo[6.5.1.02,7.09,13]tetradeca-2,4,6-trien-10-yl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane – Mix Dia3 & Dia4



2-[12-(iodomethyl)-14-oxatetracyclo[6.5.1.02,7.09,13]tetradeca-2,4,6-trien-10-yl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane – Mix Dia3 & Dia4







130 125 120 115 110 105 100 95 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 11 (ppm)





70 68 66 64 62 60 58 56 54 52 50 48 46 44 42 40 38 36 34 32 30 28 26 24 22 20 18 16 14 12 10 8 6 4 2 f1 (ppm)

2-[3-(iodomethyl)-4-phenylsulfanyl-cyclopentyl]-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane

FT-IR, ATR-diamond



[2-(iodomethyl)-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)cyclopentyl] acetate

¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃)











$\label{eq:loss_loss} \end{tabular} \end{ta$

FT-IR, ATR-diamond

